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Presentation “prerequisites”

The presentation doesn’t go into too much details, but it might be useful to have:

- General knowledge of distributed systems
- Some experience with OO Programming
- Some Java Experience

Presentation Overview

- What is “Enterprise Computing”
- Common Problems
- Real World Solutions
- Common Patterns
  - Naming Services
  - Pooling
  - Transaction Management

What is Enterprise Computing
What is “Enterprise Computing”

- Solving computing problems in a
  - Distributed
  - Multi-tier
  - Server-centric environment.

Common in big companies (like CERN) where users access a variety of applications that share data and resources, often integrated with legacy systems.

Distributed

- Means that the components that make up our system could be living on different machines and communicate through the network
- Components must be able to find each other and to communicate effectively

Multi-tier

- Many distributed schemas are possible (e.g. P2P)
- In an enterprise environment we can identify components having very different roles (client, server, database) and different requirements

Server-centric

- The server performs the operations needed to solve our particular problem (business logic).
- We are not going to write a new DB or a new client technology. They have to be as much standard as possible to minimize technology dependencies and deployment problems.
Common 3-tier architecture

- **Client**
  - Interfaces with the user

- **Server**
  - *Implements Business Logic*
  - Implements Middleware

- **Database**
  - Persistently stores data
  - Retrieves stored data

---

Example

- **Client**
- **Application Server**
- **Database**

---

Common Problems/Services (I)

- **Remote method invocation**
  - Logic that connects a client and a server via a network connection: dispatching method requests, serializing data, ...

- **Load balancing**
  - Client must be directed to server with highest load

- **Transparent fail-over**
  - If server crashes the client should be redirected to another one without interruption of service

- **System integration**
  - New components must be integrated into existing system: different languages, OSs, hardware, ...

- **Transactions management**
  - Operations must be reliable (ACID) even across different machines and networks
Common Problems/Services (II)

- **Logging**
  - If something goes wrong we need a log to reconstruct the events and identify the problem

- **Threading**
  - If many clients connect to the same server we must be able to process the requests simultaneously

- **Messaging**
  - If components are loosely coupled we need to manage messages, queues, consumers, producers

- **Pooling**
  - We must be able to pool and reuse expensive resources

- **Caching**
  - We should be able to cache our data (e.g., DB query results) at different levels to improve performance

Middleware

- All these services together can be called *middleware* because they don’t implement our *business logic*, but yet they have to be present in our system

- Should be present in the *framework* we use

- Should be more *configured* than programmed

Complexity

- System complexity is the #1 problem in enterprise systems
  - New functionalities are added to existing components
  - New components are created and must be integrated with existing ones
  - New technologies are introduced
  - Old technologies are phased out

- Quality of service has to be maintained

- Developers come and go

- Software ecosystems

Dependencies

- Dependencies are evil!
  - Resilience
  - Regressions
Abstraction

- Successful systems provide *abstraction layers* to limit dependencies
  - Don’t need to understand internal combustion to drive a car
  - Don’t need to understand TCP/IP to browse the web
  - Don’t need to signal modulation to use your cell phone
- *You shouldn’t care* about the underlying abstraction layers.
- Unless there are problems!!!
- When you need another abstraction layer, use a *standard* one

Software Abstraction Layers

- If you write the Logic you shouldn’t care about
  - How the virtual machine opens a file
  - How the OS stores the file
  - How the hard disk organizes the sectors
- Trade-off flexibility vs complexity

Optimization

“Premature optimisation is the root of all evil in programming”

Donald E. Knuth

- If the system is well designed, functionalities and performance are independent.
- Optimization usually creates dependencies
- Relying on particular implementations (non-standard public features) is dangerous
- Relying on particular “internal” features (e.g. Oracle SQL-optimization) is a sign something is going wrong

Programming Vs Configuring

- Easier to write/understand/maintain/deploy a configuration file than some program code
  - Less dependent on the implementation
  - Semantics are more evident
  - *If well designed* it gives you
    - All the functionalities you need
    - Enforces good design
    - Makes it more difficult to “shoot yourself in the foot”

See inversion of control pattern and the Spring Framework in following lectures
Real World Solutions

Java Enterprise: J2EE
Java 2 Enterprise Edition

Sun Microsystems publishes J2EE specifications telling what services an Application Server must provide.

