Dark Matter

H. Sandaker - Universitetet i Bergen



• What do we know about DM ?

- Observation galaxy rotation curves
- Observation gravitational lensing

• What do we imagine DM can be ?

- DM origin
- Supersymmetry

• How can we measure DM ?

- Detection methods
- DM at the LHC

FROM THE VERY BIG



TO THE VERY SMALL



What do we know about Dark Matter ?

The composition of the Universe



The Milky Way Galaxy

STUDIED QUANTITATIVELY SINCE THE ANCIENT GREEK

- The age of the universe is **13.7 billion** years
- The observable universe (visible from Earth) has a radius of about **46 billion light years**
- Diameter of a typical galaxy is 30.000 light years in diameter (Milky way about 100.000 light years)
- Our nearest galaxy, Andromeda, is about 2.5 million light years away
- Possibly about 10¹¹ galaxies in the observable universe
- The Universe is made up of filaments, voids, superclusters, galaxy groups and clusters

LOOKING CLOSER THE VISIBLE UNIVERSE IS MADE OF

The composition of the Universe

THE VISIBLE UNIVERSE CONSIST OF :



Free Hydrogen and Helium
 Stars
 Neutrinos
 Heavy elements

The Milky Way Galaxy

STUDIED QUANTITATIVELY SINCE THE ANCIENT GREEK

- The age of the universe is **13.7 billion** years
- The observable universe (visible from Earth) has a radius of about **46 billion light years**
- Diameter of a typical galaxy is 30.000 light years in diameter (Milky way about 100.000 light years)
- Our nearest galaxy, Andromeda, is about 2.5
 million light years away
- Possibly about 10¹¹ galaxies in the observable universe
- The Universe is made up of filaments, voids, superclusters, galaxy groups and clusters

LOOKING CLOSER THE VISIBLE UNIVERSE IS MADE OF

The visible universe

WE KNOW QUITE A BIT ABOUT STARS !

- A star is a massive, luminous ball of plasma hold together by gravity
- We know about the birth and life, movement, nuclear fusion, magnetic fields, clustering, ...

WE KNOW A LOT ABOUT HEAVY ELEMENTS !

Fractions (lithium, beryllium, boron?) produced in the big bang, the rest a result of stellar activity - fusion !

-og. (Abunda

Planets, the Earth, Atomic physics, Chemistry, Geology



Z. Atomic numbe



Estimated abundance of



The visible universe

WE DO KNOW SOMETHING ABOUT GASEOUS SYSTEMS

- Hydrogen and Helium are the most abundant elements in the universe (Hydrogen is about 75 % of normal matter)
- Found in abundance in stars and gas giant planets and as nebula - an interstellar cloud of dust, hydrogen gas, helium gas or other ionised gases
- In solar winds they interact with the Earths magnetosphere and give rise to Birkeland currents and Aurora

The Cat's eye nebula



WE ARE DISCOVERING THE PROPERTIES OF THE NEUTRINOS

- When a star explodes in a supernova it ejects neutrinos that travel through space at almost the speed of light
- Neutrinos are created in certain radioactive decays, nuclear reactions (e.g. sun) or in cosmic ray collisions with atoms
- Three types exists, electron-, muon- and tau-neutrino, as well as its anti-neutrinos
- About 65 billion solar neutrinos per second pass through every square centimeter of the Earth



No there is more ...

FIRST INDICATIONS ALREADY IN THE 30'S

- Fritz Zwicky was the first to point out that the rotation curves for galaxies where not quite right - proposed the idea of Dark Matter
- In the 50's astronomers started to study the internal motions of galaxies (rotation for disk galaxies) and their interaction with each others in clusters
- Early 60's there was an indication that the brightest galaxies was not always the most massive the missing mass problem
- Soon they started to wonder if we were observing the mass or the light in the Universe, most of what we see in galaxies is starlight.
- One of the first studies was the rotation of our own galaxy:







Fritz Zwicky

Orbital movements







Rotation curves



The rotation curve for the planets in our solar system.

Not for our galaxy



From Newtons equations we know that the circular velocity of stars around the galactic centre is: GM(r)

$$v_c(r) = \sqrt{\frac{GM(r)}{r}}$$

- M(r) is the total mass inside the galactio-centric distance r
- We expect the velocity to fall proportional to:





Rotation curves - Milky way components



Rotation curves - Milky way components



Cluster velocities



In most clusters, the velocity of the cluster galaxies is much higher than can be accounted for from the individual galaxy masses.

