

*LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group 2 (Higgs Properties)*

## Higgs Basis: Proposal for an EFT basis choice for LHCHXSWG

*Internal note prepared by:*

André David, Michael Dürrssen, Adam Falkowski, Chris Hays, and Gino Isidori.

*We acknowledge contributions and feedback from:*

Hermès Belusca-Maïto, Gerhard Buchalla, Alexandra Carvalho, Oscar Catà, Alejandro Celis, Benjamin Fuks, Christophe Grojean, Florian Goertz, Claudius Krause, Kentarou Mawatari, Ken Mimasu, Kin Mimouni, Marc Riembau, Tilman Plehn, Alex Pomarol, Francesco Riva, Veronica Sanz.

*Note that this document is currently a work in progress. Appearing in acknowledgements does not imply full or partial endorsement. Further contributions, comments, and criticism are welcome. Please send your remarks to [lhc-higgs-properties-convener@cern.ch](mailto:lhc-higgs-properties-convener@cern.ch).*

## 1 Introduction

The LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group is focused on various steps of the analysis chain:

**Data** → **Fiducial cross-sections** → **Pseudo-observables** → **Model-independent EFT** → **BSM Models** .

This note concerns model-independent interpretations of the data in the framework of effective field theory (EFT) beyond the Standard Model (SM), which is a part of the scope of the Working Group 2. The purpose of this note is to propose a common EFT language and conventions that could be universally used in LHC Higgs analyses and be implemented in numerical tools.

In the EFT approach to physics beyond the SM, the basic assumption is that the mass scale  $\Lambda$  of non-SM particles is larger than the electroweak scale  $v$ ,  $\Lambda \gg v$ . If this is the case, physics at energies  $E \ll \Lambda$  can be parametrized by the SM Lagrangian supplemented by new operators with canonical dimensions  $d$  larger than 4. The theory has the same field content and the same linearly realized  $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$  local symmetry as the SM.<sup>1</sup> The higher-dimensional operators are organized in a systematic expansion in  $d$ , where each consecutive term is suppressed by a larger power of  $\Lambda$ . The EFT Lagrangian can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EFT}} = \mathcal{L}^{\text{SM}} + \sum_i \frac{c_i^{(5)}}{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_i^{(5)} + \sum_i \frac{c_i^{(6)}}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_i^{(6)} + \dots . \quad (1.1)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The latter assumption can be relaxed, leading to EFT with a non-linearly realized electroweak symmetry. In this note, we will not discuss these theories.

19 In this equation,  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}}$  is the SM Lagrangian, which contains operators with  $d \leq 4$ . The  
 20 remaining terms parametrize effects of heavy particles beyond the SM. Each  $O_i^{(d)}$  is a  
 21 gauge-invariant operator of canonical dimension  $d$ , and  $c_i^{(d)}$  is the corresponding Wilson  
 22 coefficient. The contribution of each  $O_i^{(d)}$  to amplitudes of physical processes at the  
 23 energy scale of order  $v$  scales<sup>2</sup> as  $(v/\Lambda)^{d-4}$ . Since  $v/\Lambda < 1$  by construction, EFT typically  
 24 describes *small* deviations from the SM predictions, except for observables that, within  
 25 the SM, vanish or are suppressed by small parameters.

26 All dimension-5 operators that can be constructed from the SM fields violate the  
 27 lepton number. Experimental constraints dictate that their coefficients must be sup-  
 28 pressed at a level which makes them unobservable at the LHC, and for this reason  $d=5$   
 29 operators will not be discussed here. Consequently, the leading new physics effects are  
 30 expected from operators with  $d=6$  whose contributions scale as  $(v/\Lambda)^2$ . We will ignore  
 31 here the effects of operators with  $d > 6$ .

32 In the rest of this note, we discuss in detail the set  $d=6$  operators that can be  
 33 constructed from the SM fields. We review various possible choices of these operators  
 34 (the so-called *basis*) and their phenomenological effects. Only the operators that conserve  
 35 the baryon and lepton numbers are considered. On the other hand, we do not impose  
 36 any flavor symmetry. Also, we include CP violating operators in our discussion.

37 In Section 2, to define our notation and conventions, we write down the SM La-  
 38 grangian. Two popular bases of dimension-6 operators using the manifestly  $SU(2) \times U(1)$   
 39 invariant formalism are described in Section 3. In Section 4 we introduce an effective  
 40 Lagrangian summarizing the new interactions of the SM mass eigenstates that arise in  
 41 the presence of dimension-6 operators beyond the SM. We also derive provide a map be-  
 42 tween the couplings in that effective Lagrangian and Wilson coefficients of dimension-6  
 43 operators introduced in Section 3. In Section 5 we define a new basis of  $d=6$  operators,  
 44 the so-called Higgs basis, which is spanned by a subset of the independent couplings  
 45 of the effective Lagrangian. This basis is particularly convenient for leading-order EFT  
 46 analyses of LHC Higgs data.

## 47 2 Standard Model Lagrangian

48 The SM Lagrangian in our notation takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}^{\text{SM}} &= -\frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a - \frac{1}{4}W_{\mu\nu}^i W_{\mu\nu}^i - \frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} + D_\mu H^\dagger D_\mu H + \mu_H^2 H^\dagger H - \lambda(H^\dagger H)^2 \\
 &+ \sum_{f \in q, \ell} i \bar{f}_L \gamma_\mu D_\mu f_L + \sum_{f \in u, d, e} i \bar{f}_R \gamma_\mu D_\mu f_R \\
 &- \left[ \tilde{H}^\dagger \bar{u}_R y_u q_L + H^\dagger \bar{d}_R y_d V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger q_L + H^\dagger \bar{e}_R y_e \ell_L + \text{h.c.} \right].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.1}$$

49 Here,  $G_\mu^a$ ,  $W_\mu^i$ , and  $B_\mu$  denote the gauge fields of the  $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$  local  
 50 symmetry. The corresponding gauge couplings are denoted by  $g_s$ ,  $g$ ,  $g'$ ; we also define the  
 51 electromagnetic coupling  $e = gg'/\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}$ , and the Weinberg angle  $s_\theta = g'/\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>Apart from the scaling with  $\Lambda$ , the effects of higher-dimensional operators also scale with appropriate powers of couplings in the UV theory. The latter may be important to assess the validity range of the EFT description.

52 The field strength tensors are defined as  $G_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu G_\nu^a - \partial_\nu G_\mu^a + g_s f^{abc} G_\mu^b G_\nu^c$ ,  $W_{\mu\nu}^i =$   
53  $\partial_\mu W_\nu^i - \partial_\nu W_\mu^i + g \epsilon^{ijk} W_\mu^j W_\nu^k$ ,  $B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu$ . The Higgs doublet is denoted as  $H$ ,  
54 and we also define  $\tilde{H}_i = \epsilon_{ij} H_j^*$ . It acquires the VEV  $\langle H^\dagger H \rangle = v^2/2$ . In the unitary  
55 gauge we have  $H = (0, (v+h)/\sqrt{2})$ , where  $h$  is the Higgs boson field. After electroweak  
56 symmetry breaking, the electroweak gauge boson mass eigenstates are defined as  $W^\pm =$   
57  $(W^1 \mp iW^2)/\sqrt{2}$ ,  $Z = c_\theta W^3 - s_\theta B$ ,  $A = s_\theta W^3 + c_\theta B$ , where  $c_\theta = \sqrt{1-s_\theta^2}$ . The tree-level  
58 masses of  $W$  and  $Z$  bosons are given by  $m_W = gv/2$ ,  $m_Z = \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}v/2$ . The left-  
59 handed Dirac fermions  $q_L = (u_L, V_{\text{CKM}}d_L)$  and  $\ell_L = (\nu_L, e_L)$  are doublets of the  $SU(2)$   
60 gauge group, and the right-handed Dirac fermions  $u_R, d_R, e_R$  are  $SU(2)$  singlets. All  
61 fermions are 3-component vectors in the generation space, and  $y_f$  are  $3 \times 3$  matrices. We  
62 work in the basis where the fermion mass matrix is diagonal with real, positive entries.  
63 In this basis,  $y_f$  are diagonal, and the fermion masses are given by  $m_{f_i} = v[y_f]_{ii}/\sqrt{2}$ .

64 For a future use, we write down the equations of motions for the gauge fields following  
65 from Eq. (2.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\nu B_{\nu\mu} &= -\frac{ig'}{2} H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H - g' j_\mu^Y, \\ \partial_\nu W_{\nu\mu}^i + g \epsilon^{ijk} W_\nu^j W_\mu^k &= D_\nu W_{\nu\mu}^i = -\frac{ig}{2} H^\dagger \sigma^i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H - g j_\mu^i, \\ D_\nu G_{\nu\mu}^a &= -g_s j_\mu^a, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

66 where  $j_\mu^Y = \sum_f Y_f \bar{f} \gamma_\mu f$ ,  $j_\mu^i = \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \frac{\sigma^i}{2} P_L q + \bar{\ell} \gamma_\mu \frac{\sigma^i}{2} P_L \ell$ , and  $j_\mu^a = \bar{q} \gamma_\mu T^a P_L q$  are the fermionic  
67 currents corresponding to the  $U(1)$ ,  $SU(2)$ , and  $SU(3)$  factors of the SM gauge group.

68 Rewriting the Lagrangian in Eq. (2.1) in terms of the mass eigenstates after elec-  
69 troweak symmetry breaking, one finds the following mass terms:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{SM}} = \frac{g^2 v^2}{4} W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- + \frac{(g^2 + g'^2) v^2}{8} Z_\mu Z_\mu + \sum_{f \in u, d, e} m_f \bar{f} f, \quad (2.3)$$

70 the gauge boson couplings to fermions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{vff}^{\text{SM}} &= e A_\mu \sum_{f \in u, d, e} Q_f \bar{f} \gamma_\mu f + g_s G_\mu^a \sum_{f \in u, d} \bar{f} \gamma_\mu T^a f, \\ &+ \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} (W_\mu^+ \bar{u}_L \gamma_\mu V_{\text{CKM}} d_L + W_\mu^+ \bar{\nu}_L \gamma_\mu e_L + \text{h.c.}) \\ &+ \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} Z_\mu \sum_{f \in u, d, e, \nu} (T_f^3 \bar{f}_L \gamma_\mu f_L - s_\theta^2 Q_f \bar{f} \gamma_\mu f), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

71 the couplings of a single Higgs boson to gauge bosons and fermions:

$$\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{SM}} = \frac{h}{v} \left[ \frac{g^2 v^2}{2} W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- + \frac{(g^2 + g'^2) v^2}{4} Z_\mu Z_\mu \right] - \frac{h}{v} \sum_f m_f \bar{f} f \quad (2.5)$$

72 the couplings involving two or more Higgs bosons

$$\mathcal{L}_{hh}^{\text{SM}} = \frac{h^2}{2v^2} \left[ \frac{g^2 v^2}{2} W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- + \frac{(g^2 + g'^2) v^2}{4} Z_\mu Z_\mu \right] - \frac{m_h^2}{2v} h^3 - \frac{m_h^2}{8v^2} h^4, \quad (2.6)$$

73 and the triple and quartic self-interactions of the vector bosons:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{tgc}}^{\text{SM}} &= ie [(W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_\mu^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- W_\mu^+) A_\nu + A_{\mu\nu} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^-] \\
&+ igc_\theta [(W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_\mu^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- W_\mu^+) Z_\nu + Z_{\mu\nu} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^-] \\
&- g_s f^{abc} \partial_\mu G_\nu^a G_\mu^b G_\nu^c.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

74

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{qgc}}^{\text{SM}} &= \frac{g^2}{2} (W_\mu^+ W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- W_\nu^- - W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- W_\nu^+ W_\nu^-) + g^2 c_\theta^2 (W_\mu^+ Z_\mu W_\nu^- Z_\nu - W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- Z_\nu Z_\nu) \\
&+ g^2 s_\theta^2 (W_\mu^+ A_\mu W_\nu^- A_\nu - W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- A_\nu A_\nu) \\
&+ g^2 c_\theta s_\theta (W_\mu^+ Z_\mu W_\nu^- A_\nu + W_\mu^+ A_\mu W_\nu^- Z_\nu - 2W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- Z_\nu A_\nu) \\
&- g_s^2 f^{abc} f^{ade} G_\mu^b G_\nu^c G_\mu^d G_\nu^e.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

75 The couplings multiplying the SM interaction terms depend on a number of input pa-  
76 rameters:  $m_h$ ,  $m_f$ ,  $V_{\text{CKM}}$ ,  $g_s$ ,  $g$ ,  $g'$ ,  $v$ , all of which are known with a good precision.  
77 The last 3 parameters are customarily derived from the observable Fermi constant  $G_F$   
78 (more precisely, from the measured muon lifetime  $\tau_\mu = 192\pi^3/G_F^2 m_\mu^5$ ), Z boson mass  
79  $m_Z$ , and the low-energy electromagnetic coupling  $\alpha(0)$ . The tree-level relations between  
80 the input observables and the electroweak parameters are given by:

$$G_F = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}v^2}, \quad \alpha = \frac{g^2 g'^2}{4\pi(g^2 + g'^2)}, \quad m_Z = \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}v}{2}. \tag{2.9}$$

## 81 3 Bases of dimension-6 operators

82 A *basis* of dimension-6 operators is a complete, non-redundant set of  $O_i^{(6)}$  in Eq. (1.1).  
83 Complete means that any dimension-6 operator is either a part of the basis or can be  
84 obtained from a combination of operators in the basis using equations of motion, inte-  
85 gration by parts, field redefinitions, and Fierz transformations. Non-redundant means  
86 it is a minimal such set. Any complete basis leads to the same physical predictions con-  
87 cerning possible new physics effects. Several bases have been proposed in the literature,  
88 and they may be convenient for specific applications. In this section we describe two  
89 popular choices in the existing literature. Later, in Section 5, we propose a new basis  
90 choice that is particularly convenient for leading-order LHC Higgs analyses in the EFT  
91 framework.

### 92 3.1 Warsaw Basis

93 Historically, a complete and non-redundant set of  $d=6$  operators was first identified in  
94 Ref. [1], and is usually referred to as the *Warsaw basis*. For our purpose, it is more  
95 convenient to work with a variant of that basis which differs from the one in Ref. [1] by  
96 the following aspects:

- 97 • We replace the operator  $|H^\dagger D_\mu H|^2$  by  $O_T = (H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H)^2$ , where  $H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \equiv H^\dagger D_\mu H -$   
98  $D_\mu H^\dagger H$ . The reason is that  $O_T$  is more directly connected to violation of custodial  
99 symmetry among Higgs couplings.

