

#### Saeid Paktinat

(IPM, Tehran)

On behalf of CMS and ATLAS Collaborations

# Why SUperSYmmetry(1)

SM describes a lot of experimental results very precisely, but <u><u>f</u></u>



Fermionic loop corrections to higgs mass diverge quadratically:  $\Delta m_{H}^{2} = c \lambda_{f}^{2} [-\Lambda^{2} + b]$ 

A is a cut-off scale (upper limit for SM validity  $\rightarrow M_{pl} = 2.4 \times 10^{18} \text{ GeV}$ ). Huge disparity between *EW* scale and  $M_{pl}$  is not natural (Hierarchy Problem)

# Why SUperSYmmetry(2)

If another scalar couples to higgs

(\_\_\_\_\_\_)

new correction is

$$\Delta m_{H}^{2} = c_{2} \lambda_{s} \left[ \Lambda^{2} + b_{2} \right]$$

Η

Proper couplings  $\rightarrow$  This correction can cancel the quadratic divergencies. SUSY introduces new particles that cancel quadratic div and fill the scale between *EW* and  $M_{pl}$  (solves the hierarchy problem).

# SUSY particle content

Every SM particle has a SUSY partner (sparticle) that are exactly same, but differ in spin by  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Names		spin 0	spin $1/2$	$SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$
squarks, quarks	Q	$(\tilde{u}_L  \tilde{d}_L)$	$\begin{pmatrix} u_L & d_L \end{pmatrix}$	$(3, 2, \frac{1}{6})$
$(\times 3 \text{ families})$	$U^c$	$\tilde{u}_R^*$	$u_R^{\dagger}$	$(\bar{3}, 1, -\frac{2}{3})$
	$D^c$	$\tilde{d}_R^*$	$d_R^{\dagger}$	$(3, 1, \frac{1}{3})$
sleptons, leptons	L	$(\tilde{\nu}  \tilde{e}_L)$	$(\nu e_L)$	$(1, 2, -\frac{1}{2})$
$(\times 3 \text{ families})$	$E^c$	$\tilde{e}_R^*$	$e_R^{\dagger}$	(1, 1, 1)
Higgs, higgsinos	$H_u$	$\begin{pmatrix} H_u^+ & H_u^0 \end{pmatrix}$	$(\ddot{H}_u^+  \ddot{H}_u^0)$	$(1, 2, \frac{1}{2})$
	$H_d$	$\begin{pmatrix} H_d^0 & H_d^- \end{pmatrix}$	$(\tilde{H}_d^0  \tilde{H}_d^-)$	$(1, 2, -\frac{1}{2})$

Names	spin $1/2$	spin 1	$SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$
gluino, gluon	$\tilde{g}$	g	(8, 1, 0)
wino, W	$\tilde{W}^{\pm}, \tilde{W}^{0}$	$W^{\pm}, W^0$	(1, 3, 0)
bino, B	$\tilde{B}^0$	$B^0$	(1, 1, 0)

## SUSY & mSUGRA

- SUSY particles have not been discovered, so they don't have exactly same mass as their SM partners. SUSY is a broken symmetry.
- The mSUGRA, reduces the 127 parameters of general SUSY models to 5 parameters:

 $m_0, m_{1/2}$  :(common scalar and gaugino mass at GUT scale) A: (common gaugino coupling at GUT scale)  $tan(\beta)$  : ratio of vev of  $H_u$  and  $H_d$  $sign(\mu)$ :  $\mu$  being the higgs mixing parameter.

#### • $\chi^2_0$ is stable $\rightarrow$ Missing Transverse Energy (MET)



#### mass measurement in stop sample (1)

In benchmark SPS5,  $\tilde{g}\tilde{q}$  production is considered when:

$$\widetilde{g} \to \widetilde{t}_1 t \to tb \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} (38\% \text{BR}) \qquad \widetilde{q} \to q + (\chi_1^{\pm} \text{or} \chi_{1\text{or}2}^0)$$

Relevant masses (all in GeV):

$$m_g = 719, m_{X+1} = m_{X02} = 226, m_{X01} = 120,$$
  
 $m_{qR} = 620, m_{qL} = 640, m_{t1} = 236$ 

- hadronic decay of top is considered.
- tt, SUSY background are relevant.
- Other SM backgrounds are eliminated by cuts.



