## Monte Carlo sampling

FLUKA Beginner's Course

## Overview:

General concepts:
> Phase space
> Monte Carlo foundations
> Simulation vs. integration
Sampling techniques
> discrete
> by inversion
> by rejection
Results and Errors:
> Statistical errors (single histories, batches)
$>$ Figure of merit

## Phase space:

- Phase space: a concept of classical Statistical Mechanics
- Each Phase Space dimension corresponds to a particle degree of freedom
- 3 dimensions correspond to Position in (real) space: $x, y, z$
- 3 dimensions correspond to Momentum: $p_{x}, p_{y}, p_{z}$

$$
\text { (or Energy and direction: } E, \theta, \varphi \text { ) }
$$

- More dimensions may be envisaged, corresponding to other possible degrees of freedom, such as quantum numbers: spin, etc.
- Another degree of freedom is the particle type itself ( $e^{-}, p, \gamma .$. )
- Time can also be considered as a coordinate, or it can be considered as an independent variable
- Each particle is represented by a point in phase space
- The number of particles in an infinitesimal phase-space region is

$$
d N=f(x, p, t, \alpha) d^{3} p d^{3} x d t d^{n} \alpha
$$

- Where $f$ is a probability density function ( $\alpha=$ extra degrees)


## Particle Transport

- Particle transport is represented by the evolution of $f(x, p, t, \alpha)$ due to transport, scattering, external forces, particle production, particle absorption/decay
- The most general description of particle transport is the Boltzmann equation, an integro-differential equation based on balance in phase space
- Any solution of the Boltzmann equation needs the definition of a Source and one or more Detectors :
- The source provides the intitial particle distribution function in a given phase space region, the detector is a phase space region where the modified $f(x, p, t, \alpha)$ is to be calculated
- In general:
$f(x, p, \alpha)=\iiint G\left(x, p, \alpha, x^{\prime}, p^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right) f_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, p^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right) d^{3} p^{\prime} d^{3} x^{\prime} d^{n} \alpha^{\prime}$
- Where $G$ is a multi-dimensional operator that encompasses all the microscopic processes


## Particle Transport

- For our discussion here, three concepts have to be retained:

1. The solution of any particle transport problem is a multidimensional integral
2. Particle transport is a stochastic problem, where all quantities and processes are described by probability distributions
3. The "solution" or "estimator" will again be the integral of the particle distribution function over the phase space region of interest:

$$
N=\iiint_{\Delta p \Delta x \Lambda \alpha} f(x, p, \alpha) d^{3} p d^{3} x d^{n} \alpha
$$

## Complexity

Simple example: a uniform monochromatic beam attenuated by a uniform shielding layer of thickness $T$
The source term: a flux $\Psi(E, \theta, r)=d N / d \Omega / d E / d S=C \delta\left(E-E_{0}\right) \delta\left(\theta-\theta_{0}\right)$ Suppose that the particle are absorbed according to a macroscopic absorption cross section $\Sigma_{t}=$ interaction probability per $\mathrm{cm}=\sigma_{t} N_{A} \rho / A$
In an infinitesimal thickness $d t$ the probability to be absorbed is $P=\Sigma d t$
$\mathrm{d} \Psi(\mathrm{t})=-\Psi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{P}=-\Psi(\mathrm{t}) \Sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dt}$

- $\Psi(s)=\int_{0}^{T} \Psi(\mathrm{t}) \exp \left(-\Sigma_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{S}\right) \mathrm{dt}$ : an eq. that is solved by the exponential function $\rightarrow$
- $\Psi(T)=\Psi(0) \exp \left(-\Sigma_{\mathrm{t}} T\right) \quad$ EASY !!
- Now .. Suppose that the particles are not absorbed, but scattered according to some energy-dependent $d \sigma(E, \theta) / d \Omega$, exiting with $E^{\prime}=g(E, \theta)$ where $g$ is defined by the kinematics.
- $d \Psi\left(E^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}\right)=\int\left(\Psi(E, \theta, s) N_{A} \rho / A d \sigma\left(E, \theta^{\prime}\right) / d \Omega\right) d \theta d E$ where $\theta^{\prime \prime}=\theta^{\prime \prime}-\theta$ in $3 d$
- $\Psi(T, E, \theta)=\int d \Psi\left(E^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}\right)=\ldots$
- Now..suppose that the original beam was not monochromatic, or that particles can also be produced..or that the geometry is not uniform and the dimensions of the integral will explode.


