Search for new physics in dijet and multijet final states with Run 2 data at 13 TeV



Total data collected



Both experiments have nearly 20/fb at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV!

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Motivation: Jet based final states

- 2 → 2 scattering processes are described well by QCD in the Standard Model (SM). Departure from SM implies New Physics (NP).
- Useful variables: Dijet invariant mass (m_{jj}), dijet angular distribution, typically expressed as:

$$\chi_{\rm dijet} = \exp(|(y_1 - y_2)|)$$

where y1 and y2 are rapidities of the outgoing partons/jets.

• The m_{jj} reach is higher at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV with respect to \sqrt{s} = 8 TeV





ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Low-mass dijet analysis: ATLAS





ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Hot off the press!

q

Low-mass dijet analysis: CMS





- Uses boosted topology: soft drop criterion
- Background determined from side-band regions in data
- First results in the region below 140 GeV
- Most sensitive in the mass regime less than 300 GeV

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Trigger Level Searches with Dijets



No evidence for a 750 GeV dijet resonance. Both experiments set limits

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

High-mass dijet analysis: ATLAS



ICHEP 2016, Chicago

High-mass dijet analysis: CMS



- Dijet mass spectrum is fit with a parameterization
- · Limits are set on generic quark-quark, quark-gluon and gluon-gluon resonances.
- Mass limits extended on eight models \bullet

		Observed (expected) mass limit [TeV]								
Model	Final	12.9fb^{-1}	2.4fb^{-1}	20fb^{-1}						
	State	13 TeV	13 TeV	8 TeV						
String	qg	7.4 (7.4)	7.0 (6.9)	5.0 (4.9)						
Scalar diquark	qq	6.9 (6.8)	6.0 (6.1)	4.7 (4.4)						
Axigluon/coloron	qq	5.5 (5.6)	5.1 (5.1)	3.7 (3.9)						
Excited quark	qg	5.4 (5.4)	5.0 (4.8)	3.5 (3.7)						
Color-octet scalar ($k_s^2 = 1/2$)	gg	3.0 (3.3)	_	_						
W'	qq	2.7 (3.1)	2.6 (2.3)	2.2 (2.2)						
Z'	qq	2.1 (2.3)	_	1.7 (1.8)						
RS Graviton	qq, gg	1.9 (1.8)	_	1.6 (1.3)						

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Search for excited quarks



ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Her off Jearch for new physics in a four jet final state



ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Search for microscopic black holes in a multijet final state

- In (3+1) dimensional universe the Planck Scale is much higher with respect to the electro-weak scale.
- n-flat extra dimensions are introduced in the ADD model to mitigate this hierarchy problem.
- The multi-dimensional Planck Scale is raised according to the following equation:

$$M_{pl}^2 = 8\pi M_D^{n+2} r^n$$

Apparent Planck Scale (10¹⁶)

True Planck Scale O(TeV)

number of extra dimensions	r
n = 1	$\sim 10^{12}$ m
n=2	$\sim 10^{-3}$ m
n=3	$\sim 10^{-8}$ m
÷	
n=6	$\sim 10^{-11}$ m

 In models like Randall Sundrum (RS1), this new extra dimension is warped and the true Planck Scale is defined as a function of the warp factor (k) and radius (R):

$$M_{\rm D} = \frac{M_{\rm Pl}}{\sqrt{8\pi}} \exp(-\pi kR)$$

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Search for microscopic black holes in a multijet final state

- Microscopic black holes, at energies greater than MD, are produced when the impact parameter between two colliding particles is less than the Schwarzschild radius.
- The Schwarzschild radius of an n-dimensional black hole is given by:

$$r_{S} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}M_{D}} \left[\frac{M_{\rm BH}}{M_{D}} \frac{8\Gamma\left(\frac{n+3}{2}\right)}{n+2} \right]^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$$

• Therefore, from semiclassical considerations, the cross section can be calculated as:

$$\sigma(M_{\rm BH}) \approx \pi r_S^2$$

- Semi-classical black holes are shortlived (lifetime of 10⁻²⁷ s) and decay via thermal Hawking radiation.
- Microscopic black holes, decay democratically into in all Standard Model (SM) degrees of freedom
- The final state is composed of high transverse momenta objects
- This signature can be distingished from the multijet background relatively easily

