

32nd Winter Workshop on Nuclear Dynamics 2016 Guadaloupe - 28Feb-5Mar 2016

Livio Bianchi University of Houston On behalf of the ALICE Collaboration

Strangeness production as a function of charged particle multiplicity in pp and p-Pb collisions in ALICE





Strangeness: from A-A to small systems



Strange particle yields extensively studied in A-A:

- Strangeness enhancement
- More pronounced at lower energy
- Canonical suppression in pp



Strangeness: from A-A to small systems





Strangeness: from A-A to small systems

3 16



What happens in smaller systems? Is there an evolution with multiplicity?

Strange particle yields extensively studied in A-A:

- Strangeness enhancement
- More pronounced at lower energy
- Canonical suppression in pp
- Intermediate- p_{T} enhancement interpreted as hydrodynamical radial flow



Detecting strange particles in ALICE





DETECTORS USED IN THIS ANALYSIS:



5 — 16





DETECTORS USED IN THIS ANALYSIS:

ITS (|η| < 0.9)
6 layers of silicon detectors:
trigger, tracking, vertex, PID (dE/dx)</pre>



5

16



DETECTORS USED IN THIS ANALYSIS:

ITS (|η| < 0.9)
6 layers of silicon detectors:
trigger, tracking, vertex, PID (dE/dx)</pre>

TPC (|η| < 0.9) Gas-filled ionization detector: tracking, PID (d*E*/d*x*)



EMCal

HMPID

ZDC

TOA, VOA

TRD

TOF

PHOS

A Large Ion Collider Experiment



DETECTORS USED IN THIS ANALYSIS:

ITS (|η| < 0.9)
6 layers of silicon detectors:
trigger, tracking, vertex, PID (dE/dx)</pre>

TPC (|η| < 0.9) Gas-filled ionization detector: tracking, PID (d*E*/d*x*)



L3 solenoid

absorber

dipole

V0 (2.8 < η < 5.1 (V0A) &
 -3.7 < η < -1.7 (V0C))
Forward arrays of scintillators:
 trigger, beam gas rejection,
 multiplicity estimation</pre>



-3.7 < η < -1.7 (V0C))

Forward arrays of scintillators:

trigger, beam gas rejection,

multiplicity estimation

5

16



DETECTORS USED IN THIS ANALYSIS:

ITS (|η| < 0.9)
6 layers of silicon detectors:
trigger, tracking, vertex, PID (dE/dx)</pre>

TPC (|η| < 0.9) Gas-filled ionization detector: tracking, PID (d*E*/d*x*)

HOW DO WE ESTIMATE MULTIPLICITY:

- Use forward rapidity estimator V0
- For each V0 multiplicity class we take the average of the distribution of charged tracks in $|\eta| < 0.5$: $\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle$



Strange particle signal





Several topological selections tuned in order to perform reliable signal extraction

PID performed with TPC for all the 2(3) V0(cascade) decay products

Bin-counting technique applied to extract yields





Transverse momentum spectra: pp

Livio Bianchi WWND 2016 Guadaloupe

8

16



Spectra get harder for higher multiplicity

Ratio SPECTRA^{bin-i} / SPECTRA^{INEL} constant for $p_T \gtrsim 3$ GeV/c

Lévy-Tsallis fits performed in order to extract yields (low- p_{T} extrapolation)

Livio Bianchi WWND 2016 Guadaloupe

Transverse momentum spectra: p-Pb

ALICE



Same hardening with multiplicity observed in p-Pb collisions

9



Blast-Wave fit: p-Pb



(in each multiplicity bin) Used to predict Ξ and Ω spectra in the same multiplicity bins

Strange and multi-strange particles seem to follow a common radial expansion with all other particles







ALI-PREL-99175

11 16

10²







The ratio depends on the event multiplicity in a **qualitatively similar** way **in pp, p-Pb and Pb-Pb**

The magnitude is smaller in pp with respect to p-Pb and Pb-Pb, but note that for similar percentiles $\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle$ is dramatically different among the three systems



13 ____ 16





16

13



p-Pb:

- Rising trend as a function of multiplicity
- Good agreement with inclusive pp (low multiplicity) and Pb-Pb (high multiplicity)





p-Pb:

- Rising trend as a function of multiplicity
- Good agreement with inclusive pp (low multiplicity) and Pb-Pb (high multiplicity)

pp:

- Rising trend as a function of multiplicity
- Perfect agreement with p-Pb



13



p-Pb:

- Rising trend as a function of multiplicity
- Good agreement with inclusive pp (low multiplicity) and Pb-Pb (high multiplicity)

pp:

- Rising trend as a function of multiplicity
- Perfect agreement with p-Pb

Pythia6 and 8 with several tunes considered: strong disagreement with observed trend in pp



14

____ 16





Livio Bianchi WWND 2016 Guadaloupe

14

16



p-Pb:

- Rising trend as a function of multiplicity
- Values bridge the inclusive pp result and the lowest multiplicity probed in Pb-Pb
- Reaches GC saturation value (THERMUS and GSI-Heidelberg models) in the case of Ξ , while staying below in the case of Ω