## Another way to solve

- The solution of the Boltzmann equation involves complex integrations in many variables
- Particle non-conserving terms have also to be introduced
- Non-homogeneities of the problem further increase the complexity
- "Direct" numerical solutions can become prohibitive
- Another way to solve the transport equation is the Monte Carlo method:
Instead of INTEGRATING the probability functions, SAMPLE randomly from these distribution
- The mathematical foundation of the Monte Carlo method is in the Central limit theorem:


## Mean of a distribution (1)

- In one dimension:

Given a variable $x$, distributed according to a function $f(x)$, the mean or average of another function of the same variable $A(x)$ over an interval $[a, b]$ is given by:

$$
\bar{A}=\frac{\int_{a}^{b} A(x) f(x) d x}{\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(x) d x}
$$

Or, introducing the normalized distribution $f^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{f(x)}{\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x} \\
& \bar{A}=\int_{a}^{b} A(x) f^{\prime}(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

A special case is that of $A(x)=x: \bar{x}=\int_{a}^{b} x f^{\prime}(x) d x$

## Mean of a distribution (2)

- In several dimensions:

Given $n$ variables $x, y, z, \ldots$ distributed according to the (normalized)
functions $f^{\prime}(x), g^{\prime}(y), h^{\prime}(z) \ldots$, the mean or average of a function of those variables $A(x, y, z)$ over an $n$-dimensional domain $D$ is given by:

$$
\bar{A}=\int_{X} \int_{y} \int_{z} \ldots \int_{n} A(x, y, z, \ldots) f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(x) h^{\prime}(x) \ldots d x d y d z \ldots
$$

Often impossible to calculate with traditional methods, but we can sample $N$ values of A with probability $f^{\prime} \cdot g^{\prime} \cdot h^{\prime} \ldots$ and divide the sum of the sampled values by N :

$$
S_{N}=\frac{\sum_{1}^{N} A(x, y, z, \ldots)}{N}
$$

Each term of the sum is distributed like A (Analog Monte Carlo) In this case the integration is also a simulation!

## Central Limit theorem

## Central limit theorem:

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} P\left(S_{N}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{N} \sigma_{A}}} e^{-\frac{\left(S_{N}-\bar{A}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{A}^{2} / N}}
$$

For large values of N , the distribution of averages (normalized sums $S_{N}$ ) of $N$ independent random variables identically distributed (according to any distribution with mean and variance $\neq \infty$ ) tends to a normal distribution with mean $\bar{A}$ and variance $\sigma_{A}^{2} / N$

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} S_{N}=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{1}^{N} A(x, y, z, \ldots) f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(y) h^{\prime}(z) \ldots}{N}=\bar{A}
$$

## MC Mathematical foundation

The Central Limit Theorem is the mathematical foundation of the Monte Carlo method. In words:

> Given any observable A, that can be expressed as the result of a convolution of random processes, the average value of $A$ can be obtained by sampling many values of $A$ according to the probability distributions of the random processes.

MC is indeed an integration method that allows to solve multidimensional integrals by sampling from a suitable stochastic distribution.

The precision of MC estimator depends on the number of samples:

$$
\sigma \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}
$$

## Integration? Or simulation?

Why, then, is MC often considered a simulation technique?

- Originally, the Monte Carlo method was not a simulation method, but a device to solve a multidimensional integro-differential equation by building a stochastic process such that some parameters of the resulting distributions would satisfy that equation
- The equation itself did not necessarily refer to a physical process, and if it did, that process was not necessarily stochastic


## Integration efficiency

- Traditional numerical integration methods (e.g., Simpson) converge to the true value as $\boldsymbol{N}^{1 / n}$, where $N=$ number of "points" (intervals) and $n=$ number of dimensions
- Monte Carlo converges as $\mathbf{N}^{-1 / 2}$, independent of the number of dimensions
- Therefore:
- $n=1 \rightarrow M C$ is not convenient
- $n=2 \rightarrow$ MC is about equivalent to traditional methods
a $n>2 \rightarrow$ MC converges faster (and the more so the greater the dimensions)
- the dimensions are those of the largest number of "collisions" per history
- Note that the term "collision" comes from low-energy neutron/photon transport theory. Here it should be understood in the extended meaning of "interaction where the particle changes its direction and/or energy, or produces new particles"


## The Monte Carlo method

Invented by John von Neumann, Stanislaw Ulam and Nicholas Metropolis (who gave it its name), and independently by Enrico Fermi

N. Metropolis

S. Ulam

J. von Neumann

E. Fermi


## The ENIAC

Electronic Numerical Integrator And Computer

## Simulation: in special cases

- It was soon realized, however, that when the method was applied to an equation describing a physical stochastic process, such as neutron diffusion, the model (in this case a random walk) could be identified with the process itself
- In these cases the method (analog Monte Carlo) has become known as a simulation technique, since every step of the model corresponds to an identical step in the simulated process


