ICHEP 2016

8/5/2016 - Chicago

LLNL-PRES-669543

Lattice Gauge Theory bounds on Composite Dark Matter

Enrico Rinaldi

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

This research was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344 and supported by the LLNL LDRD "Illuminating the Dark Universe with PetaFlops Supercomputing" 13-ERD-023.

Computing support comes from the LLNL Institutional Computing Grand Challenge program.

work with the Lattice Strong Dynamics Collaboration



[Planning the Future of U.S. Particle Physics (Snowmass 2013), 1401.6085]



[Planning the Future of U.S. Particle Physics (Snowmass 2013), 1401.6085]





Dark Matter is a composite object



Dark Matter is a composite object

e.g. technibaryon or hidden glueball



- Dark Matter is a composite object
- Interesting and complicated internal structure
- Properties dictated by strong dynamics
- Self-interactions are natural

e.g. technibaryon or hidden glueball

- Dark Matter is a composite object
- Interesting and complicated internal structure
- Properties dictated by strong dynamics
- Self-interactions are natural

e.g. technibaryon or hidden glueball





- Dark Matter is a composite object
- Interesting and complicated internal structure
- Properties dictated by strong dynamics
- Self-interactions are natural
- Composite object is neutral
- Constituents may interact with Standard Model particles

e.g. technibaryon or hidden glueball





- Dark Matter is a composite object
- Interesting and complicated internal structure
- Properties dictated by strong dynamics
- Self-interactions are natural
- Composite object is neutral
- Constituents may interact with Standard Model particles

e.g. technibaryon or hidden glueball

Chance to observe them in experiments and give the correct relic abundance



Similar to QCD





[KEK-Japan]

Importance of lattice field theory simulations



- Iattice simulations are needed to solve the strong dynamics
- naturally suited for models where dark fermion masses are comparable to the confinement scale
- <u>controllable</u> systematic errors and room for <u>improvement</u>
- Naive dimensional analysis and EFT approaches can miss important non-perturbative contributions
- NDA is not precise enough when confronting experimental results and might not work for certain situations: there are uncontrolled theoretical errors



- New strongly-coupled SU(4) gauge sector "like" QCD with a plethora of composite states in the spectrum: all mass scales are technically natural for hadrons
- New Dark fermions: have dark color and also have electroweak charges (W/Z,γ)
- Dark fermions have electroweak breaking masses (Higgs) and electroweak preserving masses (not-Higgs)
- A global symmetry naturally stabilizes the dark lightest baryonic composite states (e.g. dark neutron)

[LSD collab., Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 014502] [LSD collab., Phys. Rev. D89 (2014) 094508] [LSD collab., Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 075030] [LSD collab., Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 171803]





 Signatures are not dominated by missing energy: DM is not the lightest particle! The interactions are suppressed (form factors)



- Signatures are not dominated by missing energy: DM is not the lightest particle! The interactions are suppressed (form factors)
- Light meson production and decay give interesting signatures: the model can be constrained by collider limits! m_{II}≥90GeV

The darkness of Composite Dark Matter



The darkness of Composite Dark Matter



The darkness of Composite Dark Matter



[Pospelov & Veldhuis, hep-ph/0003010] [Ovanesyan & Vecchi, 1410.0601] [Weiner & Yavin,1206.2910] [Frandsen et al., 1207.3971] [Detmold et al., 0904.1586-1001.1131]



Computing polarizability



[Pospelov & Veldhuis, hep-ph/0003010] [Ovanesyan & Vecchi, 1410.0601] [Weiner & Yavin,1206.2910] [Frandsen et al., 1207.3971] [Detmold et al., 0904.1586-1001.1131]



Computing polarizability



[Pospelov & Veldhuis, hep-ph/0003010] [Ovanesyan & Vecchi, 1410.0601] [Weiner & Yavin,1206.2910] [Frandsen et al., 1207.3971] [Detmold et al., 0904.1586-1001.1131]



Computing polarizability























Stealth DM Polarizability



Stealth Dark Matter is excluded for M_x≲300GeV

Direct detection signal is below the neutrino coherent scattering background for $M_{\chi} \gtrsim 700 \text{GeV}$

