Institute For Research in Fundamental Sciences School of Particles and Accelerators











Top quark properties from top quark decays (W-helicity, FCNC)

Mohsen Naseri

On behalf of the ATLAS, CDF, CMS and DO Collaborations



Top physics Menu



only small selection of results will be shown with a focus on the most recent ones.

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsTOP https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/TopPublicResults http://www-cdf.fnal.gov/physics/new/top/top.html http://www-d0.fnal.gov/Run2Physics/top/top_public_web_pages/top_public.html



CMS



Mohsen Naseri





> The W boson helicity can be affected by non-SM **tWb** couplings.



LHCP, 2016

[1] Phys. Rev. D81(2010)111503



Mohsen Naseri









The potential deviation from the SM can be interpreted in terms of anomalous tWb couplings.

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\gamma^{\mu}(V_L P_L + V_R P_R)t W_{\mu}^{-} - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{M_W}(g_L P_L + g_R P_R)tW_{\mu}^{-} + \text{h.c.}$$

Vector (V_R) and Tensor like couplings (g_L , g_R) are zero @ tree level in SM.



8





> To probe FCNC effects in the top sector, a useful approach is to adopt a model independent search.

> The FCNC searches are performed either in decays of top pair events or in single top production.

will only cover the most recent results in the next slides.

[1] arXiv:1311.2028
[2] Nucl.Phys.B812:181-204, 2009
[3] Nucl.Phys.B821:215-227, 2009



LHCP, 2016









	Exp.	$t \rightarrow uZ$	$t \rightarrow cZ$	√s	Ref.	CMS		
	CDF	3.7×10-2		1.96 TeV	PRL 101 (2008) 192002			
Getting close to BSM scenarios	ios D0 3.2×10^{-2}		10-2	1.96 TeV	PLB 701 (2011) 313			
Example: Branching ratios in FV 2HDM and R SUSY are predicted to be:	ATLAS	7.3×10^{-3}		7 TeV	JHEP 09 (2012) 139	M		
	CMS	5.1×10^{-3}	1.1 ×10 ⁻¹	7 TeV	CMS TOP-12-021	R		
	CMS	5.0×10^{-4}		7+8 TeV	PRL 112 (2014) 171802			
$Br(t \rightarrow ug) \sim 10^{-4}$,	ATLAS	7×10^{-4}		8 TeV	Eur. Phys. J. C76 (2016) 12	EPE		
Br(t \rightarrow cg) ~ 10 ⁻⁴ , Br(t \rightarrow cH) ~ 10 ⁻³		$t \to u \gamma$	$t \to c \gamma$					
Acta Phys.Polon.B35.2695(2004)	CDF	3.2×10^{-2}		1.96 TeV	PRL 80 (1998) 2525			
arXiv:1311.2028	CMS	1.3×10^{-4}	1.7×10^{-3}	8 TeV	JHEP 04 (2016) 035			
		$t \rightarrow ug$	$t \rightarrow cg$					
	CDF	3.9×10^{-4}	5.7×10^{-3}	1.96 TeV	PRL 102 (2009) 151801	•		
	D0	2.0×10^{-4}	3.9×10^{-3}	1.96 TeV	PLB 693 (2010) 81			
	ATLAS	5.7×10^{-5}	2.7×10^{-4}	7 TeV	PLB 712 (2012) 351			
	ATLAS	3.1 × 10 ⁻⁵	1.6 × 10⁻⁴	8 TeV	ATLAS CONF-2013-063			
	CMS	3.6×10^{-4}	3.4×10^{-3}	7 TeV	CMS TOP-14-007			
	ATLAS	4×10^{-5}	1.7×10^{-4}	8 TeV	ATLAS TOPQ-2014-13			
		$t \to u H$	$t \rightarrow cH$					
	ATLAS	4.5×10^{-3}	4.6 × 10⁻³	7+8 TeV	JHEP 12 (2015) 061			
	CMS	-	5.6×10^{-3}	8 TeV	PRD 90 (2014) 112013	14		
	CMS	-	9.3×10^{-3}	8 TeV	CMS TOP-13-017	14		
	CMS	4.2×10^{-3}	4.7×10^{-3}	8 TeV	CMS TOP-14-019	$d_{\rm m}$		
Mohsen Naseri		LHCP, 2016						

in top quark properties measurements.