$H^4 D^2$ and $H^6$		$f^2 H^3$		$V^3 D^3$	
$O_H$	$[\partial_\mu(H^\dagger H)]^2$	$[O_e]_{ij}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{m_i m_j}}{v}(H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2})\bar{e}_i H^\dagger \ell_j$	$O_{3G}$	$g_s^3 f^{abc} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\nu\rho}^b G_{\rho\mu}^c$
$O_T$	$(H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H)^2$	$[O_u]_{ij}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{m_i m_j}}{v}(H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2})\bar{u}_i \tilde{H}^\dagger q_j$	$O_{\widetilde{3G}}$	$g_s^3 f^{abc} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\nu\rho}^b G_{\rho\mu}^c$
$O_{6H}$	$(H^\dagger H)^3$	$[O_d]_{ij}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{m_i m_j}}{v}(H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2})\bar{d}_i H^\dagger q_j$	$O_{3W}$	$g^3 \epsilon^{ijk} W_{\mu\nu}^i W_{\nu\rho}^j W_{\rho\mu}^k$
				$O_{\widetilde{3W}}$	$g^3 \epsilon^{ijk} \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^i W_{\nu\rho}^j W_{\rho\mu}^k$
$V^2 H^2$		$f^2 H^2 D$		$f^2 VHD$	
$O_{GG}$	$\frac{g_s^2}{4} H^\dagger H G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a$	$[O_{H\ell}]_{ij}$	$i\bar{\ell}_i \gamma_\mu \ell_j H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H$	$[O_{eW}]_{ij}$	$g\bar{\ell}_i \sigma^k H \sigma_{\mu\nu} e_j W_{\mu\nu}^k$
$O_{\widetilde{GG}}$	$\frac{g_s^2}{4} H^\dagger H \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a$	$[O'_{H\ell}]_{ij}$	$i\bar{\ell}_i \sigma^k \gamma_\mu \ell_j H^\dagger \sigma^k \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H$	$[O_{eB}]_{ij}$	$g'\bar{\ell}_i H \sigma_{\mu\nu} e_j B_{\mu\nu}$
$O_{WW}$	$\frac{g^2}{4} H^\dagger H W_{\mu\nu}^i W_{\mu\nu}^i$	$[O_{He}]_{ij}$	$i\bar{e}_i \gamma_\mu e_j H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H$	$[O_{uG}]_{ij}$	$g_s \bar{q}_i \tilde{H} \sigma_{\mu\nu} T^a u_j G_{\mu\nu}^a$
$O_{\widetilde{WW}}$	$\frac{g^2}{4} H^\dagger H \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^i W_{\mu\nu}^i$	$[O_{Hq}]_{ij}$	$i\bar{q}_i \gamma_\mu q_j H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H$	$[O_{uW}]_{ij}$	$g\bar{q}_i \sigma^k \tilde{H} \sigma_{\mu\nu} u_j W_{\mu\nu}^k$
$O_{BB}$	$\frac{g'^2}{4} H^\dagger H B_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu}$	$[O'_{Hq}]_{ij}$	$i\bar{q}_i \sigma^k \gamma_\mu q_j H^\dagger \sigma^k \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H$	$[O_{uB}]_{ij}$	$g'\bar{q}_i \tilde{H} \sigma_{\mu\nu} u_j B_{\mu\nu}$
$O_{\widetilde{BB}}$	$\frac{g'^2}{4} H^\dagger H \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu}$	$[O_{Hu}]_{ij}$	$i\bar{u}_i \gamma_\mu u_j H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H$	$[O_{dG}]_{ij}$	$g_s \bar{q}_i H \sigma_{\mu\nu} T^a d_j G_{\mu\nu}^a$
$O_{WB}$	$gg' H^\dagger \sigma^i H W_{\mu\nu}^i B_{\mu\nu}$	$[O_{Hd}]_{ij}$	$i\bar{d}_i \gamma_\mu d_j H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H$	$[O_{dW}]_{ij}$	$g\bar{q}_i \sigma^k H \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_j W_{\mu\nu}^k$
$O_{\widetilde{WB}}$	$gg' H^\dagger \sigma^i H \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^i B_{\mu\nu}$	$[O_{Hud}]_{ij}$	$i\bar{u}_i \gamma_\mu d_j \tilde{H}^\dagger D_\mu H$	$[O_{dB}]_{ij}$	$g'\bar{q}_i H \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_j B_{\mu\nu}$

Table 1: Dimension-6 operators other than four-fermion operators in the Warsaw basis. In this table,  $e, u, d$  are always right-handed fermions, while  $\ell$  and  $q$  are left-handed. For complex operators the complex conjugate operator is implicit.

- 100 • For Yukawa-type  $d=6$  operators  $H|H|^2 \bar{f} f$  we subtracted  $v^2$  from  $|H|^2$  in the defini-  
101 tion, so that they do not contribute to fermion mass terms. This way we avoid  
102 tedious rotations of the fermion fields to bring them back to the mass eigenstate  
103 basis. Moreover, we isolated factor of fermion masses in the definition, for a  
104 more direct connection to minimal flavor violating scenarios. Starting with the  
105 Yukawa couplings  $-H \bar{f}'_R (Y'_f + c'_f H^\dagger H / v^2) f'_L$  we can bring them to the form in  
106 Eq. (2.1) and Table 1 by defining  $f'_{L,R} = U_{L,R} f_{L,R}$ ,  $\sqrt{m_i m_j} [c_f]_{ij} / v = [U_R^\dagger c'_f U_L]_{ij}$ ,  
107  $Y_f = U_R^\dagger (Y'_f + c'_f / 2) U_L$ , where  $U_{L,R}$  are unitary rotations to the mass eigenstate  
108 basis.

109 For other operators, we often use a different notation and normalizations than the origi-  
110 nial reference.

111 The Lagrangian in the Warsaw basis is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{warsaw}} = \mathcal{L}^{\text{SM}} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_i \hat{c}_i O_i, \quad (3.1)$$

112 where the SM Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{SM}}$  was introduced in Section 2,  $\Lambda$  is the EFT expansion  
113 parameter identified with the mass scale of new particles in the UV theory,  $O_i$  are  
114 the dimension-6 operators summarized in Table 1 and Table 2, and  $\hat{c}_i$  are the Wilson  
115 coefficient multiplying the operator  $O_i$ . Note that observables calculated in the EFT

$(\bar{L}L)(\bar{L}L)$ and $(\bar{L}R)(\bar{L}R)$		$(\bar{R}R)(\bar{R}R)$		$(\bar{L}L)(\bar{R}R)$	
$O_{\ell\ell}$	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_\mu\ell)(\bar{\ell}\gamma_\mu\ell)$	$O_{ee}$	$(\bar{e}\gamma_\mu e)(\bar{e}\gamma_\mu e)$	$O_{\ell e}$	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_\mu\ell)(\bar{e}\gamma_\mu e)$
$O_{qq}$	$(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu q)(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu q)$	$O_{uu}$	$(\bar{u}\gamma_\mu u)(\bar{u}\gamma_\mu u)$	$O_{\ell u}$	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_\mu\ell)(\bar{u}\gamma_\mu u)$
$O'_{qq}$	$(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu\sigma^i q)(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu\sigma^i q)$	$O_{dd}$	$(\bar{d}\gamma_\mu d)(\bar{d}\gamma_\mu d)$	$O_{\ell d}$	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_\mu\ell)(\bar{d}\gamma_\mu d)$
$O_{\ell q}$	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_\mu\ell)(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu q)$	$O_{eu}$	$(\bar{e}\gamma_\mu e)(\bar{u}\gamma_\mu u)$	$O_{qe}$	$(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu q)(\bar{e}\gamma_\mu e)$
$O'_{\ell q}$	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_\mu\sigma^i\ell)(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu\sigma^i q)$	$O_{ed}$	$(\bar{e}\gamma_\mu e)(\bar{d}\gamma_\mu d)$	$O_{qu}$	$(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu q)(\bar{u}\gamma_\mu u)$
$O_{quqd}$	$(\bar{q}^j u)\epsilon_{jk}(\bar{q}^k d)$	$O_{ud}$	$(\bar{u}\gamma_\mu u)(\bar{d}\gamma_\mu d)$	$O'_{qu}$	$(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu T^a q)(\bar{u}\gamma_\mu T^a u)$
$O'_{quqd}$	$(\bar{q}^j T^a u)\epsilon_{jk}(\bar{q}^k T^a d)$	$O'_{ud}$	$(\bar{u}\gamma_\mu T^a u)(\bar{d}\gamma_\mu T^a d)$	$O_{qd}$	$(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu q)(\bar{d}\gamma_\mu d)$
$O_{\ell equ}$	$(\bar{\ell}^j e)\epsilon_{jk}(\bar{q}^k u)$			$O'_{qd}$	$(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu T^a q)(\bar{d}\gamma_\mu T^a d)$
$O'_{\ell equ}$	$(\bar{\ell}^j\sigma_{\mu\nu}e)\epsilon_{jk}(\bar{q}^k\sigma^{\mu\nu}u)$				
$O_{\ell edq}$	$(\bar{\ell}^j e)(\bar{d}q^j)$				

Table 2: Four-fermion operators in the Warsaw basis [1]. In this table,  $e, u, d$  are always right-handed fermions, while  $\ell$  and  $q$  are left-handed. A flavor index is implicit for each fermion field. For complex operators the complex conjugate operator is implicit.

depend only on the combination  $\hat{c}_i/\Lambda^2$ . Therefore, working with the low-energy EFT, it is more convenient to redefine  $\hat{c}_i \rightarrow c_i\Lambda^2/v^2$ . In the following we will display all the formulas using the redefined Wilson coefficients  $c_i$ .

### 3.2 SILH basis

Another  $d=6$  basis choice commonly used in the literature is the SILH basis [3, 11].<sup>3</sup> The SILH Lagrangian is written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SILH}} = \mathcal{L}^{\text{SM}} + \frac{1}{v^2} \sum_i s_i O_i. \quad (3.2)$$

<sup>3</sup>For the sake of this note, the SILH basis is understood simply as a particular choice of a non-redundant set of  $d=6$  operators whose Wilson coefficients are arbitrary. We do not assume any hierarchy of the Wilson coefficients motivated by particular strongly coupled UV completions that was discussed in Refs. [3, 11]. As in the case of the Warsaw basis, in this note we use a different notation and normalization than in the original references.

122 Compared to the Warsaw basis defined in Section 3.1, the SILH basis of dimension-6  
 123 operators introduces the following nine new operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
 O_W &= \frac{ig}{2} \left( H^\dagger \sigma^i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \right) D_\nu W_{\mu\nu}^i, \\
 O_B &= \frac{ig'}{2} \left( H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \right) \partial_\nu B_{\mu\nu}, \\
 O_{HW} &= ig \left( D_\mu H^\dagger \sigma^i D_\nu H \right) W_{\mu\nu}^i, \\
 O_{HB} &= ig' \left( D_\mu H^\dagger D_\nu H \right) B_{\mu\nu}, \\
 O_{\widetilde{HW}} &= ig \left( D_\mu H^\dagger \sigma^i D_\nu H \right) \widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^i, \\
 O_{\widetilde{HB}} &= ig' \left( D_\mu H^\dagger D_\nu H \right) \widetilde{B}_{\mu\nu}, \\
 O_{2W} &= D_\mu W_{\mu\nu}^i D_\rho W_{\rho\nu}^i, \\
 O_{2B} &= \partial_\mu B_{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho B_{\rho\nu}, \\
 O_{2G} &= D_\mu G_{\mu\nu}^a D_\rho G_{\rho\nu}^a.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

124 Consequently, in order to have a non-redundant set of operators, 9 operators present  
 125 in the Warsaw basis must be absent in the SILH basis. The absent ones are 4 bosonic  
 126 operators  $O_{WW}$ ,  $O_{\widetilde{WW}}$ ,  $O_{WB}$ ,  $O_{\widetilde{WB}}$ , 2 vertex operators  $[O_{H\ell}]_{11}$ ,  $[O'_{H\ell}]_{11}$ , and 3 four-  
 127 fermion operators  $[O_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}$ ,  $[O_{\ell\ell}]_{1122}$ ,  $[O'_{uu}]_{3333}$ . The remaining operators are the same as  
 128 in the Warsaw basis, and we use the normalizations in Table 1.<sup>4</sup>

### 129 3.3 Map between Warsaw and SILH bases

130 One way to derive the translation is to first transform the operators in Eq. (3.3) to the  
 131 Warsaw basis using integration by parts, Fierz transformations, and the equations of

---

<sup>4</sup>The original references do not discuss the flavor structure explicitly, and the flavor indices of the absent operators are not specified. Here, for concreteness, we made a particular though somewhat arbitrary choice of these indices.

132 motion Eq. (2.2). This way, one can derive the following operator equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
O_{HB} &= O_B - \frac{1}{4}O_{WB} - O_{BB}, \\
O_{HW} &= O_W - \frac{1}{4}O_{WB} - O_{WW}, \\
O_{\widetilde{HB}} &= -\frac{1}{4}O_{\widetilde{WB}} - O_{\widetilde{BB}}, \\
O_{\widetilde{HW}} &= -\frac{1}{4}O_{\widetilde{WB}} - O_{\widetilde{WW}}, \\
O_B &= g'^2 \left[ -\frac{1}{4}O_T + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{f \in q,u,d,\ell,e} Y_f \sum_i [O_{Hf}]_{ii} \right], \\
O_W &= g^2 \left[ -\frac{1}{4}O_H + O_{HD} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{f \in q,\ell} \sum_i [O'_{Hf}]_{ii} \right], \\
O_{2B} &= g'^2 \left[ -\frac{1}{4}O_T + \sum_{f \in q,u,d,\ell,e} Y_f \sum_i [O_{Hf}]_{ii} + \sum_{f_1 f_2 \in q,u,d,\ell,e} Y_{f_1} Y_{f_2} \sum_{i,j} [O_{f_1 f_2}]_{ii;jj} \right], \\
O_{2W} &= g^2 \left[ -\frac{1}{4}O_H + O_{HD} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{f \in q,\ell} \sum_i [O'_{Hf}]_{ii} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{ij} \left( \frac{1}{2} [O_{\ell\ell}]_{ij;ji} - \frac{1}{4} [O_{\ell\ell}]_{ii;jj} + \frac{1}{2} [O_{\ell q}]_{ii;jj} + \frac{1}{4} [O_{qq}]_{ii;jj} \right) \right], \\
O_{2G} &= g_s^2 \sum_{i,j} \left[ \frac{1}{4} [O'_{qq}]_{ij;ji} + \frac{1}{4} [O_{qq}]_{ij;ji} - \frac{1}{6} [O_{qq}]_{ii;jj} + 2 [O'_{qu}]_{ii;jj} + 2 [O'_{qd}]_{ii;jj} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 [O'_{ud}]_{ii;jj} + \frac{1}{2} [O'_{uu}]_{ij;ji} - \frac{1}{6} [O'_{uu}]_{ii;jj} + \frac{1}{2} [O'_{dd}]_{ij;ji} - \frac{1}{6} [O'_{dd}]_{ii;jj} \right]. \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

133 The operator  $O_{HD} = |H|^2 |D_\mu H|^2$  appearing above is present neither in the Warsaw nor  
134 in the SILH basis. One can remove it from the Lagrangian by rescaling the Higgs field  
135 and the Yukawa couplings as  $H \rightarrow H(1 + \epsilon |H|^2/v^2)$ ,  $y_f \rightarrow y_f(1 - \epsilon/2)$ . To lowest order  
136 in  $\epsilon$ , this rescaling generates the following terms in the Lagrangian

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = \epsilon \left( 2O_{HD} + O_H - 4\lambda O_{6H} + \sqrt{2} \sum_{f \in u,d,e} \sum_i [O_f]_{ii} \right). \tag{3.5}$$

137 Thus, to get rid of the  $O_{HD}$  operator generated by the transformation from the SILH  
138 to the Warsaw basis we need to choose  $\epsilon = -g^2(s_W + s_{HW} + s_{2W})/2$ . Effectively, this  
139 amount to replacing in Eq. (3.4):

$$O_{HD} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}O_H + 2\lambda O_{6H} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{f \in u,d,e} \sum_i [O_f]_{ii}. \tag{3.6}$$

140 We are ready to give the translation between the Wilson coefficient in the SILH and



141 Warsaw basis:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_H &= s_H - \frac{3g^2}{4}(s_W + s_{HW} + s_{2W}), \\
c_T &= s_T - \frac{g'^2}{4}(s_B + s_{HB} + s_{2B}), \\
c_{6H} &= s_{6H} + 2\lambda g^2(s_W + s_{HW} + s_{2W}), \\
c_{WB} &= -\frac{1}{4}(s_{HB} + s_{HW}), \\
c_{BB} &= s_{BB} - s_{HB}, \\
c_{WW} &= -s_{HW}, \\
\tilde{c}_{WB} &= -\frac{1}{4}(\tilde{s}_{HB} + \tilde{s}_{HW}), \\
\tilde{c}_{BB} &= \tilde{s}_{BB} - \tilde{s}_{HB}, \\
\tilde{c}_{WW} &= -\tilde{s}_{HW},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

142

$$\begin{aligned}
[c_{Hf}]_{ij} &= [s_{Hf}]_{ij} + \frac{g'^2 Y_f}{2}(s_B + s_{HB} + 2s_{2B})\delta_{ij}, \\
[c'_{Hf}]_{ij} &= [s'_{Hf}]_{ij} + \frac{g^2}{4}(s_W + s_{HW} + 2s_{2W})\delta_{ij},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

143

$$[c_f]_{ij} = [s_f]_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \frac{g^2}{\sqrt{2}}(s_W + s_{HW} + s_{2W}), \tag{3.9}$$

144

$$\begin{aligned}
[c_{\ell\ell}]_{iiii} &= [s_{\ell\ell}]_{iiii} + \frac{1}{4}(g'^2 s_{2B} + g^2 s_{2W}), \\
[c_{\ell\ell}]_{iijj} &= [s_{\ell\ell}]_{iijj} + \frac{1}{2}(g'^2 s_{2B} - g^2 s_{2W}), \quad i < j, \\
[c_{\ell\ell}]_{ijji} &= [s_{\ell\ell}]_{ijji} + g^2 s_{2W}, \quad i < j,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

145 where it is implicit that  $[s_{H\ell}]_{11} = [s'_{H\ell}]_{11} = [s_{\ell\ell}]_{1221} = [s_{\ell\ell}]_{1122} = 0$ . For the 4-lepton  
146 operators one should take into account that  $[O_{\ell\ell}]_{jiii} \equiv [O_{\ell\ell}]_{ijji}$  and  $[O_{\ell\ell}]_{jjii} \equiv [O_{\ell\ell}]_{iijj}$ .  
147 The translation of other 4-fermion Wilson coefficients apart from the one in Eq. (3.10)  
148 can be easily derived from Eq. (3.4), but it will not be needed in the following. For the  
149 Wilson coefficients not listed above the translation is trivial:  $c_i = s_i$ .

