Cosmology from Clusters and Joint SPT-DES Analyses of Clusters in the SPT-SZ survey

Lindsey Bleem Argonne National Laboratory August 5, 2016





We live in a flat universe whose density is dominated by dark energy

Matter Dominated, No dark Energy



70% Dark Energy, 30% Matter

Source Borgani and Guzzo 2001

Dark Energy and Cluster Cosmology



The Sunyaev Zel'dovich (SZ) Effect



The South Pole Telescope (SPT)

10-meter sub-mm quality wavelength telescope
100, 150, 220 GHz and
1.6, 1.2, 1.0 arcmin resolution

2007: SPT-SZ

960 detectors 100,150,220 GHz

2012: SPTpol

1600 detectors 100,150 GHz **+Polarization**

2016: SPT-3G ~15,200 detectors 100,150,220 GHz **+Polarization**









Planck 143 GHz 50 deg²

SPT 150 GHz 50 deg²

SPT 150 GHz. 50 deg²

SPT 150 GHz. 50 deg²



Clusters of Galaxies "Shadows" in the microwave background from clusters of galaxies





Final survey depths of: 90 GHz: 40 uK_{CMB}-arcmin 150 GHz: 17 uK_{CMB}-arcmin 220 GHz: 80 uK_{CMB}-arcmin

Finding Clusters in the SPT Survey



First "Blind" SZ detection : 2008!

0517-5430 0547-5345 0509-5342 0528-5300 200 1.2' beam 150 GHz 0 μΚ "Raw" -200 8 150 GHz 0σ filtered -8 6 90 GHz 0σ filtered -6 6 220 GHz 0σ filtered -6

Staniszewski et al. 2009







Multiple-facility Imaging Campaign for Cluster Confirmation









The 2500d SPT-SZ Cluster Sample



Bleem et al, ApJS, 216, 27 (2015)

Multi-wavelength Observations: Mass Calibration

- Multi-wavelength mass calibration campaign, including:
 - 1. X-ray with Chandra
 - 2. Weak lensing from Magellan (0.3 < z < 0.6) and HST (z > 0.6)
 - 3. Dynamical masses from NOAO 3-year survey on Gemini (0.3 < z < 0.8), VLT, Magellan at (z > 0.8)



de Haan, Benson, Bleem, et al., (2016)

Cosmological Analysis: Combine X-ray Observables with SPT Cluster Survey

Use Markov-Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method to vary cosmology and cluster observable-mass relation simultaneously, while accounting for SZ selection in a self-consistent way

9 Scaling Relation Parameters

• X-ray (*Yx-M*) and SZ (*ζ-M*) relations (4 and 5 parameters):

A) normalization,

- B) slope,
- C) redshift evolution,
- D) scatter,
- F) correlated scatter

6 Cosmology Parameters (plus extension parameters)

- ΛCDM Cosmology
 - $\Omega_{\rm m}h^2$, $\Omega_{\rm b}h^2$, $A_{\rm s}$, n_s , ${\pmb heta}_s$
- Extension Cosmology - $w, \Sigma m_{\nu}, f_{NL}, N_{eff}$

Benson et al, ApJ 763, 147 (2013)

ACDM Constraints:



- From Benson+13 to de Haan+16, area in σ_8 - Ω_m likelihood space reduced by ~4x
- Biggest improvement is in direction of parameter space helped by cluster counts

Benson et al., ApJ 763, 147 (2013) Reichardt, Stalder, Bleem, et al., ApJ 763, 127 (2013) de Haan, Benson, Bleem, et al., (2016)

ACDM Constraints:



- Updated weak lensing calibration increases mass calibration by 10% (relative to Vikhlinin+09)
- Mass calibration assumes a 10% uncertainty in mass at z=0
 - Limited by small sample (10 clusters) in Vikhlinin+09, Hoekstra+15 comparison
 - Next step is to increase sample and extend to higher redshift

Benson et al., ApJ 763, 147 (2013) Reichardt et al., ApJ 763, 127 (2013) de Haan, Benson, Bleem, et al., (2016)

ACDM Constraints:



- Consistent with CMB cosmological measurements
- As well as constraints from other cluster surveys

de Haan, Benson, Bleem, et al., (2016)

Extensions to Λ **CDM**

 Clusters break degeneracies in other datasets; Combination of Clusters, CMB, geometric probes: w = -1.023 +/- 0.042





Dark Energy Survey (DES) and SPT



Image credit: Roger Smith/NOAO/AURA/NSF

Blanco 4m. Cerro Tololo, Chile

- Ongoing Optical Survey to cover
 ~5000 deg² which will detect
 ~100,000 clusters out to *z=*1
- Multiple probes of dark energy (cluster survey, weak lensing, BAO, SN)
- Coordinated to overlap with SPT



The Kinematic Sunyaev-Zel'dovich Effect

- CMB photons scatter off electrons with peculiar velocities with respect to the CMB rest frame
- No spectral dependence; signal can be +/- depending on cluster motion
- *Much* weaker (~20x) than tSZE for high mass clusters, comparable for low mass groups





Hand et al. 2012; PRL 109, 041101 Figure by S Das.



Simulations are key for Understanding Biases/Interpreting Results



Flender et al. ApJ 826, 98 (2016)

Soergel et al. MNRAS (2016) 461 (3)

Measurement of the Pairwise kSZ signal from DES Y1 and SPT-SZ data.



Future Cluster Surveys



eRosita 50 cts threshold
 (Pillepich et al 2012)

SPT-SZ/pol: SPT-3G:

 $N_{
m clust} \sim 1,000$ $N_{
m clust} \sim 10,000$

 Optical Surveys WL all clusters at z<1

 Deep CMB data also enables CMB cluster lensing as a competitive mass calibration tool for cluster DE science:

SPT-3G: σ(**M**) ~ 3%

Especially promising tool for cluster masses at z > 1

Summary

- SPT has found hundreds of massive galaxy clusters spanning a redshift range 0.05 < z < 1.7.
- Clean, mass-limited selection leads to a fantastic sample for cosmological and astrophysical studies.
- Cosmological analysis consistent with other cluster studies & CMB Cosmology
- Better mass calibration required to tighten constraints (and work is ongoing!).
- Joint DES-SPT analyses promise to improve cosmological constraints, provide powerful systematic tests, and enable new cosmological probes