



### Performance of tracking and b-tagging in ATLAS and CMS with first Run-2 data

#### Jiří Mašík University of Manchester For the ATLAS and CMS collaborations

LHCP 2016, Lund



# **Physics Motivation**

- Tracking and b-tagging crucial for physics analyses at LHC
- Tracking

The University of Manchester

- Vertex detectors for precise measurement of trajectories of charged particles and estimation of track parameters
- Event reconstruction and particle identification
- B-tagging builds on top of an excellent tracking performance
  - Exploits the b lifetime (cτ=450µm), looking for tracks with impact parameter significance and displaced secondary vertices
  - Needs tracking, vertexing, secondary vertexing
- Many analyses with b-jet final states
  - H physics H->bb
  - Top and SM
  - Exotics and SUSY
  - Both selection and veto
  - Important also for the online selection in the trigger systems



The University of Manchestel

# **ATLAS Inner Detector**

- Pixel + double-sided Si strip + transition radiation tracker
  - Innermost pixel layer (IBL) added before Run-2
    - track precision
      - Closer to the beamline (3.3cm), smaller dimensions along z
      - Impact parameters important for b-tagging
    - Reconstruction robustness
      - wrt higher pileup, detector failures, aging
- In an axial magnetic field from a solenoid of 2T
- |η| < 2.5



# Improvements due to IBL in Run-2



MANCHESTER

- More precise estimation of impact parameters important for b-tagging
- Detailed analyses of the material distribution in the tracker lead to improved description → improvements in the tracking performance and data/MC comparisons



2016/06/13

J. Mašík / Tracking and b-tagging of ATLAS and CMS in Run2 / LHCP2016 /Lund



# **IBL** and alignment

Calibration, alignment of the detector and determination of the beamspot position important ingredients for optimal tracking/b-tagging

- Irradiation of front-ends in 2015  $\rightarrow$  an increased current in the course of a run and correspondingly an increased temperature of staves leading to thermal bowing of the IBL staves
- 2015: time-dependent alignment introduced to mitigate the effect
- 2016: automated alignment procedure accounting for in-run changes, automatic corrections each ~2h
- Poster of Giulia Ripellino on the ATLAS ID alignment



#### IDTR-2015-011

J. Mašík / Tracking and b-tagging of ATLAS and CMS in Run2 / LHCP2016 /Lund



# CMS Tracker

#### iversity Pixel (100µm x 150µm)

- 3 barrel layers (R = 4.4cm 10.2cm)
- 2 endcap disks
- 66M channels

#### Strip tracker (~100µm strip pitch)

- 10 barrel layers (R=25.5cm-110cm)
- 12 endcap disks
- single sided and double sided layers
- 10M channels

#### |η|<2.5

#### typically ~16 hits on track

3.8T solenoidal field

with 200m<sup>2</sup> of sensitive area the largest silicon tracker

Upgrade of the pixel detector in 2016-2017 shutdown

- Reduction of material
- Additional layer In barrel and EC
- B-tagging will benefit





# Track reconstruction

40

Production Radius [cm]

50

60

#### ATLAS

MANCHESTER

- Using seeds (triplets) from different layers of the detector
- Higher purity seeds used first
- Combinatorial track finding
- Track scoring and ambiguity resolving
  - With NN pixel clustering and identification of clusters from multiple tracks

Efficiency

0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4 0.3

0.2

0.1

0<sup>L</sup>

10

20

30

CMS Preliminary Simulation

initial

+pixelPair

+pixelLess

+tobTec

√s = 8 TeV, tt + <PU>=20

p\_>0.8 GeV, |η|<2.5

- TRT extensions
- CMS
  - Iterative tracking
    - To reduce CPU time
    - Start from higher pT, beamline compatible seeds
    - Loosen requirements ir next iterations and mas hits used in previous stages



1

0.2

10-1



#### 7

10 p\_[GeV]

# The University of Manchestel



- Tracking in the dense environment such as core of jets with nearby tracks sharing clusters challenging
- AmbiguitySolver reoptimised to deal with clusters originating from multiple tracks
  - Merged clusters identified by NN clustering
  - Track information (incident angle), charge collection pattern used in the NN
  - Optimised scoring for such clusters, an increase of tracking efficiency
  - Poster of P.E. Sidebo on the robustness of NN pixel clustering

2016/<u>06</u>/13

J. Mašík / Tracking and b-tagging of ATLAS and CMS in Run2 / LHCP2016 /Lund



The University of Manchestel

# Tracking in dense environment /CMS

- JetCore tracking another stage of the iterative tracking to obtain
  - Increase the number of seeds from pix, 2 TIB layers with high pT and beamline compatibility in the jet core
  - Pixel cluster splitter to deal with merged pixel clusters jet direction and expected charge/shape of the cluster





J. Mašík / Tracking and b-tagging of ATLAS and CMS in Run2 / LHCP2016 /Lund



#### characteristics of B hadrons in bjet

- tracks with large positive IP
- Presence of secondary vertices
- -40% of jets with e, $\mu$
- Variety of taggers exploiting these features (and their combinations)
  - ATLAS

