Towards Higher Precision Parton Showers

Stefan Höche

SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory

ICHEP Chicago, 08/10/2016





How to make sense of hadron collider data



Short distance interactions

- Signal process
- Radiative corrections
- Long-distance interactions
 - Hadronization
 - Particle decays

Divide and Conquer

- Quantity of interest: Total interaction rate
- ► Convolution of short & long distance physics

$$\sigma_{p_1p_2 \to X} = \sum_{i,j \in \{q,g\}} \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}x_2 \underbrace{f_{p_1,i}(x_1,\mu_F^2) f_{p_2,j}(x_2,\mu_F^2)}_{\text{long distance}} \underbrace{\hat{\sigma}_{ij \to X}(x_1x_2,\mu_F^2)}_{\text{short distance}}$$





Short distance interactions

- Signal process
- Radiative corrections
- Long-distance interactions
 - Hadronization
 - Particle decays

Divide and Conquer

- Quantity of interest: Total interaction rate
- ► Convolution of short & long distance physics

$$\sigma_{p_1p_2 \to X} = \sum_{i,j \in \{q,g\}} \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}x_2 \underbrace{f_{p_1,i}(x_1,\mu_F^2) f_{p_2,j}(x_2,\mu_F^2)}_{\text{long distance}} \underbrace{\hat{\sigma}_{ij \to X}(x_1x_2,\mu_F^2)}_{\text{short distance}} \underbrace{$$





- Short distance interactions
 - Signal process
 - Radiative corrections
- Long-distance interactions
 - Hadronization
 - Particle decays

Divide and Conquer

- Quantity of interest: Total interaction rate
- ► Convolution of short & long distance physics

$$\sigma_{p_1p_2 \to X} = \sum_{i,j \in \{q,g\}} \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}x_2 \underbrace{f_{p_1,i}(x_1,\mu_F^2) f_{p_2,j}(x_2,\mu_F^2)}_{\text{long distance}} \underbrace{\hat{\sigma}_{ij \to X}(x_1x_2,\mu_F^2)}_{\text{short di$$



- Short distance interactions
 - Signal process
 - Radiative corrections
- Long-distance interactions
 - Hadronization
 - Particle decays

Divide and Conquer

- ► Quantity of interest: Total interaction rate
- Convolution of short & long distance physics

$$\sigma_{p_1p_2 \to X} = \sum_{i,j \in \{q,g\}} \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}x_2 \underbrace{f_{p_1,i}(x_1,\mu_F^2) f_{p_2,j}(x_2,\mu_F^2)}_{\text{long distance}} \underbrace{\hat{\sigma}_{ij \to X}(x_1x_2,\mu_F^2)}_{\text{short distance}}$$



SLAC

[Marchesini, Webber] NPB238(1984)1, [Sjöstrand] PLB157(1985)321

▶ Parton "decay" can occur in two ways:



• Probability conservation \Rightarrow all observed + all unobserved = 1 Splitting governed by Poisson statistics \rightarrow survival probability $\Delta(t, t')$

$$\Delta(t,t') = \exp\left\{-\int_t^{t'} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} \, \Gamma(\bar{t})\right\}, \quad \Gamma(t) = \sum_b \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi t} \, \int \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z} \, P_{ba}(z) \, \frac{f_b(x/z,t)}{f_a(x,t)}$$

- ► Key to Markovian Monte-Carlo simulation of DGLAP equations
- Open questions
 - Implementation of local four-momentum conservation
 - Functional form of evolution "time"
 - Choice of renormalization scale

[Les Houches SM WG] arXiv:1605.04692

- Choice of renormalization scale in parton showers
 - k_T [Amati,Bassetto,Ciafaloni,Marchesini,Veneziano] NPB173(1980)429
 - CMW rescaling [Catani,Marchesini,Webber] NPB349(1991)635
 - potentially additional factor to be tuned to data
- Scale variations typically not considered First attempt during LesHouches '15
- Participating projects
 - Deductor [Nagy,Soper] arXiv:1401.6364
 - Herwig [Bellm, Plätzer, Richardson, Siódmok, Webster] arXiv:1605.08256
 - \tilde{q} -shower [Gieseke,Stephens,Webber] hep-ph/0310083
 - Dipole shower [Plätzer,Gieseke] arXiv:0909.5593
 - Sherpa [Bothmann,Schönherr,Schumann] arXiv:1606.08753
 - ► Ants [Krauss,Zapp] in preparation
 - CSS [Schumann,Krauss] arXiv:0709.1027
 - Dire [Prestel,SH] arXiv:1506.05057
 - Pythia [Mrenna,Skands] arXiv:1605.08352

Scale uncertainties

-SLAC



Color coherence and the dipole picture

[Marchesini,Webber] NPB310(1988)461

► Individual color charges inside a color dipole cannot be resolved by gluons of wavelength larger than the dipole size → emission off combined mother parton instead



