This talk will “follow the money” in an aim to illuminate the DOE/HEP role in the Federal budget process

- Three phases of the budget process
- DOE/HEP role in each phase

Along the way, highlight how the P5 report is having a significant impact in all phases of this process

Aim is to give a useful overview, but it is not possible to capture the full details or history of each item discussed!
Federal Employee Restrictions

- **Lobbying** ([http://energy.gov/management/lobbying](http://energy.gov/management/lobbying))
  - Generally prohibited from contacting or encouraging others to contact a state or federal legislator or executive branch official in an attempt to influence the enactment or modification of legislation or other specified activities

- **Partisan Political Activity** ([https://osc.gov/Pages/HatchAct.aspx](https://osc.gov/Pages/HatchAct.aspx))
  - In general, executive branch federal employees may not:
    - Use official authority or influence to interfere with an election
    - Solicit or discourage political activity of anyone with business before their agency
    - Solicit or receive political contributions (may be done in certain limited situations by federal labor or other employee organizations)
    - Be candidates for public office in partisan elections
    - Engage in political activity while: on duty, in a government office, wearing an official uniform, or using a government vehicle
    - Wear partisan political buttons on duty
  - Certain employees (incl. Senior Executive Service) are further restricted!

- *(And more...)*
U.S. BUDGET PROCESS
Three Phases of Budget Process

• **Formulation**: Executive branch prepares the President's Budget Request
  – White House Office of Management and Budget (OMB) controls this process, providing guidance to Executive branch agencies

• **Congressional**: Enacts laws that control spending and receipts
  – Congress considers the President's Budget proposals, passes a budget resolution, and enacts the regular appropriations acts and other laws that control spending and receipts

• **Execution**: Executive branch agencies carry out program
  – OMB apportions funds to Executive Branch agencies, which obligate and disperse funding to carry out their programs, projects, and activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY 20XX Budget</th>
<th>DOE Internal Planning with OMB and OSTP Guidance</th>
<th>OMB Review</th>
<th>Congressional Budget and Appropriations</th>
<th>Spend the Fiscal Year Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct Nov Dec</td>
<td>Calendar Year (20XX–3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Calendar Year (20XX–2)</td>
<td>Calendar Year (20XX–1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CY(XX–3): Calendar Year

20XX: Calendar Year

The U.S. Federal Budget Cycle

• Typically, three budgets are being worked on at any given time
  – Executing current Fiscal Year (FY; October 1 – September 30)
  – White House Office of Management and Budget (OMB) review and Congressional Appropriation for coming FY
  – Agency internal planning for the second FY from now
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOE Internal Planning with OMB and OSTP Guidance</th>
<th>OMB Review</th>
<th>Congressional Budget and Appropriations</th>
<th>Spend the Fiscal Year Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CY(XX–3)</td>
<td>Calendar Year (20XX–2)</td>
<td>Calendar Year (20XX–1)</td>
<td>Calendar Year 20XX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overview of Budget Formulation Process

→ OMB provides policy guidance for Executive branch agency budget requests
  • Absent more specific guidance, agencies start with outyear estimates from previous budget

→ OMB works with agencies
  • Identify major issues, develop plans for fall review, plan analysis of issues that will require decisions

→ OMB provides detailed instructions for submitting budget material

→ Agencies submit budgets to OMB

→ OMB reviews budget proposals
  • Considers Presidential priorities, program performance, budget constraints

→ OMB provides recommended budget proposal to President and provides passback to agencies

→ December: Agencies may appeal to OMB and the President

→ January: Agencies prepare and OMB reviews final congressional budget justification materials

→ February: President transmits budget to Congress
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The mission of the Energy Department is to ensure America’s security and prosperity by addressing its energy, environmental and nuclear challenges through transformative science and technology solutions.