Concluding remarks



 Composite dark matter is a viable interesting possibility with rich phenomenology

- Lattice methods can help in calculating direct detection cross sections, production rates at colliders, and self-interaction cross sections. Direct phenomenological relevance.
- Dark matter constituents can carry <u>electroweak</u> charges and still the stable composites are currently undetectable. Stealth cross section.
- Lowest bound for composite dark matter models: ~300 GeV (colliders+direct detection+lattice)





Electric field

PRD Editors' Suggestion: Higgs exchange

[LSD collab., Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 075030]

PRL Editors' Suggestion: Polarizability

[LSD collab., Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 171803]





Materia oscura "stealth"

Quark oscuri tenuti insieme da un'interazione forte a sua volta oscura. Ecco come la dark matter riuscirebbe a eludere a ogni tentativo d'incastrarla. Enrico Rinaldi (LLNL): «Esiste la possibilità che questo "mondo oscuro", con le sue nuove particelle, possa essere rivelato dagli esperimenti in corso al Large Hadron Collider al CERN di Ginevra»

di Marco Malaspina 🏾 🈏 Segui @malamiao

venerdì 25 settembre 2015 @ 16:15

Stealth come furtiva. Stealth come imprendibile. Stealth come quei minacciosi aerei da guerra dal profilo sagomato così da essere invisibili ai radar. Da quanto emerge dai calcoli dei fisici dell'LLNL, il Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory californiano, e dai modelli dati in pasto a <u>Vulcan</u> (un supercomputer per il calcolo parallelo in grado masticare numeri al ritmo dei *petaflop*), sarebbe questa la natura della materia oscura: *stealthy*, appunto. Per forza non c'è ancora esperimento che sia riuscito a incastrarla.



oscura ricostruita da misure di lente gravitazionale debole utilizzando il telescopio spaziale Hubble

Detecting Stealth Dark Matter Directly through Electromagnetic Polarizability.

Overview of attention for article published in Physical Review Letters, October 2015

MORE ..



Title	Detecting Stealth Dark Matter Directly through Electromagnetic Polarizability.						
Published in	Physical Review Letters, October 2015						
DOI	10.1103/physrevlett.115.171803 🖸						
Pubmed ID	26551103 🖸						
Authors	T. Appelquist, E. Berkowitz, R. C. Brower, M. I. Buchoff, G. T. Fleming, XY. Jin, J. Kiskis, G. D [show]						
Abstract	We calculate the spin-independent scattering cross section for direct detection that results from [show]						
	TWITTER DEMOG	IRAPHICS		MENDELEY	READERS		SCORE IN C

Isiness News About Careers Community https://www.llnl.gov/news/new-stealth-dark-mattertheory-may-explain-mystery-universes-missing-mass

This 3D map illustrates the large-scale distribution of dark matter, reconstructed from measurements of weak gravitational lensing by using the Hubble Space Telescope. (Download Image)

New 'stealth dark matter' theory may explain mystery of the universe's missing mass

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) scientists have come up with a new theory that may identify why dark matter has evaded direct detection in Earth-based experiments. Anne M Stark stark8@llnl.gov ⊠ 925-422-9799



Un nuovo modello per la materia oscura



28 settembre 2015

Questa forma misteriosa di materia potrebbe avere una struttura composita come la materia ordinaria, con "quark oscuri" aggregati e tenuti insieme da un analogo della forza che permette ai normali nuclei di rimanere stabili. I componenti di questo tipo di materia oscura, definita stealth matter, potrebbero essere studiati in modo indiretto dal collisore Large Hadron Collider del CERN di Ginevra *(red)*

Cortesia Lawrence Livermore National

[KEK-Japan]

Lattice Gauge Theory - basics





- Discretize space and time
 - lattice spacing "a"
 - lattice size "L"
- Keep all d.o.f. of the theory
 - not a model!
 - no simplifications
- Amenable to numerical methods
 - Monte Carlo sampling
 - use supercomputers
- Precisely quantifiable and improvable errors
 - Systematic
 - Statistical

"Stealth Dark Matter" model





[LSD, 1402.6656-1503.04203] [LatKMI, 1510.07373] [DeGrand et al., 1501.05665]