- ► Net effect is destructive interference outside cone with opening angle defined by emitting color dipole → Soft anomalous dimension halved due to reduced phase space
- ► Formerly implemented by angular ordering / angular veto
- Alternative description in terms of color dipoles
 [Gustafsson,Pettersson] NPB306(1988)746, [Kharraziha,Lönnblad] hep-ph/9709424
 [Winter,Krauss] arXiv:0712.3913

The midpoint between dipole and parton showers

- ► Angular ordered / vetoed parton shower does not fill full phase space Dipole shower lacks parton interpretation → prefer alternative to both
- ► Can preserve parton picture by partial fractioning soft eikonal ↔ soft enhanced part of splitting function [Catani,Seymour] hep-ph/9605323



 "Spectator"-dependent kernels, singular in soft-collinear region only → capture dominant coherence effects (3-parton correlations)

$$\frac{1}{1-z} \to \frac{1-z}{(1-z)^2 + \kappa^2} \qquad \kappa^2 = \frac{k_\perp^2}{Q^2}$$

For correct soft evolution, ordering variable must be identical at both "dipole ends" (→ recover soft eikonal at integrand level)

The midpoint between dipole and parton showers



Preserve collinear anomalous dimensions & sum rules \rightarrow splitting functions fixed

$$\begin{split} P_{qq}(z,\kappa^2) &= 2 \, C_F \left[\left(\frac{1-z}{(1-z)^2 + \kappa^2} \right)_+ - \frac{1+z}{2} \right] + \gamma_q \, \delta(1-z) \\ P_{gg}(z,\kappa^2) &= 2 \, C_A \left[\left(\frac{1-z}{(1-z)^2 + \kappa^2} \right)_+ + \frac{z}{z^2 + \kappa^2} - 2 + z(1-z) \right] + \gamma_g \, \delta(1-z) \\ P_{qg}(z,\kappa^2) &= 2 \, C_F \left[\frac{z}{z^2 + \kappa^2} - \frac{2-z}{2} \right] \\ P_{gq}(z,\kappa^2) &= 2 \, C_F \left[\frac{z}{z^2 + \kappa^2} - \frac{2-z}{2} \right] \end{split}$$

SLAC

Predictions for LEP

SLAC



Predictions for the LHC

-SLAC



NLO counterterms and MC@NLO matching

[SH]

S AC

- ► Can view new shower model as modification of CS subtraction
- ▶ IR-finite counterterms computed, implemented in event generator Sherpa (improved cancellation in $pp \rightarrow h + j$ due to regulated 1/z terms)
- Sherpa MC@NLO based on exponentiation of CS dipole subtraction terms

[Krauss,Siegert,Schönherr,SH] arXiv:1111.1220, arXiv:1208.2815

- Dire modified CS subtraction automatically available for MC@NLO matching
- Interesting differences due to evolution variables and kernels



Towards higher logarithmic accuracy

[Catani,Krauss,Prestel,SH] soon

SLAC

- ► Big drawback of parton showers is lack of higher-order kernels
- Start improving with integrated NLO splitting functions [Curci,Furmanski,Petronzio] NPB175(1980)27, PLB97(1980)437
- ▶ 2-loop cusp term subtracted & combined with LO soft contribution (similar to CMW rescaling [Catani,Marchesini,Webber] NPB349(1991)635)
- ► Implemented using weighting algorithms [Schumann, Siegert, SH] arXiv:0912.3501



Outlook

Parton showers are indispensable tools for

- phenomenology
- experimental analysis
- experiment design
- Proper treatment of soft gluon radiation is essential
- ► Matching at (N)NLO & merging at (N)LO improves PS approximation at fixed jet multiplicity → focus area of development during past decade
- ► Reduction of uncertainties in intra-jet region or for jet multiplicites beyond reach at fixed order requires improved resummation → NLO kernels
- This development just started ... stay tuned!

Thank you for your attention!



The midpoint between dipole and parton showers

[Prestel,SH] arXiv:1506.05057

SLAC



Parton shower merged with 1-jet tree-level ME using CKKW-L

The midpoint between dipole and parton showers



Standard parton shower: Δ(t, t') = exp{F(t) − F(t')}
 Exact MC solution t = F⁻¹[F(t') + log R], R − random number
 But don't want to compute F(t) = −∫_t dt̄f(t̄), as f(t) = ∑_b ∫ dz ^{αs}/_{2πt} P_{ab}(t, z)

<u>SI AC</u>

Solution in veto algorithm (hit-or-miss for Poisson distributions)

- Find overestimate g(t) > f(t) with simple integral G(t)
- Generate points according to g(t) and accept with f(t)/g(t)

Standard parton shower: Δ(t, t') = exp{F(t) − F(t')}
 Exact MC solution t = F⁻¹[F(t') + log R], R − random number
 But don't want to compute F(t) = −∫_t dt̄f(t̄), as f(t) = ∑_b ∫ dz αs/2πt Pab(t, z)

<u>SI AC</u>

Solution in veto algorithm (hit-or-miss for Poisson distributions)

- Find overestimate g(t) > f(t) with simple integral G(t)
- Generate points according to g(t) and accept with f(t)/g(t)

Probability for one acceptance

$$\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \, g(t) \, \exp\left\{-\int_t^{t_1} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} \, g(\bar{t})\right\}$$

