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Introduction

- Some important updates on top- and bottom-related analyses produced by ATLAS will be shown.
- **Top-antitop resonances** searches at 8 TeV and a preliminary result at 13 TeV.
- Re-interpretation of the 8 TeV top-antitop resonance search to tackle scalar 2HDM signal $H/A \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ at 8 TeV.
  - An important update on the 8 TeV results.
  - Includes interference effects.
- **Di-jet resonances** with at least one $b$-tagged jet at 13 TeV.
Search for $t\bar{t}$ resonances at 8 TeV

- Search for a bump in the top-antitop mass spectrum.
- Main backgrounds are SM $t\bar{t}$, $W$+jets, single top and $Z$+jets.
- Different benchmarks used, but attempt to keep analysis model agnostic.

**ATLAS** Simulation, $\sqrt{s}=8$ TeV

Boosted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$m(Z')$ (TeV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Z'_{TC2}$ model</td>
<td>1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$m(g_{KK})$ (TeV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KK gluon model</td>
<td>1.0, 1.6, 2.0, 2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Event selection

- Exactly one electron or muon ($p_T > 25$ GeV).
- $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 20$ GeV and $E_T^{\text{miss}} + m_{T,W} > 60$ GeV.
- Assuming $W$ mass constrain to reconstruct the neutrino $z$ momentum component.
- $\geq 1$ $b$-tagged jet (anti-$k_t$ $R = 0.4$ calorimeter jet) @ 70% eff.
- Anti-$k_t$ $R = 0.4$ jets with $p_T > 25$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$.

Resolved channel

- $\geq 4$ anti-$k_t$ calorimeter $R = 0.4$ jets required.

Boosted channel

- $\geq 1$ anti-$k_t$ calo. $R = 0.4$ jet ($p_T > 25$ GeV) that has $\Delta R(\text{jet, } \ell) < 1.5$ ($j_{\text{sel}}$).
- $\geq 1$ top-tagged anti-$k_t$ calo. $R = 1.0$ jet ($p_T > 300$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.0$) with $\Delta\phi(\ell, \text{jet}) > 2.3$ and $\Delta R(\text{jet, } j_{\text{sel}}) > 1.5$.
- Top-tagging: $m > 100$ GeV, $\sqrt{d_{12}} > 40$ GeV.
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Mass spectrum reconstruction

- Kinematic $\chi^2$ fit to select small-$R$ jets in resolved channel.
- Large-$R$ jet used to reconstruct hadronic top in boosted channel.

Resolved spectrum in $e$ channel

Boosted spectrum in $\mu$ channel
Limit setting

- No excess observed, so we set limits on our benchmark models.
- Analysis also sets limits on Kaluza-Klein graviton and scalar.
- Different $b$-tag categories considered: $b$-jets matched to both tops (1), only the hadronically decaying top (2) or only the leptonically decaying top (3).

### ATLAS

\[ \bar{\sqrt{s}} = 8 \text{ TeV}, 20.3 \text{ fb}^{-1} \]

![Graph of $\sigma_{Z'} \times \text{BR}(Z' \to t \bar{t})$](chart1)

- **Obs. 95% CL upper limit**
- **Exp. 95% CL upper limit**
- **Exp. 1 $\sigma$ uncertainty**
- **Exp. 2 $\sigma$ uncertainty**
- **Leptophobic $Z'$ (1.2%) (LO x 1.3)**
- **Leptophobic $Z'$ (2%) (LO x 1.3)**
- **Leptophobic $Z'$ (3%) (LO x 1.3)**

![Graph of $\sigma_{g_{KK}} \times \text{BR}(g_{KK} \to t \bar{t})$](chart2)

- **Obs. 95% CL upper limit**
- **Exp. 95% CL upper limit**
- **Exp. 1 $\sigma$ uncertainty**
- **Exp. 2 $\sigma$ uncertainty**
- **Kaluza-Klein gluon (LO)**
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Search for $t\bar{t}$ scalar resonances at 8 TeV

- Signal in the right-hand-side diagram interferes with SM production of $t\bar{t}$.
- 8 TeV paper did not include interference effects.
- Re-interpretation including the interference effects of 2HDM type-II $H/A \rightarrow t\bar{t}$.
- We also want to keep the good description of the background provided by Powheg+Pythia6.

