J-PARC neutrino beam and the T2K

Design, Goal and Construction status

3rd CHIPP Swiss neutrino workshop November17-19, 2008

Koichiro Nishikawa KEK





T2K Collaboration

~400 members from 12 Countries Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Korea, Poland, Russia, Spain, Switzerland, UK, US



Goal of T2K

- Neutrino flavor oscillation
 - Explicit demonstration of flavor oscillation with the best possible sensitivity
 - Search of v_e appearance in v_{μ} beam
 - The last of the three mixing angles
 - Possible tool for CPV search in leptons
 - Precision measurement of v_{μ} disappearance parameters
 - How close the θ_{23} to $\pi/4$: maximal mixing?
- Opening future direction

Various options, but the choice depends on :

- Physics results available
- Technologies in high power proton beam handling
- Understanding of sources of systematic errors
- Detector R/D

<u>Importance of $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e}$ appearance oscillation</u> $\underline{\delta}$: **CP Violation in Lepton Sector**

$$P_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sum_{j>i} \operatorname{Re}(U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha j}U_{\beta j}^{*}) \sin^{2}\frac{(m_{j}^{2} - m_{i}^{2})L}{4E_{\nu}}$$
$$\mp 2\sum_{j>i} \operatorname{Im}(U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha j}U_{\beta j}^{*}) \sin\frac{(m_{j}^{2} - m_{i}^{2})L}{2E_{\nu}}$$

v disappearance 1- P_{ee} : α=β |U_{αi}|² Real →CPV cannot be observed

CPV in
$$\underline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \underline{\nu}_{\underline{e}} \propto \sin\theta_{12} \sin\theta_{23} (\sin\theta_{13}) \Delta m^2_{12} (L/E) (\sin\delta)$$

 $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ appearance and CPV in the mixing

Main features of T2K

Super-K exist at the distance 295km and $\Delta m^2 \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$

- 1. Super-K water Cherenkov detector is the superb detector for low E neutrinos (small number of charged particles in final state), backed by twenty years of experience
- 2. New high power accelerator at J-PARC
- 3. Oscillation max. at sub-GeV neutrino energy, matched well for Super-K performance
 - QE dominant in sub-GeV
 - Event-by event E_V reconstruction by kinamatics
- 4. New beam design
 - Small high energy tail
 - small BKG in νe search and $E\nu$ reconstruction for oscillation pattern studies
 - Almost monochromatic
 - Extra E(rec.) requirement on v_e appearance signal $_7$

Low semi-narrow band beam and neutrino event reconstruction Energy and Lepton ID

Narrow intense beam: Off-axis beam





<u>Good E_v determination capability and</u> <u>Disappearance measurements</u>



11



CHERENKOV EFFECT

 $\beta = \mathbf{v}/\mathbf{c}$ n(water) = 1.33 $\cos \theta = 1/\beta n$ $\beta = 1$ $\theta = 42$ degrees

.....

 $\nu\mu$



PID in Super-Kamiokande





u

13

Particle ID (e & µ) (in single ring events)



One of the critical experimental issues Prediction of beam at Near and Far sites

Only the product (Flux times cross section) as a function of E can be measured

$$N_{obs}^{near}(E_{\nu}) = F^{near}(E_{\nu}) \cdot \sigma(E_{\nu})$$
$$N_{obs}^{far}(E_{\nu}) = F^{far}(E_{\nu}) \cdot \underline{P(\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \nu_{\beta})} \cdot \sigma(E_{\nu})$$

 $P(v_{\alpha} \rightarrow v_{\beta})$ is the object to measure

- 1. $F^{near}(E_v)$, $F^{far}(E_v)$ different from $1/r^2$ unless decay at rest
 - Different spectrum due to finite decay length and acceptance at two distances
 - Hadron production expt. (NA61) (Dr. B. Popov talk)
- 2. $\sigma(E)$ poorly known at low-medium energy
 - Two measurements at different distances can reduce the effect of ambiguities of cross sections (ND280) ¹⁵

Neutrino spectrum difference at far and near



Far/Near Ratio in K2K



Angular acceptance (well collimated for HE)

Finite decay volume length (less decay for HE)

Distributions for mesons 1 GeV/c, <math>l < 250 mrad

T2K setup



- Neutrino beamline
- Muon monitors @ ~140m
 - Fast (spill-by-spill) monitoring of beam direction/intensity $(\pi \rightarrow \mu \nu)$
- ND280 @280m
 - Flux/spectrum/ve bkg- off-axis
 - intensity/direction on-axis
- Far detector @ 295km
 - Super-Kamiokande







dc count

TPC

ECAL

Microstructure of 100 pixel device

Photo-sensor (~60k ch) in production

FGD

Installation at ND280 (Apr-Jun 08)





Magnet Installation Completed June 15, 2008 On time and budget!