Standard parton shower: Δ(t, t') = exp{F(t) − F(t')}
 Exact MC solution t = F⁻¹[F(t') + log R], R − random number
 But don't want to compute F(t) = −∫_t dt̄f(t̄), as f(t) = ∑_b ∫ dz αs/2πt Pab(t, z)

<u>SI AC</u>

Solution in veto algorithm (hit-or-miss for Poisson distributions)

- Find overestimate g(t) > f(t) with simple integral G(t)
- Generate points according to g(t) and accept with f(t)/g(t)

Probability for one acceptance with one rejection

$$\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} g(t) \exp\left\{-\int_{t}^{t_{1}} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} g(\bar{t})\right\} \left[\int_{t}^{t'} \mathrm{d}t_{1} \left(1 - \frac{f(t_{1})}{g(t_{1})}\right) g(t_{1}) \exp\left\{-\int_{t_{1}}^{t'} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} g(\bar{t})\right\}\right]$$

Standard parton shower: Δ(t, t') = exp{F(t) - F(t')}
 Exact MC solution t = F⁻¹[F(t') + log R], R - random number
 But don't want to compute F(t) = -∫_t dt̄f(t̄), as f(t) = ∑_b ∫ dz αs/2πt Pab(t, z)

<u>SI AC</u>

Solution in veto algorithm (hit-or-miss for Poisson distributions)

- Find overestimate g(t) > f(t) with simple integral G(t)
- Generate points according to g(t) and accept with f(t)/g(t)

Probability for one acceptance with two rejections

$$\begin{split} \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} g(t) \, \exp\left\{-\int_{t}^{t_{1}} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} \, g(\bar{t})\right\} \left[\int_{t}^{t'} \mathrm{d}t_{1} \left(1 - \frac{f(t_{1})}{g(t_{1})}\right) g(t_{1}) \, \exp\left\{-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} \, g(\bar{t})\right\}\right] \\ \times \left[\int_{t_{1}}^{t'} \mathrm{d}t_{2} \left(1 - \frac{f(t_{2})}{g(t_{2})}\right) g(t_{2}) \, \exp\left\{-\int_{t_{2}}^{t'} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} \, g(\bar{t})\right\}\right] \end{split}$$

Standard parton shower: Δ(t, t') = exp{F(t) − F(t')}
 Exact MC solution t = F⁻¹[F(t') + log R], R − random number
 But don't want to compute F(t) = −∫_t dt̄f(t̄), as f(t) = ∑_b ∫ dz αs/2πt Pab(t, z)

<u>SI AC</u>

Solution in veto algorithm (hit-or-miss for Poisson distributions)

- Find overestimate g(t) > f(t) with simple integral G(t)
- Generate points according to g(t) and accept with f(t)/g(t)

Probability for one acceptance with n rejections

$$\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} g(t) \exp\left\{-\int_{t}^{t_{1}} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} g(\bar{t})\right\} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t'} \mathrm{d}t_{i} \left(1 - \frac{f(t_{i})}{g(t_{i})}\right) g(t_{i}) \exp\left\{-\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} g(\bar{t})\right\}\right]$$

Standard parton shower: Δ(t, t') = exp{F(t) − F(t')}
 Exact MC solution t = F⁻¹[F(t') + log R], R − random number
 But don't want to compute F(t) = −∫_t dt̄f(t̄), as f(t) = ∑_b ∫ dz αs/2πt Pab(t, z)

<u>SI AC</u>

Solution in veto algorithm (hit-or-miss for Poisson distributions)

- Find overestimate g(t) > f(t) with simple integral G(t)
- Generate points according to g(t) and accept with f(t)/g(t)

Probability for one acceptance with n rejections

$$\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} g(t) \exp\left\{-\int_{t}^{t_{1}} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} g(\bar{t})\right\} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t'} \mathrm{d}t_{i} \left(1 - \frac{f(t_{i})}{g(t_{i})}\right) g(t_{i}) \exp\left\{-\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} g(\bar{t})\right\}\right]$$

Disentangle nested integrals:

$$f(t) \exp\left\{-\int_t^{t'} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} \, g(\bar{t})\right\} \frac{1}{n!} \left[\int_t^{t'} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} \left(g(\bar{t}) - f(\bar{t})\right)\right]^n$$

Standard parton shower: Δ(t, t') = exp{F(t) − F(t')}
 Exact MC solution t = F⁻¹[F(t') + log R], R − random number
 But don't want to compute F(t) = −∫_t dt̄f(t̄), as f(t) = ∑_b ∫ dz αs/2πt Pab(t, z)

-SI AC

Solution in veto algorithm (hit-or-miss for Poisson distributions)

- Find overestimate g(t) > f(t) with simple integral G(t)
- Generate points according to g(t) and accept with f(t)/g(t)

Probability for one acceptance with n rejections

$$\frac{f(t)}{g(t)}g(t)\exp\left\{-\int_{t}^{t_{1}}\mathrm{d}\bar{t}g(\bar{t})\right\}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t'}\mathrm{d}t_{i}\left(1-\frac{f(t_{i})}{g(t_{i})}\right)g(t_{i})\exp\left\{-\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}\mathrm{d}\bar{t}g(\bar{t})\right\}\right]$$