## 150 4 Phenomenological effective Lagrangian

151 In Section 3 we introduced  $d=6$  operators in the  $SU(2) \times U(1)$  invariant notation. At that  
152 point, the connection between the new operators and phenomenology is not obvious. In  
153 this section we relate the Wilson coefficients of dimension-6 operators to the parameters  
154 of the effective Lagrangian describing the interactions of SM mass eigenstates after  
155 electroweak symmetry breaking. The effective Lagrangian is of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}^{\text{SM}} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{d=6}, \tag{4.1}$$

156 where  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{SM}}$  is the SM Lagrangian introduced in Section 2, and  $\Delta\mathcal{L}_{d=6}$ , contains new  
157 interactions beyond the SM induced by the  $d=6$  operators.<sup>5</sup> The effect of  $\Delta\mathcal{L}_{d=6}$  is

---

<sup>5</sup>Note that, after electroweak symmetry breaking, the canonical dimensions of some interaction terms  $\Delta\mathcal{L}_{d=6}$  is smaller than 6 due to insertions of the Higgs field VEV  $v$ .

158 either to shift the coupling strength away from the SM predictions or to introduce new  
 159 tensor structures of interactions that are absent in the SM Lagrangian. A subset of these  
 160 interactions is relevant to describe new physics effects in Higgs searches at the LHC.

161 By construction,  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}$  has the following features:

162 #1 All kinetic and mass terms are diagonal and canonically normalized. In particular,  
 163 there is no kinetic mixing between the Z boson and the photon.

164 #2 Tree-level relations between the electroweak parameters and input observables are  
 165 the same as the SM ones in Eq. (2.9). In particular, the photon and the gluon  
 166 interact with fermions as in Eq. (2.4), and there is no correction to the Z boson  
 167 mass term.

168 #3 Two-derivative self-interactions of the Higgs boson are absent.

169 #4 For each fermion pair, the coefficient of the vertex-like Higgs interaction term  
 170  $\frac{h}{v} V_\mu \bar{f} \gamma_\mu f$  is equal to the vertex correction to the respective  $V_\mu \bar{f} \gamma_\mu f$  interaction.

171 These conditions greatly simplify the connection between the parameters of the La-  
 172 grangian and collider observables. In general, dimension-6 operators can induce inter-  
 173 action terms that do not respect these features. However, the conditions #1-#4 can  
 174 always be achieved, *without any loss of generality*, by using equations of motion, inte-  
 175 grating by parts, and redefining the fields and couplings. Below, we discuss the required  
 176 set of transformations starting from the Warsaw basis. An analogous procedure could  
 177 be executed starting from the SILH basis; alternatively, the map between the SILH basis  
 178 and the phenomenological effective Lagrangian can be derived using the results for the  
 179 Warsaw basis obtained below together with the Warsaw-to-SILH translation given in  
 180 Section 3.3,

181 We need to bring the Warsaw basis Lagrangian to a form that satisfies the condi-  
 182 tions #1-#4. To begin with, the operator  $O_{WB}$  leads to a kinetic mixing between the  
 183 hypercharge and SU(2) gauge bosons,  $O_{WB} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} g g' W_{\mu\nu}^3 B_{\mu\nu}$ . To get rid of it, one has  
 184 to use the equations of motion in Eq. (2.2):

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -c_{WB} \frac{g g'}{2} W_{\mu\nu}^3 B_{\mu\nu} = -c_{WB} \frac{g g'}{2} \left( -2s_\theta^2 B_\mu \partial_\nu W_{\nu\mu}^3 - 2c_\theta^2 W_\mu^3 \partial_\nu B_{\nu\mu} + g c_\theta^2 \epsilon^{3jk} W_\mu^j W_\mu^k B_{\mu\nu} \right) \\
 \rightarrow & c_{WB} e^2 \left[ \frac{(v+h)^2}{4} (g W_\mu^3 - g' B_\mu)^2 - g W_\mu^3 j_\mu^Y - g' B_\mu j_\mu^3 - \frac{g^2}{2g'} \epsilon^{3jk} W_\mu^j W_\nu^k B_{\mu\nu} - g' \epsilon^{3jk} B_\mu W_\nu^j W_{\nu\mu}^k \right] \\
 = & c_{WB} e^2 \left[ \frac{(g^2+g'^2)(v+h)^2}{4} Z_\mu^2 - e A_\mu j_\mu^{\text{em}} + \sqrt{g^2+g'^2} Z_\mu (j_\mu^3 - c_\theta^2 j_\mu^{\text{em}}) \right] \\
 + & i c_{WB} \frac{g^2 g'}{(g^2+g'^2)^{3/2}} \left[ g^2 (g A_{\mu\nu} - g' Z_{\mu\nu}) W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- - g'^2 (g A_\mu - g' Z_\mu) (W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_\nu^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- W_\nu^+) \right], \quad (4.2)
 \end{aligned}$$

185 where  $j_\mu^{\text{em}} = j_\mu^3 + j_\mu^Y$  is the electromagnetic current. Next, the operators  $O_{BB}$ ,  $O_{WW}$ ,  
 186 and  $O_{GG}$  change the normalization of the kinetic terms of the gauge bosons. To recover  
 187 the canonical normalization we redefine the gauge fields as

$$B_\mu \rightarrow B_\mu \left( 1 + \frac{c_{BB} g'^2}{4} \right), \quad W_\mu^i \rightarrow W_\mu^i \left( 1 + \frac{c_{WW} g^2}{4} \right), \quad G_\mu^a \rightarrow G_\mu^a \left( 1 + \frac{c_{GG} g_s^2}{4} \right). \quad (4.3)$$

188 The operator  $\tilde{O}_{GG}$  contributes to the QCD  $\theta$ -term which, for phenomenological reasons,  
 189 should be extremely small. Therefore, we assume that this contribution if present,

precisely cancels against the  $\theta$ -term in the SM Lagrangian such that  $|\theta_{\text{SM}} + \theta_{\widetilde{GG}}| < 10^{-10}$ . The operator  $O_H$  changes the normalization of the Higgs boson kinetic term, and also induces Higgs boson self-interactions that contain two derivatives. To recover the canonical normalization and remove the 2-derivative self-interactions we redefine the Higgs field as

$$h \rightarrow h \left( 1 - c_H - \frac{h}{v} c_H - \frac{h^2}{3v^2} c_H \right). \quad (4.4)$$

The relation between the Higgs VEV  $v_0$  and the mass parameter in the SM Lagrangian is affected by the  $O_{6H}$  operator:

$$v_0^2 = \frac{\mu_H^2}{\lambda} \left( 1 + \frac{3}{4\lambda} c_{6H} \right), \quad (4.5)$$

while the relation between the Higgs boson mass and the quartic coupling in the SM Lagrangian is affected by both  $O_{6H}$  and  $O_H$ :

$$m_h^2 = 2v_0^2 \left( \lambda - 2c_H \lambda - \frac{3}{2} c_{6H} \right). \quad (4.6)$$

We still need to ensure the condition #2 which requires that the tree-level relations between the couplings and the observables employed to determine them must be the same as in the SM. This is a non-trivial requirement, because dimension-6 operators affect the observables used to extract these parameters. We have seen that the operator  $O_{WB}$  shifts the electric charge and the Z boson mass. Similarly, the operator  $O_T$  shifts the Z boson mass term. Furthermore, one of the  $O_{\ell\ell}$  operators leads to the 4-fermion coupling  $v^{-2} [c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221} (\bar{\nu}_{\mu,L} \gamma_\rho \nu_{e,L}) (\bar{e}_L \gamma_\rho \mu_L)$  that contributes to the muon decay at the linear level and thus effectively shifts the Fermi constant. Finally, the leptonic vertex operators  $O_{H\ell}$  change the couplings of  $W$  to electrons and muons, and thus also effectively shift the Fermi constant. To undo these effects, we need to ensure that the photon and the gluon couple to the electromagnetic and strong currents as in Eq. (2.4). Furthermore, the Z boson mass term in the Lagrangian should be as in Eq. (2.3), and the tree-level  $\mu \rightarrow e \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$  decay width should be given by  $\Gamma = \frac{m_\mu^5}{384\pi^3 v^4}$ . This is achieved by the following redefinition of the coupling constants and the VEV:

$$\begin{aligned} g_s &\rightarrow g_s \left( 1 - c_{GG} \frac{g_s^2}{4} \right), \\ g &\rightarrow g \left( 1 - c_{WW} \frac{g^2}{4} - c_{WB} \frac{g^2 g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} + (c_T - \delta v) \frac{g^2}{g^2 - g'^2} \right), \\ g' &\rightarrow g' \left( 1 - c_{BB} \frac{g'^2}{4} + c_{WB} \frac{g^2 g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} - (c_T - \delta v) \frac{g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} \right), \\ v_0 &\rightarrow v (1 + \delta v), \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where  $\delta v = ([c'_{H\ell}]_{11} + [c'_{H\ell}]_{22})/2 - [c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}/4$ .

One last transformation is needed satisfy the condition #4. At this point, the coefficients of the contact  $hVff$  and  $h^2Vff$  interactions differ from the vertex corrections to the  $Vff$  interactions by flavor universal terms depending only on the electric charge and the isospin of the fermions. It is possible to get rid of the latter using equations of

218 motion for the gauge bosons, so as to trade them into zero- and two-derivative Higgs  
 219 boson interactions with gauge bosons of the form  $hV_\mu V_\mu$  and  $hV_\mu \partial_\nu V_{\mu\nu}$ . To this end, we  
 220 add and subtract the following Lagrangian term:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta\mathcal{L} &= \left(2\frac{h}{v} + \frac{h^2}{v^2}\right) [L_{\text{add}} - L_{\text{add, eom}}] \\
 \mathcal{L}_{\text{add}} &= \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{g^2}{g^2 - g'^2} (c_T - \delta v - g'^2 c_{WB}) (W_\mu^+ j_\mu^- + \text{h.c.}) \\
 &+ \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} ((c_T - \delta v)(g^2 j_\mu^3 + g'^2 j_\mu^Y) - g^2 g'^2 c_{WB}(j_\mu^3 + j_\mu^Y)) Z_\mu
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

221 where  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{add, eom}}$  is  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{add}}$  with the fermionic currents  $j_\mu$  eliminated in favor of bosonic  
 222 terms using the equations of motion in Eq. (2.2). This step ensures the the coefficients  
 223 of the vertex-like Higgs contact interactions  $hVff$  and  $h^2Vff$  in the Lagrangian are  
 224 proportional to the vertex correction to the SM  $Vff$  interactions.

225 After all these transformations, the conditions #1-#4 are satisfied. We can proceed  
 226 to listing the corrections to the SM in  $\Delta L_{d=6}$  in this representation. We will focus on  
 227 interaction terms that are relevant for LHC phenomenology. Coefficients of all interac-  
 228 tion terms in  $\Delta L_{d=6}$  are  $\mathcal{O}(1/\Lambda^2)$  in the EFT expansion, and will ignore all  $\mathcal{O}(1/\Lambda^4)$   
 229 and higher contributions. To facilitate presentation, we split  $\Delta L_{d=6}$  into the following  
 230 parts,

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{d=6} = \Delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{vertex}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{dipole}} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{tgc}} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{qgc}} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{h}} + \mathcal{L}_{h\nu ff} + \mathcal{L}_{hd\nu ff} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{h,\text{self}} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{h^2} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{other}}. \tag{4.9}$$

231 Below we define each term in order of appearance. In this section we give the Lagrangian  
 232 in the unitary gauge when the Goldstone bosons eaten by  $W$  and  $Z$  are set to zero; see  
 233 Appendix B for a generalization to the  $R_\xi$  gauge.

## 234 4.1 Quadratic terms

235 By construction, there are no corrections to quadratic terms of the SM mass eigenstates  
 236 with the exception of the shift of the W boson mass in Eq. (2.3):

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = 2\delta m \frac{g^2 v^2}{4} W_\mu^+ W_\mu^-. \tag{4.10}$$

237 The relation between  $\delta m$  and the Wilson coefficients in the Warsaw and SILH bases is  
 238 given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta m &= \frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} [-g^2 g'^2 c_{WB} + g^2 c_T - g'^2 \delta v] \\
 &= -\frac{g^2 g'^2}{4(g^2 - g'^2)} \left( s_W + s_B + s_{2W} + s_{2B} - \frac{4}{g'^2} s_T + \frac{2}{g^2} [s'_{H\ell}]_{22} \right). \tag{4.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

## 239 4.2 Gauge boson interactions with fermions

240 Two types of corrections to the SM gauge boson interactions with fermions may be  
 241 introduced by dimension-6 operators. One is the so-called *vertex corrections*, which

242 shift the W and Z couplings to fermions away from the SM Lagrangian of Eq. (2.4):

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{vertex}} &= \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \left( W_\mu^+ \bar{\nu}_L \gamma_\mu \delta g_L^{W\ell} e_L + W_\mu^+ \bar{u} \gamma_\mu \delta g_L^{Wq} d_L + W_\mu^+ \bar{u}_R \gamma_\mu \delta g_R^{Wq} d_R + \text{h.c.} \right) \\ &+ \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} Z_\mu \left[ \sum_{f \in u, d, e, \nu} \bar{f}_L \gamma_\mu \delta g_L^{Zf} f_L + \sum_{f \in u, d, e} \bar{f}_R \gamma_\mu \delta g_R^{Zf} f_R \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

243 where all the  $\delta g$  are  $3 \times 3$  Hermitian matrices in the generation space, except for  $\delta g_R^{Wq}$   
 244 which is a general  $3 \times 3$  complex matrix. The vertex corrections to W and Z boson  
 245 couplings to fermions are expressed by the Wilson coefficients in the Warsaw basis as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta g_L^{W\ell} &= c'_{H\ell} + f(1/2, 0) - f(-1/2, -1), \\ \delta g_L^{Z\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} c'_{H\ell} - \frac{1}{2} c_{H\ell} + f(1/2, 0), \\ \delta g_L^{Ze} &= -\frac{1}{2} c'_{H\ell} - \frac{1}{2} c_{H\ell} + f(-1/2, -1), \\ \delta g_R^{Ze} &= -\frac{1}{2} c_{He} + f(0, -1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

246

$$\begin{aligned} \delta g_L^{Wq} &= c'_{Hq} V_{\text{CKM}} + f(1/2, 2/3) - f(-1/2, -1/3), \\ \delta g_R^{Wq} &= -\frac{1}{2} c_{Hud}, \\ \delta g_L^{Zu} &= \frac{1}{2} c'_{Hq} - \frac{1}{2} c_{Hq} + f(1/2, 2/3), \\ \delta g_L^{Zd} &= -\frac{1}{2} V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger c'_{Hq} V_{\text{CKM}} - \frac{1}{2} V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger c_{Hq} V_{\text{CKM}} + f(-1/2, -1/3), \\ \delta g_R^{Zu} &= -\frac{1}{2} c_{Hu} + f(0, 2/3), \\ \delta g_R^{Zd} &= -\frac{1}{2} c_{Hd} + f(0, -1/3), \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

247 where

$$f(T^3, Q) = I_3 \left[ -Q_{WB} \frac{g^2 g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} + (c_T - \delta v) \left( T^3 + Q \frac{g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} \right) \right], \quad (4.15)$$

248 and  $I_3$  is the  $3 \times 3$  identity matrix. The analogous expression in the SILH basis read