- One can also look at clusters of stars which are hold together by gravitational forces between the galaxies
- Clusters can have from around 10-100 galaxies
- The more mass, the higher the velocity - ${\color{black}\bullet}$ test for unseen matter
- The measurement showed that up to ullet95% of the mass in clusters is not seen, but dark
- Our "normal" matter is special, and what ulletthere is most of we can not see
 - What is this dark matter?



The result is there must be an unseen core of dark matter attracting the galaxies with more gravity and, therefore, more velocity.

Observational evidence for dark matter

Picture of the galaxy cluster ZwCl0024+1652, 5 billion light years away, showing one of the strongest evidence of dark matter !

Pictures from the Hubble telescope

Gravitational lensing makes the galaxies appear as disks

Gravitational lensing

- Einsteins equivalence principle: The forces of gravitation and acceleration are equivalent
- This means that photons also are under the influence of gravitation and bends when passing a massive object
- The angle by which the light bended can be calculated in the context of Einsteins theory of general relativity:





G is the Gravitational constant, M the mass of the deflecting object and c the speed of light

Observer O sees the image L of the source S at a position on the plane of the sky



Observational evidence for dark matter

Hot gas (pink) detected in two galaxy clusters, one with a particular bullet shape. Other telescopes detected the bulk matter in the clusters which turns out to be dark matter (blue)

DARK MATTER NO DEFORMATION et al.; Lensing Map: NASA/ WEAKLY INTERACTING UArizona/D Clowe et al.

CREDIT: X-ray: NASA/CXC/ CfA/M.Markevitch et al.; Optical: NASA/STScI; Magellan/U.Arizona/D.Clowe et al.; Lensing Map: NASA/ STScI; ESO WFI; Magellan/ U.Arizona/D.Clowe et al.

Composition of the Universe





ABOUT 95 % OF THE UNIVERSE IS UNKNOWN !

What do we imagine Dark Matter can be?

Monday, May 5, 14

What do we know about Dark Matter ?

DARK MATTER IS PROPOSED TO EXPLAIN THE EXTRA MASS SEEN IN THE UNIVERSE ASSUMING THAT OUR ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT GRAVITY ARE CORRECT

No doubt that dark matter exists - there is a multitude of direct observational evidence (since the 1930s):

- Galactic rotational curves
- Velocity dispersion of galaxies
- Galaxy clusters and gravitational lensing
- Cosmic microwave background
- Sky surveys and baryon acoustic oscillations

What do we know about Dark Matter

- Can only be observed through gravitational effects on visible matter
- It interacts only weakly with regular matter (not by electromagnetic radiation)
- It also interact with other dark matter particles only through gravity



Fritz Zwicky

Vera Rubin



Distribution of Visible and Dark Matter

· Cosmic Evolution Survey Hubble Space Telescope
· Advanced Camera for Surveys

NASA, ESA, and R. Massey (California Institute of Technology)

What can dark matter be?

DIFFERENT THEORIES

Multitude of models providing candidates as to what dark matter could be, from astrophysics, cosmology and particle physics



6/46

Monday, May 5, 14

H.Sandaker

Possible new physics

NEW STATES AND NEW SYMMETRIES COULD HAVE EXISTED JUST AFTER THE BIG BANG



10/46

Monday, May 5, 14

Supersymmetry

A very attractive model to explain the caveats of the SM

- Hierarchy problem is solved
- Natural cancellations of corrections to the Higgs mass
- Unification of the three gauge couplings at the GUT scale
- And it provides three Dark Matter candidates !
 - **Sneutrino** spin 0 (largely excluded)
 - Lightest neutralino spin 1/2 (WIMP candidate)
 - Gravitino spin 3/2 (Gravitationally interacting)

What is Supersymmetry

- Each SM particle has a superpartner providing boson ↔ fermion symmetry
- All internal quantum numbers are the same but with different spin (differs by 1/2 unit)
- No SUSY particles observed so far \rightarrow SUSY must be broken and sparticle masses high
 - \rightarrow breaking mechanism determines phenomenology