Including interference effects

- Using only resolved channel, and same setup as described previously.
- Generated events removing background $|ME|^2$ in MadGraph to keep only signal and interference terms.
- Validated this by generating full signal, interference and background out of the box.

$$H(500 \text{ GeV}) \rightarrow t\bar{t}, \tan \beta = 0.40$$

$$A(750 \text{ GeV}) \rightarrow t\bar{t}, \tan \beta = 2.00$$
Strong destructive interference

- Assumes $\sin(\beta - \alpha) = 1$.
- Results not including interference would not model all regions of the parameter space well.
- In some parameter configurations of the 2HDM signals, we can even have a fully negative “signal + interference”.
Acceptance of signal

- Heavy (pseudo-)scalar acceptance in such selection does not depend heavily on $\tan \beta$, although the acceptance has a slight slope.
- In this study, high boost events that also satisfy the resolved selection are kept in the resolved result $\rightarrow$ maximise acceptance at low $m_{t\bar{t}}$. 

![Graphs showing the acceptance of signal for different channels and $\tan \beta$ values.]

Simulation Preliminary ATLAS $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV

$A, e+\text{jets}$

$A, \mu+\text{jets}$

$H, e+\text{jets}$

$H, \mu+\text{jets}$

$m_{A/H} = 500$ GeV

$m_{A/H} = 750$ GeV
Expected impact in final observable

- The “signal+interference” effect is added on top of the Powheg+Pythia 6 $t\bar{t}$ SM background and all others.
- Signal modelling uncertainties include PDF and renormalisation and factorisation scale uncertainties.
- Limits are set parametrising $S + I$ and $S$ as a function of $\sqrt{\mu}$:
  \[ \mu S + \sqrt{\mu} I + B = \sqrt{\mu} (S + I) + (\mu - \sqrt{\mu}) S + B. \]
Scalar model limits at 8 TeV

- Limits set on $\tan \beta$ for $\mu = 1$ on both scalar and pseudo-scalar.

### ATLAS Preliminary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, $\int L dt = 20.3$ fb$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$A(500$ GeV$) \rightarrow t\bar{t}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$gg \rightarrow A \rightarrow t\bar{t}$, $m_A = 500$ GeV</td>
<td>$A(500$ GeV$) \rightarrow t\bar{t}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sin(\beta-\alpha) = 1$, Type II 2HDM</td>
<td>$\sin(\beta-\alpha) = 1$, Type II 2HDM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, $\int L dt = 20.3$ fb$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$H(500$ GeV$) \rightarrow t\bar{t}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow t\bar{t}$, $m_H = 500$ GeV</td>
<td>$H(500$ GeV$) \rightarrow t\bar{t}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sin(\beta-\alpha) = 1$, Type II 2HDM</td>
<td>$\sin(\beta-\alpha) = 1$, Type II 2HDM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observed

Exp. 95% CL upper limit

Exp. ± 1σ uncertainty

Exp. ± 2σ uncertainty
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Search for $t\bar{t}$ resonances at 13 TeV

- Study redone at 13 TeV with first data ($3.2 \text{ fb}^{-1}$), using only the boosted channel.
- Using anti-$k_t$ $R = 0.2$ track jet $b$-tagging $\rightarrow$ better performance at high $m_{t\bar{t}}$.

---

**ATLAS Preliminary**

$\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 3.2 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

**μ+jets**

- Data
- $t\bar{t}$
- $W+$jets
- single top
- $Z+$jets
- multi-jet
- diboson
- Bkg. uncertainty

**Large-Γ jet mass in μ channel**

**ATLAS Preliminary**

$\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 3.2 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

**e+jets**

- Data
- $t\bar{t}$
- $W+$jets
- single top
- $Z+$jets
- multi-jet
- diboson
- Bkg. uncertainty

