Consistency relations for features in the primordial spectra

Gonzalo A. Palma

Group of Cosmology & Theoretical Astrophysics FCFM, Universidad de Chile



work in collaboration with:

Sander Mooij Grigoris Panotopoulos & Alex Soto

arXiv: 1507.08481







Observations compatible with non Gaussian statistics



$\langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_1) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_2) R(\mathbf{k}_3) \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) B(k_1, k_2, k_3)$

This talk is about stuff we don't observe, but might be there

- Observations are so far consistent with featureless primordial spectra
- These observations are well addressed by the slow roll inflationary paradigm
- However: What if there are features hidden in the primordial spectra?
- Of course, it would rule out the slow roll paradigm
- On the other hand, it would open the path to new scales, and new tests to inflation

- Observations are so far consistent with featureless primordial spectra
- These observations are well addressed by the slow roll inflationary paradigm
- However: What if there are features hidden in the primordial spectra?
- Of course, it would rule out the slow roll paradigm
- On the other hand, it would open the path to new scales, and new tests to inflation

- Observations are so far consistent with featureless primordial spectra
- These observations are well addressed by the slow roll inflationary paradigm
- However: What if there are features hidden in the primordial spectra?
- Of course, it would rule out the slow roll paradigm
- On the other hand, it would open the path to new scales, and new tests to inflation

- Observations are so far consistent with featureless primordial spectra
- These observations are well addressed by the slow roll inflationary paradigm
- However: What if there are features hidden in the primordial spectra?
- Of course, it would rule out the slow roll paradigm
- On the other hand, it would open the path to new scales, and new tests to inflation

- Observations are so far consistent with featureless primordial spectra
- These observations are well addressed by the slow roll inflationary paradigm
- However: What if there are features hidden in the primordial spectra?
- Of course, it would rule out the slow roll paradigm
- On the other hand, it would open the path to new scales, and new tests to inflation

In this talk I will advertise two results:

1. There exists a general relation linking sharp features in the power spectrum with those in the bispectrum

$$\Delta B = b_0 \Delta \mathcal{P} + b_1 \frac{d}{d \ln k} \Delta \mathcal{P} + b_2 \frac{d^2}{d \ln k^2} \Delta \mathcal{P}$$

2. There is a general relation linking rapid variations of η and the speed of sound c_s

$$\eta = \eta_0 + \frac{\alpha}{2H} \frac{d}{dt} (1 - c_s^2)$$

We needed 2 to show 1

In this talk I will advertise two results:

 There exists a general relation linking sharp features in the power spectrum with those in the bispectrum

$$\Delta B = b_0 \Delta \mathcal{P} + b_1 \frac{d}{d \ln k} \Delta \mathcal{P} + b_2 \frac{d^2}{d \ln k^2} \Delta \mathcal{P}$$

2. There is a general relation linking rapid variations of η and the speed of sound c_s

$$\eta = \eta_0 + \frac{\alpha}{2H} \frac{d}{dt} (1 - c_s^2)$$

We needed 2 to show 1

I will not discuss techniques to search for features (See Moritz Münchmeyer's talk)

• Vast literature on how to search for features in the primordial power spectrum $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_0 + \Delta \mathcal{P}$

> Covi et al. astro-ph/0606452 Hunt & Sarkar, arXiv:1308.2317 Meerburg et a. arXiv:1406.0548 Hazra et al. arXiv:1406.4827 Hu & Torrado, arXiv:1410.4804 Fergusson et al. arXiv:1410.5114 Fergusson et al. arXiv:1412.6152 Gariazzo et al. arXiv:1506.05251 Cai et al. arXiv:1507.05619 Chluba et al. arXiv:1505.01834 Ade et al. arXiv:1502.02114

I will not discuss techniques to search for features (See Moritz Münchmeyer's talk)

• Important progress in how to parametrize features in the bispectrum $B = B_0 + \Delta B$