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta g_L^{Z\nu} &= \frac{1}{2}s'_{H\ell} - \frac{1}{2}s_{H\ell} + \hat{f}(1/2, 0), \\
\delta g_L^{Ze} &= -\frac{1}{2}s'_{H\ell} - \frac{1}{2}s_{H\ell} + \hat{f}(-1/2, -1), \\
\delta g_R^{Ze} &= -\frac{1}{2}s_{He} + \hat{f}(0, -1), \\
\delta g_L^{Zu} &= \frac{1}{2}s'_{Hq} - \frac{1}{2}s_{Hq} + \hat{f}(1/2, 2/3), \\
\delta g_L^{Zd} &= -\frac{1}{2}V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger s'_{Hq} V_{\text{CKM}} - \frac{1}{2}V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger s_{Hq} V_{\text{CKM}} + \hat{f}(-1/2, -1/3), \\
\delta g_R^{Zu} &= -\frac{1}{2}s_{Hu} + \hat{f}(0, 2/3), \\
\delta g_R^{Zd} &= -\frac{1}{2}s_{Hd} + \hat{f}(0, -1/3), \\
\delta g_L^{W\ell} &= s'_{H\ell} + \hat{f}(1/2, 0) - \hat{f}(-1/2, -1), \\
\delta g_L^{Wq} &= s'_{Hq} V_{\text{CKM}} + \hat{f}(1/2, 2/3) - \hat{f}(-1/2, -1/3), \\
\delta g_R^{Wq} &= -\frac{1}{2}s_{Hud}, \tag{4.16}
\end{aligned}$$

249 where

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}(T^3, Q) &\equiv \frac{1}{4} [g^2 s_{2W} + g'^2 s_{2B} + 4s_T - 2[s'_{H\ell}]_{22}] T^3 \\
&+ \frac{g'^2}{4(g^2 - g'^2)} [-(2g^2 - g'^2)s_{2B} - g^2(s_{2W} + s_W + s_B) + 4s_T - 2[s'_{H\ell}]_{22}] Q. \tag{4.17}
\end{aligned}$$

250 Another type of gauge boson interactions with fermions, which does occur in the SM  
251 Lagrangian, are the so-called dipole interactions, We parametrize them as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{dipole}} &= -\frac{1}{4v} \left[ g_s \sum_{f \in u, d} \bar{f} \sigma_{\mu\nu} T^a d_{Gf} f G_{\mu\nu}^a + e \sum_{f \in u, d, e} \bar{f} \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_{Af} f A_{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \sum_{f \in u, d, e} \bar{f} \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_{Zf} f Z_{\mu\nu} \right. \\
&+ \sqrt{2}g (\bar{d}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_{Wu} u_R W_{\mu\nu}^- + \bar{u}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_{Wd} d_R W_{\mu\nu}^+ + \bar{\nu}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_{We} e_R W_{\mu\nu}^+ + \text{h.c.}) \\
&\left. + g_s \sum_{f \in u, d} \bar{f} \sigma_{\mu\nu} T^a \tilde{d}_{Gf} f \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a + e \sum_{f \in u, d, e} \bar{f} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \tilde{d}_{Af} f \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \sum_{f \in u, d, e} \bar{f} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \tilde{d}_{Zf} f \tilde{Z}_{\mu\nu} \right], \tag{4.18}
\end{aligned}$$

252 where  $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = i[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]/2$ , and  $d_{Af}$ ,  $\tilde{d}_{Af}$ ,  $d_{Zf}$ ,  $\tilde{d}_{Zf}$  are Hermitian  $3 \times 3$  matrices, while  
253  $d_{Wf}$  are general complex  $3 \times 3$  matrices. The field strength tensors are defined as  
254  $X_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu X_\nu - \partial_\nu X_\mu$ , and  $\tilde{X}_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho X_\sigma$ . The coefficients  $d_{vf}$  are related to the

255 Wilson coefficients in the Warsaw basis as

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{Gf} - i\tilde{d}_{Gf} &= -2\sqrt{2}c_{fG}, \\
d_{Af} - i\tilde{d}_{Af} &= -2\sqrt{2}(\eta_f c_{fW} + c_{fB}), \\
d_{Zf} - i\tilde{d}_{Zf} &= -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{g^2 + g'^2} (g^2 \eta_f c_{fW} - g'^2 c_{fB}), \\
d_{Wf} &= -2\sqrt{2}c_{fW},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

256 where  $\eta_u = +1$ ,  $\eta_{d,e} = -1$ , and the formulas in the SILH basis are the same with  $c_i \rightarrow s_i$ .

### 257 4.3 Gauge boson self-interactions

258 The corrections to the cubic interactions of gauge bosons in Eq. (2.7) are parametrized  
259 as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{tgc}} &= ie \left[ \delta\kappa_\gamma A_{\mu\nu} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- + \tilde{\kappa}_\gamma \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- \right] \\
&+ igc_\theta \left[ \delta g_{1,z} (W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_\mu^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- W_\mu^+) Z_\nu + \delta\kappa_z Z_{\mu\nu} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- + \tilde{\kappa}_z \tilde{Z}_{\mu\nu} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- \right] \\
&+ i\frac{e}{m_W^2} \left[ \lambda_\gamma W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\nu\rho}^- A_{\rho\mu} + \tilde{\lambda}_\gamma W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\nu\rho}^- \tilde{A}_{\rho\mu} \right] + i\frac{g c_\theta}{m_W^2} \left[ \lambda_z W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\nu\rho}^- Z_{\rho\mu} + \tilde{\lambda}_z W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\nu\rho}^- \tilde{Z}_{\rho\mu} \right] \\
&+ \frac{c_{3G}}{v^2} g_s^3 f^{abc} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\nu\rho}^b G_{\rho\mu}^c + \frac{\tilde{c}_{3G}}{v^2} g_s^3 f^{abc} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\nu\rho}^b G_{\rho\mu}^c,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

260 The couplings of electroweak gauge bosons follow the customary parametrization of  
261 Ref. [7]. The anomalous triple gauge couplings of electroweak gauge bosons are related  
262 to the Wilson coefficients in the Warsaw basis as

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta g_{1,z} &= \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} (-g'^2 c_{WB} + c_T - \delta v), \\
\delta\kappa_\gamma &= g^2 c_{WB}, \\
\delta\kappa_z &= -2c_{WB} \frac{g^2 g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} + \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} (c_T - \delta v), \\
\lambda_\gamma &= -\frac{3}{2} g^4 c_{3W}, \\
\lambda_z &= -\frac{3}{2} g^4 c_{3W}, \\
\tilde{\kappa}_\gamma &= g^2 \tilde{c}_{WB}, \\
\tilde{\kappa}_z &= -g'^2 \tilde{c}_{WB}, \\
\tilde{\lambda}_\gamma &= -\frac{3}{2} g^4 \tilde{c}_{3W}, \\
\tilde{\lambda}_z &= -\frac{3}{2} g^4 \tilde{c}_{3W}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

263 The analogous relations for the SILH basis read

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta g_{1z} &= -\frac{g^2 + g'^2}{4(g^2 - g'^2)} [(g^2 - g'^2)s_{HW} + g^2(s_W + s_{2W}) + g'^2(s_B + s_{2B}) - 4s_T + 2[s'_{H\ell}]_{22}], \\
\delta \kappa_\gamma &= -\frac{g^2}{4} [s_{HW} + s_{HB}], \\
\delta \kappa_z &= -\frac{1}{4} (g^2 s_{HW} - g'^2 s_{HB}) - \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{4(g^2 - g'^2)} [g^2(s_W + s_{2W}) + g'^2(s_B + s_{2B}) - 4s_T + 2[s'_{H\ell}]_{22}], \\
\lambda_z &= -\frac{3}{2} g^4 s_{3W}, \quad \lambda_\gamma = \lambda_z, \\
\delta \tilde{\kappa}_\gamma &= -\frac{g^2}{4} [\tilde{s}_{HW} + \tilde{s}_{HB}], \\
\delta \tilde{\kappa}_z &= \frac{g'^2}{4} [\tilde{s}_{HW} + \tilde{s}_{HB}], \\
\tilde{\lambda}_z &= -\frac{3}{2} g^4 \tilde{s}_{3W}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_\gamma = \tilde{\lambda}_z.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

264 The quartic gauge interactions can be parametrized as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{qgc}} &= \delta g_{W^4} \frac{g^2}{2} (W_\mu^+ W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- W_\nu^- - W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- W_\nu^+ W_\nu^-) \\
&+ \delta g_{W^2 Z^2} g^2 c_\theta^2 (W_\mu^+ Z_\mu W_\nu^- Z_\nu - W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- Z_\nu Z_\nu) \\
&+ \delta g_{W^2 Z A} g^2 c_\theta s_\theta (W_\mu^+ Z_\mu W_\nu^- A_\nu + W_\mu^+ A_\mu W_\nu^- Z_\nu - 2W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- Z_\nu A_\nu) \\
&- \frac{g^2 \lambda_{W^4}}{2 m_W^2} (W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\nu\rho}^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- W_{\nu\rho}^+) (W_\mu^+ W_\rho^- - W_\mu^- W_\rho^+) \\
&- g^2 c_\theta^2 \frac{\lambda_{W^2 Z^2}}{m_W^2} [W_\mu^+ (Z_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho}^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- Z_{\nu\rho}) Z_\rho + W_\mu^- (Z_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho}^+ - W_{\mu\nu}^+ Z_{\nu\rho}) Z_\rho] \\
&- e^2 \frac{\lambda_{W^2 A^2}}{m_W^2} [W_\mu^+ (A_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho}^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- A_{\nu\rho}) A_\rho + W_\mu^- (A_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho}^+ - W_{\mu\nu}^+ A_{\nu\rho}) A_\rho] \\
&- e g c_\theta \frac{\lambda_{W^2 A Z}}{m_W^2} [W_\mu^+ (A_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho}^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- A_{\nu\rho}) Z_\rho + W_\mu^- (A_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho}^+ - W_{\mu\nu}^+ A_{\nu\rho}) Z_\rho] \\
&- e g c_\theta \frac{\lambda_{W^2 Z A}}{m_W^2} [W_\mu^+ (Z_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho}^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- Z_{\nu\rho}) A_\rho + W_\mu^- (Z_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho}^+ - W_{\mu\nu}^+ Z_{\nu\rho}) A_\rho] \\
&+ 3g_s^3 \frac{c_{4G}}{v^2} f^{abc} f^{cde} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\nu\rho}^b G_\rho^d G_\mu^e + \text{CP odd},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

265 where CP odd stands for analogous terms with  $\lambda_z \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}_z$ ,  $c_{4G} \rightarrow \tilde{c}_{4G}$ , and one of the  
266 field strength tensors replaced by the dual one. The parameters in Eq. (4.23) can be  
267 expressed by the corrections to the triple gauge couplings

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta g_{W^4} &= \delta g_{W^2 Z^2} = \delta g_{W^2 Z A} = \delta g_{1,z}, \\
\lambda_{W^4} &= \lambda_{W^2 Z^2} = \lambda_{W^2 A^2} = \lambda_{W^2 A Z} = \lambda_{W^2 Z A} = \lambda_z, \\
c_{4G} &= c_{3G},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

268 and analogous formulas hold for the CP-odd couplings with  $\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}$  and  $c \rightarrow \tilde{c}$ .



## 269 4.4 Single Higgs couplings

270 This part is the most relevant one from the point of view of the LHC Higgs phenomenol-  
 271 ogy. First, we define the following single Higgs boson couplings to a pair of the SM  
 272 fields:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta\mathcal{L}_h &= \frac{h}{v} \left[ 2\delta c_w m_W^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- + \delta c_z m_Z^2 Z_\mu Z_\mu \right. \\
 &- \sum_{f \in u, d, e} \sum_{ij} \sqrt{m_{f_i} m_{f_j}} [\delta y_f]_{ij} \left[ \cos \phi_{ij}^f \bar{f}_i f_j - i \sin \phi_{ij}^f \bar{f}_i \gamma_5 f_j \right] \\
 &+ c_{ww} \frac{g^2}{2} W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\mu\nu}^- + \tilde{c}_{ww} \frac{g^2}{2} W_{\mu\nu}^+ \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^- + c_{w\Box} g^2 (W_\mu^- \partial_\nu W_{\mu\nu}^+ + \text{h.c.}) \\
 &+ c_{gg} \frac{g_s^2}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a + c_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{e^2}{4} A_{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} + c_{z\gamma} \frac{e\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} Z_{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} + c_{zz} \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{4} Z_{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} \\
 &+ c_{z\Box} g^2 Z_\mu \partial_\nu Z_{\mu\nu} + c_{\gamma\Box} g g' Z_\mu \partial_\nu A_{\mu\nu} \\
 &\left. + \tilde{c}_{gg} \frac{g_s^2}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a + \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{e^2}{4} A_{\mu\nu} \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{c}_{z\gamma} \frac{e\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} Z_{\mu\nu} \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{c}_{zz} \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{4} Z_{\mu\nu} \tilde{Z}_{\mu\nu} \right], \tag{4.25}
 \end{aligned}$$

273 where all the couplings above are real. The terms in the first two lines shift the SM  
 274 couplings in Eq. (2.5), while the remaining terms introduce Higgs couplings to matter  
 275 with a tensor structure that is absent in the SM Lagrangian. Note that, using equations  
 276 of motion, we could get rid of certain 2-derivative interactions between the Higgs and  
 277 gauge bosons:  $h Z_\mu \partial_\nu Z_{\nu\mu}$ ,  $h Z_\mu \partial_\nu A_{\nu\mu}$ , and  $h W_\mu^\pm \partial_\nu W_{\nu\mu}^\mp$ . These interactions would then be  
 278 traded for contact interactions of the Higgs, gauge bosons and fermions in Eq. (4.30).  
 279 However, one of the defining features of our effective Lagrangian is that the coefficients of  
 280 the latter couplings are equal to the corresponding vertex correction in Eq. (4.12). This  
 281 form can be always obtained, without any loss of generality, starting from an arbitrary  
 282 dimension-6 Lagrangian provided the 2-derivative  $h V_\mu \partial_\nu V_{\nu\mu}$  are kept in the Lagrangian.  
 283 Note that we work in the limit where the neutrinos are massless and the Higgs boson  
 284 does not couple to the neutrinos. In the EFT context, the couplings to neutrinos induced  
 285 by dimension-5 operators are proportional to neutrino masses, therefore they are far too  
 286 small to have any relevance for LHC phenomenology.