Standard particles





11/46



Monday, May 5, 14

H.Sandaker

Supersymmetry



Teilchen	SUSY Partner				
Materieteilchen	Sfermionen				
Quarks U C C d S b	Squarks 🗊 🔅 🛈 a 💲 b				
Leptonen 😧 😡 🐼 e 🕑 🐨	Sleptonen 🛞 🖏 🕲 ê 🕩 8				
Kräfteteilchen	Gauginos				
Photon 🕜	Photino 👔				
W, Z Boson 🛛 🔞 💈	W-ino, Z-ino 🛛 🔞 💈				
Gluon 🕘	Gluino 🧕				
Graviton G	Gravitino 🌀				
Higgsteilchen	Higgsinos				
b H A H	6 6 6				

The 10 Points Test for new Particles



stolen from Gianfranco Bertone, arXiv:0711.4996 and Marc Schumann

How can we measure Dark Matter ?

Basically two places to look !



The Hubble telescope



OBSERVATIONS OF THE UNIVERSE

- The best place to look !
- A large range of phenomena to study
- But the universe is **big** and you may not look at the right place
- It may take a long **time** for what you are looking for to happen

(astrophysics, astroparticle physics)

LABORATORY STUDIES

- It is much more difficult to reproduce the phenomena of the universe in the laboratory
- But if successful we can do **detailed** studies
- And we can **reproduce** one phenomena several times

(particle physics)

THE EXAMPLE OF DARK MATTER

Dark Matter detection

DARK MATTER VERY HARD TO DETECT AND STUDY SINCE IT IS NOT VISIBLE



- Direct searches for the atom recoil energy when a WIMP is passing (e.g. DAMA, CDMS, Xenon-10)
 - Direct production made in high energy laboratories on earth (e.g. CERN, LHC, Tevatron)
 Starting in 2009 LHC could be the first dark matter factory

Indirect searches for the products of dark matter particle annihilation (e.g. Amanda, IceCube, GLAST-FERMI, EGRET)





Example of direct detection of Dark Matter

IT MAY BE POSSIBLE TO MEASURE THE ATOM RECOIL ENERGY WHEN DARK MATTER SCATTER FROM THE ATOMIC NUCLEUS

DAMA/LIBRA (Large sodium lodide Bulk for RAre processes)

- 250 kg scintillation thallium-doped sodium ionide NaI(TI) radioactivity pure crystals
- The nuclei recoiling causes emissions of photons which are detected using photomultiplier tubes
- The measurements shows an modulation from the annual revolution of the Earth around the sun
- If this is a Dark Matter signal it is incompatible
 with other results from other experiments



Measurement from DAMA/LIBRA



DAMA/LIBRA underground in Grand Sasso

Example of Indirect detection of Dark Matter

IF DARK MATTER IS A **WIMP** IT MAY PRODUCE OBSERVABLE SIGNALS WHEN IT SELF ANNIHILATES

Gamma ray telescopes (H.E.S.S, CTA)

- HESS is one of the operating ground based gamma-ray instruments
- Observes the Cherenkov light from high energy cosmic radiation
- In the future the Cherenkov Telescope Array will be built (Construction phase 2014-2018)
- Two observatories operating as one covering both hemispheres and a large energy range



H.E.S.S in Namibia



Christian Farnier

The most advanced : H.E.S.S. 2



Ø: 13m Phase 1: 4x Camera :1 ton, 960 PMTs Begining of operation : 2004

Phase 2 : + 1

Ø: 28m Camera :2 ton, >2000 PMTs Begining of operation : 09/2012

3.9.2013 - Marco Cirelli, Rogerio Rosenfeld, Heidi Sandaker

Christian Farnier

SST

SST

CTA - Cherenkov Telescope Array



- The CTA observatory, will enlarge this window recently opened and allow to discovered ~10 times more sources
 - 27 countries
 - > 1000 scientists
 - 2 arrays
 - 3 types of telescopes

- Currently in the preparatory phase
- Construction phase will start in 2014

LST

ackground and

0.1

MST

Energy (TeV)

>1000 sources expected

0.

