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## Tracker to Solenoid Alignment

- Aim is to measure the tracker tilt angle wrt solenoid field


Tilt angle $\Theta_{x}$
(rotation about x axis)

## Magnet Mapping - Plan (CM42)




## Algorithm

- Tracks make a helix through the field
- Projection onto solenoid $x-y$ is a circle
- Use polynomial expansion for a circle
- $R^{2}=\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{0}\right)^{2}$
- Transform to tracker coordinate system (u, v, w)
- Small angle approximation
- $R^{2}=\left(u+\theta_{x} w-x_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(v+\theta_{y} w-y_{0}\right)^{2}$
- Expand and divide through by constant term
- $\left\{-2 x_{0} u-2 y_{0} v+\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)+2 \theta_{x} w u+2 \theta_{y} w v-2\left(y_{0} \theta_{y}+x_{0} \theta_{x}\right) w\right\} / a_{2}=1$
- $a_{2}=R^{2}-x_{0}{ }^{2}+y_{0}{ }^{2}$
- This is a sum of polynomial terms; we can fit track by track using linear least squares
- Then histogram the resultant angles
- The mean might be the measured angle
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## Job List

- Clipping of tails in distribution; ROOT calculation of RMS is incorrect Done
- Now use fit to peak
- Fracks fitted with $\theta_{*}-0.1$ rad are not small angles
- Now use fit to peak
- Compare w coefficient with uw, vw-coefficients
- See slides
- Try fitting with $\theta_{*}\left(\right.$ and $\left.\theta_{\ngtr}\right)$ forced to 0
- Try a chi squared cut
- Furn into an iteration; fit, rotate, fit, rotate,...
- No improvement
- Check vs MC
- Look at beam distributions to check run conditions were same
- Try a "global fit" i.e. invert a big matrix with individual $x_{0}, y_{0}, r$, but global $\theta_{x^{\prime}} \theta_{y}$
- Be careful to define $\theta_{x}, \theta_{y}$ Rogers to define convention


## Data

- 2015-07-24
- SSD run at ~ 1.5 T
- 3 runs, 7288, 7289, 7290
- Reconstructed using MAUS ? Legacy geometry
- 2015-09-21
- SSU run at ~ 1.5 T
- 4 runs 7367, 7368, 7369, 7370, 7376, 7377
- Run aborted due to unexpected magnet ramp during 7367/7377
- Reconstructed using MAUS v1.1.0 geometry: CDB ID 70
- 2015-10-07
- SSU run at ~ 4 T
- 2 runs 7469, 7475
- Reconstructed using MAUS v1.1.1 geometry: Preprod CDB ID 674
- All geometries have known issues
- May mean that angles ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) are mixed


## Analysis

- Cuts as follows:
- Require exactly one space point in TOF1 and TOF2
- Require 5 space points in relevant tracker, one per station
- Require 15 clusters
- No "muon window" cut
- Calculate theta as $\mathrm{a}_{5} /\left(2 \mathrm{a}_{2}\right)$
- Attempted to cross check with $a_{3} /\left(a_{0} a_{4}+a_{1} a_{5}\right)$ but spread was too big to be useful
- Consistency run to run is ~ a bit rough
- Errors are raw ROOT TFit errors - may not be correct
- Systematics are under study and have not been folded in
- See later slides



- Haven't looked at archiver yet


## Run 7367-SSU 1.5 T



TKU $\theta_{y}$


| Run | $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{x}}[\mathrm{mrad}]$ | $\operatorname{Err}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)[\mathrm{mrad}]$ | $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{y}}[\mathrm{mrad}]$ | $\operatorname{Err}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)[\mathrm{mrad}]$ | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 7367 | 0.81 | 0.4 | -1.26 | 0.42 | Pion reference run |
| 7368 | -0.36 | 0.79 | -3.28 | 0.78 | Pion reference run |
| 7369 | 0.16 | 0.42 | -1.29 | 0.39 | Pion reference run |
| 7370 | 0.68 | 0.45 | -1.04 | 0.47 | Pion reference run |
| 7376 | 0.77 | 0.43 | -0.45 | 0.43 | Magnets were ramping up |
| 7377 | 1.62 | 0.91 | 1.26 | 1.27 | Magnets were ramping up |

## Run 7469-SSU 4 T




| Run | $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{x}}[\mathrm{mrad}]$ | $\operatorname{Err}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)[\mathrm{mrad}]$ | $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{y}}$ [mrad] | $\operatorname{Err}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)[\mathrm{mrad}]$ | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 7469 | 0.51 | 0.53 | -2.1 | 0.53 | $3-200$ Muons |
| 7475 | 0.34 | 0.17 | -0.57 | 0.17 | Pion reference run |

## Run 7289-SSD 1.5 T

TKD uw $\theta_{x}$


TKD vw $\theta_{y}$


| Run | $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{x}}[\mathrm{mrad}]$ | $\operatorname{Err}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{x}}\right)[\mathrm{mrad}]$ | $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{y}}[\mathrm{mrad}]$ | $\operatorname{Err}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{x}}\right)[\mathrm{mrad}]$ | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 7288 | 5.1 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 0.8 | $6-140$ muons |
| 7289 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 4.1 | 0.92 | $6-140$ muons |
| 7290 | 6.6 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.81 | $6-140$ muons |

- Thanks for your attention


## Monte Carlo

- What about errors?
- Systematic in particular
- Try Monte Carlo


## Monte Carlo Geometry ID 72



## Monte Carlo Geometry ID 72

Elevation


## Fields