Specifications are defined with the Java Community Process, with the participation of many leading companies and individuals.

A whole set of specifications are available for anyone to implement (JAXP, JMS, JNDI, JTA, JSP, JDBC, ...) and new ones are always proposed and evaluated (Java Specification Requests).

J2EE Vendors

Various vendors (IBM, BEA, Oracle, JBoss) implement the J2EE specifications, competing in the Application Server market.

The implementations are tested by Sun that certifies the Application Servers as J2EE compatible. This guarantees that an application written following strictly the J2EE standards will run unmodified under the various implementations.

Vendors compete on the implementation quality (performance), and adding non-standard features.
Similar services are provided by the .NET platform.

Of course there’s no one-to-one strict correspondence…

And no real competition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MS.NET</th>
<th>J2EE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASP</td>
<td>JSP/JSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCOM</td>
<td>RMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTS/COM+</td>
<td>EJB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADO</td>
<td>JDBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADSI</td>
<td>JNDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSMQ</td>
<td>JMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTC</td>
<td>JTA/JTS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

… …

Common Patterns

- Map human-friendly names to objects
  - DNS
  - File System
  - LDAP

Adding this indirection layer we gain flexibility and portability.
Development and Deployment

- Different Databases
- Different Hardware
- Different Operative Systems

Deployment dilemma

- There is a direct dependency between the application and the DB
- We must produce different "executables" for Test and Production environments
- Any change in the DB configuration will break our application

Enterprise Deployment

- No dependency between Application and Database
- No need for different Application versions
- Easier to maintain
- Separation of roles: Developer vs Application Server Administrator

Java Naming: JNDI

Java Naming and Directory Interface

Direct Connection

```java
Class.forName("oracle.jdbc.driver.OracleDriver");
Connection conn = DriverManager.getConnection("jdbc:x:x:scott/tiger@testdd");
/* use the connection */
conn.close();
```

JNDI Connection

```java
Context ctx = new InitialContext();
Object dsRef=ctx.lookup("java:comp/env/jdbc/mydatasource");
DataSource ds=(DataSource) dsRef;
Connection conn=ds.getConnection();
/* use the connection */
conn.close();
```
### JNDI Configuration using JBoss

```xml
<datasources>
  <local-tx-datasource>
    <jndi-name>comp/env/jdbc/mydatasource</jndi-name>
    <connection-url>jdbc:x:x:@testdd</connection-url>
    <driver-class>oracle.jdbc.driver.OracleDriver</driver-class>
    <user-name>scott</user-name>
    <password>tiger</password>
  </local-tx-datasource>
</datasources>
```

- Application Server administrator manages this
- Application Server specific

### Pooling

- **Pooling** means creating a pool of reusable resources
- Greatly improves performance if *creating* the resource is expensive (compared to *using* it)
- Should be completely *transparent* to the client
- Resources should be *stateless*

### Pooling Schema

**Without Pooling**

- ![Diagram of Without Pooling](image)

**With Pooling**

- ![Diagram of With Pooling](image)

### Java Pooling (JDBC)

**Java DataBase Connectivity**

- ![Diagram of Java Pooling](image)
Pooling sequence

- Client requests new Connection
- DataSet obtains valid PooledConnection
  - From pool if any available
  - Otherwise create a new one
- Create new Connection from PooledConnection and return it to client
- When client closes the Connection the PooledConnection is not close but marked as available and returned to the pool.