0.01

0.001 L

~ 1000h obs/y

3.9.2013 - Marco Cirelli, Rogerio Rosenfeld, Heidi Sandaker

Example analysis: Line searches **Christian Farnier**

- Integration region similar to halo analysis
- Data set :

4-tel. events (ΔE/E), 2004-2007 [112h] (E,,)

- No OFF subtraction
 - Bckg (« g-like » CRs) spectrum fitted
- Profile likelihood search of a line-like signal on top of background
- H.E.S.S. II prospects
- CTA expectations : Confirmation of Weniger (2012) line $>5\sigma$ in 5h [syst. uncertainties] & 1 vs 2 lines distinction reachable with additional time and refined analysis

CTA

upper limit (95%CL)

 $\gamma Z + \gamma \gamma / \gamma \gamma \text{ discr.} (2\sigma)$

signal-to-background 1%

 $\gamma\gamma$ detection (5 σ)

IB / $\gamma\gamma$ discr.(2σ)

 10^{2}



3.9.2013 - Marco Cirelli, Rogerio Rosenfeld, Heidi Sandaker

 10^{-26}

 10^{-27}

 10^{-28}

 10^{-29}

 10^{-30}

 $B\left(N_{\gamma}/2
ight)\left\langle\sigma v
ight
angle\,\left[\mathrm{cm}^{3}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}
ight]$

Indirect and direct Dark Matter measurements



EXCLUSION LIMITS FOR DIFFERENT EXPERIMENTS - NOT AGREEING !

Monday, May 5, 14

Direct production - Large Hadron Collider



Einstein's equation



Large Hadron Collider (LHC)

μ

Η

g

- One collision every 25 ns
- < 20 interactions in each collision !</p>
- ~ 1000 tracks through the detector
- Often only a few of these tracks comes from the interesting event

 $pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\mu$

A very powerful detector is needed to measure the ~1000 tracks accurately at this high rate !

Proton-proton collisions

- 3.5 4 TeV beam energy (design energy = 7 TeV) •
- 10¹¹ protons per bunch •
- Mostly soft (low p_T) events, interesting hard (high p_T) events are rare
- $N = 1\ 000\ 000\ 000\ interactions/s\ (design)$
- Data recorded in July 2012 = 6.51 fb^{-1} at 8 TeV ! •



ATLAS Online Luminosity

LHC Delivered

√s = 8 TeV

9

8

Bunch

Proton

Parton

Particle

ATLAS searches for supersymmetry

Slice of ATLAS

Detector closes hermetically around the collision point

No escaping particles except neutrinos ...

Or new physics particles not interacting with the detector !

Dark Matter particles would be seen as an excess in missing energy (Etmiss)



H. Sandaker - University of Bergen - 6.7.2012

Supersymmetric signatures

Example of event with high energy jets and missing Et

- Passing 4 jet selection (5 jets)
- Jet Pt = (690, 254, 117, 84 and 36 GeV)
- Electron Pt = 265 GeV
- Etmiss = 381 GeV
- Inclusive effective mass =1827 GeV



H. Sandaker - University of Bergen - 6.7.2012

Dark Matter production

Producing Dark Matter at LHC = "Missing Energy" events



Different types of experiments (above and under ground, in accelerators) :



In LHC two different search modes (model dependent, model independent):





hep-ph/1002.4137 hep-ph/0403004 David Berge

Cascade decades

Typical for supersymmetric searches

Assume WIMPs produced in pairs and tagged by a jet or photon

Interpretation of ATLAS results for neutralino masses



m_o [GeV]

LHC results - SUSY ATLAS results

ATLAS SUSY Searches* - 95% CL Lower Limits Status: SUSY 2013

ATLAS Preliminary

 $\int \mathcal{L} dt = (4.6 - 22.9) \text{ fb}^{-1} \qquad \sqrt{s} = 7, 8 \text{ TeV}$