287 The shifts of the Higgs couplings to W and Z bosons are related to the Wilson  
 288 coefficients in the Warsaw and SILH basis by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta c_w &= -c_H - c_{WB} \frac{4g^2 g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} + 4c_T \frac{g^2}{g^2 - g'^2} - \delta v \frac{3g^2 + g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} \\
 &= -s_H - \frac{g^2 g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} \left[ s_W + s_B + s_{2W} + s_{2B} - \frac{4}{g'^2} s_T + \frac{3g^2 + g'^2}{2g^2 g'^2} [s'_{H\ell}]_{22} \right], \\
 \delta c_z &= -c_H - 3\delta v \\
 &= -s_H - \frac{3}{2} [s'_{H\ell}]_{22}, \tag{4.26}
 \end{aligned}$$

289 The Yukawa interactions are related to the Wilson coefficients in the Warsaw and

290 SILH basis by

$$\begin{aligned}
[\delta y_f]_{ij} \cos \phi_{ij}^f &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{Re}[c_f]_{ij} - \delta_{ij} (c_H + \delta v) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{Re}[s_f]_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \left[ s_H + \frac{1}{2} [s'_{H\ell}]_{22} \right], \\
[\delta y_f]_{ij} \sin \phi_{ij}^f &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{Im}[c_f]_{ij} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{Im}[s_f]_{ij}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

291 The two-derivative Higgs couplings to gauge bosons are related to the Wilson coef-  
292 ficients in the Warsaw basis by

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{gg} &= c_{GG}, \\
c_{\gamma\gamma} &= c_{WW} + c_{BB} - 4c_{WB}, \\
c_{zz} &= \frac{g^4 c_{WW} + g'^4 c_{BB} + 4g^2 g'^2 c_{WB}}{(g^2 + g'^2)^2}, \\
c_{z\Box} &= -\frac{2}{g^2} (c_T - \delta v), \\
c_{z\gamma} &= \frac{g^2 c_{WW} - g'^2 c_{BB} - 2(g^2 - g'^2) c_{WB}}{g^2 + g'^2}, \\
c_{\gamma\Box} &= \frac{2}{g^2 - g'^2} ((g^2 + g'^2) c_{WB} - 2c_T + 2\delta v), \\
c_{ww} &= c_{WW}, \\
c_{w\Box} &= \frac{2}{g^2 - g'^2} (g'^2 c_{WB} - c_T + \delta v).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

293 and the same for the CP-odd couplings  $\tilde{c}_{gg}, \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma}, \tilde{c}_{z\gamma}, \tilde{c}_{zz}, \tilde{c}_{ww}$ , with  $c \rightarrow \tilde{c}$  on the right  
294 hand side. The analogous expressions for the SILH basis read

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{gg} &= s_{GG}, \\
c_{\gamma\gamma} &= s_{BB}, \\
c_{zz} &= -\frac{1}{g^2 + g'^2} [g^2 s_{HW} + g'^2 s_{HB} - g'^2 s_\theta^2 s_{BB}], \\
c_{z\Box} &= \frac{1}{2g^2} [g^2 (s_W + s_{HW} + s_{2W}) + g'^2 (s_B + s_{HB} + s_{2B}) - 4s_T + 2[s'_{H\ell}]_{22}], \\
c_{z\gamma} &= \frac{s_{HB} - s_{HW}}{2} - s_\theta^2 s_{BB}, \\
c_{\gamma\Box} &= \frac{s_{HW} - s_{HB}}{2} + \frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} [g^2 (s_W + s_{2W}) + g'^2 (s_B + s_{2B}) - 4s_T + 2[s'_{H\ell}]_{22}], \\
c_{ww} &= -s_{HW}, \\
c_{w\Box} &= \frac{s_{HW}}{2} + \frac{1}{2(g^2 - g'^2)} [g^2 (s_W + s_{2W}) + g'^2 (s_B + s_{2B}) - 4s_T + 2[s'_{H\ell}]_{22}], \tag{4.29}
\end{aligned}$$

295 Next, couplings of the Higgs boson to a gauge field and two fermions (which are not  
296 present in the SM Lagrangian) can be generated by dimension-6 operators. The vertex-

297 like contact interactions between the Higgs, electroweak gauge bosons, and fermions are  
 298 parametrized as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{h\nu ff} &= \sqrt{2}g\frac{h}{v}W_\mu^+ \left( \bar{u}_L\gamma_\mu\delta g_L^{hWq}d_L + \bar{u}_R\gamma_\mu\delta g_R^{hWq}d_R + \bar{\nu}_L\gamma_\mu\delta g_L^{hW\ell}e_L \right) + \text{h.c.} \\ &+ 2\frac{h}{v}\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}Z_\mu \left[ \sum_{f=u,d,e,\nu} \bar{f}_L\gamma_\mu\delta g_L^{hZf}f_L + \sum_{f=u,d,e} \bar{f}_R\gamma_\mu\delta g_R^{hZf}f_R \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

299 As discussed before, by construction, the coefficients of these interaction are equal to  
 300 the corresponding vertex correction in Eq. (4.12):

$$\delta g^{hZf} = \delta g^{Zf}, \quad \delta g^{hWf} = \delta g^{Wf}. \quad (4.31)$$

301 The dipole-type contact interactions of the Higgs boson are parametrized as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{hdvff}} &= -\frac{h}{4v^2} \left[ g_s \sum_{f \in u,d} \bar{f}\sigma_{\mu\nu}T^a d_{hGf} f G_{\mu\nu}^a + e \sum_{f \in u,d,e} \bar{f}\sigma_{\mu\nu} d_{hAf} f A_{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \sum_{f \in u,d,e} \bar{f}\sigma_{\mu\nu} d_{hZf} f Z_{\mu\nu} \right. \\ &+ \sqrt{2}g \left( \bar{d}_L\sigma_{\mu\nu} d_{hWu} u_R W_{\mu\nu}^- + \bar{u}_L\sigma_{\mu\nu} d_{hWd} d_R W_{\mu\nu}^+ + \bar{\nu}_L\sigma_{\mu\nu} d_{hWe} e_R W_{\mu\nu}^+ + \text{h.c.} \right) \\ &\left. + g_s \sum_{f \in u,d} \bar{f}\sigma_{\mu\nu}T^a \tilde{d}_{hGf} f \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a + e \sum_{f \in u,d,e} \bar{f}\sigma_{\mu\nu} \tilde{d}_{hAf} f \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \sum_{f \in u,d,e} \bar{f}\sigma_{\mu\nu} \tilde{d}_{hZf} f \tilde{Z}_{\mu\nu} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

302 where  $d_{hAf}$ ,  $\tilde{d}_{hAf}$ ,  $d_{hZf}$ ,  $\tilde{d}_{hZf}$  are Hermitian  $3 \times 3$  matrices, while  $d_{hWf}$  are general  
 303 complex  $3 \times 3$  matrices. The coefficients are simply related to the corresponding dipole  
 304 interactions in Eq. (4.18):

$$d_{hVf} = d_{Vf}. \quad (4.33)$$

305 Dimension-6 operators can also induce single Higgs couplings to 3 gauge bosons, but  
 306 we do not display them in this note.

## 307 4.5 Higgs boson self-couplings

308 Corrections to the Higgs boson self-couplings in the SM are parametrized as

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{h,\text{self}} = -\delta\lambda_3 v h^3 - \delta\lambda_4 h^4. \quad (4.34)$$

309 The relation between the cubic corrections and the Wilson coefficients in the Warsaw  
 310 and SILH basis is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\lambda_3 &= -\lambda(3c_H + \delta v) - c_{6H} \\ &= -\lambda \left( 3s_H + \frac{1}{2}[s'_{H\ell}]_{22} \right) - s_{6H}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

311 The correction to the quartic Higgs boson term in Eq. (4.34) can be expressed as

$$\delta\lambda_4 = \frac{3}{2}\delta\lambda_3 - \frac{m_h^2}{6v^2}\delta c_z. \quad (4.36)$$

312 Self-interactions with more than 4 fields can also arise from dimension-6 operators,  
 313 but we do not display them in this note.

## 314 4.6 Couplings of two or more Higgs bosons

315 To describe double Higgs production at the LHC we need, apart from a subset of the  
 316 single Higgs couplings introduced in Section 4.4 and the cubic Higgs self-interaction in  
 317 Eq. (4.34), the interactions between two Higgs bosons and two other SM fields. They  
 318 are parametrized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta\mathcal{L}_{hh} &= \frac{h^2}{v^2} \left( \delta c_z^{(2)} \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{2} Z_\mu Z_\mu + \delta c_w^{(2)} g^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- \right) - \frac{h^2}{2v^2} \sum_{f:ij} \sqrt{m_{f_i} m_{f_j}} \left[ \bar{f}_{i,R} [y_f^{(2)}]_{ij} f_{j,L} + \text{h.c.} \right]. \\
 &+ \frac{h^2}{8v^2} \left( c_{gg}^{(2)} g_s^2 G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a + 2c_{ww}^{(2)} g^2 W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\mu\nu}^- + c_{zz}^{(2)} (g^2 + g'^2) Z_{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + 2c_{z\gamma}^{(2)} gg' Z_{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} + c_{\gamma\gamma}^{(2)} e^2 A_{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} \right) \\
 &+ \frac{h^2}{8v^2} \left( \tilde{c}_{gg}^{(2)} g_s^2 G_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a + 2\tilde{c}_{ww}^{(2)} g^2 W_{\mu\nu}^+ \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^- + \tilde{c}_{zz}^{(2)} (g^2 + g'^2) Z_{\mu\nu} \tilde{Z}_{\mu\nu} + 2\tilde{c}_{z\gamma}^{(2)} gg' Z_{\mu\nu} \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma}^{(2)} e^2 A_{\mu\nu} \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} \right) \\
 &- \frac{h^2}{2v^2} \left( g^2 c_{w\Box}^{(2)} (W_\mu^+ \partial_\nu W_{\nu\mu}^- + W_\mu^- \partial_\nu W_{\nu\mu}^+) + g^2 c_{z\Box}^{(2)} Z_\mu \partial_\nu Z_{\nu\mu} + gg' c_{\gamma\Box}^{(2)} Z_\mu \partial_\nu A_{\nu\mu} \right). \tag{4.37}
 \end{aligned}$$

319 All double Higgs couplings arising from  $d=6$  operators can be expressed by the single  
 320 Higgs couplings:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta c_z^{(2)} &= \delta c_z, & \delta c_w^{(2)} &= \delta c_z + 3\delta m, \\
 [y_f^{(2)}]_{ij} &= 3[\delta y_f]_{ij} e^{i\phi_{ij}} - \delta c_z \delta_{ij}, \\
 c_{vv}^{(2)} &= c_{vv}, & \tilde{c}_{vv}^{(2)} &= \tilde{c}_{vv}, & v &\in \{g, w, z, \gamma\}, \\
 c_{v\Box}^{(2)} &= c_{v\Box}, & v &\in \{w, z, \gamma\}. \tag{4.38}
 \end{aligned}$$

321 Other interaction terms with two Higgs bosons involve at least 5 fields: e.g the  $h^2 V^3$  or  
 322  $h^2 f f V$  contact interactions. We do not display them in this note.

## 323 4.7 Other terms

324 In the subsections above we wrote down interaction terms in the effective Lagrangian that  
 325 are relevant for SM precision tests and for Higgs searches at the LHC. The remaining  
 326 terms, which are not explicitly displayed in this note, are contained in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{other}}$ . They  
 327 include 4-fermion terms, couplings of a single Higgs boson to 3 or more gauge bosons,  
 328 dipole-like interactions of two gauge bosons and two fermions, and interaction terms  
 329 with 5 or more fields. Currently, these terms are not relevant for single and double  
 330 Higgs production and decay at the LHC. If phenomenological interest is presented, any  
 331 of the terms in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{other}}$  can be explicitly written down in this note.

## 332 5 Higgs basis

333 In principle, there is no theoretical obstacle to present the results of LHC Higgs analyses  
 334 as constraints on the Wilson coefficients in the Warsaw or SILH basis. However, this  
 335 procedure may not be the most efficient one. One difficulty is that, in those bases, one  
 336 needs to consider a large number of parameters, however the LHC Higgs observables  
 337 depend only on a smaller number of linear combinations of the Wilson coefficients. An-  
 338 other practical difficulty is that some of these linear combinations are already stringently

339 constrained by electroweak precision tests, such that they cannot yield observable ef-  
 340 fects at the LHC. In this section we propose a more convenient parametrization of the  
 341 effective Lagrangian with  $d=6$  operators, along the lines of the *EFT primaries* in Ref. [2].

342 The salient features of our proposal are the following. The goal is to parametrize the  
 343  $d=6$  operators in a way that can be more directly connected to observable quantities  
 344 in Higgs physics. We call this parametrization the *Higgs basis*. Technically, the Higgs  
 345 basis can be defined as a linear transformation from the Warsaw or SILH basis into the  
 346 coefficients of certain interaction terms of the mass eigenstates (in particular the W,  
 347 Z, and the Higgs bosons) in the effective Lagrangian. In practice, we will define the  
 348 Higgs basis by choosing a subset of the couplings multiplying interaction terms in the  
 349 effective Lagrangian Eq. (4.1) defined in Section 4. We will refer to this subset as the  
 350 *independent couplings*. The number of independent couplings is the same as the num-  
 351 ber of independent operators in the Warsaw or SILH basis. They define the space of  
 352 all possible deformations of the SM Lagrangian in the presence of  $d=6$  operators. The  
 353 independent couplings include the single Higgs couplings to gauge bosons and fermions,  
 354 such that the parameters of the Higgs basis can be easily related to LHC Higgs observ-  
 355 ables. Furthermore, the vertex corrections to the Z boson interactions with fermions are  
 356 among the independent couplings so that the stringent constraints from the Z and W  
 357 partial decay widths can be incorporated in a transparent way.

358 The number of interaction terms in the effective Lagrangian of Eq. (4.1) is larger  
 359 than the number of Wilson coefficients in a dimension-6 EFT basis. Due to this fact,  
 360 some of the parameters in  $\Delta\mathcal{L}_{d=6}$  can be expressed by the independent couplings; we  
 361 call them the *dependent couplings*. The relations between dependent and independent  
 362 couplings can be inferred from the matching between the effective Lagrangian and the  
 363 Warsaw or SILH basis in Section 3. These relations *hold at the level of the dimension-6*  
 364 *Lagrangian*, and they are in general not respected in the presence of dimension-8 and  
 365 higher operators. Of course, the choice which couplings are independent and which  
 366 are dependent is a subjective choice dictated by convenience. In our case, the choice  
 367 of the independent couplings was motivated by their direct connection to observables  
 368 constrained by electroweak precision tests and Higgs searches. However, other choices  
 369 can be envisaged and may be more convenient for other applications.

## 370 5.1 Independent couplings

371 We select a subset of couplings in the effective Lagrangian of Eq. (4.1) that has a 1-to-1  
 372 mapping to the Wilson coefficients in the Warsaw or SILH basis (or any other dimension-  
 373 6 basis). This subset of independent couplings defines the Higgs basis. It can be used  
 374 on par with any other basis to describe the effect of dimension-6 operators on physical  
 375 observables.

376 The first group of independent couplings are the ones affecting the W boson mass  
 377 and the Z and W boson couplings to fermions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \delta m, \delta g_L^{Ze}, \delta g_R^{Ze}, \delta g_L^{W\ell}, \delta g_L^{Zu}, \delta g_R^{Zu}, \delta g_L^{Zd}, \delta g_R^{Zd}, \delta g_R^{Wq}, \\
 & d_{Gu}, d_{Gd}, d_{Ae}, d_{Au}, d_{Ad}, d_{Ze}, d_{Zu}, d_{Zd}, \tilde{d}_{Gu}, \tilde{d}_{Gd}, \tilde{d}_{Ae}, \tilde{d}_{Au}, \tilde{d}_{Ad}, \tilde{d}_{Ze}, \tilde{d}_{Zu}, \tilde{d}_{Zd}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.1}$$

378 Here the mass correction  $\delta m$  is defined in Eq. (4.10), the vertex corrections  $\delta g^i$  are

379 defined in Eq. (4.12), and the dipole moments  $d_i$  are defined in Eq. (4.18). While they  
 380 are free parameters from the EFT point of view, precision measurements constrain them  
 381 to be small. In particular, most of the parameters in the first line are constrained to be  
 382  $\lesssim 10^{-2} - 10^{-4}$  [10]. The remaining parameters are constrained by measurements of the  
 383 magnetic and electric dipole moments. Therefore, even if combinations of dimension-6  
 384 operators defined by the independent couplings in Eq. (5.1) affect the Higgs observables,  
 385 it is well-motivated to neglect them in LHC Higgs analyses whose precision is worse than  
 386 the existing constraints.

387 The second group of independent couplings are the ones describing the interactions  
 388 of the Higgs boson with the SM gauge boson, fermions, and with itself:

$$c_{gg}, \delta c_z, c_{\gamma\gamma}, c_{z\gamma}, c_{zz}, c_{z\Box}, \tilde{c}_{gg}, \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma}, \tilde{c}_{z\gamma}, \tilde{c}_{zz}, \\ \delta y_u, \delta y_d, \delta y_e, \sin \phi_u, \sin \phi_d, \sin \phi_\ell, \delta \lambda_3. \quad (5.2)$$

389 They are defined by Eq. (4.25), except for the last one which is defined in Eq. (4.37). As  
 390 opposed to the ones in Eq. (5.1), the combinations of Wilson coefficients corresponding  
 391 to the independent couplings in Eq. (5.2) are weakly constrained by SM precision tests.  
 392 In fact, the strongest limits on these couplings typically come from Higgs searches. An  
 393 important task of the LHC collaborations is to provide model-independent limits on the  
 394 parameters in Eq. (5.2).

395 The third group of independent couplings are related to gauge bosons self-couplings:

$$\lambda_z, \tilde{\lambda}_z, c_{3G}, \tilde{c}_{3G}. \quad (5.3)$$

396 They are defined in Eq. (4.20). These couplings do not affect Higgs searches, and they  
 397 are only weakly constrained by SM precision tests.

