

### **Plasmon mass scale and linearized gauge field** fluctuations in classical Yang-Mills theory

A. Kurkela<sup>1,2</sup>, T. Lappi<sup>3,4</sup>, <u>J. Peuron<sup>3</sup></u>

<sup>1</sup>Theoretical Physics Department, CERN, Switzerland <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Stavanger, Norway <sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, Finland <sup>4</sup>Helsinki Institute of Physics, University of Helsinki, Finland



### **Introduction & initial conditions [1]**

Classical Yang-Mills (CYM) calculations have been used to model the pre-thermal evolution of the strongly interacting matter created in ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions. Our aim here is to study the limits of the quasiparticle picture in real time classical Yang-Mills theory on a lattice in 3 spatial dimensions.

We sample our gauge fields so that the initial quasiparticle spectrum satisfies:

$$f(k, t = 0) = \frac{n_0}{g^2} \frac{k}{\Delta} \exp\left(\frac{-k^2}{2\Delta^2}\right).$$

### **Results & dependence on the lattice cutoffs**<sup>[1]</sup>



• More highly occupied systems enter the asymptotic regime faster.

# **Extracting plasmon mass, 3 methods [1]**

**DR** Effective dispersion relation with Coulomb gauge fields

 $\omega^{2}(k) = \frac{\left\langle \left| \dot{E}_{i}^{a}(k) \right|^{2} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \left| E_{i}^{a}(k) \right|^{2} \right\rangle},$ fit as  $\omega^{2} = ak^{2} + \omega_{pl}^{2}.$ miform

- **UE** Add a uniform electric field at  $t = t_0$ , measure oscillations of electric and magnetic energy vs t.
- **HTL** Perturbation theory, Hard Thermal Loops

 $\omega_{pl}^{2} = \frac{4}{3}g^{2}N_{c}\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}}\frac{f(k)}{k}.$ 

## **Quantum fluctuations** [2]

Due to plasma instabilities quantum fluctuations can have a very dramatic impact on the equilibration of the classical field system. The fluctuation spectrum is very UV-

- The late-time evolution is consistent with a  $t^{-2/7}$  power law [3].
- The DR method depends on maximum  $k^2/\Delta^2$  in fit (DR 1 vs. DR 3).



- Left: infrared cutoff (lattice size  $L\Delta$ ) dependence with two different ultraviolet cutoffs (lattice spacings  $a_s \Delta = 0.3$  [up],  $a_s \Delta = 0.5$  [down]). We observe no significant IRcutoff dependence.
- Right: ultraviolet cutoff dependence. The HTL and UE methods seem to converge to same continuum limit.

#### **Fluctuations on the lattice [2]**

divergent, which makes the numerical treatment difficult. To control these problems we explicitly linearize the fluctuations on the lattice on mode by mode basis. Continuum equations of motion of linearized fluctuations:

$$\dot{a}_i = e^i \dot{e}^i = \left[ D_j, \left[ D_j, a_i \right] \right] - \left[ D_j, \left[ D_i, a_j \right] \right] + ig \left[ a_j, F_{ji} \right].$$

Gauss's law (for the fluctuations) reads

$$c(\mathbf{x},t) = \left[D_i, e^i\right] + ig\left[a_i, E^i\right] = 0.$$

### Conclusions

- We have studied the plasmon mass scale in pure glue QCD using 3 methods. The DR method agrees with the other methods within a factor of two.
- The UE and HTL methods agree in the continuum limit.
- The UE method is the most stable against varying

The time-evolution equation for the fluctuation of the electric field is straightforward

$$\begin{split} a_s^2 e^i(t+\mathrm{d}t) &= a_s^2 e^i(t) - \mathrm{d}t \sum_{j \neq i} \left[ i \Big( a_i(\mathbf{x}) \Box_{i,j}(\mathbf{x}) + a_j(\mathbf{x} + \hat{\imath} \to \mathbf{x}) \Box_{i,j}(\mathbf{x}) + a_i(\mathbf{x}) \Box_{i,-j}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &- \Box_{i,j}(\mathbf{x}) a_i(\mathbf{x} + \hat{\jmath} \to \mathbf{x}) - \Box_{i,j}(\mathbf{x}) a_j(\mathbf{x}) + \Box_{i,-j}(\mathbf{x}) a_j(\mathbf{x} - \hat{\jmath} \to \mathbf{x}) \\ &- a_j(\mathbf{x} + \hat{\imath} - \hat{\jmath} \to \mathbf{x} + \hat{\imath} \to \mathbf{x}) \Box_{i,-j}(\mathbf{x}) - \Box_{i,-j}(\mathbf{x}) a_i(\mathbf{x} - \hat{\jmath} \to \mathbf{x}) \Big) \bigg]_{\mathrm{ah}}, \end{split}$$

with the background field plaquette  $\Box_{i,j}(\mathbf{x})$  and the parallel transported fluctuation

 $a_i(\mathbf{x} + \hat{\imath} \to \mathbf{x}) \equiv U_i(\mathbf{x})a_i(\mathbf{x} + \hat{\imath})U_i^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}).$ 

The subscript ah stands for the antihermitean traceless part.

**Problem** The naive discretization of  $\dot{a}_i = e^i$  does not conserve the discretized Gauss's law:

$$c(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{i} \frac{1}{a^2} \Big\{ e^i(\mathbf{x}) - e^i(\mathbf{x} - \hat{\imath} \to \mathbf{x}) + i[a_i(\mathbf{x} - \hat{\imath} \to \mathbf{x}), E^i(\mathbf{x} - \hat{\imath} \to \mathbf{x})] \Big\}.$$

**Solution** We construct the time-evolution equation of  $a_i$  by explicitly requiring that it

ultraviolet and infrared cutoffs.

• We have also derived and implemented linearized lattice equations for fluctuations in CYM, conserving Gauss's law exactly.

### References

[1] T. Lappi, J. Peuron, Phys. Rev. D 95, 014025 [2] A. Kurkela, T. Lappi, J. Peuron, Eur. Phys. J. C76 (2016) no. 12, 688 [3] A. Kurkela, G. D. Moore, Phys.Rev. D89 (2014) no.7, 074036

conserves Gauss's law.

**Result** The equation (in the fundamental representation of SU(2)) is

$$a_{i}(t+\mathrm{d}t) = \frac{i}{2\operatorname{Tr}\left[E^{i}E^{i}\right]} \left[E^{i}, -i\left(\Box_{0i}e^{i\perp}\Box_{0i}^{\dagger} - e^{i\perp}\right) + \left[E^{i}, \Box_{0i}a_{i}^{\perp}(t)\Box_{0i}^{\dagger}\right]\right] + \mathrm{d}te^{i\parallel} + a_{i}^{\parallel}(t)$$

Here  $\Box_{0i} = e^{iE^{i}dt}$  is the "timelike plaquette". The notations  $\perp$  and  $\parallel$  refer to components of  $a_i$  and  $e^i$  that are perpendicular or parallel to  $E^i$  in color space.

We have also tested a numerical implementation of these equations and verfied that the Gauss's law is conserved within machine precision and that the linearization works.