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Short summary

• See past GDB and HEPiX talks on underground economy, nation-state attacks and cybercrime evolution.

• 5 years ago:
  – Attacker mostly small groups or specific individuals
  – Linux/Grid world isolated from “Windows” malware, phishing, etc.
  – Prevention & monitoring key strategy
  – Secure services

• 2016:
  – Attackers mostly global organised groups. Or nation-states.
  – Intrusions via phishing/social engineering
  – Threat intelligence (know what to look for) is key strategy
    • Almost all our intrusions are detected because a contact tells us (intelligence). We need to have good contacts!
  – Defendable services
Proposed strategy

Protecting grid services…
… by shifting emphasis away from grid and services:

- Increase our focus on people (& our relationships)
- Reach out globally: the non-WLCG part of our sites, security vendors, NRENS, peer projects, federations, etc.
- Get high quality intelligence (requires trust!)
- Build the ability to make good use of it
Detailed strategy: all of us

WLCG participants and WLCG itself should consider a strategy addressing how to:

1. Involve security vendors in monitoring/incidents/forensics
   - Appliance? Service? Partnership?
     “We are keen on working with you guys, because you have large network and you are favorable target for advanced attackers.”

2. Obtain indicators of compromise (threat intelligence)
   - Establish a solid network of security contacts?
   - Outsource and hire a security vendor (jointly or alone)?
   - Build the technical means to use them (SoC infrastructure, storage, etc.)
     • Do we need a working group for this?
     • Should we work on a “HEP appliance”, like the NSF is the US?

3. Involve law enforcement for serious breaches
   - Attackers rarely decide they have had enough data/money…

4. Continue to raise the bar
   - Make it as difficult and expensive possible to break-in
Detailed strategy: management

• Treat WLCG security is a global issue
  – Not limited to WLCG/HEP sites
  – Including: operations, traceability, incident handling, policies
  – Continue to invest in global trust frameworks
  – Continue to contribute to global efforts against cybercrime
    • Focus on major threats that are known to cause significant damage to WLCG participants (Dridex, etc.)

• Main strategy for the VOs
  – Focus on traceability and controls (blocking) in priority
  – Participate more actively in the incident response process

• Shift security emphasis from services to people
  – Next big breach likely via phishing, unlikely via SSH/grid 0-day
Detailed strategy: incident response

- Leverage WLCG’s incident response contacts globally
  - Not solely rely on EGI CSIRT and OSG Security team
  - Reinforce cooperation with federations, eduGAIN, security vendors, private sector, etc.
  - Propose an academic security trust group to share threat intelligence

- Update WLCG’s incident response workflow
  - Centrally coordinated forensics and analysis - to help support the many sites with limited forensics expertise
  - Sites will would simply fulfil “traceability” requests *(unless they have expertise to do more)*
  - Involve more directly the WLCG/EGI operations team (already the case in OSG) and VOs

- Prepare for possible funding for serious cases?
  - Security vendor
  - Travel expenses of WLCG experts, etc.