## Particle transport

- Particle transport is a typical physical process described by probabilities (cross sections $=$ interaction probabilities per unit distance)
- Therefore it lends itself naturally to be simulated by Monte Carlo
- Many applications, especially in high energy physics and medicine, are based on simulations where the history of each particle (trajectory, interactions) is reproduced in detail
- However in other types of application, typically shielding design, the user is interested only in the expectation values of some quantities (fluence and dose) at some space point or region, which are calculated as solutions of a mathematical equation
- This equation (the Boltzmann equation), describes the statistical distribution of particles in phase space and therefore does indeed represent a physical stochastic process
- But in order to estimate the desired expectation values it is not necessary that the Monte Carlo process be identical to it


## Integration without simulation

- In many cases, it is more efficient to replace the actual process by a different one resulting in the same average values but built by sampling from modified distributions
- Such a biased process, if based on mathematically correct variance reduction techniques, converges to the same expectation values as the unbiased one
- But it cannot provide information about the higher moments of statistical distributions (fluctuations and correlations)
- In addition, the faster convergence in some userprivileged regions of phase space is compensated by a slower convergence elsewhere


## Analog Monte Carlo

In an analog Monte Carlo calculation, not only the mean of the contributions converges to the mean of the actual distribution, but also the variance and all moments of higher order:

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{\sum_{1}^{N}(x-\bar{x})^{n}}{N}\right]^{\frac{1}{n}}=\sigma_{n}
$$

Then, partial distributions, fluctuations and correlations are all faithfully reproduced: in this case (and in this case only!) we have a real simulation

## Random sampling: the key to Monte Carlo

The central problem of the Monte Carlo method:
Given a Probability Density Function (pdf), $f(x)$, generate a sample of $x$ 's distributed according to $f(x)$ ( $x$ can be multidimensional)


The use of random sampling techniques is the distinctive feature of Monte Carlo Solving the integral Boltzmann transport equation by Monte Carlo consists of:

- Geometry and material description of the problem
- Random sampling from probability distributions of the outcome of physical events


## (Pseudo)random numbers

- The basis of all Monte Carlo integrations are random numbers, i.e. random values of a variable distributed according to a pdf
- In real world: the random outcomes of physical processes
- In computer world: pseudo-random numbers
- The basic pdf is the uniform distribution: $\mathrm{f}(\xi)=1 \quad 0 \leq \xi<1$
- Pseudo-random numbers (PRN) are sequences that reproduce the uniform distribution, constructed from mathematical algorithms (PRN generators).
- A PRN sequence looks random but it is not: it can be successfully tested for statistical randomness although it is generated deterministically
- A pseudo-random process is easier to produce than a really random one, and has the advantage that it can be reproduced exactly
- PRN generators have a period, after which the sequence is identically repeated. However, a repeated number does not imply that the end of the period has been reached. Some available generators have periods $>10^{61}$


## Sampling from a distribution

Sampling from a discrete distribution:
Suppose we have a discrete random variable $x_{1}$, that can assume values $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots$ with probability $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}, \ldots$

- Assume $\sum_{i} p_{i}=\mathbf{1}$, or normalize it
- Divide the interval $[0,1)$ in $n$ subintervals, with limits

$$
y_{0}=\mathbf{0}, y_{1}=p_{1}, y_{2}=p_{1}+p_{2}, \ldots
$$

Note the use of the cumulative probability!

- Generate a uniform pseudo-random number $\xi \in[0,1[$
- Find the $i^{\text {th }} \boldsymbol{y}$-interval such that

$$
y_{\mathrm{i}}-1 \leq \xi<y_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

- Select $X=x_{i}$ as the sampled value
- Since $\xi$ is uniformly random:

$$
P\left(x_{i}\right)=P\left(y_{i-1} \leq \xi<y_{i}\right)=y_{i}-y_{i-1}=p_{i}
$$



## Sampling from a distribution

Example: simulate a throw of dice


Total number of states: 36
$x_{1}=2, x_{2}=3, x_{3}=4, \ldots, x_{11}=12$
$y_{0}=0, y_{1}=1, y_{2}=1+2=3, y_{3}=3+3=6, \ldots, y_{11}=35+1=36$
Normalize:
$y_{0}=0, y_{1}=1 / 36=0.028, y_{2}=3 / 36=0.083, y_{3}=0.167, \ldots, y_{11}=1$
Get a pseudorandom number $\xi$, e.g.: 0.125
$\xi$ is found to be between $y_{2}=0.083$ and $y_{3}=0.194$
So, our sampled dice throw is $x_{3}=4$

## Sampling from a distribution

Sampling from a generic continuous distribution:

- Integrate the distribution function, $f(x)$, analytically or numerically, and normalize to 1 to obtain the normalized cumulative distribution:

$$
F(\xi)=\frac{\int_{x_{\min }}^{\xi} f(x) d x}{\int_{x_{\min }}^{x_{\max }} f(x) d x}
$$

Again, we use the cumulative probability: remember, MC is integration!