Disentangle nested integrals and sum over n:

$$f(t) \exp\left\{-\int_{t}^{t'} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} \, g(\bar{t})\right\} \frac{1}{n!} \left[\int_{t}^{t'} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} \left(g(\bar{t}) - f(\bar{t})\right)\right]^{n} \to f(t) \exp\left\{-\int_{t}^{t'} \mathrm{d}\bar{t} \, f(\bar{t})\right\}$$

Standard parton shower: Δ(t, t') = exp{F(t) - F(t')}
 Exact MC solution t = F⁻¹[F(t') + log R], R - random number
 But don't want to compute F(t) = -∫_t dt̄f(t̄), as f(t) = ∑_b ∫ dz αs/2πt Pab(t, z)

<u>SI AC</u>

Solution in veto algorithm (hit-or-miss for Poisson distributions)

- Find overestimate g(t) > f(t) with simple integral G(t)
- Generate points according to g(t) and accept with f(t)/g(t)

Standard probability for one acceptance with n rejections

$$\frac{f(t)}{g(t)}g(t)\exp\left\{-\int_{t}^{t_{1}}\mathrm{d}\bar{t}g(\bar{t})\right\}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t'}\mathrm{d}t_{i}\left(1-\frac{f(t_{i})}{g(t_{i})}\right)g(t_{i})\exp\left\{-\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}\mathrm{d}\bar{t}g(\bar{t})\right\}\right]$$
Split weight into MC and analytic part using auxiliary function $h(t)$

$$\frac{f(t)}{h(t)}g(t)\exp\left\{-\int_{t}^{t_{1}}\mathrm{d}\bar{t}g(\bar{t})\right\}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t'}\mathrm{d}t_{i}\left(1-\frac{f(t_{i})}{h(t_{i})}\right)g(t_{i})\exp\left\{-\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}\mathrm{d}\bar{t}g(\bar{t})\right\}\right]$$

$$w(t,t_{1},\ldots,t_{n})=\frac{h(t)}{g(t)}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{h(t_{i})}{g(t_{i})}\frac{g(t_{i})-f(t_{i})}{h(t_{i})-f(t_{i})}$$

Weighted veto algorithm

$$\frac{f(t)}{h(t)}g(t) \exp\left\{-\int_{t}^{t_{1}} \mathrm{d}\bar{t}\,g(\bar{t})\right\} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t'} \mathrm{d}t_{i}\left(1-\frac{f(t_{i})}{h(t_{i})}\right)g(t_{i}) \exp\left\{-\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} \mathrm{d}\bar{t}\,g(\bar{t})\right\}\right]$$
$$w(t,t_{1},\ldots,t_{n}) = \frac{h(t)}{g(t)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h(t_{i})}{g(t_{i})} \frac{g(t_{i})-f(t_{i})}{h(t_{i})-f(t_{i})}$$

<u>SI 10</u>

Looks trivial, surprisingly it's not: It allows to

- Resum sub-leading color terms in MC@NLO and POWHEG [Krauss,Schönherr,Siegert,SH] arXiv:1111.1220
- Implement higher-order splitting functions in parton showers [Catani,Krauss,Prestel,SH] in preparation
- ► Use PDFs with negative values in parton showers [Prestel,SH] arXiv:1506.05057
- Enhance branching probabilities in parton showers [Schumann,Siegert,SH] arXiv:0912.3501, [Lönnblad] arXiv:1211.7204
- Reweight parton showers [Bellm,Plätzer,Richardson,Siódmok,Webster] arXiv:1605.08256 [Mrenna,Skands] arXiv:1605.08352, [Bothmann,Schönherr,Schumann] arXiv:1606.08753

Parton-shower matching & merging



The long road to precision simulations



-SLAC

Matrix-element corrections

[Sjöstrand] PLB185(1987)435

► Parton shower evolution kernels similar to NLO subtraction terms Equivalent at leading color and w/o spin correlations:

$$\mathbf{D}_{ij,k}(\Phi_{\mathbf{R}}) = \frac{8\pi\alpha_s}{2p_i p_j} \mathbf{B}(b_{ij,k}(\Phi_{\mathbf{R}})) \mathbf{P}_{ij,k}(t_{ij,k}, z_{ij,k}, \phi_{ij,k})$$

 $b_{ij,k}$ maps real kinematics to Born, Catani-Seymour style

Can project real-emission term onto singular regions in PS → no "leftover" singularities (full color & spin imes next slide)

$$\mathbf{R}_{ij,k}(\Phi_{\mathbf{R}}) = \rho_{ij,k}(\Phi_{\mathbf{R}})\mathbf{R}(\Phi_{\mathbf{R}}) , \qquad \rho_{ij,k} = \frac{\mathbf{D}_{ij,k}(\Phi_{\mathbf{R}})}{\sum_{mn,l} \mathbf{D}_{mn,l}(\Phi_{\mathbf{R}})}$$