### mass measurement in stop sample (2)

#### Cuts:

 $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 200 \text{ GeV.}$  (kills SM backgrounds) No isolated leptons >=3 light jets  $P_T(J_1) > 300$ ,  $P_T(J_2, J_3, ...) > 30$  and  $|\eta| < 3$ Only 2 b-jets  $30 < P_T(b_1) < 50$  and  $30 < P_T(b_2) < 150$  (upper limit kills SUSY backgrounds)

- Top Extraction: Excluding the most energetic jet, all m(jj) combinations are made, if  $|m(jj) m_W| < 15$ , jj is selected as W, the closest m(bjj) to m<sub>t</sub> is selected. jj are scaled to have m(jj)=80 GeV, if  $|m(bjj) m_t| < 30$ , bjj is used as top.
- W sideband subtraction is used to estimate the combinatorial backgrounds



#### mass measurement in stop sample

xtraction in



14 January 2006

S. Paktinat Top06 (Coimbra, Protugal)



### mass measurement in stop sample (3)

After cuts and W sideband subtraction

Signal/tt = 12 Signal/SUSY(Bkg) = 3

- Extracted top quark is combined with the remaining b.
- Theoretically m(tb) has an endpoint at 255 GeV.
- Extracted endpoint by fit:

#### 258.6 +/- 0.3(stat) +/- 2.6 (sys)

(only 1% jet energy scale uncertainty)



Work done by I. Borjanovic, J.Krstic, D.Popovic

9

## SUSY in top+MET final states in CMS



- gluino cross section is high (35 pb@LM1), it can decay to stop and sbottom, which are heavier than top → a lot of top quarks are generated via SUSY production/decay.
- SUSY events have 2 neutralinos  $\rightarrow$  high MET events.

#### → The inclusive SUSY signature is top+MET

• Point LM1 MSUGRA  $M_{1/2} = 250, M_0 = 60, \tan\beta = 10, A_0 = 0 \operatorname{sign}(\mu) = + m_g = 611, m_{X01} = 94, m_{b1} = 514, m_{b2} = 535, m_{t1} = 236$ 

# 2 Constraints Kinematic Fit



- The purpose of this analysis is not to measure the top mass → Top mass is used with W mass as 2 constraints to find the best jet combination.
- Only energy of Jets are smeared in the detector (checked that directional errors have a small effect)

# 2 Constraints Kinematic Fit



Have a quantitative criteria to reject fake top ( $\chi^2$  probability)

Improves the kinematical features of reconstructed top

(37% and 48% better energy resolution for W and Top, Reduced bias)



Top06 (Coimbra, Protugal)

# Cuts and selections

- Global High Level Trigger
- At least 1 b-jet.  $(E_T^{corr} > 30 \text{ GeV} (E_T^{raw} > 20 \text{ GeV}) |h| < 2.5)$
- At least 3 light-jets (cuts same as *b*-jet). (to reduce qcd, W/ZW)
- $\blacksquare MET > 200 \text{ GeV}$
- A Convergent Fit with  $\chi^2$  probability > 0.15 (reduces fake top)
- $\Delta \phi$  (FittedTop, MET)<2.6

(reduces tt)

- $\geq$  one isolated (e, $\mu$ ) with
  - $P_T > 5$  and  $|\eta| < 2.5$
  - Only remaining Bkg is tt







## W and Top after all cuts



S. Paktinat Top06 (Coimbra, Protugal)



## Results



- Efficiency Susy(withTop) 1.8\*10<sup>-2</sup>
- Efficiency Susy(noTop) 1.6\*10<sup>-3</sup>
- Efficiency tt  $5.2*10^{-5}$
- SUSY(withTop) > 2 SUSY(noTop)
- Signal (SUSY(withTop) + SUSY(noTop)) > 3 SM Background
- Significance (excess of SUSY over SM Bkg) =11  $\sigma$
- Results will be revised to include QCD/W+Njets/single top but we do not expect dramatic changes.

Work done by S. Paktinat, L. Pape, M. Spiropulu

## Conclusion

- Different approaches are being tried in both experiments to search for any evidence of BSM.
- Precise understanding of the top quark physics is vital for most of them both as a background and as signal.

# Back up slides

# Summary for KinFit



Efficiency: 92% of tt events with a b-jet and 2 light jets have a convergent fit (46% if χ<sup>2</sup> probability > 0.05 )

Fake rate: 27% of SUSY(noTop) events with a b-jet and 2 light jets have a convergent fit (4.5% after cut)

Purity: 44% of the fitted top quarks have a generated top closer than  $\Delta R = 0.5$  that decays hadronically and all of its partons have  $|\eta| < 2.5$  and  $E_T > 30$  GeV (33% after cut)

14 January 2006



## Extracted W and Top by Fit