- Catalyze the timely, material, and efficient transformation of the nation’s energy system and secure U.S. leadership in clean energy technologies.
- Maintain a vibrant U.S. effort in science and engineering as a cornerstone of our economic prosperity with clear leadership in strategic areas.
- Enhance nuclear security through defense, nonproliferation, and environmental efforts.
- Establish an operational and adaptable framework that combines the best wisdom of all Department stakeholders to maximize mission success.
The High Energy Physics Program Mission

...is to understand how the universe works at its most fundamental level:

– Discover the elementary constituents of matter and energy
– Probe the interactions between them
– Explore the basic nature of space and time

The Office of High Energy Physics fulfills its mission by:

– Building projects that enable discovery science
– Operating facilities that provide the capability to perform discovery science
– Supporting a balanced research program that produces discovery science
Enabling science results is typically a process that spans many years.

For a given experiment:

- R&D (Research) → Project → Operations → Research

**HEP BUDGET ALLOCATION BY FISCAL YEAR ($ IN K)**

*All funding shown in “then-year” U.S. dollars*

![Bar chart showing budget allocation by fiscal year.](chart.png)
**DOE Project Management**

- Construction projects and fabrication of large pieces of experimental equipment costing over $10M are managed through a series of “Critical Decision” milestones
- The CD process ensures successful project execution and scientific return on agency investments, but funding must still be appropriated
  - Linked to – *but independent of* – the budget process!

**DOE 413.3B: Critical Decisions**

- **Initiation** (pre-project R&D)
  - CD-0: Approve Mission Need
  - Identifies there is a need that can only be met thru material needs
- **Project Definition** (R&D continues…)
  - CD-1: Approve Alternative Selection and Cost Range
  - Ensures the selected alternative and approach is the optimum solution
- **Project Execution**
  - CD-2: Approve Performance Baseline
  - Definitive cost, scope, and schedule baselines have been developed
  - CD-3: Approve Start of Construction
  - Project has demonstrated technical readiness for implementation
  - CD-4: Approve Start of Operations (or Project Completion)
  - Project is completed and ready for turnover to program operations

---
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Top-down and bottom-up influences to the DOE HEP budget
The FY 2017 President’s Budget Request

Figure 2: Composition of the Proposed FY 2017 Budget

Total Outlays = $4.1 trillion
(outlays in billions of dollars)

- Defense Discretionary: $529
- Nondefense Discretionary: $557
- Medicare: $598
- Medicaid: $386
- Social Security: $967
- Other Mandatory: $656
- Net Interest: $303
- Defense R&D: $79
- Nondefense R&D: $68
- Total R&D: $148.8 billion

*Approximately $4 billion for R&D is financed through mandatory spending. Figures are estimates.

Source: Budget of the United States Government FY 2017. © 2016 AAAS
Under current law, rising spending for Social Security and Medicare would boost mandatory outlays.

Total discretionary spending is projected to fall relative to GDP as funding grows modestly in nominal terms.

At the same time, higher interest rates and growing debt are projected to push up net interest payments.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY 20XX Budget</th>
<th>DOE Internal Planning with OMB and OSTP Guidance</th>
<th>OMB Review</th>
<th>Congressional Budget and Appropriations</th>
<th>Spend the Fiscal Year Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• President requests, but Congress “holds the purse”
• Congressional activity in this phase is a complex process!
• Congressional Budget Act establishes timetable for the budget process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>On or Before:</th>
<th>Action to be completed:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Mon. in Feb.</td>
<td>President submits his budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6 weeks after PBR submitted</td>
<td>Committees submit views and estimates to Budget Committees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 15</td>
<td>Congress completes action on the concurrent resolution on the budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 15</td>
<td>Annual appropriation bills may be considered in House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>House Appropriations Committee reports last annual appropriation bill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Congress completes reconciliation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>House completes action on bills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 1</td>
<td>Fiscal year begins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• **Budget Resolution**
  – Overall appropriation committee sets each subcommittee’s allocation of spending authority for the next fiscal year and aggregate spending and revenue levels for 5 years

• **Authorization legislation**
  – May create or continue agencies, programs, or activities as well as authorize and recommend funding levels for the subsequent enactment of appropriations

• **Appropriation bills (must originate in House)**
  – 12 bills define discretionary spending and provide the funding for authorized agencies, programs, or activities
  – Energy and Water Development Subcommittee has jurisdiction over DOE
Appropriations Subcommittees

- Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies
- Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies
  - National Aeronautics and Space Administration
  - National Science Foundation
- Defense
- Energy and Water Development
  - Department of Energy
- Financial Services and General Government
- Homeland Security
- Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies
  - Specific portions of Department of Health and Human Services
- Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies
  - Department of Health and Human Services (with above exceptions)
- Legislative Branch
- Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies
- State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs
- Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies
HEP Role in Congressional Process

• The budget narrative provides the justification for the level of support in the President’s Budget Request
  – Narrative provides overview of the HEP program, highlights from the past year, discussion of each subprogram’s program and plans
  – Tables with detailed breakdown of funding for past year vs. current year vs. budget request
  – Explanation of changes for each line of budget table

• Agencies usually invited to brief Congress on their budget request
  – Opportunity to reinforce overall strategy and highlight key elements of the request
    • Recall that Congress must individually approve each DOE construction project >$10M
  – Informational request for additional detail
  – Respond to requests regarding impact of alternative funding decisions
Appropriators Noticed the P5 Report

• FY 2014 House Energy and Water Development Appropriations Report:
  – “the Committee supports the Office of Science’s challenge to the High Energy Physics community to identify an LBNE construction approach that avoids large out-year funding spikes or to identify viable alternatives with similar scientific benefits at significantly lower cost.”

• FY 2015 House Energy and Water Development Appropriations Report:
  – “The Committee notes that the high energy physics research community is currently engaged in developing a ten-year plan for U.S. particle physics, which will include a ten-year report by the Particle Physics Project Prioritization Panel under various budget scenarios. The Committee applauds the Department for this undertaking . . .”

• FY 2016 House Energy and Water Development Appropriations Report:
  – “The Committee strongly supports the Department’s efforts to advance the recommendations of the Particle Physics Prioritization Panel and urges the Department to maintain a careful balance among competing priorities and among small, medium, and large scale projects.”
“Within available funds” can turn a top-line increase into a Research decrease:

High Energy Physics.—The agreement provides $26,000,000 for the Long Baseline Neutrino Facility (LBNF) project construction line. The agreement provides no funding for LBNF within Other Project Costs. It is expected that increased funding for LBNF will come from other Fermi National Laboratory funding within the High Energy Physics account. Within available funds, $10,300,000 is provided for DESI, $10,500,000 is provided for LUX ZEPLIN, and $40,800,000 is provided for the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope Camera. The agreement provides no further funding direction within the High Energy Physics account.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>729,000</td>
<td>708,232</td>
<td>731,900</td>
<td>717,900</td>
<td>722,000</td>
<td>728,900</td>
<td>-3,000</td>
<td>-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-SC-40 Long Baseline Neutrino Facility/Deep Underground Neutrino Facility, FNAL</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>+10,000</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-SC-41 Muon to Electron Conversion Experiment, FNAL</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>40,100</td>
<td>40,100</td>
<td>40,100</td>
<td>40,100</td>
<td>......</td>
<td>......</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proton Improvement Plan II</td>
<td>......</td>
<td>......</td>
<td>......</td>
<td>......</td>
<td>......</td>
<td>......</td>
<td>......</td>
<td>......</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, Construction</td>
<td>37,000</td>
<td>37,000</td>
<td>56,100</td>
<td>58,100</td>
<td>66,100</td>
<td>66,100</td>
<td>+10,000</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, High Energy Physics</td>
<td>766,000</td>
<td>745,232</td>
<td>788,000</td>
<td>776,000</td>
<td>788,100</td>
<td>795,000</td>
<td>+7,000</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If the U.S. Congress and the President have not passed all appropriations bills by September 30, a Continuing Resolution (CR) may be passed to avoid a U.S. Government shutdown:

- Must pass some level of appropriations to have legal authority to spend money!
- CRs typically extend level of funding from the previous year for a set amount of time.

A CR may impede the start of new projects:

- Projects with total cost >$10M must be line-items approved by Congress in an appropriations bill before funding can begin.
- It is possible, though not typical, for CRs to include “anomalies” that would allow new starts.

A CR may impact the ramp-up of new projects:

- DOE is committed to the successful execution of projects that have reached CD-2 and aims to provide the baseline funding profile.
- Projects that have not reached CD-2 are most likely to be impacted under a CR.

A CR may also impact future-year planning through such effects...