Leptons/Photons/ Ws/Zs 25% 75%

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Background Estimation: ATLAS



ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Background Estimation: CMS



ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Background Estimation: CMS



August 5th, 2016

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Model dependent results



ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Model independent result



August 5th, 2016

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Search for new physics with heavy flavor jets: ATLAS

Hot off the press! 抹 抹 * * [dq]∋ **ATLAS** Preliminary $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 13.3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ ≥1 b-tag - LO b^{*}, Br(b^{*} \rightarrow bg) = 85% b × ∢ Observed 95% CL 1 x Z' b* Expected 95% CL -99999999999999999 ь $\pm 1\sigma$ b ā b ----- ± 2 σ 10- 10^{-2} Events 10⁶ 10⁵ Events ATLAS Preliminary $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV, 13.3 fb⁻¹ ATLAS Preliminary Vs=13 TeV, 13.3 fb⁻¹ Data Data • 10⁴ 10 Background fit 1.5 2.5 3.5 4.5 5.5 5 Background fit BumpHunter interval m_{b*} [TeV] BumpHunter interval NLO SSM Z', 1.5 TeV, 10⁴ П ma $\sigma \times 50$ b*, 2 TeV, σ × 500 10 NLO SSM Z', 2 TeV, b*, 2.5 TeV, σ × 500 $\sigma \times 50$ 10³ 2 b-tag ≥1 b-tag ATLAS Preliminary Vs = 13 TeV. 13.3 fb 10² 10² 2 b-tag - NLO SSM Z' ---- NLO Leptophobic Z' 10 Observed 95% CL 10 10------ Expected 95% CL p-value = 0.44 •±1σ p-value = --- ± 2 σ Significance Significance 2 1 0 2⊧ 10^{-2} 2 2 3 3 6 4 m_" [TeV] m_{ii} [TeV] 10^{-3} 1.5 2 2.5 3.5 4.5 5.5 m_{z'} [TeV]

- Analysis sensitive to generic high-mass particles decaying to two jets that originate from one or two b-quarks
- Visible cross sections ranging from 0.2 to 0.001 pb in the mass range 1.4–5.5 TeV are excluded

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Search for new physics with heavy flavor jets: CMS

<code>↓↓ ↓ Hot off the press! ↓ ↓ ↓ </mark></code>



- No significant excess over the expectation from the background is observed.
- Limits on production cross sections times branching ratio are obtained for values of the resonance mass ranging from 550 to 1200~GeV.

ICHEP 2016, Chicago





August 5th, 2016

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Dijet and multijet searches in ATLAS

