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Introduction: RF cavity and why we need it

- Metallic resonator that allows to “store” high gradient for beam acceleration
- Power transmission: waveguide/coupler
- Vacuum ( ~ $10^{-8}$ Torr)
- Accelerating field (gradient) ~ MV/m
- Surface quality control
RF Breakdown essentially is a spark inside the cavity.

Why is it a problem?

- Discharges all accumulated energy needed for beam acceleration
- Damages surface structure

It was experimentally shown that presence of external magnetic field aggravates the problem.
Why do we want to operate cavities in strong external magnetic field?
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Why do we see the deterioration of cavity performance in strong B fields?

- Dark current: electron field emission from surface imperfections →
- B field focuses dark current into “beamlets” →
- Beamlets cause pulsed heating of the surface →
- Pulsed heating leads to surface degradation →
- Breakdown is triggered

Potential mitigations:
- Surface treatment
- Use higher radiation length materials (Be)
- Decrease impact energy density of electrons

Model of a breakdown in strong B field – prediction vs experiment

Factors that may affect the fit quality:

- Conditioning history
- Local field enhancement around coupler regions
- Surface treatment

Study of RF breakdown with better control over systematic error is required

Comment:

Peak surface field = safe operating gradient = max gradient - max gradient with tolerable breakdown rate
Pillbox “modular” cavity

**Unique design features:**

- End walls can be un-mounted easily
- Allows for end wall material swap
- Low E fields in the coupler region

- Allows for careful control over experimental conditions
- Evaluate different materials
- Perform frequent inspections to track surface state

*Goal: to build a coherent picture of processes inside the cavity during breakdown*
Experimental facility: Mucool Test Area (MTA)

- Capacity to test 201 and 805MHz cavities in strong magnetic field (up to 5T)
- H- beamline passes through the center of magnet bore
- Extensive instrumentation for BD characterization:
  - Trigger system for breakdown detection
  - Fast oscilloscopes to record time sensitive signals (pickups, optical fibers, X ray detection, directional couplers)
  - Vacuum pressure data
  - Temperature sensors
  - Spark acoustic localization
Experimental results
Modular cavity: run history with copper endplates

• First B=0T run (baseline performance):
  – Maximum Safe Operating Gradient of 45MV/m
  – 130 sparks detected

• First B=3T run:
  – Stable operation below 12MV/m
  – 55 sparks detected

• “Conditioning” B=0T run:
  – Conditioned up to 22MV/m inflicting 460 sparks

• Second B=3T run:
  – Maximum Safe Operating Gradient of 10MV/m
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Inspection after first B=0T run

- Maximum gradient 50MV/m, 130 detected sparks
- Damage is “non-violent” and rather flat
- Damage distribution is roughly uniform
- No correlation between damage on opposing endplates

Examples of most common types of damage

- “cluster”
  Count: > 250

- “fractal”
  Count: 92
First time inspections were carried out separately after run at zero magnetic field and run at high magnetic field.

All clearly visible damage was inflicted during B=3T run (!)
Inspection after B=3T run: damage microstructure

Typical BD damage – we call them “volcanos”

- Characteristic diameter of a volcano ~1.5mm
- Traces of splashing
- Damage is much more “violent”, although stored energy was 16 times lower than in B=0T run

Splashing traces around BD damage

“Volcano” with crater

Crater depth ~ 30µm
Inspection after $B=3T$ runs: damage pattern

- Perfect 1-to-1 correspondence between 354 “volcanos” on each endplate (new result)
- Damage distribution is denser in high E field region
- Mystery: detected 136 sparks, but observed 354 damage sites
Inspection after B=0T conditioning run

- Ran up to 22MV/m, inflicting ~460 sparks
- No new damage sites observed
- Some splashing traces disappeared

“Splash” after B=3T operation
MC high power tests: highlights

• First B=0T run:
  SOG ~ 45MV/m, ~130 sparks detected
  – Inspection: ~500 features inspected. Most common: “fractals” (92) and “clusters” (>250)
  – Damage is non-violent and random

• B=3T runs:
  SOG ~ 10 MV/m, 136 sparks detected
  – Inspection: damage pattern of volcanos, 354 pits on each endplate, perfect 1-to-1 match
  – Copper splashing

• B=0T “conditioning run”:
  Conditioned up to 22MV/m, inflicted 460 sparks
  – Inspection: no new BD sites, some splashing traces got eliminated

[SOG = Safe Operating Gradient]
Discussion, mysteries, open questions…

- One-to-one correspondence between damage spots in B=3T supports the model of BD being induced by focused dark current
- More violent damage in B=3T also can be explained by focusing

- Mismatch between the number of “volcanos” (N=354) and detected breakdown events (N=134) in 3T operation
- Calculations show that dark current electrons do not cross the cavity gap for gradients <10MV/m. Why do we observe breakdown events at ~8MV/m in B=3T?

- Those are all exciting questions I will be glad to discuss with you if interested
  - *Inspection and data analysis is still in progress
Next set of measurements will be with Beryllium endplates

What we expect to observe:

- Radiation length of Beryllium (~35cm) for electrons is higher than of copper (~1.4cm) →
- Mitigation of breakdown triggers on the surface →
- Better gradient performance

There are several measurements enabled by Beryllium:
  - Direct measurement of dark current (Faraday Cup)
  - Measurement of transverse emittance of dark current beamlets (film/glass)

*Beryllium – copper configuration is also being discussed
Conclusion

- We tested 805MHz pillbox modular cavity in high RF power with and without magnetic field on
- Cavity behaves as intended to:
  - Providing reproducible measurements
  - Allows for relatively fast inspection (turnaround of < week)
  - Breakdown happens where we want it to happen
- Surface inspections revealed new interesting results
  - Analysis is ongoing
- Next high-power runs in B=0T and B=3T will be with Be endplates
- Low radiation length of Be will allow for more detailed field emission and surface evolution studies
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