	Model	e, μ, τ, γ	Jets	E_T^miss	∫£ dt[fb	⁻¹] Mass limit		Reference
Inclusive Searches	$\begin{array}{l} MSUGRA/CMSSM \\ MSUGRA/CMSSM \\ MSUGRA/CMSSM \\ \widetilde{qq}, \widetilde{q} \rightarrow q \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \widetilde{gg}, \widetilde{g} \rightarrow q \overline{q} \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \widetilde{gg}, \widetilde{g} \rightarrow q \overline{q} \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \widetilde{gg}, \widetilde{g} \rightarrow q q \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{1} \rightarrow q q W^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \widetilde{gg}, \widetilde{g} \rightarrow q q (\ell \ell / \ell \nu / \nu \nu) \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ GMSB (\widetilde{\ell} \ NLSP) \\ GMSB (\widetilde{\ell} \ NLSP) \\ GGM (bino \ NLSP) \\ GGM (wino \ NLSP) \\ GGM (higgsino-bino \ NLSP) \\ GGM (higgsino-bino \ NLSP) \\ GGM (higgsino \ NLSP) \\ GRA (higgsino \ NLSP) \\ Gravitino \ LSP \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 1 - 2 \ \tau \\ 2 \ \gamma \\ 1 \ e, \mu + \gamma \\ \gamma \\ 2 \ e, \mu \left(Z \right) \\ 0 \end{array}$	2-6 jets 3-6 jets 7-10 jets 2-6 jets 2-6 jets 3-6 jets 0-3 jets 0-2 jets - 1 b 0-3 jets mono-jet	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3	q. ğ 1	1.7 TeV $m(\tilde{q})=m(\tilde{g})$ v any $m(\tilde{q})$ any $m(\tilde{q})$ $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=0$ GeV eV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=0$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=0$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=0$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=0$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=0$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=0$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=50$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})>50$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})>50$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})>220$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})>200$ GeV $m(\tilde{H})>200$ GeV $m(\tilde{g})>10^{-4}$ eV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-047 ATLAS-CONF-2013-062 1308.1841 ATLAS-CONF-2013-047 ATLAS-CONF-2013-047 ATLAS-CONF-2013-062 ATLAS-CONF-2013-089 1208.4688 ATLAS-CONF-2013-026 1209.0753 ATLAS-CONF-2012-144 1211.1167 ATLAS-CONF-2012-152 ATLAS-CONF-2012-152
3 rd gen. ẽ med.	$\begin{array}{c} \tilde{g} \rightarrow b \bar{b} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow t \bar{t} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow t \bar{t} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow b \bar{t} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \end{array}$	0 0 0-1 <i>e</i> , μ 0-1 <i>e</i> , μ	3 b 7-10 jets 3 b 3 b	Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.1 20.3 20.1 20.1	ğ 1.2 e' ğ 1.1 Te / ğ 1.4 Te / ğ 1.3 Te /	$ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{V} & \mathbf{m}(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) \!<\! 600 \mathrm{GeV} \\ & \mathbf{m}(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) <\! 350 \mathrm{GeV} \\ \end{array} \\ \hline \mathbf{TeV} & \mathbf{m}(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) \!<\! 400 \mathrm{GeV} \\ \hline \mathbf{reV} & \mathbf{m}(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) \!<\! 300 \mathrm{GeV} \end{array} $	ATLAS-CONF-2013-061 1308.1841 ATLAS-CONF-2013-061 ATLAS-CONF-2013-061
3 rd gen. squarks direct production	$ \begin{array}{c} \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \\ \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1(\text{light}), \tilde{\tau}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \\ \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1(\text{light}), \tilde{\tau}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \\ \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1(\text{light}), \tilde{\tau}_1 \rightarrow W b \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1(\text{medium}), \tilde{\tau}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1(\text{medium}), \tilde{\tau}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1(\text{heavy}), \tilde{\tau}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1(\text{heavy}), \tilde{\tau}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1(\text{ntural GMSB}) \\ \tilde{\tau}_2 \tilde{\tau}_2, \tilde{\tau}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_1 + Z \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 2\ e,\mu\ ({\rm SS})\\ 1\text{-}2\ e,\mu\\ 2\ e,\mu\\ 0\\ 1\ e,\mu\\ 0\\ 1\ e,\mu\\ 0\\ 3\ e,\mu\ (Z) \end{array}$	2 b 0-3 b 1-2 b 0-2 jets 2 jets 2 b 1 b 2 b ono-jet/c-tc 1 b 1 b	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.1 20.7 4.7 20.3 20.3 20.1 20.7 20.5 20.3 20.7 20.7	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c} m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){<}90\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}){=}2m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}55\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}55\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}0\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}150\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}150\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}150\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}150\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}180\text{GeV} \end{array}$	1308.2631 ATLAS-CONF-2013-007 1208.4305, 1209.2102 ATLAS-CONF-2013-048 ATLAS-CONF-2013-065 1308.2631 ATLAS-CONF-2013-037 ATLAS-CONF-2013-024 ATLAS-CONF-2013-068 ATLAS-CONF-2013-025 ATLAS-CONF-2013-025