398 To complete the definition of the Higgs basis, one has to include the independent  
 399 couplings corresponding to 4-fermion operators. We choose to parametrize them by the  
 400 same set of Wilson coefficients as in the Warsaw basis:

$$c_{\ell\ell}, c_{qq}, c'_{qq}, c_{\ell q}, c'_{\ell q}, c_{quqd}, c'_{quqd}, c_{lequ}, c'_{lequ}, c_{ledq}, \\ c_{le}, c_{lu}, c_{ld}, c_{qe}, c_{qu}, c'_{qu}, c_{qd}, c'_{qd}, c_{ee}, c_{uu}, c_{dd}, c_{eu}, c_{ed}, c_{ud}, c'_{ud}. \quad (5.4)$$

401 The parameters  $c_{ff}$  have 4 flavor indices. The non-trivial question of which combination  
 402 of flavor indices constitutes an independent set was worked out in Ref. [8]. In the Higgs  
 403 basis we take the same choice of independent 4-fermion couplings as in that reference,  
 404 with one exception. As explained in the next subsection, in the Higgs basis the coupling  
 405  $[c_\ell]_{1221}$  is a dependent coupling that can be expressed by  $\delta m$  and  $\delta g^i$ . Therefore  $[c_\ell]_{1221}$   
 406 is not among the independent couplings defining the Higgs basis.

## 407 5.2 Dependent couplings

408 The remaining couplings in the effective Lagrangian are called the dependent couplings  
 409 because, at the level of a dimension-6 EFT Lagrangian, they can be expressed by the  
 410 independent couplings defining the Higgs basis. To obtain the relations between the  
 411 dependent and independent couplings one can use the matching between the Warsaw  
 412 basis and the effective Lagrangian worked out in Section 3.1. The procedure is to solve

413 for the Warsaw basis Wilson coefficients in terms of the independent couplings and  
 414 eliminate the former from the expressions for the dependent couplings.

415 We start with the dependent couplings in Eq. (4.25) describing the single Higgs boson  
 416 interactions with matter. They can be expressed in terms of the independent couplings  
 417 as<sup>6</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta c_w &= \delta c_z + 4\delta m, \\
 c_{ww} &= c_{zz} + 2s_\theta^2 c_{z\gamma} + s_\theta^4 c_{\gamma\gamma}, \\
 \tilde{c}_{ww} &= \tilde{c}_{zz} + 2s_\theta^2 \tilde{c}_{z\gamma} + s_\theta^4 \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma}, \\
 c_{w\Box} &= \frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} [g^2 c_{z\Box} + g'^2 c_{zz} - e^2 s_\theta^2 c_{\gamma\gamma} - (g^2 - g'^2) s_\theta^2 c_{z\gamma}], \\
 c_{\gamma\Box} &= \frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} [2g^2 c_{z\Box} + (g^2 + g'^2) c_{zz} - e^2 c_{\gamma\gamma} - (g^2 - g'^2) c_{z\gamma}].
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

418 The coefficients of W-boson dipole interactions in Eq. (4.18) are related to those of the  
 419 Z and the photon as

$$\eta_f d_{wf} = d_{zf} - i\tilde{d}_{zf} + s_\theta^2 (d_{Af} - i\tilde{d}_{Af}), \tag{5.6}$$

420 where  $\eta_u = 1$  and  $\eta_{d,e} = -1$ . The coefficients of the dipole-like Higgs couplings in  
 421 Eq. (4.32) are simply related to the corresponding dipole moments:

$$d_{hvf} = d_{vf}, \quad \tilde{d}_{hvf} = \tilde{d}_{vf}, \quad v \in \{g, w, z, \gamma\}. \tag{5.7}$$

422 The correction to the quartic Higgs boson term in Eq. (4.34) is given by

$$\delta\lambda_4 = \frac{3}{2}\delta\lambda_3 - \frac{m_h^2}{6v^2}\delta c_z. \tag{5.8}$$

423 Coefficients of all interaction terms with two Higgs bosons in Eq. (4.37) are dependent  
 424 couplings. They can be expressed in terms of the independent couplings as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta c_z^{(2)} &= \delta c_z, & \delta c_w^{(2)} &= \delta c_z + 3\delta m, \\
 [y_f^{(2)}]_{ij} &= 3[\delta y_f]_{ij} e^{i\phi_{ij}} - \delta c_z \delta_{ij}, \\
 c_{vv}^{(2)} &= c_{vv}, & \tilde{c}_{vv}^{(2)} &= \tilde{c}_{vv}, & v &\in \{g, w, z, \gamma\}, \\
 c_{v\Box}^{(2)} &= c_{v\Box}, & v &\in \{w, z, \gamma\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

425 The dependent vertex corrections are expressed in terms of the independent ones as

$$\delta g_L^{Z\nu} = \delta g_L^{Ze} + \delta g_L^{W\ell}, \quad \delta g_L^{Wq} = \delta g_L^{Zu} V_{\text{CKM}} - V_{\text{CKM}} \delta g_L^{Zd}. \tag{5.10}$$

426 Note that we choose the W couplings to leptons (rather than the Z couplings to neutri-  
 427 nos) as our independent couplings, because in the flavor non-universal case the former are  
 428 more directly constrained by experiment (in particular, in leptonic W decays measured  
 429 at LEP).

---

<sup>6</sup>The relation between  $c_{ww}$ ,  $\tilde{c}_{ww}$  and other parameters can also be viewed as a consequence of the accidental custodial symmetry at the level of the dimension-6 operators [11].

430 Next, all but two triple gauge couplings in Eq. (4.20) are dependent couplings ex-  
 431 pressed in terms of the independent couplings as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta g_{1,z} &= \frac{1}{2(g^2 - g'^2)} [c_{\gamma\gamma} e^2 g'^2 + c_{z\gamma} (g^2 - g'^2) g'^2 - c_{zz} (g^2 + g'^2) g'^2 - c_{z\Box} (g^2 + g'^2) g^2] \\
 \delta \kappa_\gamma &= -\frac{g^2}{2} \left( c_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{e^2}{g^2 + g'^2} + c_{z\gamma} \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} - c_{zz} \right), \\
 \tilde{\kappa}_\gamma &= -\frac{g^2}{2} \left( \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{e^2}{g^2 + g'^2} + \tilde{c}_{z\gamma} \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} - \tilde{c}_{zz} \right), \\
 \delta \kappa_z &= \delta g_{1,z} - t_\theta^2 \delta \kappa_\gamma, \quad \tilde{\kappa}_z = -t_\theta^2 \tilde{\kappa}_\gamma, \\
 \lambda_\gamma &= \lambda_z, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_\gamma = \tilde{\lambda}_z.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

432 Note that  $\delta g_{1,z}$ ,  $\delta \kappa_\gamma$ , and  $\tilde{\kappa}_\gamma$  are *dependent* couplings here, unlike in Ref. [2]. Our  
 433 motivation is that the Higgs basis should be parametrized such that the connection  
 434 with Higgs observables is the simplest. However, for the sake of studying WW and  
 435 WZ production a different set of independent couplings would be more convenient. For  
 436 example, one could choose the independent couplings as  $\delta g_{1,z}$ ,  $\delta \kappa_\gamma$ ,  $\lambda_z$ ,  $\tilde{\kappa}_\gamma$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}_z$ , and  
 437 consider  $c_{z\Box}$ ,  $c_{zz}$ , and  $\tilde{c}_{zz}$  as dependent couplings expressed in terms of this set.

438 The corrections to quartic gauge boson self-couplings in Eq. (4.23) are all dependent.  
 439 They can be expressed by corrections to triple gauge couplings as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta g_{W^4} &= \delta g_{W^2 Z^2} = \delta g_{W^2 Z A} = \delta g_{1,z}, \\
 \lambda_{W^4} &= \lambda_{W^2 Z^2} = \lambda_{W^2 A^2} = \lambda_{W^2 A Z} = \lambda_{W^2 Z A} = \lambda_z, \\
 c_{4G} &= c_{3G},
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

440 Finally, we discuss how the Wilson coefficient  $[c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}$  of the 2-electron-2-muon oper-  
 441 ator is expressed by the independent couplings. One feature of the effective Lagrangian  
 442 Eq. (4.1) is that the tree-level relations between the SM electroweak parameters and  
 443 input observables are not affected by new physics. On the other hand, one of the four-  
 444 fermion couplings in the Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_{4f}^{D=6} \supset [c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221} (\bar{\ell}_{1,L} \gamma_\rho \ell_{2,L}) (\bar{\ell}_{2,L} \gamma_\rho \ell_{1,L}) \tag{5.13}$$

445 does affect the relation between the parameter  $v$  and the muon decay width from which  
 446  $G_F = 1/\sqrt{2}v^2$  is determined:

$$\frac{\Gamma(\mu \rightarrow e\nu\nu)}{\Gamma(\mu \rightarrow e\nu\nu)_{\text{SM}}} \approx 1 + 2[\delta g_L^{We}]_{11} + 2[\delta g_L^{We}]_{22} - 4\delta m - [c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}. \tag{5.14}$$

447 Therefore, the muon decay width is unchanged with respect to the SM when  $[c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}$  is  
 448 related to  $\delta m$  and  $\delta g$  as

$$[c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221} = 2\delta[g_L^{We}]_{11} + 2[\delta g_L^{We}]_{22} - 4\delta m. \tag{5.15}$$

449 In other words, due to the fact that we defined  $\delta m$  as an independent coupling in the  
 450 Higgs basis,  $[c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}$  has to be a dependent coupling. Of course, one could equivalently  
 451 choose  $[c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}$  to define the Higgs basis, and remove  $\delta m$  from the list of independent  
 452 couplings.



### 5.3 Summary and comments

In summary, the Higgs basis is parametrized by the independent couplings in Eqs. (5.1), (5.2), (5.3), (5.4). In total, the Higgs basis, as any complete basis at the dimension-6 level, is parametrized by 2499 independent real couplings [8]. One should not, however, be intimidated by this number. The point is that a much smaller subset in Eq. (5.2) is adequate for EFT analyses of Higgs data at leading order in new physics parameters. For example, to describe single Higgs production and decay processes in full generality one needs 10 bosonic and  $2 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3 = 54$  fermionic couplings. Furthermore, 31 of these couplings are CP-odd, therefore they affect the Higgs signal strength measurement only at the quadratic level, while flavor off-diagonal Yukawa couplings only affect exotic Higgs decays. In the limit where fermionic couplings respect the minimal flavor violation paradigm, 9 parameters are enough to describe leading order EFT corrections to the existing Higgs signal strength measurements at the LHC. In the Higgs basis, these 9 parameters are:

$$c_{gg}, \delta c_z, c_{\gamma\gamma}, c_{z\gamma}, c_{zz}, c_{z\Box}, \delta y_u, \delta y_d, \delta y_e. \quad (5.16)$$

We conclude with a number of comments.

- The Higgs basis is particularly well suited for data analyses performed using tree-level (LO) EFT calculations. On the other hand, existing one-loop EFT calculations have been performed in the Warsaw basis, therefore the Warsaw basis is currently the most natural choice as far as analyses beyond LO are concerned. In order to facilitate the transition between the two bases, and in order to provide a proper definition of the Higgs basis, the complete mapping between these two bases is provided. It is straightforward to extend this mapping to any other complete basis, and we provide a detailed mapping also in the case of the *SILH basis*, that is particularly useful within specific model-dependent approaches. At the same time, the independent couplings can be easily connected to Higgs *pseudo-observables* at the amplitude level, as defined e.g. in Ref. [9].
- The choice of independent couplings in the Higgs basis is made such that the constraints from the Z and W partial decay widths (measured with a per-mille precision by the LEP experiment) can be easily incorporated. These are among the most stringent constraints on EFT parameters, and they have an important impact on possible signals in Higgs searches. In particular, assuming vertex corrections are flavor blind, all the independent couplings in Eq. (5.1) are constrained to be smaller than  $O(10^{-3})$  (for the leptonic vertex corrections and  $\delta m \equiv \delta m_W/m_W$ ), or  $O(10^{-2})$  (for the quark vertex corrections) [4, 6, 12]. Dropping the assumption of flavor blindness, all the leptonic, bottom and charm quark vertex corrections are still constrained (assuming only  $d \leq 6$  operators contribute to the precision observables) at the level of  $O(10^{-2})$  or better [10]. In the LHC environment, experimental sensitivity is typically not sufficient to probe these parameters with a comparable accuracy. If that is indeed the case, the electroweak constraints on Z and W boson couplings to fermions can be imposed when analyzing LHC data, especially in the context of Higgs physics. Other precision observables, such as WW production or off-shell fermion scattering, lead to less stringent constraints that are not discussed in this note (see e.g. [4, 5, 6] for a recent discussion).

- 496 • The relations between independent and dependent couplings in Eqs. (5.5), (5.6),  
497 (5.7), (5.8), (5.9), (5.10), (5.11), (5.12), (5.15) are consequences of the *linear*  
498 realization of electroweak symmetry breaking at the level of dimension-6 EFT  
499 operators. *They are an essential part of the definition of the Higgs basis.* If the  
500 independent and dependent couplings were unrelated, then  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs Basis}}$  would not  
501 be a dimension-6 basis but would belong to a more general class of theories. Such  
502 theories are outside of the scope of this note.
- 503 • Customarily, the SM electroweak parameters are extracted from  $\alpha(0)$ ,  $m_Z$  and  $G_F$ .  
504 One could also use  $m_W$  instead of  $G_F$ , as suggested in Ref. [4]. This formalism  
505 leads to the same relations between the independent and dependent couplings as  
506 written down here, except that  $\delta m = 0$  by definition, and that  $[c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}$  becomes an  
507 independent coupling. The downside of this formalism is that the SM predictions  
508 for all observables would have to be recalculated, as all existing high-precision  
509 calculations use  $G_F$  as an input.
- 510 • The number of independent couplings in Eq. (5.2) relevant for Higgs observables  
511 is still large. At the early stages of the LHC run-2 it may be reasonable to em-  
512 ploy simplified analyses with a smaller number of parameters. There are several  
513 motivated assumptions about the underlying UV theory that reduce the number  
514 of parameters:
- 515 – *Flavor universality*, in which case the matrices  $m_f \delta y_f$  and  $\sin \phi_f$  reduce to a  
516 single number for each  $f = u, d, e$ .
  - 517 – *Minimal flavor violation*, in which case the dominant entries in  $\delta y_f$  are  $[\delta y_u]_{33}$   
518 and  $[\delta y_d]_{33}$ , while other diagonal entries are suppressed by the respective mass  
519 square ratio.
  - 520 – *CP conservation*, in which case all CP-odd couplings vanish:  $\tilde{c}_i = 0 = \sin \phi_f$ .
  - 521 – *Custodial symmetry*, in which case  $\delta m = 0$ .<sup>7</sup>

522 We stress that independent couplings should not be arbitrarily set to zero with-  
523 out an underlying symmetry assumption. Furthermore, the relations between the  
524 dependent and independent couplings should be consistently imposed, so as to  
525 preserve the weak  $SU(2)$  local symmetry.

- 526 • The independent couplings are formally of order  $v^2/\Lambda^2$ , where  $\Lambda$  is the scale of new  
527 physics. For completeness, it is important to define the range of independent cou-  
528 plings such that the EFT description is valid. The rule of thumb is that this is the  
529 case when the dimensionless independent couplings are  $\lesssim 1$ ; a more sophisticated  
530 discussion of this issue will be performed in another document.

---

<sup>7</sup>Custodial symmetry implies several relations between Higgs couplings to gauge bosons:  $\delta c_w = \delta c_z$ ,  
 $c_{w\Box} = c_\theta^2 c_{z\Box} + s_\theta^2 c_{\gamma\Box}$ ,  $c_{ww} = c_{zz} + 2s_\theta^2 c_{z\gamma} + s_\theta^4 c_\gamma$ , and  $\tilde{c}_{ww} = \tilde{c}_{zz} + 2s_\theta^2 \tilde{c}_{z\gamma} + s_\theta^4 \tilde{c}_\gamma$ . The last three are  
satisfied automatically at the level of dimension-6 Lagrangian, while the first one is true for  $\delta m = 0$ ,  
see Eq. (5.5).

## 531 A More dictionaries

532 In this section we quote the linear transformation between the parameters defining the  
 533 Higgs basis and the Wilson coefficients in several other bases of dimension-6 operators  
 534 utilized in the literature.<sup>8</sup> For simplicity, we assume here (unlike in the rest of this note)  
 535 that the parameters are flavor blind. Moreover, we give the dictionary only for the subset  
 536 of the Higgs basis parameters that can give observable contributions to single Higgs and  
 537 electroweak diboson processes, given the constraints from electroweak precision tests.  
 538 That set consists of 10 CP-even and 8 CP-odd parameters:

$$c_{gg}, \delta c_z, c_{\gamma\gamma}, c_{z\gamma}, c_{zz}, c_{z\Box}, \delta y_u, \delta y_d, \delta y_e, \lambda_z, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\tilde{c}_{gg}, \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma}, \tilde{c}_{z\gamma}, \tilde{c}_{zz}, \sin \phi_u, \sin \phi_d, \sin \phi_e, \tilde{\lambda}_z. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

540 The dictionaries below allow one to translate results of any complete EFT Higgs analyses  
 541 into constraints on the Higgs basis parameters (and, by consequence, between any pair  
 542 of bases), as long as the full likelihood function in the space of Wilson coefficients is  
 543 given.