Swiss contributions Bern, ETH, Geneva

- TPC mechanics, testing, and assembly
- Major contributions to NA61
- Major contributions to UA1 magnet
- Analysis



Construction status

J-PARC Accelerator and Experimental Facility





Problems to be cleared and Achievements (Changing daily)

Achievements

- LINAC+RCS High power demonstration
 - RCS 213kw equiv. operation for 70 seconds
 - (Limited capacity of beam dump)
 - 1.77×10^{13} protons per pulse, 2 bunch/pulse, 25Hz
 - RCS 353kw equiv. operation for one pulse
 - 2.93 × 10¹³ protons per pulse, 2 bunch/pulse, 25Hz
- MR
 - ~1 hour operation with 3.64 sec cycle time
 - 3GeV (RF capture), 4 × 10¹¹ protons/bunch, single bunch, controlled abort after 1 sec
 - Various optics measurement/tuning has been done

Work in progress

- Leakage Magnetic Field from Septum Magnet
 - Additional Magnetic Shielding is Designed and Attached
- Effect of Magnet Power Supply Ripple
 - Cable Re-Configuration, Additional Noise Filtering and Feed Back Tuning are underway

MR Schedule

- Intermission: July08-Nov08
 - Install rest of the fast extraction devices
 - Install slow extraction devices
 - Various tuning/preparation for acceleration/extraction
- Beam Commissioning: Dec08-Feb09
 - Fast extraction system (for beam dump)
 - Acceleration to 30GeV
 - Slow extraction
- Intermission: March09
 - Integrate neutrino beam facility to other radiation restricted area
 - 5th RF system installation
- Beam Commissioning: Apr09-
 - Neutrino beam facility commissioning with beam (Beam Power < 7.2kw Apr-June)
- *Accelerator Team is doing their best to provide 100kw × 10⁷sec proton power on target by summer 2010 (> CHOOZ limit by appearance expt.) and Power improvement toward ~MW after that



Installed Kicker Magnet

Neutrino Beamline at J-PARC



28



MW Neutrino Beam Line

- Heating by dEdX
 - Water cooling and He cooling (where possible)
- Shock wave and high radiation
 - Remote handling
 - Graphite for target and dump core (< 10ppm O_2)
 - Tritium, NOx production
 - Minimum number of beam windows
 - One piece enclosure from entrance to the target area to beam dump, filled with He



- Installation of the helium vessel(~470ton, 1000m³) finished, passed vacuum test.
- Target and horn are being prepared for installation

<u> </u>					
Sum	Design	Eliginaering		D tion	Installatio
	Design	Design			
Proton Beam monitor					Feb.~
Superconducting magnets					Feb~
Cryogenics					Apr~
Normal Conducting magnets					
Vacuum system				-	
Target					Aug.~
Horn					Aug.~
Target Station					
Beam Window					Jul~
Decay Volume					
Beam Dump					Aug~
Muon monitor					

- All components are in production/installation phase
- Installations are starting as scheduled

Summary

summer.

physics

result



- Primary beam-line
 - Most of components are Installed.
 - → Commissioning will start in Nov.
- Secondary beam-line
 - Heavy Installation work in Oct. ~ Nov.
 - Commissioning of Horns will be starts in Feb.
- DAQ/Interlock
 - All the hardware is prepared. \rightarrow System commissioning in Dec

Neutrino beam-line construction on schedule for the commissioning from Apr.

→ 1st physics result in 2010 summer with 100kW×10⁷sec beam

<u>Summary</u>

In one year

- Entire J-PARC accelerator complex will be commissioned soon, still many technical problems to be overcome
- Construction of T2K beam line is on time and will be commissioned in April 2009
- Aiming for first results in 2010

In several years

- T2K will provide vital information on $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{13}$, needed to define next step
- New accelerator and beam line have been build based on Super-Kamiokande
- Future experiment will be build based on new accelerator, new beam line and the results from T2K

Thank you for your attention!

First few years



T2K Discovery Potential on $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e}$ as a Function of Integrated Power

Integrated Power (107Mw sec: ~1Mw × Effective 1 Year Experimental Period)^{11 Pggposal}