EW direct	$ \begin{split} &\tilde{\ell}_{L,R}\tilde{\ell}_{L,R}, \tilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ &\tilde{\chi}_1^+\tilde{\chi}_1^-, \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}\nu(\ell \tilde{\nu}) \\ &\tilde{\chi}_1^+\tilde{\chi}_1^-, \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}\nu(\tau \tilde{\nu}) \\ &\tilde{\chi}_1^+\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_L \nu \tilde{\ell}_1 \ell(\tilde{\nu}\nu), \ell \tilde{\nu} \tilde{\ell}_L \ell(\tilde{\nu}\nu) \\ &\tilde{\chi}_1^+\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_1^0 Z \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ &\tilde{\chi}_1^+\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_1^0 h \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \end{split} $	2 e, μ 2 e, μ 2 τ 3 e, μ 3 e, μ 1 e, μ	0 0 - 0 2 b	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.3 20.3 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.3	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c} m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) {=} 0 \ GeV \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) {=} 0 \ GeV, m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu}) {=} 0.5(m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}) {+} m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})) \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) {=} 0 \ GeV, m(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\nu}) {=} 0.5(m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}) {+} \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})) \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}) {=} \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}), m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) {=} 0, m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu}) {=} 0.5(m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}) {+} \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})) \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}) {=} \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}), m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) {=} 0, sleptons \ decoupled \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}) {=} \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}), m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) {=} 0, sleptons \ decoupled \end{array}$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-049 ATLAS-CONF-2013-049 ATLAS-CONF-2013-028 ATLAS-CONF-2013-035 ATLAS-CONF-2013-035 ATLAS-CONF-2013-033
Long-lived particles	Direct $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}$ prod., long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}$ Stable, stopped \tilde{g} R-hadron GMSB, stable $\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{e}, \tilde{\mu}) + \tau(\epsilon$ GMSB, $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{G}$, long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow qq\mu$ (RPV)	Disapp. trk 0 e, μ) 1-2 μ 2 γ 1 μ, displ. vtx	1 jet 1-5 jets - - -	Yes Yes - Yes -	20.3 22.9 15.9 4.7 20.3	$\begin{array}{c c} \tilde{x}_{1}^{\pm} & 270 \text{ GeV} \\ \tilde{g} & 832 \text{ GeV} \\ \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} & 475 \text{ GeV} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} & 230 \text{ GeV} \\ \tilde{q} & 1.0 \text{ TeV} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})\text{-}m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) {=} 160 \; MeV, \tau(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}) {=} 0.2 \; ns \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) {=} 100 \; GeV, \; 10 \; \mu s {<} \tau(\tilde{g}) {<} 1000 \; s \\ 10 {<} tan\beta {<} 50 \\ 0.4 {<} \tau(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) {<} 2 \; ns \\ 1.5 \; {<} c\tau {<} 156 \; mm, \; BR(\mu) {=} 1, \; m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) {=} 108 \; GeV \end{array}$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-069 ATLAS-CONF-2013-057 ATLAS-CONF-2013-058 1304.6310 ATLAS-CONF-2013-092
RPV	$ \begin{array}{c} LFV \ pp \rightarrow \widetilde{v}_{\tau} + X, \ \widetilde{v}_{\tau} \rightarrow e + \mu \\ LFV \ pp \rightarrow \widetilde{v}_{\tau} + X, \ \widetilde{v}_{\tau} \rightarrow e(\mu) + \tau \\ Bilinear \ RPV \ CMSSM \\ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}, \ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow W \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow ee \widetilde{v}_{\mu}, \ e\mu \widetilde{v} \\ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}, \ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow W \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \tau \tau \widetilde{v}_{e}, \ e\tau \widetilde{v}_{\tau} \\ \widetilde{g} \rightarrow qqq \\ \widetilde{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{t}_{1} t, \ \widetilde{t}_{1} \rightarrow bs \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ e, \mu + \tau \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ e \\ 4 \ e, \mu \\ 7 \\ 3 \ e, \mu + \tau \\ 0 \\ 2 \ e, \mu \ (SS) \end{array}$	- 7 jets - - 6-7 jets 0-3 <i>b</i>	- Yes Yes Yes - Yes	4.6 4.6 4.7 20.7 20.7 20.3 20.7	$ \begin{array}{c c} \tilde{v}_{\rm r} & & & 1 \\ \tilde{v}_{\rm r} & & 1.1 {\rm Te} \\ \tilde{q}, \tilde{g} & & 1.2 \\ \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} & & 760 {\rm GeV} \\ \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} & & 350 {\rm GeV} \\ \tilde{g} & & 916 {\rm GeV} \\ \tilde{g} & & 880 {\rm GeV} \\ \end{array} $	1.61 TeV $\lambda'_{311} = 0.10, \lambda_{132} = 0.05$ $\lambda'_{311} = 0.10, \lambda_{1(2)33} = 0.05$ V $m(\tilde{q}) = m(\tilde{g}), c\tau_{LSP} < 1 \text{ mm}$ $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) > 300 \text{ GeV}, \lambda_{121} > 0$ $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) > 80 \text{ GeV}, \lambda_{133} > 0$ BR(t) = BR(b) = BR(c) = 0%	1212.1272 1212.1272 ATLAS-CONF-2012-140 ATLAS-CONF-2013-036 ATLAS-CONF-2013-036 ATLAS-CONF-2013-091 ATLAS-CONF-2013-007
Other	Scalar gluon pair, sgluon $\rightarrow q\bar{q}$ Scalar gluon pair, sgluon $\rightarrow t\bar{t}$ WIMP interaction (D5, Dirac χ)	0 2 e, µ (SS) 0	4 jets 1 <i>b</i> mono-jet	- Yes Yes	4.6 14.3 10.5	sgluon 100-287 GeV sgluon 800 GeV M* scale 704 GeV	incl. limit from 1110.2693 m(χ)<80 GeV, limit of<687 GeV for D8	1210.4826 ATLAS-CONF-2013-051 ATLAS-CONF-2012-147
	$\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ full data p	√s = 8 TeV artial data	√s = a full d	8 TeV data		10 ⁻¹	Mass scale [TeV]	