- Generate a uniform pseudo-random number $\xi$
- Get a sample of $f(x)$ by finding the inverse value $X=F^{-1}(\xi)$, analytically or most often numerically by interpolation (table look-up)
- Since $\xi$ is uniformly random:

$$
P(a \leq x<b)=P[F(a) \leq \xi<F(b)]=F(b)-F(a)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x
$$

## Sampling from a distribution

Example: sampling from an exponential distribution (this is frequently needed in particle transport, to find the point of next interaction or the distance to decay)

$$
f(x)=e^{-x / \lambda}, \underset{x}{x} \in[0, \infty)
$$

- Cumulative distribution: $F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\frac{x}{\lambda}} d x=\lambda\left(1-e^{-\frac{t}{\lambda}}\right)$
- Normalized: $\quad F^{\prime}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{e^{-\frac{x}{\lambda}}}{\lambda} d x=1-e^{-\frac{t}{\lambda}}$

- Sample a uniform $\xi \in[0,1)$, e.g.: $0.745 \quad \xi=F^{\prime}(t)=1-e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}}=0.745$
- Sample $t$ by inverting: $t=-\lambda \ln (1-\xi)$
- But $\xi$ is distributed like $1-\xi$. Therefore our sampled value is:

$$
t=-\lambda \ln \xi=-\lambda \ln 0.745=0.294 \lambda
$$

- If we are sampling the next interaction point, we will make a step of 0.294 mfp


## Sampling from a distribution: the rejection technique

The rejection technique

- Some distributions cannot be easily sampled by integration and inversion.
- Let $f^{\prime}(x)$ be one such distribution (normalized) that we want to sample
- Let $g^{\prime}(x)$ be another normalized distribution function that can be sampled, such that $C g^{\prime}(x) \geq f^{\prime}(x)$, for all $x \in\left[x_{\text {min }}, x_{\text {max }}\right]$
- Generate a uniform pseudo-random number $\xi_{1} \in[0,1)$ to sample $X$ from $g^{\prime}(x)$
- Generate a second pseudo-random number $\xi_{2}$
- Accept $X$ as a sample of $f^{\prime}(x)$ if $\xi_{2}<f^{\prime}(X) / C g^{\prime}(x)$, otherwise re-sample $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$


## Sampling with the rejection technique

- The probability of $X$ to be sampled from $g^{\prime}(x)$ is $g^{\prime}(X)$, the one that $\xi_{2}$ passes the test is $f^{\prime}(X) / C g^{\prime}(X)$ : therefore the probability to have $X$ sampled and accepted is the product of probabilities $g^{\prime}(X) f^{\prime}(X) / C g^{\prime}(X)=f^{\prime}(X) / C$
- The overall efficiency (probability accepted/rejected) is given by

$$
\varepsilon=\int \frac{f^{\prime}(x)}{C g^{\prime}(x)} g^{\prime}(x) d x=\int \frac{f^{\prime}(x)}{C} d x=\frac{1}{C} \int f^{\prime}(x) d x=\frac{1}{C}
$$

- Proof that the sampling is unbiased (i.e. $X$ is a correct sample from $f^{\prime}(x)$ ): the probability $P(X) d x$ of sampling $X$ is given by:

$$
P(X) d X=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} g^{\prime}(X) \frac{f^{\prime}(X)}{C g^{\prime}(X)} d X=f^{\prime}(X) d X
$$

- $g^{\prime}(X)$ is generally chosen as a uniform (rectangular) distribution or a normalized sum of uniform distributions (a piecewise constant function)



## The rejection technique: example

Let be $f^{\prime}(x)=\left(1+x^{2}\right), x \in[-1,1]$
We choose $g^{\prime}(x)$ to be constant, and:

$$
C g^{\prime}(x)=\max \left(f^{\prime}(x)\right)=2
$$

To normalize it:
$\int_{-1}^{1} g^{\prime}(x) d x=1 \Rightarrow 2 g^{\prime}(x)=1 \Rightarrow g^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{2}$
We obtain $C=2 / g^{\prime}(x)=4$
Generate two uniform pseudo-random numbers $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in[0,1)$
Sample $X$ uniformly: $X=-1+2 \xi_{1}$ Test:
if $\left(1+X^{2}\right) / C g^{\prime}(x)=\left(1+X^{2}\right) / 2>\xi_{2}$, accept $X$
 otherwise re-sample $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$
The efficiency is the ratio of the red area to the total