Computing Higgs exchange

- Need to non-perturbatively evaluate the dark σ-term
- Effective Higgs coupling nontrivial with mixed chiral and vector-like masses
- Model-dependent answer for the cross-section
- Lattice input is necessary: compute mass and form factor

$$\mathcal{M}_{a} = \frac{y_{f}y_{q}}{2m_{h}^{2}} \sum_{f} \langle B|\bar{f}f|B\rangle \sum_{q} \langle a|\bar{q}q|a\rangle$$

- 1. effective Higgs coupling with dark fermions and quark Yukawa coupling
- 2. dark baryon scalar form factor: need lattice input for generic DM models!
- 3. nucleon scalar form factor: ChPT and lattice input [Plenary talk by Collins, Tue@10:15]



[LSD collab., Phys. Rev. D89 (2014) 094508]



Bounds on the Yukawa coupling



Bounds from EM moments

Mesonic and Baryonic EM form factors directly from lattice simulations

SU(3) N_f=2,6 dark fermionic baryon





- \star baryon similar to QCD neutron
- \star dark quarks with Q=Y
- \star calculate connected 3pt
- \star scale set by DM mass
- ★ magnetic moment dominates
- \star results independent of N_f



Bounds from EM moments

Mesonic and Baryonic EM form factors directly from lattice simulations

SU(3) N_f=2,6 dark fermionic baryon

[LSD, 1301.1693]



- \star baryon similar to QCD neutron
- \star dark quarks with Q=Y
- \star calculate connected 3pt
- \star scale set by DM mass
- ★ magnetic moment dominates
- \bigstar results independent of N_f

 $M_B > \sim 10 \text{ TeV}$

Bounds from EM moments

Mesonic and Baryonic EM form factors directly from lattice simulations

SU(3) N_f=2,6 dark fermionic baryon

[LSD, 1301.1693]



- \star baryon similar to QCD neutron
- \star dark quarks with Q=Y
- \star calculate connected 3pt
- \star scale set by DM mass
- ★ magnetic moment dominates
- \bigstar results independent of N_f

M_B >~ 10 TeV pushed to ~100 TeV with new LUX

Nuclear: Rayleigh scattering

- it is hard to extract the momentum M Mdependence of this nuclear form factor • similarities with the double-beta decay nuclear matrix element could suggest of large uncertainties ~ orders of magnitude
- to asses the impact of uncertainties on the total cross section we start from naive dimensional analysis
- we allow a "magnitude" factor M_F^A to change from 0.3 to 3

$$\sigma \simeq \frac{\mu_{n\chi}^2}{\pi A^2} \left\langle \left| \frac{c_F e^2}{m_\chi^3} f_F^A \right|^2 \right\rangle$$



$$f_F^A \sim 3 \, Z^2 \, \alpha \, \frac{M_F^A}{R}$$

[Pospelov & Veldhuis, Phys. Lett. B480 (2000) 181] [Weiner & Yavin, Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 075021] [Frandsen et al., JCAP 1210 (2012) 033] [Ovanesyan & Vecchi, arxiv:1410.0601]

Nuclear: Rayleigh scattering

- it is hard to extract the momentum M Mdependence of this nuclear form factor • similarities with the double-beta decay nuclear matrix element could suggest of large uncertainties ~ orders of magnitude
- to asses the impact of uncertainties on the total cross section we start from naive dimensional analysis
- we allow a "magnitude" factor M_F^A to change from 0.3 to 3

$$\sigma \simeq \frac{\mu_{n\chi}^2}{\pi A^2} \left\langle \left| \frac{c_F e^2}{m_\chi^3} f_F^A \right|^2 \right\rangle$$

[Pospelov & Veldhuis, Phys. Lett. B480 (2000) 181] [Weiner & Yavin, Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 075021] [Frandsen et al., JCAP 1210 (2012) 033] [Ovanesyan & Vecchi, arxiv:1410.0601]

A

 $f_F^A = \langle A | F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} | A \rangle$