Summary

• Current measurements are mostly limited by systematic uncertainties.

On W boson helicity:

• The most precise measurement of helicity fractons is obtained from semi leptonic top pair process. The measurement precision is better than 5%.

So far, no significant deviation with respect to the SM prediction has been observed

On FCNC:

- No evidence for top FCNC is found and upper limits are set on the branching ratios.
- The results are still far above SM prediction, but the exclusion limits are becoming tight.

→ sensitivity to some BSM models (FV 2HDM) is within reach.

• Upper limits on BR(t \rightarrow qH, H \rightarrow WW/ZZ/ $\tau\tau$, $\gamma\gamma$, bb) have been reported by ATLAS and CMS.

Exciting times ahead in top quark sector



Backup





Anomalous couplings in the Wtb vertex



Performed on 4.6 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions @ 7 TeV

- Extracted from the double differential angular decay rates of t-ch single top quark
- Limits on the couplings $\text{Re}[g_R/V_L]$ and $\text{Im}[g_R/V_L]$ with $V_R = g_L = 0$





Results on W boson helicities



The results are consistent with the SM at NNLO and previous measurements.







b flavor content, width and V_{tb}

CKM matrix:

$$V_{CKM} = \begin{bmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.97 & 0.23 & 0.04 \\ 0.23 & 0.99 & 0.04 \\ 0.0 & 0.04 & 1.02 \end{bmatrix}$$

Measure the flavor content of quarks:

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(t \to Wb)}{\sum \mathcal{B}(t \to Wq)}, \quad q = b, s, d$$

- The magnitude of the top-bottom charged current is proportional to $|V_{tb}|$,
- Assuming the CKM unitary: $\mathcal{R} = |V_{tb}|^2$
- The combination of R_b measurement with the t-channel single-top cross section provides an indirect measurement of the top-quark width.





b flavor content, width and V_{tb}

PLB 736 (2014) 33

How many $t \rightarrow Wq \rightarrow lvj$ are correctly reconstructed?

The number of selected jets from top-quark decays is derived from the lepton-jet invariant-mass (M_{1j}) distribution.







LHCP, 2016

Mohsen Naseri

FCNC theoretical predictions

Process	SM	QS	2HDM	FC 2HDM	MSSM	R SUSY	RS
$t \rightarrow uZ$	8×10^{-17}	1.1×10 ⁻⁴	-	-	2×10^{-6}	3×10^{-5}	- 4
$t \rightarrow cZ$	1×10^{-14}	1.1×10^{-4}	~10-7	~10 ⁻¹⁰	2×10^{-6}	3×10^{-5}	≤10-5
$t \rightarrow u\gamma$	3.7×10^{-16}	7.5×10^{-9}	-	-	2×10^{-6}	1×10^{-6}	
$t \rightarrow c \gamma$	4.6×10^{-14}	7.5×10^{-9}	~10-6	~10-9	2×10^{-6}	1×10^{-6}	≤10 ⁻⁹
$t \rightarrow ug$	3.7×10^{-14}	1.5×10^{-7}	-	-	8×10^{-5}	2×10^{-4}	
$t \rightarrow cg$	4.6×10^{-12}	1.5×10^{-7}	~10-4	~10-8	8×10^{-5}	2×10^{-4}	≤10 ⁻¹⁰
$t \rightarrow uH$	2×10^{-17}	4.1×10^{-5}	5.5×10^{-6}	-	10-5	~10-6	-
$t \rightarrow cH$	3×10^{-15}	4.1×10^{-5}	1.5×10^{-3}	~10-5	10-5	~10-6	≤10-4







Main sys.: b-tagging, renormalizaton/factorizaton. scale, PDF