**$m_{t\bar{t}}$ in e channel**

---

13 TeV limits

- No excess found.
- Limits set on the $Z'_TC2$ model only.
- Small deficit observed.
- Expected to be a statistical fluctuation.
Di-jet resonances with at least one $b$-tag$^4$

- 2 anti-$k_t$ $R = 0.4$ calorimeter jets: $p_{T1} > 430$ GeV, $p_{T2} > 60$ GeV.
- Rapidity difference requirement: $|y^*| = |(y_1 - y_2)/2| < 0.6 \rightarrow$ favours $s$-production.
- At least one 85% eff. $b$-tag is required and events are split in 1 $b$-tag and 2 $b$-tag categories.
- $m_{jj} > 1.38$ TeV.

---

Di-jet resonances – tagging efficiency

- Acceptance in $\geq 1$ $b$-jet for high masses is the same for the $Z' \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ and $b^* \rightarrow gb$.
- The fake rate is not negligible and contributes to this acceptance.
Background fit

- $m_{jj}$ spectrum fit from data as follows, with $x = m_{jj}/\sqrt{s}$.
- Other fit functions are used to estimate the systematic uncertainty of the fit function choice.
- Main background: QCD multi-jet.

$$f(x) = p_1 (1 - x)^p_2 x^{p_3}$$
Limits

- No excess found.
- Limits set for two benchmark models.
Summary

- **8 TeV and 13 TeV top-antitop resonance** search results.
  - Increased limits on 13 TeV results, in the boosted $t\bar{t}$ selection.
  - Usage of track jets improves sensitivity at high $m_{t\bar{t}}$.

- Scalar signal interference is not simulated in the signal model for the original 8 TeV $t\bar{t}$ paper.
  - Result including scalar interference assuming a (pseudo-)scalar in 2HDM.
  - First experimental result including such an effect.

- New search for **dijet resonances** with at least one $b$-tagged jet released.
  - Set cross section limits on $b^*$ model and $Z'$ model.
  - Updated limits on the benchmark models.
References


Not shown here, but recommended for other related ATLAS results:

Limit setting

- No excess observed, so we set limits on our benchmark models.
Unmerged events top-antitop system reconstruction

- The $\chi^2$ kinematic fit is used to choose the small-R jets contributing to the $m_{t\bar{t}}$: select the combination which minimizes the cost function.
- The neutrino is estimated in the same way as in the merged channel: assuming the $W$ boson is on-shell.

$$\chi^2 = \left( \frac{m_{jj} - m_W}{\sigma_W} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{m_{jib} - m_{jj} - m_{th-W}}{\sigma_{th-W}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{m_{jl\nu} - m_{tl}}{\sigma_{tl}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{(p_{T,jib} - p_{T,jl\nu}) - (p_{T,th} - p_{T,tl})}{\sigma_{diffpT}} \right)^2$$
Background estimate - QCD using the Matrix Method

- An efficiency $\epsilon^{\text{sig}}$ is defined as the probability that a “loose” lepton from a $t\bar{t}$ decay passes the “tight” selection.

- A false-identification rate $\epsilon^{\text{fake}}$ is defined as the probability for a non-prompt lepton from multi-jets passes the same selection (estimated from data in a Control Region).

- “tight” definition → “isolated” lepton.

- “loose” definition → may have other particles very close to it.

- Jets can fake leptons → a “loose” criteria is used to estimate multi-jets background events.

- We can calculate weights to apply on real data to estimate the amount of multi-jets backgrounds.

(Credits to F. Kohn for the picture)
Background estimate - QCD using the Matrix Method

- Each event that passes only the “loose” selection will have the weight:
  \[ w_{\text{loose}} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\text{sig}} - \epsilon_{\text{fake}}} \times (\epsilon_{\text{sig}} \times \epsilon_{\text{fake}}) \]

- Each event that passes the “tight” and “loose” selections will have the weight:
  \[ w_{\text{tight}} = \frac{\epsilon_{\text{fake}}}{\epsilon_{\text{sig}} - \epsilon_{\text{fake}}} \times (\epsilon_{\text{sig}} - 1) \]

- Jets can fake leptons \( \rightarrow \) a “loose” criteria is used to estimate multi-jets background events.