ATLAS Exotics Searches* - 95% CL Exclusion

Statue: August 2016

ATLAS Preliminary

	Status. August 2010						$\int \mathcal{L} dt = (3.2 - 20.3) \text{ fb}^{-1}$	$\sqrt{s} = 8, 13 \text{ leV}$
		Model	<i>ℓ</i> ,γ	Jets†	E ^{miss} T	∫£ dt[fb	⁻¹] Limit	Reference
Multijet	S	ADD $G_{KK} + g/q$ ADD non-resonant $\ell\ell$ ADD OBH $\rightarrow \ell g$	_ 2 e,μ	≥1j i	Yes –	3.2 20.3	Mp 6.58 TeV n = 2 Ms 4.7 TeV n = 3 HLZ	1604.07773 1407.2410 13 +1 2006
and	t dimensia	ADD \overrightarrow{OBH} ADD BH high $\sum p_T$ ADD BH multijet RS1 $G_{KK} \rightarrow \ell \ell$ PS1 $G_{KK} \rightarrow \ell \ell$	≥ 1 e, µ - 2 e, µ 2 ×	2j ≥2j ≥3j −		15.7 3.2 3.6 20.3	M _{th} 8.7 TeV n = 6 M _{th} 8.2 TeV n = 6, M _D = 3 TeV, rot BH M _{th} 9.55 TeV n = 6, M _D = 3 TeV, rot BH G _{KK} mass 2.68 TeV k/M _{Pl} = 0.1	ATLAS-CONF-2016-069 1606.02265 1512.02586 1405.4123 1606.02222
dijet	Extra	Bulk RS $G_{KK} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ Bulk RS $G_{KK} \rightarrow WW \rightarrow qq\ell\nu$ Bulk RS $G_{KK} \rightarrow HH \rightarrow bbbbBulk RS g_{KK} \rightarrow tt$	1 e, μ 1 e, μ	1 J 4_b ≥ 1 b, ≥ 1J,	Yes /2j Yes	13.2 13.2 13.3 20.3	GKK mass 1.24 TeV K/Mp/ = 0.1 GKK mass 1.24 TeV k/Mp/ = 1.0 GKK mass 260.860 GeV 1/4 gKK mass 2.2 TeV BR = 0.925	ATLAS-CONF-2016-062 4TLAS-CONF-2016-062 1505.07018
Dijot and	Suc	2UED / RPP SSM $Z' \rightarrow \tau\tau$ Latentable $Z' \rightarrow h$	$1 e, \mu$	≥ 2 b, ≥ 4	j Yes –	3.2 	KK mass 1.46 TeV Tier (1,1), $BR(A^{(1,1)} \rightarrow tt) = 1$ Z' mass 2.02 TeV	ATLAS-CONF-2016-013
heavy flavor dijet	Gauge bosc	$\begin{array}{l} \text{SSM } W' \rightarrow U' \rightarrow bb \\ \text{SSM } W' \rightarrow WZ \rightarrow qqvv \text{ model A} \\ \text{HVT } W' \rightarrow WZ \rightarrow qqqq \text{ model B} \\ \text{HVT } W' \rightarrow WZ \rightarrow qqqq \text{ model B} \\ \text{HVT } V' \rightarrow WH/ZH \text{ model B} \\ \text{LRSM } W'_R \rightarrow tb \\ \text{LRSM } W'_R \rightarrow tb \end{array}$	- 4 <i>e</i> , μ 3 – multi-chann 1 <i>e</i> , μ 0 <i>e</i> , μ	2 b 1 J 2 J el 2 b, 0-1 j ≥ 1 b, 1 J	Yes Yes - Yes	3.2 13.2 15.5 3.2 20.3 20.3	Z' mass 1.5 leV W' mass 2.4 TeV $g_V = 1$ W' mass 3.0 TeV $g_V = 3$ V' mass 2.31 TeV $g_V = 3$ W' mass 1.92 TeV $g_V = 3$ W' mass 1.92 TeV $g_V = 3$	ATLAS-CONF-2016-082 ATLAS-CONF-2016-055 1607.05621 1410.4103 1408.0886
flavor dijet	CI	Cl qqqq Cl ℓℓqq Cl uutt	_ 2 e,μ 2(SS)/≥3 e,	2 j ,µ ≥1 b, ≥1 j	– – j Yes	15.7 3.2 20.3	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ATLAS-CONF-2016-069 1607.03669 1504.04605
	MD	Axial-vector mediator (Dirac DM) Axial-vector mediator (Dirac DM) $ZZ_{\chi\chi}$ EFT (Dirac DM)	0 e, μ 0 e, μ, 1 γ 0 e, μ	≥1j 1j 1J,≤1j	Yes Yes Yes	3.2 3.2 3.2	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	1604.07773 1604.01306 ATLAS-CONF-2015-080
	рл	Scalar LQ 1 st gen Scalar LQ 2 nd gen Scalar LQ 3 rd gen	2 e 2 μ 1 e, μ	≥ 2 j ≥ 2 j ≥1 b, ≥3 j	– – j Yes	3.2 3.2 20.3	LQ mass 1.1 TeV $\beta = 1$ LQ mass 1.05 TeV $\beta = 1$ LQ mass 640 GeV $\beta = 0$	1605.06035 1605.06035 1508.04735
	Heavy quarks	$ \begin{array}{l} VLQ \ TT \rightarrow Ht + X \\ VLQ \ YY \rightarrow Wb + X \\ VLQ \ BB \rightarrow Hb + X \\ VLQ \ BB \rightarrow Zb + X \\ VLQ \ BB \rightarrow Zb + X \\ VLQ \ QQ \rightarrow WqWq \\ VLQ \ T_{5/3} \ T_{5/3} \rightarrow WtWt \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 2 / \ge 3 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 2 (\text{SS}) / \ge 3 \ e, \end{array}$		j Yes j Yes j Yes - Yes j Yes	20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 3.2	T mass 855 GeV T in (T,B) doublet Y mass 770 GeV Y in (B,Y) doublet B mass 735 GeV isospin singlet B mass 755 GeV B in (B,Y) doublet T sr/3 mass 990 GeV Figure 1	1505.04306 1505.04306 1505.04306 1409.5500 1509.04261 ATLAS-CONF-2016-032
bijet and heavy flavor dijet	Excited	Excited quark $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$ Excited quark $q^* \rightarrow qg$ Excited quark $b^* \rightarrow bg$ Excited quark $b^* \rightarrow bg$ Excited quark $b^* \rightarrow Wt$	$\frac{1}{\gamma}$	1] 2j 1b,1j <u>1b,2-0j</u>	- - - -	3.2 15.7 8.8 20.2	q* mass4.4 TeVonly u^* and d^* , $\Lambda = m(q^*)$ q* mass5.6 TeVonly u^* and d^* , $\Lambda = m(q^*)$ b* mass2.3 TeV $(f = 1)^{1/2}$ b* mass2.3 TeV $(f = 1)^{1/2}$	1512.05910 ATLAS-CONF-2016-069 ATLAS-CONF-2016-060
flavor dijet	Other fc	Excited lepton t^{*} Excited lepton v^{*} LSTC $a_{T} \rightarrow W\gamma$ LRSM Majorana v Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow ee$ Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \ell \tau$ Monotop (non-res prod)	$3 e, \mu$ $3 e, \mu, \tau$ $1 e, \mu, 1 \gamma$ $2 e, \mu$ 2 e (SS) $3 e, \mu, \tau$ $1 e, \mu$	- - 2 j - - 1 b	- Yes - - Yes	20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 13.9 20.3 20.3 20.3	t^* mass3.0 TeV $\Lambda = 3.0 \text{ TeV}$ v^* mass1.6 TeV $\Lambda = 1.6 \text{ TeV}$ a_T mass960 GeV $m(W_R) = 2.4 \text{ TeV}, \text{ no mixing}$ N^0 mass2.0 TeV $m(W_R) = 2.4 \text{ TeV}, \text{ no mixing}$ $H^{\pm\pm}$ mass570 GeV DY production, $BR(H_L^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow ee)=1$ $H^{\pm\pm}$ mass400 GeV DY production, $BR(H_L^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow et)=1$ $spin-1$ invisible particle mass657 GeV $a_{non-res} = 0.2$	1411.2921 1411.2921 1407.8150 1506.06020 ATLAS-CONF-2016-051 1411.2921 1410.5404
	*On	Magnetic monopoles	= 8 TeV mass lim	_ √s = 1 iits on nev	- 3 TeV v states	20.3 7.0 5 or pher	Immun-chargeo particle mass 785 GeV DY production, $ q = 5e$ monopole mass 1.34 TeV DY production, $ q = 1g_D$, spin 1/2 10 ⁻¹ 1 10 momena is shown. Lower bounds are specified only when explicitly not excluded. Mass scale [TeV]	1504.04188 1509.08059

