#### The MiniBooNE Little Muon Counter Detector

Martin Tzanov University of Colorado

- Purpose,
- Instrumentation,
- Event Reconstruction,
- Data analysis,
- Preliminary results,
- Conclusions and future.

## **MiniBooNE** Beamline



MiniBooNE Beam:

- 8GeV primary proton from the FNAL booster,
  - -5 pulses every second with 5E12 POT.
- Horn focusing for mesons produced in p-Be interactions,
- 99%  $\nu_{\mu}\,$  beam from meson decays in the 50m decay pipe
  - mostly from  $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_{\mu,}$

#### LMC

- monitors the mesons produced in the p-Be,
- provides information (constraint) of the kaon flux in the MiniBooNE decay pipe.

### **MiniBooNE Flux Prediction**

- GEANT4 based Monte Carlo simulates the neutrino flux in MiniBooNE beamline,
- high purity  $v_{\mu}$  beam 99%,
- small  $v_e$  component intrinsic  $v_e$ - background for  $v_e$  appearance  $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_e$ ,
- v<sub>e</sub> from kaon decays is not well known
   comparable rate to the oscillation signal



### **LMC - Off-Axis Detector**

Phase space in two-body decays limits the accessible kinematic region of the products:

- High-p<sub>T</sub> μ's come from K<sup>+</sup> decay (mostly),
- use of off-axis muon detector can allow and effective |p| separation.

Separation at 7<sup>0</sup> (see the plot):

- clear separation of  $\mu$  from  $\pi$  and K<sup>+</sup>,
- High K/  $\pi$  ratio,
- Most π's have too high energy to produce μ at this angle.



#### **LMC Detector Construction**



#### LMC Layout and Components -Collimator and Veto



- designed to accept tracks originating in the decay pipe of MiniBooNE beamline
- 2.06m long constructed from steel with inner tungsten core,
- Inner hole has 5mm downstream and 3mm upstream radius.

- designed to reject tracks which are not exiting through the downstream hole of the collimator,
- 4 scintillation counter situated between the collimator and the first plane of the fiber tracker.

# LMC Layout and Components – Fiber Tracker



Fiber tracker spectrometer – analyses track momentum

- Permanent magnet provides constant magnetic field  $B_v = 2.27$  kG along 23cm in z.
- 6 fiber planes consisting of 1mm fibers. Each plane consists of two subplanes staggered at ½ fiber diameter – removes inefficiencies if track passes between two fiber of one subplane.
  - 4 planes cover the bending direction of the magnet.
     (2 upstream and 2 downstream of the magnet)
  - remaining 2 planes are situated upstream of the magnet (perpendicular to both the beam and magnet bend direction).
- dry interface to light-guide fibers,
- 160 6-stage Hamamatsu R1666 <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>" PMT's mounted on active custom bases
  - active base 4 PMT's per base, preamp, postamp, 1HV channel.



#### LMC Layout and Components – Muon Filter and Acceptance



**Muon acceptance counters:** 

- four scintillation counters situated downstream of the fiber tracker
  - two of the counters are placed in the beam
  - one is placed above the beam
  - one is placed below the beam

#### Muon filter range stack:

- designed to identify muons from range,
- consists from 8 2" thick tungsten sheets alternating with scintillation counters,
- a muon with energy 1.3GeV will pass through the muon filter.

### **LMC Installed**



## **Event Reconstruction**

- We form 6-plane coincidence with the following requirements
  - all hits are within 10ns time window (5ns about the hits mean time),
  - multiple hits per plane are allowed within the coincidence window,
- Track fitting algorithm is performed to determine:
  - track momentum and momentum uncertainty,
  - $\chi^2$ /dof for each fitted 6-fold coincidence is obtained.
- All hits from the veto, muon acceptance counter and the filter which fall into the coincidence window are added.
- Muon filter penetration (range) is determined as the most downstream filter layer which has a hit in a counter.
  one inefficient layer is allowed.