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenome

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/



LHC results - Example Mono-Jet

WIMP-NUCLEON SCATTERING LIMITS



- Cross sections above observed are excluded.
- Assumption is that DM interacts with SM particles solely by a given operator: SI = D5, SD = D8
- Yellow contours show candidate events from CDMS: arXiv:1304.4279

LHC results - Example Mono-Jet

WIMP ANNIHILATION LIMITS



 Comparison with FERMI-LAT is possible through our EFT

- The results can also be interpreted in terms of limits on WIMPs annihilating to light quarks
- All limits shown here assume 100% branching fractions of WIMPs annihilating to quarks
- Below 10 GeV for D5 and 70 GeV for D8 the ATLAS limits are below the values needed for WIMPs to make up the DM relic abundance

Not the end but the beginning of lots of new research !



Monday, May 5, 14

Rotation curves

- In the 50's astronomers started to study the internal motions of galaxies (rotation for disk galaxies) and their interaction with each other as in clusters
- Soon they started to wonder if we were observing the mass or the light in the Universe, most of what we see in galaxies is starlight.
- Early 60's there were the indication that the brightest galaxies was not always also the most massive - the missing mass problem
- One of the first studies was the rotation of our own galaxy:

Examples of simple rotation curves. Planet like rotation follows Keplers 3rd law :





Monday, May 5, 14

Rotation curves

• To determine the rotation curve of the Galaxy, stars are not used due to interstellar extinction. Instead, 21-cm maps of neutral hydrogen are used. When this is done, one finds that the rotation curve of the Galaxy stays flat out to large distances, instead of falling off as in the figure above. This means that the mass of the Galaxy increases with increasing distance from the center.

• Soon they started to wonder if we

To determine the rotation curve of the Galaxy, stars are not used due to interstellar extinction. Instead, 21-cm maps of neutral hydrogen are used. When this is done, one finds that the rotation curve of the Galaxy stays flat out to large distances, instead of falling off as in the figure above. This means that the mass of the Galaxy increases with increasing distance from the center.

The surprising thing is there is very little visible matter beyond the Sun's orbital distance from the center of the Galaxy. So, the rotation curve of the Galaxy indicates a great deal of mass, but there is no light out there. In other words, the halo of our Galaxy is filled with a mysterious dark matter of unknown composition and type.



The geometry of the universe

BOTH DARK ENERGY AND DARK MATTER IMPORTANT INGREDIENTS

- The amount of dark matter and dark energy in the universe is crucial to determine the geometry of space
 - Open : density less than critical density
 - Flat : density equal to critical density
 - Closed: density more than the critical density
- Gives information on the evolution of the universe (eternal expansion, in equilibrium, or stop and collapse)
- The spacial geometry have been measured by WMAP to be nearly flat



COBE







PLANCK (simulated)

ESA/NASA