- We can calculate weights to apply on real data to estimate the amount of multi-jets backgrounds.

(Credits to F. Kohn for the picture)
**W+jets estimate**

- Relies on the hypothesis that the ratio of positively charged W’s to negatively charged W’s is well understood in simulation.
- We can then use this in simulation to get the number of expected W+jets.
- This is done in a pre-tag 2-jet region and then weighted by the ratio of events between the signal region and the control region.

\[
\frac{N_{d,+} + N_{d,-}}{N_{d,+} - N_{d,-}} = \frac{N_{MC,+} + N_{MC,-}}{N_{MC,+} - N_{MC,-}}
\]

\[
N_{d,+} + N_{d,-} = \frac{r_{MC} + 1}{r_{MC} - 1} (N_{d,+} - N_{d,-})
\]

\[
r_{MC} = \frac{N_{MC,+}}{N_{MC,-}}
\]
How to generalise it? (I)

- One can simulate a new signal and test whether this signal exists using these results.
- Theorists can take advantage of this.
How to generalise it? (II)

- Theorists only need the migration matrix and the signal acceptance.
Systematic uncertainties effect

- Big effect coming from $b$-tagging uncertainty in signal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systematic Uncertainties</th>
<th>Resolved selection yield impact [%]</th>
<th>Booster selection yield impact [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>total bkg.</td>
<td>$Z'$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminosity</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISR/FSR</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parton shower and fragmentation</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t\bar{t}$ normalisation</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t\bar{t}$ EW virtual correction</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t\bar{t}$ generator</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t\bar{t}$ top quark mass</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W+jets generator</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-jet normalisation, $e+$jets</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-jet normalisation, $\mu+$jets</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JES+JMS, large-radius jets</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JER+JMR, large-radius jets</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JES, small-radius jets</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JER, small-radius jets</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet vertex fraction</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$-tagging $b$-jet efficiency</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$-tagging $c$-jet efficiency</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$-tagging light-jet efficiency</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron efficiency</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muon efficiency</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC statistical uncertainty</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All systematic uncertainties</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impact of systematic uncertainties on the yield
Signal acceptance at 8 TeV $t\bar{t}$ resonance search

- Effect of signal acceptance as a function of $m_{t\bar{t}}$, at truth level.
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Signal acceptance at 13 TeV $t\bar{t}$ resonance search

- Effect of signal acceptance as a function of $m_{t\bar{t}}$, at truth level.
- Muon-jet variable $\Delta R$ overlap removal expected to increase signal acceptance at high momenta. While current electron-jet $\Delta R$ overlap removal is known to affect significantly high momentum signals.
All hadronic final state $t\bar{t}$ resonances search at 7 TeV

- Search done using two methods for top identification: HEPTopTagger\(^5\) and template method\(^6\).

- HEPTopTagger (HTT) method: two C/A jets $R = 1.5$ with $p_T > 200$ GeV are required to be top-tagged.

- Template overlap (TO) method: two anti-$k_t$ $R = 1.0$ with leading $p_T > 500$ GeV and sub-leading $p_T > 450$ GeV are required to be top-tagged.

---


Search for resonances coupling to third generation quarks
Limit setting

- No excesses are observed and 95% CLs limits are set with the two methods.
- The HEPTopTagger method leads to a better limit for the narrow $Z'$ resonance, while the template overlap method sets stronger cross section limits in the Kaluza-Klein gluon model.
Signal reconstruction with HEPTopTagger

- Mass of the top-antitop system is well reconstructed in the $Z'$ and Kaluza-Klein gluon models.
Top template method

- An overlap function quantifies the agreement in energy flow between templates of the top quark shower hypothesis and the observed jet.
- A set of approximately 300,000 library templates ($\tau_n$) are generated.
- The weighting variable is $\sigma_i = E_i/3$.

$$OV_3 = \max_{\tau_n} \exp \left[ - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{2\sigma_i^2} (E_i - \sum_{\Delta R(\text{topo},i)<0.2} E_{\text{topo}})^2 \right]$$
HEPTopTagger method (I)