► Now replace PS kernels by full real-emission corrections using weight

$$w(\Phi_R) = \left[\sum_{mn,l} \frac{8\pi\alpha_s}{2p_n p_m} \frac{\mathbf{B}(b_{mn,l}(\Phi_{\mathbf{R}}))\mathbf{P}_{mn,l}(t',z',\phi')}{\mathbf{R}(\phi_R)}\right]^{-1}$$

 \rightarrow generic form of a matrix-element correction Note splitter-spectator independence, i.e. $w_{ij,k}=w$ for all ij,k

NLO+PS matching – Basics

► Leading-order calculation for observable O

$$\langle O \rangle = \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \,\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) \,O(\Phi_B)$$

NLO calculation for same observable

$$\langle O \rangle = \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \left\{ \mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) + \tilde{V}(\Phi_B) \right\} O(\Phi_B) + \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_R \,\mathrm{R}(\Phi_R) \,O(\Phi_R)$$

Parton-shower result (zero and one emission)

$$\begin{split} \langle O \rangle &= \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \,\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) \bigg[\Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t_c) \,O(\Phi_B) + \int_{t_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \,\mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \,\Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) \,O(\Phi_R) \bigg] \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)} \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \,\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) \bigg\{ 1 - \int_{t_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \,\mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \bigg\} O(\Phi_B) + \int_{t_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \,\mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \,\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B) \,\mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \,O(\Phi_R) \bigg\} \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Phase space: } \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 = \mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}z\,\mathrm{d}\phi\,J(t,z,\phi) \\ \mbox{Splitting functions: } \mathrm{K}(t,z) \to \alpha_s/(2\pi t)\,\sum\mathrm{P}(z)\,\Theta(\mu_Q^2-t) \\ \mbox{Sudakov factors: } \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t) = \exp\left\{-\int_t\mathrm{d}\Phi_1\mathrm{K}(\Phi_1)\right\} \end{array}$

[Frixione,Webber] hep-ph/0204244

SLAC

▶ Subtract $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ PS terms from subtracted NLO result $(t_c \rightarrow 0)$ $1/N_c$ corrections faded out in soft region by smoothing function

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathbf{B}}^{(\mathrm{K})}(\Phi_B) &= \mathbf{B}(\Phi_B) + \tilde{\mathbf{V}}(\Phi_B) + \mathbf{I}(\Phi_B) + \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \left[\mathbf{S}(\Phi_R) - \mathbf{B}(\Phi_B) \, \mathbf{K}(\Phi_1) \right] f(\Phi_1) \\ \mathbf{H}^{(\mathrm{K})}(\Phi_R) &= \left[\mathbf{R}(\Phi_R) - \mathbf{B}(\Phi_B) \, \mathbf{K}(\Phi_1) \right] f(\Phi_1) \end{split}$$

 \blacktriangleright Add parton shower, described by generating functional $\mathcal{F}_{\rm MC}$

$$\langle O \rangle = \int d\Phi_B \,\bar{B}^{(K)}(\Phi_B) \,\mathcal{F}^{(0)}_{MC}(\mu_Q^2, O) + \int d\Phi_R \,H^{(K)}(\Phi_R) \,\mathcal{F}^{(1)}_{MC}(t(\Phi_R), O)$$

Expansion of matched result up to first emission

$$\begin{aligned} \langle O \rangle &= \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \bar{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{K})}(\Phi_B) \bigg[\Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t_c) O(\Phi_B) \\ &+ \int_{t_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_1)) O(\Phi_r) \bigg] + \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_R \,\mathrm{H}^{(\mathrm{K})}(\Phi_{n+1}) O(\Phi_R) \end{aligned}$$

NLO+PS matching – POWHEG

[Nason] hep-ph/0409146 [Frixione,Nason,Oleari] arXiv:0709.2092

 \blacktriangleright Replace $BK \to R \Rightarrow H^{(R)}$ zero, $\bar{B}^{(R)}$ positive in physical region

$$\begin{split} \langle O \rangle &= \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \bar{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{R})}(\Phi_B) \Bigg[\Delta^{(\mathrm{R})}(t_c, s_{\mathrm{had}}) \, O(\Phi_B) \\ &+ \int_{t_c}^{s_{\mathrm{had}}} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \frac{\mathrm{R}(\Phi_R)}{\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B)} \Delta^{(\mathrm{R})}(t(\Phi_1), s_{\mathrm{had}}) \, O(\Phi_R) \Bigg] \end{split}$$

- ▶ µ_Q² changed to hadronic centre-of-mass energy squared, s_{had}, to cover full phase space for real-emission correction
- ► Absence of hard events → enhanced high-p_T region (K = B/B) Formally beyond NLO, but often sizeable → Avoid by split R → R^s + R^f

$$\begin{aligned} \langle O \rangle &= \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \bar{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{s}})}(\Phi_B) \bigg[\Delta^{(\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{s}})}(t_c, s_{\mathrm{had}}) O(\Phi_B) \\ &+ \int_{t_c}^{s_{\mathrm{had}}} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \frac{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{s}}(\Phi_R)}{\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B)} \Delta^{(\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{s}})}(t(\Phi_1), s_{\mathrm{had}}) O(\Phi_R) \bigg] + \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_R \, \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{f}}(\Phi_R) \end{aligned}$$