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

August 5th, 2016

Photon + jet

Dijet and multijet searches in CMS



ICHEP 2016, Chicago

References: ATLAS

- Search for TeV-scale gravity signatures in high-mass final states with leptons and jets with the ATLAS detector at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV (arxiv:1606.02265; PLB 760 (2016) 520-537)
- Search for new phenomena in dijet mass and angular distributions from pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV with the ATLAS detector (arxiv:1512.01530; PLB 754 (2016) 302-322)
- Search for light dijet resonances with the ATLAS detector using a Triggerobject Level Analysis in LHC pp collisions at √s=13 TeV (ATLAS-CONF-2016-030)
- Search for new light resonances decaying to jet pairs and produced in association with a photon in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector (ATLAS-CONF-2016-029)
- Search for resonances below 1.2 TeV from the mass distribution of b-jet pairs in proton-proton collisions at √s=13 TeV with the ATLAS detector (ATLAS-CONF-2016-060)

ICHEP 2016, Chicago



- Search for light vector resonances decaying to quarks at 13 TeV (EXO-16-030)
 <u>https://cds.cern.ch/record/2202715?In=en</u>
- Search for high-mass resonances in dijet final state with 2016 data (EXO-16-032)
 <u>http://cds.cern.ch/record/2205150?In=en</u>
- Search for excited quarks in photon jet final state (EXO-16-015) <u>http://cds.cern.ch/record/2204915?In=en</u>
- Search for Black Holes with Early Run 2 Data (EXO-15-007) <u>http://cds.cern.ch/record/2116453?In=en</u>
- Search for a narrow heavy decaying to bottom quark pairs in the 13 TeV data sample (HIG-16-025) <u>http://cds.cern.ch/record/2204928?In=en</u>

Event displays of dijet events!

CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN Data recorded: 2016-May-11 21:40:47.974592 GMT Run / Event / LS: 273158 / 238962455 / 150



CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN Data recorded: 2016-May-11 21:40:47.974592 GMT Run / Event / LS: 273158 / 238962455 / 150

EXPERIMENT Run: 302347 Event: 753275626 2016-06-18 18:41:48 CEST TLAS Run: 302053 Event: 2504627221 2016-06-15 00:12:21 CEST

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Additional Material

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Search for new physics with heavy flavor jets: CMS

<code>↓↓ ↓ Hot off the press! ↓ ↓ ↓ </mark></code>



- No significant excess over the expectation from the background is observed.
- Limits on production cross sections times branching ratio are obtained for values of the resonance mass ranging from 550 to 1200~GeV.

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Dark Matter Interpretation



Figure 1. 95% CL exclusion regions in $M_{\text{med}} - m_{\text{DM}}$ plane for di-jet searches and different E_T based DM searches from CMS in the lepto-phobic Axial Vector model. Following the recommendation of the LHC DM working group [1, 2], the exclusions are computed for a universal quark coupling $g_q = 0.25$ and for a DM coupling of $g_{\text{DM}} = 1.0$. It should also be noted that the absolute exclusion of the different searches as well as their relative importance, will strongly depend on the chosen coupling and model scenario. Therefore, the exclusion regions, relic density contours, and unitarity curve shown in this plot are not applicable to other choices of coupling values or model.

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Dark Matter Interpretation

$$q \qquad g \qquad g \qquad \chi(m_{\chi})$$

Figure 2.1: Representative Feynman diagram showing the pair production of Dark Matter particles in association with a parton from the initial state via a vector or axial-vector mediator. The cross section and kinematics depend upon the mediator and Dark Matter masses, and the mediator couplings to Dark Matter and quarks respectively: $(M_{med}, m_{\chi}, g_{\chi}, g_q)$.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{vector}} = g_{q} \sum_{q=u,d,s,c,b,t} Z'_{\mu} \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} q + g_{\chi} Z'_{\mu} \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \chi$$
(2.1)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{axial-vector}} = g_{q} \sum_{q=u,d,s,c,b,t} Z'_{\mu} \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5} q + g_{\chi} Z'_{\mu} \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5} \chi.$$
(2.2)

https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.00966

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Organization of additional material

- High mass dijet studies: Slide 25-26
- Low mass dijet studies: Slide 27-28
- Event display for dijet events: Slide 29
- Low mass search using jet substructure technique: Slide 30-32
- Trigger level searches: Slide 33
- Excited quarks: Slide 34-36
- Search for microscopic black holes: 37-41
- ATLAS searches: 42-45 (more material will be added)

Additional Material: High mass dijet analysis



ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Additional Material: High mass dijet analysis



gluon-gluon final state

Summary

4

CMS Preliminary

Strinc

7'

Excited quark Axiguon/coloron

Scalar diquark

RS graviton

3

Color-octet scalar ($k_{1}^{2} = 1/2$)

[q10³ d 10²

10

10

10⁻²

10⁻³

 10^{-4}

 10^{-5}

2

с В

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

August 5th, 2016

12.9 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV)

95% CL limits

gluon-gluon

quark-gluon

quark-quark

6

5

Resonance Mass [TeV]

Additional Material: Low mass dijet analysis



quark-quark final state quark-gluon final state

Quark-quark, quark-gluon, and gluon-gluon resonance shapes used for setting physical limits in the dijet resonance search. 10% Gaussian for comparison with ATLAS

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Additional Material: Low mass dijet analysis



gluon-gluon final state

Summary

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Dijet analysis: Event Displays



Figure 2: The event with the highest dijet invariant mass: three dimensional view (left), 2D view in the ρ - ϕ plane (right). The p_T , η , and ϕ values of the two wide jets are indicated. The invariant mass of the two wide jets is 7.7 TeV.

