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## Matter : Anti-Matter

We only need a 1:10-10 asymmetry since matter:photon density from astronomical measurements is $\sim 6 \times 10^{-10}$.

## Standard model: exactly 50:50

- Why? Because it has CPT invariance.
$\square$ We need CPT violation to explain the dominance of matter

Something's gotto give

## Two parts of the experiment

Hydrogen Beam


Anti-Hydrogen Beam


## Outline

- Hyperfine splitting in H and $\#$
- Hydrogen beam setup
- Outlook


## Hyperfine Splitting

Hyperfine splitting gives rise to the famous 21 cm line

## Origin of Hyperfine splitting



Is this the same wavelength for anti-hydrogen?


## Hyperfine splitting of Ground State

## Without external field



## External B field



## Polarization




## Experimental Outline

Polarizer
(1) magnet

Spin flip
(2)


Analyzer
(3) magnet


# Meanwhile, at the detector... 



## Hydrogen beam setup



## Hydrogen beam setup



## Comparison with anti-hydrogen




Hydrogen Plasma


## Future - permanent sextupoles

Replace superconducting sextupoles by 1 permanent magnet array Reason: superconducting sextupoles is in use at the antimatter factory

## Future

- Characterize H beam
- Velocity distribution
- Build the analyzing sextupoles
- Include RF cavity and analyzing sextupoles in setup
- Start measurements!
- Measure the $\sigma$ and $\pi$ resonances


Thank you for your attention

## Supporting Slides

## Lorentz Violation -> CPT Violation

- If CPT violation: no Lorentz Invariance!




## Compare $\#$ to H

CPT turns matter into anti-matter

## Addition of angular momentum

Triplet


## Addition of angular momentum

$$
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