Example: Inclusive jet production at the LHC



-SLAC

Example: Inclusive jet production at the LHC



-SLAC

Basic idea of ME+PS merging

- Separate phase space into "hard" and "soft" region
- Matrix elements populate hard domain
- Parton shower populates soft domain
- ▶ Need criterion to define "hard" & "soft" → jet measure Q and corresponding cut, Q_{cut}



SLAC

Parton-shower histories

 \blacktriangleright Start with some "core" process for example $e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}$

- This process is considered inclusive It sets the resummation scale μ²_Q
- Higher-multiplicity ME can be reduced to core by clustering
- Clustering algorithm uniquely defined by requiring exact correspondence between ME & PS
 - Identify most likely splitting according to PS emission probability
 - Combine partons into mother according to PS kinematics
 - Continue until no clustering possible





<u>SI A6</u>

Truncated & vetoed parton showers

[Catani,Krauss,Kuhn,Webber] hep-ph/0109231 [Lönnblad] hep-ph/0112284, arXiv:1211.7204

- Higher-multiplicity MEs that can be reduced to core process are included in core's inclusive cross section (unitarity of PS)
- Sudakov suppression factors needed to make inclusive MEs exclusive
- Most efficiently computed with pseudo-showers
 - Start PS from core process
 - ► Evolve until predefined branching ↔ truncated parton shower
 - Emissions producing additional hard jets lead to event veto/weight

$$\Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t; >Q_{\mathrm{cut}}) = \exp\left\{-\int_{t} \mathrm{d}\Phi_{1} \,\mathrm{K}(\Phi_{1}) \,\Theta(Q-Q_{\mathrm{cut}})\right\}$$



▶ ME+PS for 0+1-jet in MC@NLO notation

$$\begin{split} \langle O \rangle &= \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_B \mathbf{B}(\Phi_B) \Bigg[\Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t_c) \, O(\Phi_B) + \int_{t_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \mathbf{K}(\Phi_1) \, \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t) \, \Theta(Q_{\mathrm{cut}} - Q) \, O(\Phi_R) \Bigg] \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_R \, \mathbf{R}(\Phi_R) \, \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t(\Phi_R); > Q_{\mathrm{cut}}) \, \Theta(Q - Q_{\mathrm{cut}}) \, O(\Phi_R) + \dots \end{split}$$

- ▶ Reorder by parton multiplicity k, change notation $R_k \rightarrow B_{k+1}$
- ► Analyze exclusive contribution from k hard partons only $(t_0 = \mu_Q^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} O_{k}^{\text{excl}} &= \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_{k} \, \mathrm{B}_{k} \, \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \Delta_{i}^{(\mathrm{K})}(t_{i+1}, t_{i}; > Q_{\text{cut}}) \,\Theta(Q_{k} - Q_{\text{cut}}) \\ &\times \left[\Delta_{k}^{(\mathrm{K})}(t_{c}, t_{k}) \, O_{k} \,+ \, \int_{t_{c}}^{t_{k}} \mathrm{d}\Phi_{1} \, \mathrm{K}_{k} \, \Delta_{k}^{(\mathrm{K})}(t_{k+1}, t_{k}) \,\Theta(Q_{\text{cut}} - Q_{k+1}) \, O_{k+1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

• Analyze exclusive contribution from k hard partons

$$\begin{split} \langle O \rangle_{k}^{\text{excl}} &= \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_{k} \,\bar{\mathrm{B}}_{k}^{(\mathrm{K})} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \Delta_{i}^{(\mathrm{K})}(t_{i+1}, t_{i}; > Q_{\text{cut}}) \,\Theta(Q_{k} - Q_{\text{cut}}) \\ &\times \left(1 + \frac{\mathrm{B}_{k}}{\bar{\mathrm{B}}_{k}^{(\mathrm{K})}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \int_{t_{i+1}}^{t_{i}} \mathrm{d}\Phi_{1} \mathrm{K}_{i} \,\Theta(Q_{i} - Q_{\text{cut}}) \right) \\ &\times \left[\Delta_{k}^{(\mathrm{K})}(t_{c}, t_{k}) \,O_{k} + \int_{t_{c}}^{t_{k}} \mathrm{d}\Phi_{1} \,\mathrm{K}_{k} \,\Delta_{k}^{(\mathrm{K})}(t_{k+1}, t_{k}) \,\Theta(Q_{\text{cut}} - Q_{k+1}) \,O_{k+1} \right] \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_{k+1} \,\mathrm{H}_{k}^{(\mathrm{K})} \,\Delta_{k}^{(\mathrm{K})}(t_{k}; > Q_{\text{cut}}) \,\Theta(Q_{k} - Q_{\text{cut}}) \,\Theta(Q_{\text{cut}} - Q_{k+1}) \,O_{k+1} \end{split}$$