Given the current political climate, we expect a CR for at least part of FY 2017 and are planning accordingly:

- DOE has limited flexibility for adjustments under a CR, but will work closely with laboratory and project management to minimize any impacts.
Duration of CRs: FY 1998 – FY 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY 20XX Budget</th>
<th>DOE Internal Planning with OMB and OSTP Guidance</th>
<th>OMB Review</th>
<th>Congressional Budget and Appropriations</th>
<th>Spend the Fiscal Year Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep</td>
<td>CY(XX–3) Calendar Year (20XX–2)</td>
<td>Calendar Year (20XX–1)</td>
<td>Calendar Year 20XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Start from the general plan laid out in budget formulation, modified by the actual appropriation, taking into account:
  – Strategic plan for program
  – Available funding vehicles
  – Stewardship of DOE National Laboratories
  – Support for projects
  – Coordination with partners
Funding Vehicles

• DOE National Laboratories
  – Most are Government Owned/Contractor Operated (GOCO) Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs) and operate under Management and Operating (M&O) contracts
  – Laboratory research is mission driven and funded through Field Work Proposals (FWPs)
    • Comparative reviews of the Lab Research programs held every 3 years
  – Laboratories propose yearly financial plans
    • Mechanisms exist to tune funding each month

• Universities
  – Submit grant proposals in response to a Funding Opportunity Announcements (FOAs)
    • Comparative review informs the selection of awards
  – Award is fixed once made, with typical funding cycle of ~3 years
    • Changes are possible through submission of supplementary proposals
Typical FOAs & New Initiatives

• Typically, there is one “continual” FOA and these annual FOAs:
  – Research Opportunities in HEP (aka comparative review)
  – Early Career
  – Accelerator Stewardship

• FOAs that launch new initiatives, such as the Intermediate Neutrino Program, are informed through:
  – Strategic plans
  – Whitepapers
  – Roundtables
  – Workshops
Together, the 17 DOE laboratories comprise a preeminent federal research system, providing the Nation with strategic scientific and technological capabilities. The laboratories:

- Execute long-term government scientific and technological missions, often with complex security, safety, project management, or other operational challenges;
- Develop unique, often multidisciplinary, scientific capabilities beyond the scope of academic and industrial institutions, to benefit the Nation’s researchers and national strategic priorities; and
- Develop and sustain critical scientific and technical capabilities to which the government requires assured access.

Stewardship of Fermilab is an important part of the HEP mission.
• Successful delivery of construction projects and facilities for science is a central part of the DOE science mission
  – In particular, Office of Science practice (critical decision [CD] process and Lehman reviews) considered gold-standard in DOE
    • “Failure is not an option”
  – SC has earned the authority to manage projects flexibly
    • This authority is only protected by unblemished project execution and is recognized as essential to SC success
• DOE is committed to the successful execution of projects that have reached CD-2 and aims to provide the baseline funding profile
  – Approval of CD-2 establishes the Performance Baseline against which the project success or failure will be measured
  – CD-2 also allows project to request construction/fabrication funds
• In a difficult budget situation, projects that have not yet reached CD-2 are much more likely to have their profiles adjusted
Coordination with Partners

• Many HEP efforts are collaborative and mechanisms exist to make sure that this process goes smoothly and obligations are met
  – Contributions between partners are typically in-kind

• The White House Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) ensures that the scientific and technical work of the Executive Branch is properly coordinated
  – With oversight from OSTP, DOE/HEP coordinates closely with partner agencies, including NASA and NSF, through:
    • Memoranda of Understanding (MOU)
    • Joint Oversight Groups (JOGs)
    • Advisory panels

• The U.S. State Department can authorize DOE to establish the framework necessary to work with international partners through:
  – Science and Technology Agreements (S&TA): nation-to-nation agreements that acts as legal umbrellas for subsidiary agreements
  – Implementing Arrangements (IAs): agency-to-agency agreements for cooperation in broad areas of S&T
  – Project Annexes (PAs): Annexes to IAs are agreements that cover project- or subfield-specific cooperative activities
• The community-driven P5 strategy plays an important role in all phases of the federal budget process.

