

# NOvA


Leslie Camilleri  
CERN, PH

November 23, 2005

**NOvA** is a Long Baseline experiment using the **NUMI** beam from Fermilab

Now being used for MINOS (732km) away.

It will be located 810 km from Fermilab at Ash River.



$\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_e$  oscillations  $\theta_{13}$  and the mass hierarchy.

# Correlations

In vacuum and without CP violation:

$$P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e)_{\text{vac}} = \sin^2 \theta_{23} \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 \Delta_{\text{atm}}$$

$$\text{with } \Delta_{\text{atm}} = 1.27 \Delta m_{32}^2 (L/E)$$

For  $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$  and for maximum oscillation

$$\text{We need: } \Delta_{\text{atm}} = \pi/2 \rightarrow L(\text{km})/E(\text{GeV}) = 495$$

For  $L = 800 \text{ km}$   $E$  must be  $1.64 \text{ GeV}$ , and for  $L = 295 \text{ km}$   $E = 0.6 \text{ GeV}$

Introducing **matter** effects, at the first oscillation maximum:

$$P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e)_{\text{mat}} = [1 \pm (2E/E_R)] P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e)_{\text{vac}}$$

$$\text{with } E_R = [12 \text{ GeV}][\Delta m_{32}^2/(2.5 \times 10^{-3})][2.8 \text{ gm.cm}^{-3}/\rho] \sim 12 \text{ GeV}$$

$\pm$  depends on the mass hierarchy.

Matter effects **grow** with energy and therefore with **distance**.

**3** times larger (**27%**) at NOvA (**1.64 GeV**) than at T2K (**0.6 GeV**)

# NOvA Detector

Given relatively high energy of NUMI beam,


decided to optimize NOvA for resolution of the mass hierarchy

Detector placed 14 mrad (12 km) Off-axis of the Fermilab NUMI beam (MINOS).

At Ash River near Canadian border ( $L = 810\text{ km}$ ) : New site. Above ground.

Fully active detector consisting of 15.7m long plastic cells filled with liquid scintillator: Total mass 30 ktons.



Each cell viewed by a looped WLS fibre read by an avalanche photodiode (APD)



# NOvA

The quantum efficiency of APD's is much higher than a pm's: **~80%** . Especially at the higher wave lengths surviving after traversing the fibre.


After 15.7m still **30 photoelectrons/mip** with looped fibre. Coating: 15%  $\text{TiO}_2$



Asic for APD's: 2.5 pe noise  
→ S/N ~ 12

# Avalanche Photodiode

## ➤ Hamamatsu 32 APD arrays




➤ Pixel size 1.8mm x 1.05mm  
(Fibre 0.8mm diameter)

➤ Operating voltage 400 Volts

➤ Gain 100


➤ Operating temperature: -15° C  
(reduces noise)



ASIC for APD's: 2.5 pe noise  
→  $S/N \sim 30/2.5 = 12$

# APD response

Measured with light equivalent to **one** and **two** mip's



# The Beam

**PROTONS:**  $6.5 \times 10^{20}$  protons on target per year.

Greatly helped by

- Cancellation of BTeV
- Termination of Collider programme by 2009.

A gain of a factor of  $> 2$  in numbers of protons delivered.

**Longer term:** Construction of an 8 GeV proton driver:  $\times 4$



$25.2 \times 10^{20}$  protons on target per year is the goal.



# The Beam: x 2

➤ No antiproton production batches in Main Injector:  $\times 11/9 = 1.22$

➤ No downtime for preparing collider shot.

No time for antiproton transfer from accumulator to recycler.  $\times 1.176$


➤ Transfer time of 12 booster batches to Main Injector (0.8 sec).

Instead transfer them to recycler during Main Injector cycle,  
and then transfer in one go (0.067 sec) from recycler to Main Injector. :  
 $2.2 \text{ sec} / 1.467 \text{ sec} = 1.5$

$1.22 \times 1.176 \times 1.5 \times 3.4 \times 10^{20} \text{ protons/year} = 7.3 \times 10^{20} \text{ protons/year}$



Negotiated  $6.5 \times 10^{20} \text{ protons/year}$  with Fermilab management.

# The Beam: Same NUMI beam as MINOS




Can select low, medium and high energy beams by moving horn and target  
Best is the Medium energy beam


Off-axis detector:  
14 mrad



# Beam spectra




# Accepted CC event: $\nu_e \Lambda \rightarrow p e \pi^0$



Electrons shower: many hits/plane.  
Muons do not: just one hit/plane.

# $\nu_\mu - \nu_e$ separation





$\pi^0$  in NC also a problem.

Signal  $\nu_e$  efficiency: 24%.  $\nu_\mu$  CC background  $4 \times 10^{-4}$   
 $\nu_\mu$  NC background  $2 \times 10^{-3}$


## Summary of backgrounds

Background	Events	% Error	Error
Beam $\nu_e$	11.9	7%	0.8
$N_\mu$ CC	0.5	15%	0.08
NC	7.1	5%	0.4
Total	19.5	5%	0.9

# Location




Surface detector with about 3m overburden to reduce  
The em component of cosmic rays.