- The input large-$R$ jet is split in subjets, undoing the last jet clustering step.
- The procedure is repeated until all subjets have masses below 50 GeV.
- All combinations of 3 subjets are tested for compatibility with the top shower.
  - C/A algorithm is rerun on the topoclusters of the triplet subjets. with $R$ set to half the smallest distance between the subjets (but at most 0.3), keeping only the five leading subjets.
  - Constituents of the five subjets are reclustered exclusively into three subjets with the C/A algorithm.
  - The calibrated three subjets are tested for top compatibility using the mass ratios.
The resulting top four-momentum is set to the sum of the calibrated subjets and its mass is required to be $\in [140, 210]$ GeV.
Background estimate in the HTT method

- A set of Control regions are used to obtain a template of the Signal Region $m_{tt}$ distribution.
- The templates are normalised to a ratio of yields in orthogonal Control Regions.

$$\frac{dn_Z}{dm_{tt}} = \left( \frac{1}{n_U} \times \frac{dn_V}{m_{tt}} + \frac{1}{n_W} \frac{dn_X}{dm_{tt}} \right) \times \frac{n_Y}{2}$$

![Graph showing the distribution of top-quark candidate mass](image)

**Top mass in sideband $\Upsilon$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 top-tag</th>
<th>$\geq 2$ top-tags</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no $b$-tag</td>
<td>$U(0.3%)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 $b$-tag</td>
<td>$W(3.2%)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq 2$ $b$-tags</td>
<td>$Y(22.5%)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$t\bar{t}$ purity
A set of Control regions are used to obtain a template of the Signal Region $m_{tt}$ distribution.

The templates are normalised to a ratio of yields in orthogonal Control Regions.

An iterative procedure is used.

$N_X$ indicate number of events and $K', M', P'$ indicate the templates in those subsamples.

\[
\begin{align*}
K' &= N_J \times \frac{N_F}{N_E} \\
M' &= N_F \times \frac{N_O}{N_C} \\
P' &= K' \times \frac{M'}{N_F}
\end{align*}
\]
Background est. in the Template Overlap method (II)

Signal and Control Regions
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Limit setting
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Search for $t\bar{t}$ scalar resonances at 8 TeV – yields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>$e$+jets</th>
<th>$\mu$+jets</th>
<th>Sum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$tt$</td>
<td>95,000 ± 11,000</td>
<td>93,000 ± 11,000</td>
<td>188,000 ± 22,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single top quark</td>
<td>3,900 ± 500</td>
<td>3,800 ± 500</td>
<td>7,700 ± 1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t\bar{t}V$</td>
<td>290 ± 40</td>
<td>280 ± 40</td>
<td>560 ± 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W$+jets</td>
<td>6,600 ± 2,100</td>
<td>7,200 ± 2,300</td>
<td>13,800 ± 4,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$+jets</td>
<td>1,400 ± 620</td>
<td>650 ± 250</td>
<td>2,100 ± 900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diboson</td>
<td>320 ± 120</td>
<td>310 ± 120</td>
<td>630 ± 240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multijet $e$</td>
<td>5,300 ± 1,100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5,300 ± 1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multijet $\mu$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,060 ± 230</td>
<td>1,060 ± 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>112,000 ± 13,000</td>
<td>106,000 ± 12,000</td>
<td>219,000 ± 25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>115,785</td>
<td>110,218</td>
<td>226,003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Search for $t\bar{t}$ scalar resonances at 8 TeV (I)
Search for $t\bar{t}$ scalar resonances at 8 TeV (II)
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Search for $t\bar{t}$ scalar resonances at 8 TeV (III)

$ATLAS$ Simulation Preliminary
$\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, $\int L dt = 20.3$ fb$^{-1}$
S+I
after det. sim. and event sel.
$m_A = 750$ GeV, $\tan\beta = 2.00$
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Search for $tb$ resonances

- $X \to t\bar{b}$ or $X \to \bar{t}b$ in the lepton+jets and all hadronic channels.