 $f_F^A \sim 3 \, Z^2 \, \alpha$

A

Nuclear: Rayleigh scattering

- it is hard to extract the momentum M Mdependence of this nuclear form factor • similarities with the double-beta decay nuclear matrix element could suggest of large uncertainties ~ orders of magnitude
- to asses the impact of uncertainties on the total cross section we start from naive dimensional analysis
- we allow a "magnitude" factor M_F^A to change from 0.3 to 3

$$\sigma \simeq \frac{\mu_{n\chi}^2}{\pi A^2} \left\langle \left| \frac{c_F e^2}{m_\chi^3} f_F^A \right|^2 \right\rangle$$



$$f_F^A = \langle A | F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} | A \rangle$$

$$f_F^A \sim 3 \, Z^2 \, \alpha \, \frac{M_F^A}{R}$$

[Pospelov & Veldhuis, Phys. Lett. B480 (2000) 181] [Weiner & Yavin, Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 075021] [Frandsen et al., JCAP 1210 (2012) 033] [Ovanesyan & Vecchi, arxiv:1410.0601]

[KEK-Japan]



Lattice Stealth DM

- Non-perturbative lattice calculations of the spectrum confirm that lightest baryon has spin zero
- The ratio of pseudoscalar (PS) to vector (V) is used as probe for different dark fermion masses
- The meson to baryon mass ratio allows us to translate LEPII bounds on charged meson to LEP bounds on composite bosonic dark matter



[LSD collab., Phys. Rev. D89 (2014) 094508]

 Study systematic effects due to lattice discretization and finite volume due to the relative unfamiliar nature of the system

Lattice Stealth DM

- Non-perturbative lattice calculations of the spectrum confirm that lightest baryon has spin zero
- The ratio of pseudoscalar (PS) to vector (V) is used as probe for different dark fermion masses
- The meson to baryon mass ratio allows us to translate LEPII bounds on charged meson to LEP bounds on composite bosonic dark matter



 Study systematic effects due to lattice discretization and finite volume due to the relative unfamiliar nature of the system



Lattice Stealth DM

- Non-perturbative lattice calculations of the spectrum confirm that lightest baryon has spin zero
- The ratio of pseudoscalar (PS) to vector (V) is used as probe for different dark fermion masses
- The meson to baryon mass ratio allows us to translate LEPII bounds on charged meson to LEP bounds on composite bosonic dark matter



[LSD collab., Phys. Rev. D89 (2014) 094508]

 Study systematic effects due to lattice discretization and finite volume due to the relative unfamiliar nature of the system



- Background field method: response of neutral baryon to external electric field ${\cal E}$
- Measure the shift of the baryon mass as a function of \mathcal{E}

$$E_{B,4c} = m_B + 2C_F |\mathcal{E}|^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\mathcal{E}^4\right)$$

$$E_{B,3c} = m_B + \left(2C_F - \frac{\mu_B^2}{8m_B^3}\right) |\mathcal{E}|^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\mathcal{E}^4\right)$$
$$Z_r = \frac{\mathcal{E}\mu_B(\mathcal{E})}{2m_B^2}$$

32³x64 quenched lattices (large volume) one lattice spacing and two masses (matched) 40 sources on 200 independent configurations multi-exponential fits with 3 states for the baryon









- Background field method: response of neutral baryon to external electric field ${\cal E}$
- Measure the shift of the baryon mass as a function of ${\cal E}$

32³x64 quenched lattices (large volume) one lattice spacing and two masses (matched) 40 sources on 200 independent configurations multi-exponential fits with 3 states for the baryon





- Background field method: response of neutral baryon to external electric field ${\cal E}$
- Measure the shift of the baryon mass as a function of \mathcal{E}

$$E_{B,4c} = m_B + 2C_F |\mathcal{E}|^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\mathcal{E}^4\right)$$
$$E_{B,3c} = m_B + \left(2C_F - \frac{\mu_B^2}{8m_B^3}\right) |\mathcal{E}|^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\mathcal{E}^4\right)$$

 $Z_r = \frac{\mathcal{E}\mu_B(\mathcal{E})}{2m_B^2}$

32³x64 quenched lattices (large volume) one lattice spacing and two masses (matched) 40 sources on 200 independent configurations multi-exponential fits with 3 states for the baryon