Adshead et al. arXiv:1110.3050 Adshead et al. arXiv1102.3435 Meerburg et al. arXiv:1308.3704 Achucarro et al. arXiv:1311.2552 Hu & Torrado, arXiv:1410.4804 Fergusson et al. arXiv:1410.5114 Fergusson et al. arXiv:1412.6152 Münchmeyer et al. arXiv:1412.3461 Fergusson et al. arXiv:1412.6152 Gariazzo et al. arXiv:1506.05251 Chluba et al. arXiv:1505.01834 Ade et al. arXiv:1502.02114 Ade et al. arXiv:1502.01592





Starobinsky (1992) and many others thereafter





Flauger & Pajer (2011)

Turns in multi-fiel inflation



- We are not interested in the specific model responsible for features.
- Then, we may use the EFT of inflation approach to study the appearance of features in a model independent way

$$S^{(2)} = \int d^4 x \, a^3 \epsilon \left[\frac{1}{c_s^2} \dot{\mathcal{R}}^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} (\nabla \mathcal{R})^2 \right]$$

$$S^{(3)} = \int d^4 x \, a^3 \epsilon \left[\frac{1}{c_s^4} \left[3(c_s^2 - 1) + \epsilon - \eta \right] \mathcal{R} \dot{\mathcal{R}}^2 + \frac{1}{c_s^2 a^2} \left((1 - c_s^2) + \eta + \epsilon - \frac{2\dot{c}_s}{Hc_s} \right) \mathcal{R} (\nabla \mathcal{R})^2 + \frac{1}{H} \left(\frac{1 - c_s^2}{c_s^4} - \frac{2\lambda}{\epsilon H^2} \right) \dot{\mathcal{R}}^3 + \cdots \right]$$

- We are not interested in the specific model responsible for features.
- Then, we may use the EFT of inflation approach to study the appearance of features in a model independent way

$$S^{(2)} = \int d^4 x \, a^3 \epsilon \left[\frac{1}{c_s^2} \dot{\mathcal{R}}^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} (\nabla \mathcal{R})^2 \right]$$

$$S^{(3)} = \int d^4 x \, a^3 \epsilon \left[\frac{1}{c_s^4} \left[3(c_s^2 - 1) + \epsilon - \eta \right] \mathcal{R} \dot{\mathcal{R}}^2 + \frac{1}{c_s^2 d^2} \left((1 - c_s^2) + \eta + \epsilon - \frac{2\dot{c}_s}{Hc_s} \right) \mathcal{R} (\nabla \mathcal{R})^2 + \frac{1}{H} \left(\frac{1 - c_s^2}{c_s^4} + \frac{2\lambda}{\epsilon H^2} \dot{\mathcal{R}}^3 + \cdots \right]$$

- We are not interested in the specific model responsible for features.
- Then, we may use the EFT of inflation approach to study the appearance of features in a model independent way

$$S^{(2)} = \int d^4 x \, a^3 \epsilon \left[\frac{1}{c_s^2} \dot{R}^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} (\nabla \mathcal{R})^2 \right]$$

$$S^{(3)} = \int d^4 x \, a^3 \epsilon \left[\frac{1}{c_s^4} \left[3(c_s^2 - 1) + \epsilon - \eta \right] \mathcal{R} \dot{\mathcal{R}}^2 + \frac{1}{c_s^2 d^2} \left((1 - c_s^2) + \eta + \epsilon \right) \left(\frac{2\dot{c}_s}{Hc_s} \right) \mathcal{R} (\nabla \mathcal{R})^2 + \frac{1}{H} \left(\frac{1 - c_s^2}{c_s^4} + \frac{2\lambda}{\epsilon H^2} \dot{\mathcal{R}}^3 + \cdots \right]$$

We may simplify things by adopting a few assumptions. The three main assumptions are:

• We assume that the sound speed has small departures from unity

$$1 - c_s^2 \ll 1$$

We assume that epsilon is always much smaller than one

 $\epsilon \ll 1$

• Variations happen rapidly when compared to slow roll

$$\left|\frac{dA}{dN}\right| \gg |A|$$

We may simplify things by adopting a few assumptions. The three main assumptions are:

• We assume that the sound speed has small departures from unity

$$1 - c_s^2 \ll 1$$

We assume that epsilon is always much smaller than one

 $\epsilon \ll 1$

Variations happen rapidly when compared to slow roll

$$\left|\frac{dA}{dN}\right| \gg |A|$$

We may simplify things by adopting a few assumptions. The three main assumptions are:

• We assume that the sound speed has small departures from unity

$$1 - c_s^2 \ll 1$$

We assume that epsilon is always much smaller than one

 $\epsilon \ll 1$

Variations happen rapidly when compared to slow roll

$$\left. \frac{dA}{dN} \right| \gg |A|$$

We finally arrive to a very simple cubic interaction that depend on $\ c_s$ and $\ \eta$

$$S_{\text{int}}^{(3)} = -\int_{x} a^{3} \epsilon_{0} \left\{ \left[3(1-c_{s}^{2}) + \eta \right] \mathcal{R} \dot{\mathcal{R}}^{2} + \frac{1}{a^{2}} \left(\frac{2c_{s} \dot{c}_{s}}{H} + \eta \right) \mathcal{R} (\nabla \mathcal{R})^{2} \right\}.$$

Now there are only two operators in the cubic interaction action

Let's see an idea introduced in 2012 by Achúcarro, Gong, Palma & Patil (very schematic):

$$S^{(2)} = \int_{x} \left[\frac{1}{c_{s}^{2}} \dot{\mathcal{R}}^{2} - (\nabla \mathcal{R})^{2} \right] = \int_{x} \left[\dot{\mathcal{R}}^{2} - (\nabla \mathcal{R})^{2} \right] + \underbrace{\int_{x} \left(\frac{1}{c_{s}^{2}} - 1 \right) \dot{\mathcal{R}}^{2}}_{S_{0}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{S_{int}^{2}}}_{S_{int}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{S_{int}^{2}}}_{S_{int}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{S_{int}^{2}}}_{S_{int}^{2}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{S_{int}^{2}}}_{S_{int}^{2}}$$

Then, just use the in-in perturbation theory formalism to deduce the power spectrum with features

$$\delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \Delta \mathcal{P}(k) = \int_{t} [H_{int}^{(2)}, R(\mathbf{k})R(\mathbf{k}')]$$

$$\Delta \mathcal{P}(k) = \int_{t} e^{itk} (1 - c_s^{-2}) \quad \text{Achúcarro et al. (2012)}$$
9/20

You can do the same with the cubic part of the theory, and find a similar expression for the bispectrum

$$\Delta B(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \int_t e^{-it(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)} (1 - c_s^{-2}) \times \text{(other terms)}$$

You can do the same with the cubic part of the theory, and find a similar expression for the bispectrum

$$\Delta B(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \int_t e^{-it(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)} (1 - c_s^{-2}) \times \text{(other terms)}$$

But now you may Fourier invert the power spectrum

$$\Delta \mathcal{P}(k) = \int_t e^{itk} (1 - c_s^{-2}) \qquad (1 - c_s^{-2}) = \int_k e^{-itk} \Delta \mathcal{P}(k)$$

And then replace $(1 - c_s^{-2})$ in the bispectrum:

$$\Delta B = b_0 \Delta \mathcal{P} + b_1 \frac{d}{d \ln k} \Delta \mathcal{P} + b_2 \frac{d^2}{d \ln k^2} \Delta \mathcal{P}$$

This can be repeated for cases where the variation happens only in the expansion rate (no speed of sound)

$$\Delta \mathcal{P}(k) = \int_{t} e^{itk} \eta \qquad \eta(t) = \int_{k} e^{-itk} \Delta \mathcal{P}(k)$$
$$\Delta B(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \int_{t} e^{-it(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)} \eta \times \text{(other terms)}$$

• We obtain the same type of result:

$$\Delta B = b_0 \Delta \mathcal{P} + b_1 \frac{d}{d \ln k} \Delta \mathcal{P} + b_2 \frac{d^2}{d \ln k^2} \Delta \mathcal{P}$$