- In the lepton+jets analysis\(^7\):
  - One lepton with $p_T > 30$ GeV.
  - $E_T^{miss} > 35$ GeV and $m_{TW} + E_T^{miss} > 60$ GeV.
  - 2 anti-$k_t$ $R = 0.4$ jets $b$-tagged (70% eff.).
  - 2 channels: 2 or 3 anti-$k_t$ $R = 0.4$ jets.

- In the all hadronic analysis\(^8\):
  - Top-tagged large-$R$ jet ($\sqrt{d_{12}} > 40$ GeV, $\tau_{32} < 0.65$ and $\tau_{21} \in [0.4, 0.9]$).
  - Top selection eff. is 50% for jets with $p_T > 500$ GeV (fake rate $< 10\%$).

---


**Lepton+jets channel**

- Signal Region plot of $m_{tb}$ is used in the limit setting in four channels ($e$ and $\mu$ channels; 2 and 3 jet regions).
- There is good modelling of the backgrounds, including the data-driven matrix method for the QCD estimate.

---

**ATLAS**

$\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, 20.3 fb$^{-1}$

2 jets 2 b-tags

$\mu$ + jets, 3 jets

Data/Pred.
Lepton+jets analysis

- To try to maximise sensitivity by using a Boosted Decision Tree.
- Inputs of the BDT depend on the signal chirality, but a few variables are relevant in both left-handed and right-handed studies.
- No excess is observed.
- Observed mass limit of 1.8 TeV for $W_L'$ and 1.9 TeV for $W_R'$. 

ATLAS Simulation

$\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, 20.3 fb$^{-1}$

2 jets 2 b-tags

ATLAS

$\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, 20.3 fb$^{-1}$

$\sigma(pp\rightarrow W'_R \rightarrow t\bar{b})$ [pb]
All hadronic channel

- Unbinned likelihood fit of the $m_{tb}$ combining 1 and 2 $b$-tag regions.
- Signal fitted from MC. Systematic unc. due to the choice of the fit function estimated.
- Fit of signal allows interpolation of mass points.
$m_{tb}$ spectrum for all signal regions

![Graphs showing $m_{tb}$ spectrum for different signal regions](image-url)
Lepton+jets analysis

- To try to maximise sensitivity by using a Boosted Decision Tree.
- Inputs of the BDT depend on the signal chirality, but a few variables are relevant in both left-handed and right-handed studies:
  - \( m_{tb} \) and transverse momentum of the reconstructed top (most relevant for separation).
  - \( \Delta R(b_{W'}, b_t) \).

\[ \text{BDT Output} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Fraction of events/0.05} \\
0 & \quad 0.05 \\
0.1 & \quad 0.15 \\
0.2 & \quad 0.25 \\
0.3 & \quad 0.3 \\
\end{align*} \]

\[ \text{Background} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
W'_R(0.75 \, \text{TeV}) & \quad \text{green dashed line} \\
W'_R(1.75 \, \text{TeV}) & \quad \text{red solid line} \\
W'_R(2.75 \, \text{TeV}) & \quad \text{blue dotted line} \\
\end{align*} \]

\[ \text{ATLAS Simulation} \]

\[ \sqrt{s} = 8 \, \text{TeV}, 20.3 \, \text{fb}^{-1} \]

- 2 jets 2 b-tags
- 3 jets 2 b-tags

\[ \text{e channel, 2 jets} \]

\[ \mu \text{ channel, 3 jets} \]
Lepton+jets channel limits

- No excess is observed.
- Observed mass limit of 1.8 TeV for $W'_L$ and 1.9 TeV for $W'_R$. 

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{mass [TeV]} & \text{L} & \text{W'} & 0.5 & 1 & 1.5 & 2 & 2.5 & 3 \\
\text{b} & t & \rightarrow & \text{L} & \text{B}(W' \times) & \text{L} & \text{W'} & \rightarrow & (pp \sigma) & -2 & 10 & -1 & 10 & 1 & 10 & 2 & 10 & 3 & 10 \\
\end{array}
\]
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BDT output comparison in data

- BDT output is well modelled in data.