Java Code Example

```java
Context ctx = new InitialContext();
Object dsRef=ctx.lookup("java:comp/env/jdbc/mydatasource");
DataSource ds=(Datasource) dsRef;
Connection conn=ds.getConnection();
/* use the connection */
conn.close();
```

JNDI Connection + Pooling

- Same code as before!
- Complexity completely hidden to developer
- No need to change java sources when pooling parameters change

Pooling Configuration with JBoss

```xml
<datasources>
  <local-tx-datasource>
    <jndi-name>comp/env/jdbc/mydatasource</jndi-name>
    <connection-url>jdbc:x:x:@testdd</connection-url>
    <driver-class>oracle.jdbc.driver.OracleDriver</driver-class>
    <user-name>scott</user-name>
    <password>tiger</password>

    <!-- Pooling parameters -->
    <min-pool-size>5</min-pool-size>
    <max-pool-size>100</max-pool-size>
    <blocking-timeout-millis>5000</blocking-timeout-millis>
    <idle-timeout-minutes>15</idle-timeout-minutes>
  </local-tx-datasource>
</datasources>
```

Transaction Management

What is a transaction?

An atomic unit of work. The work in a transaction must be completed as a whole; if any part of the transaction fails, the entire transaction fails.

Very well know problem that has been “solved” in databases for a long time.
ACID properties

**Atomic**: the transaction must behave as a single unit of operation. No partial work to commit

**Consistent**: either creates a new valid state or rolls back to the previous one

**Isolated**: a transaction in process and not yet committed must not interfere from all other concurrent transactions

**Durable**: committed data is saved in a way that the state can be restored even in case of system failure

SO/IEC 10026-1:1992 Section 4

ATM Transaction example

We need to be able to manage distributed transaction to solve this class of problems.

2-phase commit

- Transaction Manager [TM]
- Resource Manager [RM]

Success

- prepare
- ready
- commit
- done

Failure

- prepare
- no
- abort
- done

A log is kept for all operations, to let the TM recover a valid state in case of system failure

Distributed 2-phase commit

The TM repeats the 2-phase commit with every RM

- If the all RM answer “ready” the TM issues a global “commit”
- If at least one RM answers “no” the TM issues a global “abort”
Java Transactions (JTA)

Java Transaction API

Manage transactions in a *programmatic* way: you are responsible for programming transaction logic into your application code, that is calling begin(), commit(), abort().

```java
TransactionManager

Context ic = new InitialContext();
UserTransaction ut = (UserTransaction) ic.lookup(strTransJndi);
ut.begin();  // access resources transactionally here
ut.commit();
```

J2EE Declarative Transactions

It’s possible to specify at *deploy time* the transaction behavior. The Application Server will *intercept* calls to the components and automatically begin/end the transaction on your behalf.

```xml
<ejb-jar>
  <enterprise-beans>
    <session>
      <ejb-name>SomeName</ejb-name>
      <transaction-type>Container</transaction-type>
    </session>
  </enterprise-beans>
</ejb-jar>
```

Transaction types

```xml
<container-transaction>
  <method>
    <ejb-name>myComponent</ejb-name>
    <method-name>*</method-name>
  </method>
  <trans-attribute>Required</trans-attribute>
</container-transaction>
```

The J2EE application server manages different managed transaction types:

- **Required**: always run in a transaction. Join the existing one or starts a new one.
- **RequiresNew**: always starts a new transaction.
- **Supports**: joins the client transaction if any. Otherwise runs in no transaction.
- **Mandatory**: transaction must already be running. Otherwise throws exception.
- **NotSupported**: doesn’t use transactions. Suspends client transaction if it exists.
- **Never**: cannot be involved in a transaction. Throw exception if client has one.

Conclusions

- There is no magic solution. You need experience to find the best *compromise* to solve your problem.
- You can solve any programming problem with an *extra level of indirection* 😊
- Except the problem of *too many levels* of indirection
- There are frameworks that *already* solve the most common and complex problems
- **Understand** the solution. Use the framework.
- Don’t reinvent the wheel
Questions?

Resources

- J2EE tutorial (http://java.sun.com/j2ee/1.4/docs/tutorial/doc/)
- JBoss Docs (http://docs.jboss.org/jbossas/jboss4guide/r2/html/)
- Designing J2EE Apps (http://java.sun.com/blueprints/guidelines/designing_enterprise_applications_2e/DEA2eTOC.html)