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

<u>ow-mass dijet analysis: CMS</u>



ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Additional Material: Low mass search using jet substructure technique



August 5th, 2016

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Additional Material: Low mass search using jet substructure technique



August 5th, 2016

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Additional Material: Low mass search using jet substructure technique



ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Additional Material: Trigger Level Searches with Dijets



 To sustain a high rate in the data scouting stream, 4 momenta of the calorimetric jets is stored

- •Rate at HLT is 1 kHz
- Scouting data are stored immediately after the HLT selection

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

CMS dijet resonance search reconstruction

Dijet reconstruction with wide jets

- Uses two leading jets in an event as seeds. Merges neighboring jets to nearest leading jet if $\Delta R < 1.1$
- Recover loss in mass response/resolution due to radiation



- The low mass search uses wide jets reconstructed from calo-jets
- The high mass search uses wide jets reconstructed from particleflow jets

Additional Material: Search for excited quarks





ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Additional Material: Search for excited quarks



ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Additional material: Search for Black Holes (Analysis Strategy in CMS)

• The analysis is done following the procedure established in Run 1

- Essentially one performs a counting experiment using the variable S_T, where S_T is defined as the scalar sum of the transverse energy (E_T) of jets, leptons and photons. ME_T is also added to S_T if ME_T > 50 GeV
- A E_T > 50 GeV threshold is placed on all objects
- The multiplicity of an event is defined as the number of jets + leptons + photons in that event. ME_T is not counted as a separate object
- The background is estimated through a data driven technique pioneered in Run I
- The background is extracted from the low multiplicity regime (or signal depleted regime) and applied to higher multiplicity regimes using the invariance of the S^T spectrum above a certain turn-on threshold carefully chosen by looking into the invariance in data and QCD Monte Carlo
- For a model specific scenario, the value of S_T along with the choice of the multiplicity regime is optimized
- For model independent limits, all multiplicity regimes are used to quantify the reach of this analysis
- The systematic uncertainties associated with the data driven technique are extracted and taken into consideration when quantifying the reach of this analysis

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Background Estimation: CMS

There different fitting functions are used to parametrize the background (same technique as Run I)



Fitting range: 1400-2400 GeV
Use 3-parameter function with multiplicity = 2 as the background template.

 Essentially one performs a counting experiment using the variable S_T, whe S_T is defined as the scalar sum of the transverse energy (E_T) of jets, leptons and photons. ME_T is also added to S_T if ME_T > 50 GeV

ICHEP 2016, Chicago



ICHEP 2016, Chicago

- Model independent limits are calculated as a function of S_{Tmin} for each inclusive multiplicity scenario.
- Full CIs is used as opposed to Asymptotic CIs for more acurate calculation.



ICHEP 2016, Chicago



August 5th, 2016

ICHEP 2016, Chicago



Observed and expected limits for σ (upper limit cross section) X A (acceptance) in inclusive N objects are reported



August 5th, 2016

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Dijet results: CMS



Search for new physics in dijet angular distributions

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Low-mass dijet analysis: ATLAS



ICHEP 2016, Chicago

High-mass dijet analysis: ATLAS



ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Trigger level dijet analysis: ATLAS



Dijet invariant mass built from leading and subleading trigger (HLT) jets compared to mjj for offline jets in data, as a function of randomly chosen HLT or offline mjj to reduce resolution biases. Events are selected using the HLT_j110 single jet trigger. Selected events have leading jet p T > 185 GeV, subleading jet p T > 85 GeV and $|y^*| < 0.6$. The average mjj response of trigger jets relative to offline jets is shown in black and it is within 1% of unity, independent of jet mjj (https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/CONFNOTES/ATLAS-CONF-2016-030/)

Couplings above the solid lines are excluded. Markers indicate the mass and coupling points that have been simulated. The solid and dashed curves represent the observed and expected limit, respectively. They are obtained from the simulated points, correctly accounting for the scaling of the signal cross-section with gq2. Limits for masses including and above 550 GeV are derived from the mjj distribution with $|y^*| < 0.6$ while those at and below 550 GeV are derived using the distribution with $|y^*| < 0.3$.

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Quad-jet analysis: ATLAS

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Search for new physics with heavy flavor jets

ICHEP 2016, Chicago

Background Estimation: ATLAS

ICHEP 2016, Chicago