ATLAS

\( \sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}, 20.3 \text{ fb}^{-1} \)

2 jets 2 b-tags

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Events/0.05} \\
10^6 & 10^5 & 10^4 & 10^3 & 10^2 & 10^1 & 10^0 \\
\end{array}
\]

ATLAS

\( \sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}, 20.3 \text{ fb}^{-1} \)

3 jets 2 b-tags

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Events/0.05} \\
10^6 & 10^5 & 10^4 & 10^3 & 10^2 & 10^1 & 10^0 \\
\end{array}
\]
Signal fit

- Signal shape fit in analytically parametrised function.
- Parameters interpolated to obtain intermediate mass points.

**ATLAS Simulation**

\( \sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV} \)

- \( W'_L \) two b-tag category
  - \( m_{W'} = 1.5 \text{ TeV} \)
  - fit (\( \chi^2/\text{ndof} = 57.8/25 \))
  - \( m_{W'} = 2.0 \text{ TeV} \)
  - fit (\( \chi^2/\text{ndof} = 39.7/25 \))
  - \( m_{W'} = 2.5 \text{ TeV} \)
  - fit (\( \chi^2/\text{ndof} = 69.2/25 \))
  - \( m_{W'} = 3.0 \text{ TeV} \)
  - fit (\( \chi^2/\text{ndof} = 29.5/25 \))
All hadronic channel

- Unbinned likelihood fit of the $m_{tb}$ is done combining the one $b$-tag region and the two $b$-tag regions.
- The signal is also fitted from MC simulation. Systematic uncertainties due to the choice of the fit function are estimated.
All hadronic limits

- Setting limits on a left-handed and a right-handed $W'$ signal, used as a benchmark.
- Fit of signal shapes allows interpolation of mass points, used to get a continuous cross section limit.
- No excesses found.
Background fit

- Background fit also done analytically to set limits in an unbinned likelihood ratio method.
Signal Regions data/background comparison

- No observed disagreement in the SRs.
- Control Regions are well modelled.
Using signal mass points interpolation, one can set the $p$-value by varying the mass continuously.
Combination of all hadronic and lepton+jets limits

- Combination of the lepton+jets and all hadronic channels improve limits.
Coupling limits on the $tb$ resonance

- Limit results can be reinterpreted as a limit in the coupling and mass plane.
- Assumes no interference for the $W_L'$.

![Graph showing 95% CL limits on $g'_L/g$ and $g'_R/g$ for $W_L'$ and $W_R'$ masses.](image)

$\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, 20.3 fb$^{-1}$
Search for top + $E_T^{\text{miss}}$

- Resonant and a non-resonant search.
- One electron or muon, a $b$-tagged jet, $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 35$ GeV and $m_T(l, E_T^{\text{miss}}) + E_T^{\text{miss}} > 60$ GeV.
- Dileptonic final state of $t\bar{t}$ and $W+\text{jets}$ are the main backgrounds.
- Two signal regions are defined: SRI for resonant and SRII for non-resonant models.
Limit setting

- Cut and count experiment.
- Cross section upper limit as a function of the invisible particle mass for different coupling strengths.
- Fields $\phi$, $\chi$ and $V_\mu$ correspond to $S$, $f_{\text{met}}$ and $v_{\text{met}}$ respectively.

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{res}} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \phi_\alpha \bar{d}^{i,c}_{\beta,R} (a^q_{\text{res}})_{ij} d^{j}_{\gamma,R} + \phi \bar{u}^k_R (a^{1/2}_{\text{res}})_{k \chi} + h.c.
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{non-res}} = (a_{\text{non-res}})_{ij} V_\mu \bar{u}^i_R \gamma^\mu u^j_R + h.c.
$$
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Control Regions (I)

- Good agreement seen in the Control Regions.
Control Regions (II)

- Good agreement seen in the Control Regions.
Exclusion of couplings strength

- Limits re-interpreted as a function of the coupling strength.
- 95% CLs limits set on the mass $\times$ coupling plane.

ATLAS
\(\sqrt{s} = 8\) TeV, 20.3 fb$^{-1}$, $e^\pm/\mu^\pm$

Resonant model
\(m(S) = 500\) GeV

Non-resonant model

Observed 95% CL exclusion
Expected 95% CL exclusion