• But with different coefficients

G. A. Palma (2014)

But, more generally, we have a problem. Too many parameters to be able to correlate features in the spectra

$$\Delta \mathcal{P}(k) = \int_t e^{itk} \left[a_1 \eta + a_2 (1 - c_s^{-2}) \right]$$

$$\Delta B(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \int_t e^{-it(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)} \left[b_1 \eta + b_2 (1 - c_s^{-2}) \right] \times (\cdots)$$

As a consequence, you cannot correlate the features in the bispectrum with those of the power spectrum

See also Gong, Schalm and Shiu (2014)

12/2()

But, more generally, we have a problem. Too many parameters to be able to correlate features in the spectra

$$\Delta \mathcal{P}(k) = \int_{t} e^{itk} \left[a_{1}\eta + a_{2}(1 - c_{s}^{-2}) \right]$$

$$\Delta B(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}) = \int_{t} e^{-it(k_{1} + k_{2} + k_{3})} \left[b_{1}\eta + b_{2}(1 - c_{s}^{-2}) \right] \times (\cdots)$$

As a consequence, you cannot correlate the features in the bispectrum with those of the power spectrum

See also Gong, Schalm and Shiu (2014)

1212()

A crucial observation allowing us to avoid this obstacle: The slow roll parameters vary in synchrony.

$$\epsilon(t) - \epsilon_0 \propto 1 - c_s^2(t)$$
$$\eta(t) = \eta_0 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{d}{dN} \left(1 - c_s^2(t)\right)$$

We have not been able to prove this relation. But we have reasonable arguments.

Example: DBI with features

$$P(X,\phi) = \frac{1}{f(\phi)} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 - 2f(\phi)X} \right] - V(\phi)$$

Silverstein & Tong (2004)

We may introduce features by playing with the warping factor





15/20



15/20



15/20

Another example of DBI with two bumps:



Example 2: DBI inflation with resonances

$$P(X,\phi) = \frac{1}{f(\phi)} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 - 2f(\phi)X} \right] - V(\phi)$$

$$f(\phi) = A \left[1 + \cos\left(2\pi \frac{(\chi - \chi_0)}{\Delta\chi}\right) \right]$$

$$\int_{0.5}^{0.6} \frac{-\frac{n}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial N}}{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial N}}$$

$$\int_{0.6}^{0.6} \frac{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial N}}{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial N}} = \frac{10}{N}$$
17/20

Example 2: DBI inflation with resonances



We tried many other models and our formula works impressively well



Now that you have this relation, you may obtain a general expression correlating features in the bispectrum with dose in the power spectrum

We find:

$$\Delta B = \frac{(2\pi)^4 \mathcal{P}_0^2}{8(k_1 k_2 k_3)^2} \frac{1}{1+\alpha} \left[\alpha + 2 \frac{k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2}{(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)^2} \right] \frac{d^2 \Delta \mathcal{P}(k)}{d \ln k^2} + \cdots$$

Conclusions

Two main results:

• We uncovered a relation between the expansion rate and the speed of sound

$$\eta = \eta_0 + \frac{\alpha}{2H} \frac{d}{dt} (1 - c_s^2)$$

We have a general map between features in the power spectrum and bi-spectrum

$$\Delta B = b_0 \Delta \mathcal{P} + b_1 \frac{d}{d \ln k} \Delta \mathcal{P} + b_2 \frac{d^2}{d \ln k^2} \Delta \mathcal{P}$$

Conclusions

Two main results:

• We uncovered a relation between the expansion rate and the speed of sound

$$\eta = \eta_0 + \frac{\alpha}{2H} \frac{d}{dt} (1 - c_s^2)$$

 We have a general map between features in the power spectrum and bi-spectrum

$$\Delta B = b_0 \Delta \mathcal{P} + b_1 \frac{d}{d \ln k} \Delta \mathcal{P} + b_2 \frac{d^2}{d \ln k^2} \Delta \mathcal{P}$$