### You can't handle the 2016 results!

Son, we live in a world with lots of high-energy, high-multiplicity collision data. And this data has to be guarded by men and women with complex, sophisticated, impossibly messy code. Who's gonna do it? You? You Mr. I-can-fit-any-deviation-to-my-model? I have a greater responsibility than you can possible fathom. You weep at diboson and diphoton deviations and you curse the experiments for not discovering SUSY. You have that luxury. You have the luxury of not knowing what I know. I would rather you just said thank you and went on your way. Otherwise, I suggest you pick up an application form and join one of the LHC experiments. Either way, I don't give a damn what you think you are entitled to.

# Search for New Physics in multilepton final states at ATLAS and CMS

#### Christos Leonidopoulos



#### THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH





#### 38th INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS

AUGUST 3 - 10, 2016 CHICAGO

# What searches this talk will cover

#### Mostly "unconventional" signatures with > 1 charged leptons:

- Type-III seesaw heavy fermions with multileptons
- Same-sign dilepton resonances
- Dilepton resonances in LFV decays
- Leptonic decays of resonances in VBF

#### What searches this talk will NOT cover:

- Heavy new bosons (W', Z')
- Leptoquarks
- SUSY RPV signatures



# Type-III seesaw heavy fermions (CMS)



#### Theoretical motivation:

- SU(2) symmetry:  $\Sigma^{\pm}$  (heavy Dirac charged leptons) and  $\Sigma^{O}$  (heavy Majorana neutrinos)
- Couplings to leptons and Higgs doublets

#### Experimental considerations:

- Largest backgrounds: Leptonic WZ (~51%), leptonic tt
   (~21%), Z+jets (~17%)
- Looking for final states with three or more charged leptons
- Analysis channel classification: # of leptons, lepton flavour, lepton relative charges, charge and flavour combinations



Type-III seesaw heavy fermions (CMS)



# Type-III seesaw heavy fermions (CMS)

2.3 fb<sup>-1</sup> of  $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV (2015) collision data





• 440 GeV (430 GeV expected)

CMS-PAS-EXO-16-002



# Same-sign dilepton searches (ATLAS)



#### Theoretical motivation:

- LRSM, Higgs-triplet, little Higgs, Type-II seesaw models
- Pair production of charged Higgs bosons via Drell-Yan

#### Experimental considerations:

- Largest backgrounds: charge mis-identification of DY,  $t\overline{t}$ , dibosons, and jets faking electrons
- Only results in di-electron channel reported here
- Charge mis-identification: (1) bremsstrahlung leading to electron-positron photon conversion (2) wrong-charge for poorly-measured track of very energetic electron

🚺 Christos Leonidopoulos

Same-sign dilepton searches (ATLAS)





10.1 fb<sup>-1</sup> of  $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV collision data (di-electrons)

<u>Observed 95% CL exclusion limits on Higgs</u> masses assuming  $B(H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \ell^{\pm}\ell^{\pm})=100\%$ :

- $H_R^{\pm}$ : 420 GeV (right-handed leptons)
- $H_L^{\pm}$ : 570 GeV (left-handed leptons)

#### ATLAS-CONF-2016-051

Theoretical motivation:

- Additional U(1) gauge symmetry:  $Z' \rightarrow e\mu$ ,  $e\tau$ ,  $\mu\tau$
- Black holes: ADD (n=6 extra dimensions), RS (n=1 extra dimension); LFV initial state  $q\bar{q}$  or gg giving QBH  $\rightarrow \ell \ell'$
- R-parity violating SUSY (not discussed here)

#### Experimental considerations:

- Distinct signature and low (SM) background
- Backgrounds:  $t\bar{t}$  and single-top, dibosons, DY  $\rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ ; largest uncertainties: eµ and µ $\tau$ : top background, e $\tau$ : multi-jet and W+jets
- 3% width for m(Z')=2 TeV; detector resolution: 8% (eµ), 12%
  (μτ), 4% (eτ)
  Christos Leonidopoulos



3.2 fb<sup>-1</sup> of  $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV collision data





3.2 fb<sup>-1</sup> of  $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV collision data



CERN-EP-2016-168, arXiv:1607.08079

#### Theoretical motivation:

- Vector boson scattering: sensitive to BSM physics; New resonances may be needed to restore unitarity in V-V scattering amplitude
- Composite Higgs, triplet Higgs, extra dimensions models





#### Theoretical motivation:

- Vector boson scattering: sensitive to BSM physics; New resonances may be needed to restore unitarity in V-V scattering amplitude
- Composite Higgs, triplet Higgs, extra dimensions models

Туре	Spin J	Isospin I	Electric Charge	$\Gamma/\Gamma_0$
$\sigma$	0	0	0	6
$\phi$	0	2	, -, 0, +, ++	1
ho	1	1	-, 0, +	$\frac{4}{3}(\frac{v^2}{m^2})$
f	2	0	0	$\frac{1}{5}$
t	2	2	, -, 0, +, ++	$\frac{1}{30}$