This event reconstruction procedure is used for both data and Monte Carlo.

### **Event Display for LMC**



## LMC Monte Carlo – Detector Simulation

LMC Monte Carlo is generated in two stages:

- Input flux generation,
- LMC detector simulation.

LMC detector response is simulated with GEANT3 hit level Monte Carlo

- includes exact geometry and material definitions,
- the input is a flux of particles,
- the output is hits in the active volumes of the detector,

The output from the LMC MC undergoes the same event reconstruction procedure as data.



## LMC Monte Carlo -Input Fluxes

#### Inputs to the GEANT3 MC:

- Fast Monte Carlo re-decays π and K flux at a z slice in MiniBooNE decay pipe which is generated by the MiniBooNE beam MC. Simulates only signal for the LMC as well as muons, which passed through dirt (dirt muons).
- A flux of all particles hitting the MiniBooNE decay pipe wall near the LMC opening.
   Generated by the MiniBooNE GEANT4 beam MC. Simulates both signal and beam related background. AKA Full/Cocktail Monte Carlo
- Gas of various types of particles used to study the response of the LMC detector. A sample of leptons or hadrons with flat energy spectrum is used to illuminate the LMC detector.



### LMC Monte Carlo -Parentage

- Parentage information is propagated through the entire process.
- Plot shows the reconstructed momentum by parent for Fast MC. Includes detector effects.





# **Fiber Efficiency**

**Pseudo efficiency:** 

- algorithm looks for 5-fold coincidences when 1 plane is excluded (2X on the plot)
- hit is simulated in the excluded plane by extrapolating the fit which includes the remaining 5 planes.
- a probability is assigned to each PMT based on the proximity to the simulated hit:

$$pseudoeff_{i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{5-fold} H_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{5-fold} P_{i}}$$

H<sub>i</sub> =1 if there is a hit and 0 if no hit in the excluded plane

 $P_i$  – probability that the fiber is part of the reconstructed track.

Absolute efficiency of the fibers is obtained from iteration and is found to be about 90-95% per plane.



# Data vs. Signal MC

#### **Analysis Cuts:**

- Well reconstructed track,
- No veto hits,
- Muon ID cut (red histograms only).

Plots show the reconstructed momentum for both data and MC.

- Muon ID cut removes much more events from data than from signal Monte Carlo,
- This large fraction of events cut out from data are coming from different sources of background, which are not simulated.

This is an upper limit on the kaon flux normalization (no background MC).



# Backgrounds

Beam related backgrounds which are simulated by the full Monte Carlo:

- simulated using GEANT4 beam Monte Carlo,
- includes
  - interactions in the air of the decay pipe,
  - interactions in the surrounding dirt,
  - proper ratio of signal/background,
  - muons from pion decaying within the LMC detector.
- very slow (it will take months to obtain)

#### Accidentals/Pile-up:

- the reason we run at 1/10<sup>th</sup> of the normal neutrino beam intensity. -accidentals were significantly decreasing the efficiency.
- code which overlays data and Monte Carlo streams is used to to study the effect of accidental (testing stage).
  - Early indication show that accidentals are not a problem at this beam intensity.

#### **Beam-off-target data:**

- e<sup>-1.7</sup> =18% of all protons do not interact in the target,
- beam was aimed in the air gap between the target and the horn,
- handle on proton-air interaction background.

## **Conclusions and Future**

**Conclusions:** 

- LMC provides an important internal check of the Kaon flux,
- Monte Carlo is developed and running (needs more statistics),
- Preliminary muon spectrum is extracted,
- Preliminary Data/MC comparison.

**Future:** 

- Run large sample of full Monte Carlo,
- Data/MC comparison for special runs (beam-off-target, different horn current settings) – important checks,
- Extract background subtracted muon spectrum,
- Evaluate systematic errors,
- Include the LMC measurement as a constraint to hadron production fits.