-SI AC

- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Born matrix element} \rightarrow \mathsf{NLO}\text{-weighted Born}$
- Add hard remainder function
- Subtract $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ terms contained in truncated PS

ME+PS merging – Unitarization

-SLAC

[Lönnblad, Prestel] arXiv:1211.4827, [Plätzer] arXiv:1211.5467

Unitarity condition of PS:

$$1 = \Delta^{(K)}(t_c) + \int_{t_c} d\Phi_1 K(\Phi_1) \Delta^{(K)}(t)$$

 ME+PS(@NLO) violates PS unitarity as ME ratio replaces splitting kernels in emission terms, but not in Sudakovs

$$K(\Phi_1) \rightarrow \frac{R(\Phi_1, \Phi_B)}{B(\Phi_B)}$$

Can be corrected by explicit subtraction

$$\begin{split} 1 = & \underbrace{\left\{ \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t_c) + \int_{t_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \left[\mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) - \frac{\mathrm{R}(\Phi_1, \Phi_B)}{\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B)} \right] \Theta(Q - Q_{\mathrm{cut}}) \, \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t) \right\}}_{\text{unresolved emission / virtual correction}} \\ & + \underbrace{\int_{t_c} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \left[\mathrm{K}(\Phi_1) \Theta(Q_{\mathrm{cut}} - Q) + \frac{\mathrm{R}(\Phi_1, \Phi_B)}{\mathrm{B}(\Phi_B)} \Theta(Q - Q_{\mathrm{cut}}) \right] \Delta^{(\mathrm{K})}(t)}_{\text{resolved emission}} \end{split}$$



ME+PS merging – Practical implementations

► LO schemes

Method	Shower Generator	Unitary	References
MLM	Herwig/Pythia	No	[Mangano,Moretti,Pittau] hep-ph/0108069 [Alwall et al.] arXiv:0706.2569
CKKW	Apacic	No	[Catani,Krauss,Kuhn,Webber] hep-ph/0109231
CKKW-L	Ariadne/Pythia	No	[Lönnblad] hep-ph/0112284 [Lönnblad,Prestel] arXiv:1109.4829
METS	Sherpa CSS	No	[Krauss,Schumann,Siegert,SH] arXiv:0903.1219
CKKW'	Herwig++	No	[Hamilton,Richardson,Tully] arXiv:0905.3072
UMEPS	Pythia/Herwig++	Yes	[Lönnblad,Prestel] arXiv:1211.4827 [Plätzer] arXiv:1211.5467

-SLAC

NLO schemes

Method	Shower Generator	Unitary	References
NL^3	Ariadne/Pythia	No	[Lavesson,Lönnblad] arXiv:0811.2912
MEPS@NLO	Sherpa CSS	No	[Krauss,Schönherr,Siegert,SH] arXiv:1207.5030 [Gehrmann,Krauss,Schönherr,Siegert,SH] 5031
FxFx	Herwig(++)/Pythia	No	[Frederix, Frixione] arXiv:1209.6215
UNLOPS	Pythia/Herwig++	Yes	[Lönnblad,Prestel] arXiv:1211.7278

Example: Top quark pair production

- ► First matched/merged sim for tt+2j full result has tt+0,1,2j@NLO, 3j@LO
- ► Largely reduced theory uncertainty for both for measurement (p_T, N_{jet}) and BSM search (H_T) observables



SLAC

Light jet transverse momenta

lσ/dp₁ [pb/GeV

10

10

10

Example: Squared-loop ME+PS merging

[Cascioli,Krauss,Maierhöfer,Pozzorini,Siegert,SH] arXiv:1309.0500 9 300: W-W W^{-} ► Combine W w هو g Ŵ 9.00 9.0 Transverse momentum of leading jet Integrated cross section in the exclusive o-jet bin ********* do/dpT [pb/GeV] pTax) [pp] 0.012 10^{-4} 0.01 10-5 800.0^{1jet} 10 Б MEPS@LOOP² $4\ell + 0.1$ 0.006 $4\ell + 0j$ MEPS@LOOP² $4\ell + 0.1i$ 10^{-7} $4\ell + 1j$ LOOP2+PS 4ℓ 0.004 LOOP2+PS 4ℓ $LOOP^2 4\ell + 0j$ 10^-8 0.002 10^{-9} 0 1.4 1.4 1.2 1.2 Ratio Ratio 0.8 0.6 0.8 0.4 0.6 0.2 0 10^{2} 101 10 20 50 60 70 80 90 30 100 pmax [GeV] $p_{\rm T}$ [GeV]

-SLAC

NNLO matching – Precision frontier at particle level

[Lönnblad, Prestel] arXiv:1211.4827

PS expression for infrared safe observable, O

$$\langle O \rangle = \int d\Phi_0 B_0 \mathcal{F}_0(\mu_Q^2, O)$$
$$\mathcal{F}_n(t, O) = \Delta_n(t_c, t) O(\Phi_n) + \int_{t_a}^t d\hat{\Phi}_1 K_n \Delta_n(\hat{t}, t) \mathcal{F}_{n+1}(\hat{t}, O)$$