# 8-fold degeneracies

- $\theta_{13}$  -  $\delta$  ambiguity.
- Mass hierarchy two-fold degeneracy



A measure of  $P_{\mu e}$  can yield a whole range of values of  $\theta_{13}$ . Measuring with  $\bar{\nu}$ 's as well reduces the correlations.




- $\theta_{23}$  degeneracy:

For a value of  $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$ , say 0.92,  $2\theta_{23}$  is  $67^\circ$  or  $113^\circ$  and  $\theta_{23}$  is  $33.5^\circ$  or  $56.5^\circ$

- In addition if we just have a lower limit on  $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$ , then all the values between these two are possible.




# 3 $\sigma$ discovery limits for $\theta_{13} \neq 0$




Discovery limit is better than 0.02 for ALL  $\delta$ 's and BOTH mass hierarchies.

# 3 $\sigma$ discovery limits for $\theta_{13} \neq 0$ Comparison with Proton Driver




2.5 years each  
 $\nu$  and  $\bar{\nu}$ .

# T2K schedule




# 3 $\sigma$ discovery limits for $\theta_{13} = 0$

## Comparison with T2K and 2 Reactor experiments




# Sensitivity vs time

## 3 $\sigma$ Sensitivity to $\sin^2(2\theta_{13})$



# Resolution of mass hierarchy

- Fraction of  $\delta$  over which the mass hierarchy can be resolved at  $2\sigma$ .
- Equal amounts of **neutrino** and **antineutrino** running: 3 years each assuming Phase I.
- Near the CHOOZ limit the mass hierarchy can be resolved over **50%** of the range of  $\delta$ .
- T2K Phase I can only resolve the hierarchy **in a region already excluded** by CHOOZ.  
Because of its lower energy.
- Some small improvement if we combine T2K and NOvA results



# Looking further ahead

- With a proton driver, Phase II, the mass hierarchy can be resolved over 75% of  $\delta$  near the CHOOZ limit.


- In addition to more protons in Phase II, to resolve hierarchy a second detector at the second oscillation maximum can be considered:

- $\Delta_{\text{atm}} = 1.27 \Delta m_{32}^2 (L/E) = 3\pi/2$ .  
 $L/E = 1485$ , a factor of 3 larger than at 1<sup>st</sup> max.

For  $\sim$  the same distance,  $E$  is 3 times smaller:

matter effects are smaller by a factor of 3

- 50 kton detector at 710 km.
- 30km off axis (second max.)
- 6 years ( $3 \nu + 3 \bar{\nu}$ )



Determines mass hierarchy for


all values of  $\delta$  down to

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.02$$

# Synergy of NovA and T2K


## NOvA Phase 1

2  $\sigma$  Resolution of the Mass Hierarchy



## NOvA with PD


2  $\sigma$  Resolution of the Mass Hierarchy




3 years each of  $\nu$  and  $\bar{\nu}$  in both NOvA and T2K



# Summary of mass hierarchy reach




## 95% CL Determination of the Mass Ordering




# CP reach


- To look for CP violation requires the proton driver.
- But combining with a **second detector** is what really becomes **SIGNIFICANT**.





# Super Nova signal with overburden



# Near Detector to understand the beam




## Near detector locations



# In Surface building: Test in 2007?



## 20.4 ton fid.volume



### MINOS Surface Building

Extremely off-axis: 75 mrad. (105m)

Peak from kaon decays in  $\nu_\mu$  spectrum



45 000 CC events (2200  $\nu_e$ ) for  $6.5 \times 10^{20}$  protons per year

# Cost and schedule

- Total cost (Far and near detectors, building, admin etc...)  
**164 M\$** (including 50% contingency)

## Status

- Approved by Fermilab Program Advisory Committee
- Going through reviews

## Schedule

- Assumption: Approval in **2006**.
- Building ready: May 2009.
- First kiloton: October 2009.
- Completion: **July 2011**.

## NOvA would welcome European groups

- Possible CERN participation ? (LC interested).
- European groups already in NOvA:  
**Athens, College de France, Tech. Univ. Munich, Oxford, Rutherford**
- Several Italian groups interested.

# Status of NUMI/MINOS: Near detector

They get  $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{13}$  protons/spill

Spill: either 2.2 or 3.8 secs.

(Depends on antiproton cooling)




Delivered so far:  $0.8 \times 10^{20}$ .

With  $2.5 \times 10^{13}$  and 2 sec spill  $\rightarrow 2.5 \times 10^{20}$ /year

With a factor of  $\sim 2$  from stopping collider

$\sim 5 \times 10^{20}$

Not Far off NOvA target...!







# Status of NUMI/MINOS: Far detector

Blind analysis.

Plots are for 1 week running.

Should have about 500 CC events  
if no oscillations



Cosmic rays: from above 180°

Beam events: 90°

# Conclusions

- The neutrino oscillation programme is **very** rich.
- The **smallness** of neutrino masses is fascinating.
- The **mass hierarchy** must be determined.
- Is there any **CP violation** in the neutrino sector?
- The road to these is the observation of a **non-zero  $\theta_{13}$** .
- The NUMI beam is functioning **well**.
- NOvA has a well-developed **long term** research programme.