• Federal budget process is continuous, but the response time to stimulus can be long:
  – In May 2014, the FY 2015 budget was already in Congress and the FY 2016 budget was being formulated.
  – Right now, FY 2016 is wrapping up execution, FY 2017 is in Congress, and FY 2018 is the focus of agency planning.

• Community continues to play an important role in this process:
  – A long-term view is necessary to provide feedback in a context that is most helpful.
Certain functions are considered “inherently governmental” and reserved for Federal staff, including:

- Determination of Federal program priorities for budget requests
- Determination of budget policy, guidance, and strategy
- Approving, awarding and administering government prime contracts
  - Including determining what supplies or services are to be acquired with government funds

Moreover, since Federal staff are normally hired following civil service laws, there is a strong precept that contractors must not act as Federal staff and vice versa, e.g.:

- Government employees do not directly supervise contractors
- Federal staff are generally not involved in contractor personnel decisions

For all intents and purposes, DOE labs are prime contractors and lab employees are contractor employees.
Facility Operations and Construction
- Performance judged against specified metrics (e.g. $pb^{-1}$; EVMS)
- Includes maintenance, upgrades, planning for new facilities
- User support

HEP Research and Technology R&D
- Nurture and support HEP research collaborations to enable discovery science
- Participation in all phases – from design, construction, operations & analysis
- Particular emphasis on:
  - Management, design, construction and operation of HEP experiments
  - Integration of cross-cutting activities, e.g.: computation, simulation and theoretical research, in support of HEP program
  - Exploiting lab infrastructure and resources to develop next-generation particle accelerator and detector technologies for the advancement of HEP and science more broadly
• HEP Research and Technology R&D
  – Contribute significantly to HEP research collaborations to enable discovery science
  – Participation in all phases – from design, construction, operations & analysis
  – Particular emphasis on:
    • Advanced training of students and postdocs
    • Data analysis and comparison with theoretical models
    • Vision and theoretical framework for understanding the Standard Model and beyond
    • Novel and innovative concepts and approaches
    • Design of future HEP experiments
Laboratory research is mission driven and funded through Field Work Proposals

- Program guidance to the Laboratories is provided by HEP with input from a variety of sources, including:
  - The Laboratories themselves
    - Local strengths and resources
  - Advisory committees
  - Institutional reviews
- HEP holds comparative reviews of the Research programs of the labs every 3 years.

Research job classifications at Laboratories are similar to those at Universities

- Major exception is Senior Research Scientists in place of PIs

Rounding in percentages may cause total to be less than 100%
University Support

- University research is supported by a competitive, proposal-driven process
  - Grants issued after comparative review of proposals submitted to Funding Opportunity Announcements

- Research job classifications at universities, supported by HEP funding, include the following positions:
  - Principle Investigator (PI)
    - Tenured or tenure-track permanent Ph.D. staff
  - Research scientist
    - Permanent, non-tenured staff
  - Postdoctoral fellow
    - Term employees with Ph.D.
  - Graduate students
  - Administrative staff
  - Engineers
  - Computer professionals

**2013 HEP University Research Workforce (FTEs)**

- Faculty 32%
- Graduate Student 33%
- Postdoc 21%
- Research Scientist 5%
- Under Graduate 4%
- Admin/ Tech 2%
- Engineer/ CP 2%

*Rounding in percentages may cause total to be less than 100%*
In 2012, under Secretary Chu, major changes were made in how DOE operates with respect to international Lab-to-Lab interactions, including:

- Memoranda Of Understanding (MOU)
- International Cooperative Research and Development Agreements (i-CRADA)
- Strategic Partnership Projects (SPP)

A November 17, 2014, delegation order by Secretary Moniz provides further guidance:

- Previously, the labs negotiated MOUs with foreign labs in an independent manner, with limited coordination and no HQ clearances required
- Now, lab-to-lab MOUs cannot be used for R&D collaborations and scientific exchanges, and such activities need to be cleared through the DOE Site Office and DOE HQ before being signed

Implications for HEP:

- Any R&D collaboration involving DOE laboratories (outside info sharing and workshops) need legally binding agency-to-agency agreements negotiated at the DOE level
- Better coordination between the labs, DOE, and State Department and greater U.S. Government visibility for HEP international activities