### 544 A.1 SILH' basis

545 The original SILH basis of Ref. [3] includes operators  $O_{2W}$ ,  $O_{2B}$  and  $O_{2G}$ , which lead to  
 546 4-derivative corrections to the kinetic terms of the gauge fields. This may be inconvenient  
 547 for some applications. A simple fix is to remove these operators in favor of the Warsaw  
 548 basis 4-fermion operators  $[O_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}$ ,  $[O_{\ell\ell}]_{1122}$ , and  $[O'_u]_{3333}$ . This construction was used  
 549 in Ref. [4] and we refer to it as the *SILH' basis*. One advantage of this choice is that  
 550 electroweak precision constraints take a particularly simple form. Namely, the vanishing  
 551 of the vertex correction  $\delta g$  and the  $W$  mass correction  $\delta m$  corresponds to setting  $s_T =$   
 552  $[s_{\ell\ell}]_{1221} = s_{Hf} = s'_{Hf} = 0$ , and  $s_B = -s_W$ .

553 The CP even Higgs basis parameters in Eq. (A.1) are related to the Wilson coefficients  
 554 in the SILH' basis by

$$\begin{aligned} c_{gg} &= s_{GG}, \\ \delta c_z &= -s_H + \frac{3}{4}[s_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}, \\ c_{\gamma\gamma} &= s_{BB}, \\ c_{z\gamma} &= \frac{s_{HB} - s_{HW}}{2} - s_\theta^2 s_{BB}, \\ c_{zz} &= -c_\theta^2 s_{HW} - s_\theta^2 s_{HB} - s_\theta^4 s_{BB}, \\ c_{z\Box} &= \frac{1}{2}(s_W + s_{HW}) + \frac{g'^2}{2g^2}(s_B + s_{HB}) - \frac{2}{g^2}s_T - \frac{1}{2g^2}[s_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}, \\ \delta y_f \cos \phi_f &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\text{Re}[s_f] - s_H + \frac{1}{4}[s_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}, \quad j \in \{u, d, e\}, \\ \lambda_z &= -\frac{3}{2}g^4 s_{3W}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

---

<sup>8</sup>On request, translation to other bases may be added in the future.

555 The CP odd Higgs basis parameters in Eq. (A.2) are related to the Wilson coefficients  
 556 in the SILH' basis by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{c}_{gg} &= \tilde{s}_{GG}, \\
 \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma} &= \tilde{s}_{BB}, \\
 \tilde{c}_{z\gamma} &= \frac{\tilde{s}_{HB} - \tilde{s}_{HW}}{2} - s_\theta^2 \tilde{s}_{BB}, \\
 \tilde{c}_{zz} &= -c_\theta^2 \tilde{s}_{HW} - s_\theta^2 \tilde{s}_{HB} - s_\theta^4 \tilde{s}_{BB}, \\
 \delta y_f \sin \phi_f &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{Im}[s_f].
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

## 557 A.2 HISZ basis

558 We consider a subset of bosonic operators introduced by Hagiwara et al. (HISZ) in  
 559 Ref. [7]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{O}_{H,2} &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu (H^\dagger H))^2, \\
 \hat{O}_{GG} &= -\frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} H^\dagger H G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a, \\
 \hat{O}_{WW} &= H^\dagger W_{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu} H, \\
 \hat{O}_{BB} &= H^\dagger B_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} H, \\
 \hat{O}_W &= D_\mu H^\dagger W_{\mu\nu} D_\nu H, \\
 \hat{O}_B &= D_\mu H^\dagger B_{\mu\nu} D_\nu H, \\
 \hat{O}_{WWW} &= \text{Tr} [W_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho} W_{\rho\mu}],
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

560

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{O}_{\widetilde{GG}} &= -\frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} H^\dagger H G_{\mu\nu}^a \widetilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a, \\
 \hat{O}_{\widetilde{WW}} &= H^\dagger W_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu} H, \\
 \hat{O}_{\widetilde{BB}} &= H^\dagger B_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{B}_{\mu\nu} H, \\
 \hat{O}_{\widetilde{W}} &= D_\mu H^\dagger \widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu} D_\nu H, \\
 \hat{O}_{\widetilde{WWW}} &= \text{Tr} [W_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho} \widetilde{W}_{\rho\mu}],
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

561 where the electroweak field strength tensors are related to the one used in this note via:<sup>9</sup>

$$B_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{2} g' B_{\mu\nu}, \quad \hat{W}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{2} g \sigma^i W_{\mu\nu}^i. \tag{A.5}$$

562 We also consider the Yukawa operators

$$\hat{O}_u = \left( H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2} \right) \bar{q}_L \tilde{H} \frac{m_u}{v} u_R, \quad \hat{O}_d = \left( H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2} \right) \bar{q}_L H \frac{m_d}{v} d_R, \quad \hat{O}_e = \left( H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2} \right) \bar{\ell}_L H \frac{m_e}{v} e_R, \tag{A.6}$$

<sup>9</sup>The additional minus sign in Eq. (A.5) is due to the fact that the covariant derivatives in Refs. [7] are defined with the opposite sign to that used here. This amounts to rescaling the gauge fields as  $W_\mu \rightarrow -W_\mu$ ,  $B_\mu \rightarrow -B_\mu$  in the translation.

563 where  $m_f$  are  $3 \times 3$  diagonal fermion mass matrices. The dimension-6 Lagrangian is  
 564 given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HISZ}}^{\text{D}=6} = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \left[ \sum_i f_i \hat{O}_i + \sum_j \left( f_j \hat{O}_j + \text{h.c.} \right) + \dots \right], \quad (\text{A.7})$$

565 where the first sum goes over the bosonic operators in Eq. (A.3) and Eq. (A.4), the  
 566 second sum goes over the fermionic operators in Eq. (A.6), and the dots stands for  
 567 remaining operators that complete the dimension-6 basis. The CP-even operators from  
 568 this set (except  $\hat{O}_{WWW}$ ) are used by SFitter [13] to describe constraints on dimension-6  
 569 operators from LHC Higgs data. Ref. [14] proposes to use the HISZ operators  $\hat{O}_W$ ,  $\hat{O}_B$ ,  
 570  $\hat{O}_{WWW}$ ,  $\hat{O}_{\widetilde{W}}$ , and  $\hat{O}_{\widetilde{WWW}}$  to describe constraints on dimension-6 operators from the pair  
 571 production of electroweak gauge bosons.

572 The CP even Higgs basis parameters in Eq. (A.1) are related to the Wilson coefficients  
 573 in the HISZ basis by

$$\begin{aligned} c_{gg} &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} f_{GG} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\ \delta c_z &= -\frac{1}{2} f_{H,2} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\ c_{\gamma\gamma} &= (-f_{WW} - f_{BB}) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\ c_{z\gamma} &= \left( \frac{1}{4} f_W - \frac{1}{4} f_B - c_\theta^2 f_{WW} + s_\theta^2 f_{BB} \right) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\ c_{zz} &= \left( \frac{c_\theta^2}{2} f_W + \frac{s_\theta^2}{2} f_B - c_\theta^4 f_{WW} - s_\theta^4 f_{BB} \right) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\ c_{z\Box} &= \left( -\frac{1}{4} f_W - \frac{s_\theta^2}{4c_\theta^2} f_B \right) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\ \delta y_j \cos \phi_j &= \left( -\frac{1}{2} f_{H,2} - \frac{\text{Re} f_j}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \quad j \in \{u, d, e\}, \\ \lambda_z &= \frac{3g^4 v^2}{8 \Lambda^2} f_{WWW}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

574 The CP odd Higgs basis parameters in Eq. (A.2) are related to the Wilson coefficients  
 575 in the HISZ basis by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_{gg} &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \tilde{f}_{GG} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\ \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma} &= \left( -\tilde{f}_{WW} - \tilde{f}_{BB} \right) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\ \tilde{c}_{z\gamma} &= \left( \frac{1}{4} \tilde{f}_W - c_\theta^2 \tilde{f}_{WW} + s_\theta^2 \tilde{f}_{BB} \right) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\ \tilde{c}_{zz} &= \left( \frac{c_\theta^2}{2} \tilde{f}_W - c_\theta^4 \tilde{f}_{WW} - s_\theta^4 \tilde{f}_{BB} \right) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\ \delta y_j \sin \phi_j &= \left( \frac{\text{Im} f_j}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \quad j \in \{u, d, e\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

576 For completeness, we also give the relation between the anomalous TGCs and the  
 577 HISZ basis Wilson coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta g_{1z} &= \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{8} f_W \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \\
 \delta \kappa_\gamma &= \frac{g^2}{8} (f_W + f_B) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, & \delta \tilde{\kappa}_\gamma &= \frac{g^2}{8} \tilde{f}_W \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \\
 \lambda_z &= \frac{3g^4}{8} f_{WWW} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, & \tilde{\lambda}_z &= \frac{3g^4}{8} \tilde{f}_{WWW} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

578 Inverting the transformations, the relation between the Wilson coefficients in the  
 579 HISZ basis and the Higgs basis parameters reads

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{GG} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= -8\pi^2 c_{gg}, \\
 f_{H,2} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= -2\delta c_z, \\
 f_W \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= -\frac{4}{g^2 - g'^2} [g^2 c_{z\Box} + g'^2 c_{zz} - s_\theta^2 e^2 c_{\gamma\gamma} - s_\theta^2 (g^2 - g'^2) c_{z\gamma}], \\
 f_B \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= \frac{4}{g^2 - g'^2} [g^2 c_{z\Box} + g^2 c_{zz} - c_\theta^2 e^2 c_{\gamma\gamma} - c_\theta^2 (g^2 - g'^2) c_{z\gamma}], \\
 f_{WW} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= -\frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} [2g^2 c_{z\Box} + (g^2 + g'^2) c_{zz} - s_\theta^2 g'^2 c_{\gamma\gamma}], \\
 f_{BB} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= \frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} [2g^2 c_{z\Box} + (g^2 + g'^2) c_{zz} - c_\theta^2 g^2 c_{\gamma\gamma}], \\
 f_{WWW} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= \frac{8}{3g^4} \lambda_z,
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

580

$$f_j \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} = \sqrt{2} \delta c_z - \sqrt{2} \delta y_j e^{-i\phi_j}, \quad j \in \{u, d, e\}, \tag{A.12}$$

581

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{f}_{GG} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= -8\pi^2 \tilde{c}_{gg}, \\
 \tilde{f}_W \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= -\frac{4}{g^2 - g'^2} [g'^2 \tilde{c}_{zz} - s_\theta^2 e^2 \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma} - s_\theta^2 (g^2 - g'^2) \tilde{c}_{z\gamma}], \\
 \tilde{f}_{WW} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= -\frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} [(g^2 + g'^2) \tilde{c}_{zz} - s_\theta^2 g'^2 \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma}], \\
 \tilde{f}_{BB} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= \frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} [(g^2 + g'^2) \tilde{c}_{zz} - c_\theta^2 g^2 \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma}], \\
 \tilde{f}_{WWW} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= \frac{8}{3g^4} \tilde{\lambda}_z.
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

## 582 B Goldstone bosons and gauge fixing

583 In the main body of this note we worked in the unitary gauge where the Goldstone boson  
 584 degrees of freedom in the Higgs doublet are set to zero. This is enough for the sake of

585 tree-level EFT calculations. However, if the necessity arises to extend the calculations  
 586 to a loop level, retrieving the Goldstone degrees of freedom is convenient, as this allows  
 587 one to perform the standard gauge fixing procedure. This is done in this appendix.

588 We parametrize the Higgs doublet as

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} iG_+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v + h - iG_3) \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

589 where  $G_{\pm}$  and  $G_3$  are three Goldstone fields, that will be eaten by the W and Z bosons.  
 590 In the Higgs basis, derivation of the Goldstone boson couplings follows exactly the same  
 591 algorithm as the one applied before to derive the Lagrangian for physical fields: we  
 592 first derive these couplings in the Warsaw basis, and then perform the field and coupling  
 593 redefinitions that take us to the Higgs basis. Of course, all the Goldstone boson couplings  
 594 are dependent ones, that is they can be expressed by the independent couplings defining  
 595 the Higgs basis. As an illustration, below we display a subset of these couplings that  
 596 are relevant for the 1-loop calculation of  $h \rightarrow VV^*$ . These are

- 597 1. Goldstone kinetic terms and their mixing with the electroweak gauge fields.
- 598 2. Cubic interactions with one Higgs boson and one or two Goldstone fields.
- 599 3. Cubic interactions with one or two Goldstone fields and one electroweak gauge  
600 field.
- 601 4. Quartic interactions with one or two Goldstone fields and two electroweak gauge  
602 fields.

603 The relevant part of the Lagrangian is parametrized as

$$\mathcal{L}_G = \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{kin}} + \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^3} + \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^2\text{V}} + \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^{\text{V}^2}} + \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^{\text{VdV}}} + \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^2\text{V}^2} + \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^2\text{dV}^2}. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

604 where

$$\mathcal{L}_G^{\text{kin}} = \partial_{\mu}G_+\partial_{\mu}G_- + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}G_3)^2 - \beta_{cW}\frac{gv}{2}(\partial_{\mu}G_+W_{\mu}^- + \text{h.c.}) - \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}v}{2}\partial_{\mu}G_3Z_{\mu}, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

605

$$\mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^3} = -\frac{m_h^2}{v}\beta_{hcc}hG_+G_- - \frac{m_h^2}{2v}\beta_{h33}hG_3G_3 \quad (\text{B.4})$$

606

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^2\text{V}} &= \beta_{hcW}\frac{g}{2}\partial_{\mu}h(G_+W_{\mu}^- + \text{h.c.}) + \beta_{h3z}\frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2}\partial_{\mu}hG_3Z_{\mu} \\ &+ i\beta_{3cW}\frac{g}{2}\partial_{\mu}G_3(G_+W_{\mu}^- - \text{h.c.}) - \beta_{3hz}\frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2}\partial_{\mu}G_3hZ_{\mu} \\ &+ ie(\partial_{\mu}G_+G_- - \text{h.c.})A_{\mu} + i\beta_{ccZ}\frac{g^2 - g'^2}{2\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}(\partial_{\mu}G_+G_- - \text{h.c.})Z_{\mu} \\ &- \beta_{chW}\frac{g}{2}(\partial_{\mu}G_+W_{\mu}^- + \text{h.c.})h - i\beta_{c3W}\frac{g}{2}(\partial_{\mu}G_+W_{\mu}^- - \text{h.c.})G_3, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

607

$$\mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^{\text{V}^2}} = i\beta_{cWA}\frac{egv}{2}(G_+W_{\mu}^- - \text{h.c.})A_{\mu} - i\beta_{cWZ}\frac{c_{\theta}g'^2v}{2}(G_+W_{\mu}^- - \text{h.c.})Z_{\mu}, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

608

$$\mathcal{L}_G^{\text{SVdV}} = i\eta_{cWA} \frac{eg}{2v} (G_+ W_{\mu\nu}^- - \text{h.c.}) A_{\mu\nu} - i\eta_{cWA} \frac{eg'}{2v} (G_+ W_{\mu\nu}^- - \text{h.c.}) Z_{\mu\nu} + (\text{CP-odd}). \quad (\text{B.7})$$