#### Theoretical motivation:

- Vector boson scattering: sensitive to BSM physics; New resonances may be needed to restore unitarity in V-V scattering amplitude
- Composite Higgs, triplet Higgs, extra dimensions models

#### Experimental considerations:

- Signature: two charged leptons, ME<sub>T</sub>, two forward jets
- Main backgrounds (and uncertainties): Z+jets for ee,  $\mu\mu$ ,  $t\bar{t}$  for e $\mu$  channel





hristos Leonidopoulos



<u>Observed 95% CL exclusion limits</u> in 200-500 GeV mass region:

380 - 220 fb for the  $\sigma$  particle 460 - 240 fb for the  $\phi$  particle 330 - 270 fb for the  $\rho$  particle 340 - 260 fb for the f particle

310 – 260 fb for the t particle

ATLAS-CONF-2016-053

3.2 fb<sup>-1</sup> of  $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV collision data

# Summary

- ATLAS and CMS have published several new results on searches in "unconventional" final states with >1 charged leptons
- Results include 2015 and (part of) 2016  $\sqrt{s}{=}13$  TeV datasets
- No evidence for New Physics observed in several channels and model interpretations





# Type-III seesaw heavy fermions (CMS)

Table 2: Systematic uncertainties. The channels listed here have three leptons and 550 GeV  $< L_{\rm T} + E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} < 750$  GeV.

		Impact on background/signal estimate in channel with			
Source of uncertainty	Magnitude	no OSSF pair	OSSF pair above-Z	OSSF pair on-Z	
WZ normalization	50 %	13 %	2.8 %	41 %	
ZZ normalization	16 %	0.1%	0.5 %	0.4%	
Integrated luminosity	2.7 %	0.6%	0.2 %	0.3 %	
Lepton ID and isolation	3%	3%	3%	3%	
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ resolution/smearing	50 %	4.1 %	6.3 %	0.6 %	
Pile-up reweighting	5%	1.5 %	0.3 %	1.3 %	
tt misidentification rate	50 %	21 %	11 %	1.8%	
Z + jets background	14%	9.2%	1.1 %	1.0 %	
Rare MC cross section	50 %	11 %	2.7 %	5.2%	
Signal cross section	10 %	10 %	10%	10 %	
Background (for comparison	n)	0.3 events	3.0 events	3.5 events	
Signal ( $m_{\Sigma} = 420$ GeV, for c	comparison)	0.8 events	1.8 events	0.8 events	
-					



Source		1 TeV			2 TeV			3 TeV	
	eμ	$e\tau$	$\mu \tau$	eμ	$e\tau$	$\mu \tau$	eμ	$e\tau$	$\mu \tau$
PDF Uncertainty	17%	15%	15%	35%	38%	35%	70%	75%	70%
Luminosity	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
Statistical	18%	11%	15%	80%	27%	27%	120%	28%	30%
Reducible background	5%	29%	40%	5%	35%	75%	5%	45%	85%
Top quark production Modelling	5%	3%	4%	12%	4%	5%	15%	10%	8%
Electron Trigger Efficiency	1%	1%	N/A	1%	1%	N/A	1%	1%	N/A
<b>Electron Identification</b>	2%	2%	N/A	2%	2%	N/A	2%	2%	N/A
Electron energy scale and resolution	3%	3%	N/A	3%	3%	N/A	3%	3%	N/A
Muon Reconstruction Efficiency	2%	N/A	2%	4%	N/A	4%	6%	N/A	6%
Muon scale and resolution	4%	N/A	4%	12%	N/A	12%	20%	N/A	20%
Muon Trigger Efficiency	2%	N/A	2%	2%	N/A	2%	2%	N/A	2%
Tau Identification	N/A	4%	4%	N/A	5%	5%	N/A	6%	6%
Tau Reconstruction	N/A	3%	3%	N/A	4%	4%	N/A	4%	4%
Tau Momentum resolution	N/A	2%	2%	N/A	3%	3%	N/A	4%	4%
Total	27%	35%	44%	90%	59%	90%	140%	90%	120%

**Table 1:** Quantative summary of the systematic uncertainties taken into account for background processes. Values are provided for  $m_{\ell\ell'}$  values of 1, 2 and 3 TeV. The statistical error includes the extrapolation uncertainties of the top-quark background in the high  $m_{\ell\ell'}$  region together with the uncertainty related to the MC statistics. Uncertainties are quoted with respect to the total background. N/A means the systematic uncertainty is not applicable.



Source	ee	$\mu\mu$	eμ
JES and JER	33%	29%	12%
<i>b</i> -tagging	8%	7%	16%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}$ modelling	7%	6%	1%
Lepton	3.1%	2.2%	1.5%
Trigger	0.1%	0.5%	0.5%
Matrix method	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
Z boson $p_{\rm T}$ reweighting	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%
MC statistics	4.1%	3.7%	2.6%
Luminosity	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%
Total experimental uncertainty	35%	31%	20%

Table 7: Summary of experimental systematic uncertainties on the number of predicted SM background events in the signal region.