14

16

<u>×10⁻³</u> ×10⁻³ $(\Xi^+\overline{\Xi}^+) / (\pi^+\pi^+)$ μ GSI-Heidelberg model THERMUS V3.0 model GSI-Heidelberg model THERMUS V3.0 model + Pb-Pb - T_{ch}=156 MeV Pb-Pb - T_{ch}=155 MeV Pb-Pb - T_{ch}=156 MeV Pb-Pb - T_{ch}=155 MeV Έ $\overline{\Omega})$ 0.8 + Ġ 0.6 ALICE p-Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ VOA Mult. Evt. Classes (Pb-side) 3 ALICE 0.4 P-Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV V0A Mult. Evt. Classes (Pb-side) pp (INEL) \s = 900 GeV pp (INEL) \s = 7 TeV pp (INEL) \s = 7 TeV Pb-Pb \(\sum_{NN} = 2.76 \) TeV Pb-Pb \(\sum s_{NN} = 2.76 \) TeV 0.2 • Preliminary pp 1s = 7 TeV Preliminary pp s = 7 TeVV0M Mult. Evt. Classes V0M Mult. Evt. Classes 10² 10³ 10² 10^{3} 10 10 $\left<\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{ch}}/\mathrm{d}\eta\right>_{\left|\eta\right|<\,0.5}$ $\left< \mathrm{d} \textit{N}_{\mathrm{ch}} \! / \! \mathrm{d} \eta \right>_{\mid \eta \mid < 0.5}$ ALI-PREL-98940 ALI-PREL-98932

p-Pb:

- Rising trend as a function of multiplicity
- Values bridge the inclusive pp result and the lowest multiplicity probed in Pb-Pb
- Reaches GC saturation value (THERMUS and GSI-Heidelberg models) in the case of Ξ , while staying below in the case of Ω

p-p:

- Remarkably similar trend as in p-Pb
- Same mechanism at play from very low multiplicity and for the three systems?



14

16 Guadaloupe THERMUS V3.0 model Pb-Pb - T_{ch}=155 MeV



p-Pb:

- Rising trend as a function of multiplicity
- Values bridge the inclusive pp result and the lowest multiplicity probed in Pb-Pb
- Reaches GC saturation value (THERMUS and GSI-Heidelberg models) in the case of Ξ , while staying below in the case of Ω

p-p:

- Remarkably similar trend as in p-Pb
- Same mechanism at play from very low multiplicity and for the three systems?

Pythia6 and 8 with several tunes considered: strong disagreement with observed trend in pp



pp and p-Pb normalized to pp_{INEL}



How fast does the h/π ratio increase for the different species?

We plot $[h/\pi]^{\rm system}$ / $[h/\pi]^{\rm pp}_{\rm INEL}$

The relative increase with multiplicity is more pronounced for baryons with higher strangeness content

The increase is **strangeness**related and not baryonrelated, since for protons the ratio remains constant from $\langle N_{ch} \rangle^{INEL}$ up to highest $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$ probed



The ALICE Collaboration reported on the study of strange particle production as a function of multiplicity in pp and p-Pb collisions at the LHC

p_T spectra:

- hardening as a function of $\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle$ reflected in a logarithmic increase of the $\langle p_T \rangle$
- In p-Pb global Blast-Wave fit describes spectra reasonably from π up to Ω

Λ/π , Ξ/π and Ω/π ratios:

- increase as a function of $\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle$ with the same trend in pp and p-Pb
- baryons with higher strangeness content exhibit larger increase with multiplicity
- Pythia6 and Pythia8(Monash) do not reproduce the observed trend in pp collisions

$\Lambda/\mathrm{K}^0_\mathrm{S}$ ratio as a function of p_T :

• shows a qualitatively similar trend as the same quantity measured in p-Pb and Pb-Pb







Topological cuts

Livio Bianchi WWND 2016 Guadaloupe B2 ____





Acceptance × efficiency correction

Livio Bianchi WWND 2016 Guadaloupe

0.4 $\mathsf{BR}\times\mathsf{A}{\times}{\in}$ $BR \times Axe$ **ALICE** Performance 0.6 ALICE Performance 0.35 pp \s=7TeV |y|<0.5 pp \s=7TeV |y|<0.5 0.5 0.3 0.25 0.4 0.2 0.3 0.15 0.2 0.1 K_{s}^{0} $+\Omega$ 0.1 0.05 $\Phi \overline{\Omega}^+$ ♦፹⁺ 0, 10 2 6 8 12 2 3 5 6 Δ 0 $p_{_{\rm T}}$ (GeV/c) p_{τ} (GeV/c) ALI-PERF-101852 ALI-PERF-101820

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Acceptance} \times \mbox{efficiency} \ (A \! \times \! \epsilon) \ \mbox{estimated estimated with a Monte} \\ \mbox{Carlo simulation based on the PYTHIA Perugia-0 event generator and full ALICE} \\ \mbox{geometry modeled in Geant3} \end{array}$

 Ξ_{-} and Ω corrections were obtained using a Monte Carlo

sample with enriched cascade content

$A{\times}\epsilon$ verified to be independent of charged particle multiplicity



$\Lambda/K_{\rm S}^0$ compared to INEL



Ratio $\Lambda/K_{\rm S}^0$ for the lowest and highest multiplicity bins probed, compared to the same ratio evaluated for 0-100% multiplicity

The multiplicity-integrated trend is more similar to the one observed at high multiplicity rather than to the one observed at low multiplicity