1824

- IP2D, IP3D
- SV
- JetFitter
- MV2cxx



- CMS
  - JP
  - <u>CSVv2</u>
  - SoftElectron,SoftMuon
  - <u>cMVAv2</u>

# B-tagging performance/ ATLAS







#### • Light/c rejection 2015 vs Run1

MV2(BDT) vs MV1(NN) + tracking + IBL

#### • Further improvements for 2016

- IP taggers
  - · Hit pattern requirements for tracks used in the IP tagger adjusted to recover highly boosted decays
- SV taggers
  - only tracks with higher pT considered in pT>300GeV to reduce fake vtx, tracks from fragmentation
  - Pileup tracks reduced by removing low transverse IP and high longitudinal IP significance
- MVA
  - MVA training procedure improved between 2015 and 2016
- Significant improvement (+40%) c-jet rejection at 77% b-jet efficiency MV2c10
  - Poster of A. Calandri on developments for 2016
- 2016/06/13 J. Mašík / Tracking and b-tagging of ATLAS and CMS in Run2 / LHCP2016 /Lund

#### ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-022

# **B-tagging performance / ATLAS**

30

25

20

15

10

-1

**ATLAS** Preliminary

 $s = 13 \text{ TeV}, 4 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ 

Light flavour jet

-0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2

0

FTAG-2016-001

 Data 2016 b jet 🔲 c jet

Jets / 0.1

- The University of Manchestel
- Data-MC comparison of the MV2C10 output
  - e- $\mu$  tt selection of events for b-jet rich sample
- Discriminators on the input of the MV2C10
- First data of 2016 (4pb-1)



more in the backup

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

MV2c10 output

MANCHESTER

2016/06/13

#### B-tagging performance /CMS √s=13 TeV, 25ns

#### CSVv2

MANCHESTER

- Combined SV algorithm (real vtx/ pseudo vtx/ no vtx cases)
- an improved performance wrt Run-1
  - · Upgraded to multilayer perceptron to use more input variables (nSV.  $\alpha$ (SV.iet)...)
- CSVv2 8% better efficiency wrt CSV @1% mistag for light jets
- cMVAv2

Jets/0.02

10<sup>7</sup>

106

10<sup>5</sup>

10

10<sup>3</sup>

10<sup>2</sup>

10

Data/MC

10

CMS

Preliminary

AK4 jets (50 < p\_ < 250 GeV)

**Multijets** 

0.1

0.2

- outperforms CSVv2 by 4% in efficiency for the same mistag probability of light partons



CSVv2 discriminator for AK4 jets- data 2016/MC comparison in multijet (left) and  $t\bar{t} e\mu$  events (right)

2016/06/13

# B-tagging scale factors (2015)/ CMS



- Data/Simulation scale factors of CVSv2 and cMVAv2 for befficiency (left) and misidentification probability cMVAv2M (right)
  - Scale factors from  $\mu\text{+jet}$  compared with  $t\bar{t}$  events for CSVv2
    - TagCount and Reweight methods
    - Loose, Medium, Tight working points compared

14

MANCHESTER



# Summary and outlook

- Commissioning of tracking for 2016 proceeding well
  - Improved performance due to IBL
  - Tracking developments for better b-tagging
    - Nearby tracks / tracking in dense environment for an improved track reconstruction efficiency/precision in the core of jets
    - Alignment / beamspot / detector calibration important role for precise track parameter estimation and reconstruction of b-decays
- B-tagging will benefit from improvements wrt Run-1 and early Run-2 performance
  - Optimisation of b-tagging discriminators
  - Multivariate techniques refined for 2016 data
  - Robust methods to calibrate b-tagging performance
- excellent performance of the LHC
  - By now the integrated lumi of 2016 almost reached the total of 2015
  - Look forward to new results from Run-2

2016/06/13 J. Mašík / Tracking and b-tagging of ATLAS and CMS in Run2 / LHCP2016 /Lund



# **Backup slides**



### B-tagging scale factors / ATLAS



2016/06/13

MANCHESTER 1824

The University of Manchestel

# Tracking efficiency/ ATLAS

Track Reconstruction Efficiencies and Systematic Uncertainties				
Track Quality Selection	Loose		Tight Primary	
$\eta$ Range	$ \eta  \le 0.1$	$2.3 \le  \eta  \le 2.5$	$ \eta  \le 0.1$	$2.3 \le  \eta  \le 2.5$
Track Reconstruction Efficiency	91%	73%	86%	63%
$Sys_{+5\% Extra}$	0.4%	0.9%	0.5%	1.1%
$\mathrm{Sys}_{PixServExtra}$		2.0%		2.3%
$Sys_{+30\% IBLExtra}$	0.2%	0.5%	0.2%	0.5%
Total Systematic Uncertainty	0.4%	2.2%	0.5%	2.6%

#### ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-051



• Tracking efficiencies for 0.4GeV<  $p_{\tau}$  <20 GeV and Loose & Tight Primary selection in regions with the smallest/largest systematic uncertainties



#### Scale factors CSVv2 / CMS

The University of Manchester

# Data / simulation scale factors b tagging efficiency for CSVv2