609

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^2\text{V}^2} &= G_+ G_- \left( e^2 A_\mu A_\mu + \beta_{ccAZ} \frac{e(g^2 - g'^2)}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} A_\mu Z_\mu + \beta_{ccZZ} \frac{(g^2 - g'^2)^2}{4(g^2 + g'^2)} Z_\mu Z_\mu + \beta_{ccWW} \frac{g^2}{2} W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- \right) \\ &+ G_3 G_3 \left( \beta_{33WW} \frac{g^2}{4} W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- + \beta_{33ZZ} \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{8} Z_\mu Z_\mu \right) \\ &+ i\beta_{chWA} \frac{eg}{2} (G_+ W_\mu^- - \text{h.c.}) h A_\mu - \beta_{c3WA} \frac{eg}{2} (G_+ W_\mu^- + \text{h.c.}) G_3 A_\mu \\ &- i\beta_{chWZ} \frac{eg'}{2} (G_+ W_\mu^- - \text{h.c.}) h Z_\mu + \beta_{c3WZ} \frac{eg'}{2} (G_+ W_\mu^- + \text{h.c.}) G_3 Z_\mu \\ &+ \eta'_{ccWW} g_L^2 (G_+ G_+ W_\mu^- W_\mu^- + \text{h.c.}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^2\text{dV}^2} &= G_+ G_- (\eta_{ccA^2} e^2 A_{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{ccAZ} g g' A_{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{ccZ^2} (g^2 + g'^2) Z_{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{ccW^2} g^2 W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\mu\nu}^-) \\ &+ G_3 G_3 (\eta_{33AA} e^2 A_{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{33AZ} g g' A_{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{33ZZ} (g^2 + g'^2) Z_{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{33WW} g^2 W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\mu\nu}^-) \\ &+ \eta_{c3WA} e g (G_+ W_{\mu\nu}^- + \text{h.c.}) G_3 A_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{c3WZ} e g' (G_+ W_{\mu\nu}^- + \text{h.c.}) G_3 Z_{\mu\nu} + (\text{CP-odd}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

610 Above, ‘‘CP-odd’’ stands for analogous terms with  $V_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{\mu\nu}$ , and  $\eta \rightarrow \tilde{\eta}$ . Note the  
611 Goldstone kinetic terms in Eq. (B.3) are assumed to be canonically normalized. To  
612 achieve this, one needs to rescale the neutral Goldstone field as

$$G_3 \rightarrow G_3 \left( 1 + c_T + 2c_T \frac{h}{v} \right). \quad (\text{B.10})$$

613 Moreover, the Lagrangian in Eq. (B.2) does not contain 2-derivative cubic scalar self-  
614 interactions. To ensure this feature, the Higgs boson field redefinition in Eq. (4.4) has  
615 to be generalized to

$$h \rightarrow h \left( 1 - c_H - c_H \frac{h}{v} - c_H \frac{h^2}{3v^2} \right) - c_H \frac{2G_+ G_- + G_3 G_3}{v} - 2c_T \frac{G_3 G_3}{v}. \quad (\text{B.11})$$

616 The above field redefinitions are in addition to the steps described in Section 3.1. These  
617 include the gauge coupling rescaling and the use of the equations of motion (that are  
618 modified to include the Goldstone fields). The final step is to transform the couplings  
619 from the Warsaw to the Higgs basis using the dictionary provided in Section 3.1. At the  
620 end of the day, the coefficients in the Goldstone Lagrangian of Eq. (B.2) take the form

$$\beta_{cW} = 1 + \delta m, \quad (\text{B.12})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{hcc} &= 1 + g^2 c_{w\Box} + \delta c_z + 2\delta m, \\ \beta_{h33} &= 1 + g^2 c_{z\Box} + \delta c_z, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.13})$$



$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{hcW} &= 1 + g^2 c_{w\Box} + \delta c_z + 3\delta m, \\
\beta_{h3Z} &= 1 + g^2 c_{z\Box} + \delta c_z, \\
\beta_{3cW} &= 1 - 2g^2 c_{w\Box} + \frac{3}{2}g^2 c_{z\Box} - 3\delta m, \\
\beta_{3hZ} &= 1 + \delta c_z, \\
\beta_{ccZ} &= 1 + \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{2(g^2 - g'^2)} (-g^2 c_{z\Box} + 4\delta m), \\
\beta_{chW} &= 1 + \delta c_z + 3\delta m, \\
\beta_{c3W} &= 1 - \frac{g^2}{2} c_{z\Box} + \delta m,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{cWA} &= 1 + \delta m, \\
\beta_{cWZ} &= 1 + \frac{g^2(g^2 + g'^2)}{2g'^2} (c_{z\Box} - c_{w\Box}) - \frac{2g^2 + g'^2}{g'^2} \delta m,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.15}$$

$$\eta_{cWA} = \eta_{cWZ} = c_{zz} - \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} c_{z\gamma} - e^2 c_{\gamma\gamma}, \tag{B.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{ccAZ} &= 1 + \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{2(g^2 - g'^2)} (-g^2 c_{z\Box} + 4\delta m), \\
\beta_{ccZZ} &= 1 + \frac{(g^2 + g'^2)^2}{(g^2 - g'^2)^2} \left( -\frac{g^2(g^2 - g'^2)}{g^2 + g'^2} c_{z\Box} + 3g^2 c_{w\Box} + 2\delta c_z + 2\frac{5g^4 + 6g^2 g'^2 + g'^4}{(g^2 + g'^2)^2} \delta m \right), \\
\beta_{ccWW} &= 1 + 2g^2 c_{z\Box} + 2\delta c_z + 2\delta m, \\
\beta_{33ZZ} &= 1 + 2g^2 c_{z\Box} + 2\delta c_z, \\
\beta_{33WW} &= 1 + g^2(c_{w\Box} + c_{z\Box}) + 2\delta c_z + 4\delta m, \\
\beta_{chWA} &= 1 + \delta c_z + 3\delta m, \\
\beta_{c3WA} &= 1 - \frac{g^2}{2} c_{z\Box} + \delta m, \\
\beta_{chWZ} &= 1 + \frac{3g^2(g^2 + g'^2)}{2g'^2} (c_{z\Box} - c_{w\Box}) + \delta c_z - 3\frac{2g^2 + g'^2}{g'^2} \delta m, \\
\beta_{c3WZ} &= 1 + \frac{g^4}{2g'^2} c_{z\Box} - \frac{g^2(g^2 + g'^2)}{2g'^2} c_{w\Box} - \frac{2g^2 + g'^2}{g'^2} \delta m, \\
\eta'_{ccWW} &= \frac{g^2}{2} (c_{w\Box} - c_{z\Box}) + \delta m,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{ccAA} &= c_{zz} - \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} c_{z\gamma} + \frac{(g^2 - g'^2)^2}{4(g^2 + g'^2)} c_{\gamma\gamma}, \\
\eta_{33AA} &= \frac{1}{8} c_{\gamma\gamma}, \\
\eta_{ccAZ} &= \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} c_{zz} - \frac{g^4 - 6g^2g'^2 + g'^4}{2(g^2 + g'^2)^2} c_{z\gamma} - \frac{e^2(g^2 - g'^2)}{(g^2 + g'^2)^2} c_{\gamma\gamma}, \\
\eta_{33AZ} &= \frac{c_{z\gamma}}{4}, \\
\eta_{ccZZ} &= \frac{(g^2 - g'^2)^2}{4(g^2 + g'^2)^2} c_{zz} - \frac{e^2(g^2 - g'^2)}{(g^2 + g'^2)^2} c_{z\gamma} + \frac{e^4}{(g^2 + g'^2)^2} c_{\gamma\gamma}, \\
\eta_{33ZZ} &= \frac{c_{zz}}{8}, \\
\eta_{ccWW} &= \frac{1}{2} c_{zz} + s_\theta^2 c_{z\gamma} + \frac{s_\theta^4}{2} c_{\gamma\gamma}, \\
\eta_{33WW} &= \frac{1}{4} c_{zz} + \frac{s_\theta^2}{2} c_{z\gamma} + \frac{s_\theta^4}{4} c_{\gamma\gamma}, \\
\eta_{c3WA} &= -\frac{1}{2} c_{zz} + \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{2(g^2 + g'^2)} c_{z\gamma} + \frac{e^2}{2(g^2 + g'^2)} c_{\gamma\gamma}, \\
\eta_{c3WZ} &= \frac{1}{2} c_{zz} - \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{2(g^2 + g'^2)} c_{z\gamma} - \frac{e^2}{2(g^2 + g'^2)} c_{\gamma\gamma}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.18}$$

621 With the Goldstone bosons degrees of freedom present in the Lagrangian, gauge  
622 fixing can be implemented as in any gauge theory. Below we show how to implement  
623 the linear  $R_\xi$  gauge. For the electroweak sector, we introduce the following gauge fixing  
624 Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gf}} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} [F_A^2 + F_Z^2 + 2F_+ F_-], \tag{B.19}$$

625 where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_A &= \partial_\mu A_\mu (1 + e^2 c_{WB}) + \partial_\mu Z_\mu c_{WB} \frac{gg'(g^2 - g'^2)}{g^2 + g'^2}, \\
F_Z &= \partial_\mu Z_\mu - \xi \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} v}{2} G_3 (1 - 2c_T + e^2 c_{WB}), \\
F_\pm &= \partial_\mu W_\mu^\pm - \xi \frac{gv}{2} G_\pm.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.20}$$

626 Above, the electroweak parameters  $g$ ,  $g'$ ,  $v$  and the Goldstone fields  $G_\pm$ ,  $G_3$  are the ones  
627 before the rescaling in Eq. (4.7) and Eq. (B.10). After the rescaling and going to the  
628 Higgs basis the quadratic terms in the gauge fixing Lagrangian become

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gf}} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} \left[ (\partial_\mu A_\mu)^2 + \left( \partial_\mu Z_\mu - \xi \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} v}{2} G_3 \right)^2 + 2 \left| \partial_\mu W_\mu^+ - \xi \frac{gv}{2} (1 + \delta m) G_+ \right|^2 \right]. \tag{B.21}$$

629 This way, the kinetic mixing between the Goldstone bosons and massive vector bosons  
630 in Eq. (B.3) is canceled after introducing the gauge fixing term. At the same time, the

631 Goldstone bosons acquire the gauge dependent masses:

$$m_{G_{\pm}} = \sqrt{\xi} \frac{gv}{2} (1 + \delta m) \equiv \sqrt{\xi} m_W, \quad m_{G_3} = \sqrt{\xi} \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} v}{2} \equiv \sqrt{\xi} m_Z. \quad (\text{B.22})$$

632 To derive Eq. (B.21) one needs to take into account that the gauge fixing term affects  
 633 the equations of motion used in Eq. (4.2) and Eq. (4.8) to bring the Warsaw basis  
 634 Lagrangian to the prescribed form of phenomenological effective Lagrangian. Due to  
 635 this, the gauge fixing term affects not only quadratic terms in the Lagrangian, but also  
 636 yields new interactions terms of the Goldstone bosons, Higgs boson, and gauge fields.

637 Finally, the ghost Lagrangian can be obtained by the usual Fadeev-Popov procedure.  
 638 In the  $R_{\xi}$  gauge introduced above

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ghost}} = - \sum_{n \in (+, -, Z, \gamma)} \left[ \bar{c}_+ \frac{\partial \delta F_+}{\partial \alpha_n} + \bar{c}_- \frac{\partial \delta F_-}{\partial \alpha_n} + \bar{c}_Z \frac{\partial \delta F_Z}{\partial \alpha_n} + \bar{c}_\gamma \frac{\partial \delta F_A}{\partial \alpha_n} \right] c_n, \quad (\text{B.23})$$

639 where  $\delta F$  is the variation of the gauge fixing term under the infinitesimal  $SU(2) \times U(1)$   
 640 gauge symmetry transformations parametrized by  $\alpha_n$ . Since the  $F$ 's in Eq. (B.20) contain  
 641 the original (unrescaled) gauge and Goldstone fields, their gauge transformations are the  
 642 same as in the SM:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A_\mu &= \partial_\mu \alpha_\gamma + ie (W_\mu^- \alpha^+ - W_\mu^+ \alpha^-), \\ \delta Z_\mu &= \partial_\mu \alpha_Z + ig c_\theta (W_\mu^- \alpha^+ - W_\mu^+ \alpha^-), \\ \delta W_\mu^+ &= \partial_\mu \alpha_+ - ig \alpha_+ (c_\theta Z_\mu + s_\theta A_\mu) + ig (c_\theta \alpha_Z + s_\theta \alpha_\gamma) W_\mu^+, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.24})$$

643

$$\begin{aligned} \delta h &= -\frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} G_3 \alpha_Z - \frac{g}{2} (G_+ \alpha_- + G_- \alpha_+), \\ \delta G_3 &= \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} (v + h) \alpha_Z - \frac{ig}{2} (G_+ \alpha_- - G_- \alpha_+), \\ \delta G_+ &= \frac{g}{2} (v + h - iG_3) \alpha_+ + ie G_+ \alpha_\gamma + i \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{2\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} G_+ \alpha_Z. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.25})$$

644 At this point the ghost kinetic and mass terms are not diagonal. To this end one needs  
 645 to perform the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{c}_Z &\rightarrow \bar{c}_Z (1 + \delta \kappa_\gamma), \\ c_\gamma &\rightarrow c_\gamma (1 - s_\theta^2 \delta \kappa_\gamma) - c_Z \frac{g'(g^2 - g'^2)}{g'(g^2 + g'^2)}, \\ c_Z &\rightarrow c_z (1 - \delta g_{1,z} + s_\theta^2 \delta \kappa_\gamma). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.26})$$

646 After this transformation the ghost kinetic and mass terms become diagonal and the  
 647 kinetic terms are canonically normalized. Their gauge dependent masses of the ghosts  
 648 are given by

$$m_{c_{\pm}} = \sqrt{\xi} \frac{gv}{2} (1 + \delta m) \equiv \sqrt{\xi} m_W, \quad m_{c_Z} = \sqrt{\xi} \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} v}{2} \equiv \sqrt{\xi} m_Z, \quad m_{c_\gamma} = 0. \quad (\text{B.27})$$

## References

- 649
- 650 [1] B. Grzadkowski, M. Iskrzynski, M. Misiak, and J. Rosiek, *Dimension-Six Terms*  
651 *in the Standard Model Lagrangian*, *JHEP* **1010** (2010) 085, [arXiv:1008.4884].
- 652 [2] R. S. Gupta, A. Pomarol, and F. Riva, *BSM Primary Effects*, *Phys. Rev.* **D91**  
653 (2015), no. 3 035001, [arXiv:1405.0181].
- 654 [3] G. Giudice, C. Grojean, A. Pomarol, and R. Rattazzi, *The Strongly-Interacting*  
655 *Light Higgs*, *JHEP* **0706** (2007) 045, [hep-ph/0703164].
- 656 [4] A. Pomarol and F. Riva, *Towards the Ultimate SM Fit to Close in on Higgs*  
657 *Physics*, *JHEP* **1401** (2014) 151, [arXiv:1308.2803].
- 658 [5] M. Trott, *On the consistent use of constructed observables*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 046,  
659 [arXiv:1409.7605].
- 660 [6] A. Falkowski and F. Riva, *Model-independent precision constraints on dimension-6*  
661 *operators*, *JHEP* **1502** (2015) 039, [arXiv:1411.0669].
- 662 [7] K. Hagiwara, S. Ishihara, R. Szalapski, and D. Zeppenfeld, *Low-energy effects of*  
663 *new interactions in the electroweak boson sector*, *Phys.Rev.* **D48** (1993) 2182–2203.
- 664 [8] R. Alonso, E. E. Jenkins, A. V. Manohar, and M. Trott, *Renormalization Group*  
665 *Evolution of the Standard Model Dimension Six Operators III: Gauge Coupling*  
666 *Dependence and Phenomenology*, *JHEP* **1404** (2014) 159, [arXiv:1312.2014].
- 667 [9] M. Gonzalez-Alonso, A. Greljo, G. Isidori, and D. Marzocca, *Pseudo-observables*  
668 *in Higgs decays*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C75** (2015), no. 3 128, [arXiv:1412.6038].
- 669 [10] A. Efrati, A. Falkowski, and Y. Soreq, *Electroweak constraints on flavorful*  
670 *effective theories*, *JHEP* **07** (2015) 018, [arXiv:1503.07872].
- 671 [11] R. Contino, M. Ghezzi, C. Grojean, M. Mühlleitner, and M. Spira, *Effective*  
672 *Lagrangian for a light Higgs-like scalar*, *JHEP* **1307** (2013) 035,  
673 [arXiv:1303.3876].
- 674 [12] J. Ellis, V. Sanz, and T. You, *The Effective Standard Model after LHC Run I*,  
675 arXiv:1410.7703.
- 676 [13] T. Corbett, O. J. P. Eboli, D. Goncalves, J. Gonzalez-Fraile, T. Plehn, and  
677 M. Rauch, *The Higgs Legacy of the LHC Run I*, arXiv:1505.05516.
- 678 [14] C. Degrande, N. Greiner, W. Kilian, O. Mattelaer, H. Mebane, et al., *Effective*  
679 *Field Theory: A Modern Approach to Anomalous Couplings*, *Annals Phys.* **335**  
680 (2013) 21–32, [arXiv:1205.4231].