- ► Add ME correction to first emission $(B_0K_0 \rightarrow B_1)$ & unitarize + $\int_{t_c} d\Phi_1 \Delta_0(t_1, \mu_Q^2) B_1 \mathcal{F}_1(t_1, O) - \int_{t_c} d\Phi_1 \Delta_0(t_1, \mu_Q^2) B_1 O(\Phi_0)$
- \blacktriangleright ME evaluated at fixed scales $\mu_{R/F} \rightarrow$ need to adjust to PS

$$w_1 = \frac{\alpha_s(b\,t_1)}{\alpha_s(\mu_R^2)} \, \frac{f_a(x_a,t_1)}{f_a(x_a,\mu_F^2)} \frac{f_{a'}(x_{a'},\mu_F^2)}{f_{a'}(x_{a'},t_1)}$$

• Replace B_0 by vetoed xs $\bar{B}_0^{t_c} = B_0 - \int_{t_c} d\Phi_1 B_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle O \rangle = & \left\{ \int d\Phi_0 \, \bar{B}_0^{t_c} + \int_{t_c} d\Phi_1 \left[1 - \Delta_0(t_1, \mu_Q^2) \, w_1 \right] B_1 \right\} O(\Phi_0) \\ & + \int_{t_c} d\Phi_1 \, \Delta_0(t_1, \mu_Q^2) \, w_1 \, B_1 \, \mathcal{F}_1(t_1, O) \end{aligned}$$

NNLO matching – Precision frontier at particle level

[Li,Prestel,SH] arXiv:1405.3607

-SLAC

- Promote vetoed cross section to NNLO
- ► Add NLO corrections to B₁ using MC@NLO
- Subtract $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ term of w_1 and Δ_0

$$\begin{split} \langle O \rangle &= \int d\Phi_0 \, \bar{\bar{B}}_0^{t_c} \, O(\Phi_0) \\ &+ \int_{t_c} d\Phi_1 \left[1 - \Delta_0(t_1, \mu_Q^2) \, w_1 \Big(1 + w_1^{(1)} + \Delta_0^{(1)}(t_1, \mu_Q^2) \Big) \right] B_1 \, O(\Phi_0) \\ &+ \int_{t_c} d\Phi_1 \, \Delta_0(t_1, \mu_Q^2) \, w_1 \Big(1 + w_1^{(1)} + \Delta_0^{(1)}(t_1, \mu_Q^2) \Big) B_1 \, \bar{\mathcal{F}}_1(t_1, O) \\ &+ \int_{t_c} d\Phi_1 \, \Big[1 - \Delta_0(t_1, \mu_Q^2) \, w_1 \Big] \, \tilde{B}_1^{\rm R} \, O(\Phi_0) + \int_{t_c} d\Phi_1 \Delta_0(t_1, \mu_Q^2) \, w_1 \, \tilde{B}_1^{\rm R} \, \bar{\mathcal{F}}_1(t_1, O) \\ &+ \int_{t_c} d\Phi_2 \, \Big[1 - \Delta_0(t_1, \mu_Q^2) \, w_1 \Big] \, H_1^{\rm R} \, O(\Phi_0) + \int_{t_c} d\Phi_2 \, \Delta_0(t_1, \mu_Q^2) \, w_1 \, H_1^{\rm R} \, \mathcal{F}_2(t_2, O) \\ &+ \int_{t_c} d\Phi_2 \, H_1^{\rm E} \, \mathcal{F}_2(t_2, O) \\ \tilde{B}_1^{\rm R} = \bar{B}_1 - B_1 = \tilde{V}_1 + I_1 + \int d\Phi_{+1} S_1 \Theta(t_2 - t_1) \\ H_1^{\rm R} \, (H_1^{\rm E}) \to \text{regular} \, \left(\text{exceptional} \right) \text{ double real configurations} \end{split}$$

NNLO matching – Precision frontier at particle level



[Li,Prestel,SH] arXiv:1405.3607

-SLAC

[Les Houches SM WG] arXiv:1605.04692

SI AG

- Setup
 - Stable Higgs
 - ▶ anti- k_T jets, R =0.4, $p_{T,j}$ >30 GeV $|\eta_j|$ <4.4
- Calculations & tools in the comparison
 - Fixed-order NLO for $h + \leq 3$ jets, $H'_T/2$ & MINLO
 - LoopSim
 - NNLO for $pp \rightarrow h$ (Sherpa), $pp \rightarrow h + j$ (BFGLP)
 - Resummed h-p_T (HqT & ResBos)
 - Resummed jet veto cross section (STWZ)
 - NNLO+PS (POWHEG & Sherpa)
 - Multi-jet merging up to 2 jets at NLO (Madgraph5_aMC@NLO, Herwig 7.1)
 - Multi-jet merging up to 3 jets at NLO (Sherpa)
 - High-energy resummation (HEJ)





Comparison of approaches

0.6

SLAC



[Les Houches SM WG] arXiv:1605.04692

Comparison of approaches



[Les Houches SM WG] arXiv:1605.04692

SLAC

Comparison of approaches

SLAC