## Particle transport Monte Carlo

Application of Monte Carlo to particle transport and interaction:

- Each particle is followed on its path through matter
- At each step the occurrence and outcome of interactions are decided by random selection from the appropriate probability distributions
- All the secondaries issued from the same primary are stored in a "stack" or "bank" and are transported before a new history is started
- The accuracy and reliability of a Monte Carlo depend on the models or data on which the probability distribution functions are based
- Statistical precision of results depends on the number of "histories"
- Statistical convergence can be accelerated by "biasing" techniques.


## Particle transport Monte Carlo

Assumptions made by most MC codes:

- Static, homogeneous, isotropic, amorphous media and geometry Problems: e.g. moving targets*, atmosphere must be represented by discrete layers of uniform density, radioactive decay may take place in a geometry different from that in which the radionuclides were produced*.
* These restrictions have been overcome in FLUKA
- Markovian process: the fate of a particle depends only on its actual present properties, not on previous events or histories
- Particles do not interact with each other

Problem: e.g. the Chudakov effect (charges cancelling in $e^{+} e^{-}$pairs)

- Particles interact with individual electrons / atoms / nuclei / molecules
Problem: invalid at low energies (X-ray mirrors)
- Material properties are not affected by particle reactions Problem: e.g. burnup


## Practical implementations

## particle exits the problem before interaction Estimators



## Statistical Errors:

- Can be calculated for single histories (not in FLUKA), or for batches of several histories
- Distribution of scoring contributions by single histories can be very asymmetric (many histories contribute little or zero)
- Scoring distribution from batches tends to Gaussian for $N \rightarrow \infty$, provided $\sigma^{2} \neq \infty$ (thanks to Central Limit Theorem)
- The standard deviation of an estimator calculated from batches or from single histories is an estimate of the standard deviation of the actual distribution ("error of the mean")
- How good is such an estimate depends on the type of estimator and on the particular problem (but it converges to the true value for $N \rightarrow \infty$ )


## Statistical Errors

- The variance of the mean of an estimated quantity $x$ (e.g., fluence), calculated in $N$ batches, is:

$$
\sigma_{<x>}^{2}=\frac{1}{N-1}\left[\frac{\sum_{1}^{N} n_{i} x_{i}^{2}}{n}-\left(\frac{\sum_{1}^{N} n_{i} x_{i}}{n}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

mean of squares - square of means

$$
N-1
$$

where:
$n_{i}=$ number of histories in the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ batch
$\mathrm{n}=\Sigma \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ total number of histories in the N batches
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ average of x in the i th batch: $x_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \frac{x_{i j}}{n_{i}}$
where $x_{i j}$ is the contribution to $x$ of the $j^{\text {th }}$ history in the $i^{\text {th }}$ batch
In the limit $N=n, n_{i}=1$, the formula applies to single history statistics

## Statistical Errors

## Practical tips:

- Use always at least 5-10 batches of comparable size (it is not at all mandatory that they be of equal size)
- Never forget that the variance itself is a stochastic variable subject to fluctuations
- Be careful about the way convergence is achieved: often (particularly with biasing) apparently good statistics with few isolated spikes could point to a lack of sampling of the most relevant phase-space part
- Plot 2D and 3D distributions! In those cases the eye is the best tool in judging the quality of the result


## Statistical errors, systematic errors, and... mistakes

## Statistical errors, due to sampling (in)efficiency

Relative error Quality of Tally (from an old version of the MCNP Manual)

| 50 to $100 \%$ | Garbage |
| :---: | :--- |
| 20 to $50 \%$ | Factor of a few |
| 10 to 20 | Questionable |
| $<10 \%$ | Generally reliable |

- Why does a 30\% o mean an uncertainty of a "factor of a few"? Because $\sigma$ in fact corresponds to the sum (in quadrature) of two uncertainties: one due to the fraction of histories which don't give a zero contribution, and one which reflects the spread of the non-zero contributions
- The MCNP guideline is empirically based on experience, not on a mathematical proof. But it has been generally confirmed also working with other codes
- Small penetrations and cracks are very difficult to handle by MC, because the "detector" is too small and too few non-zero contributions can be sampled, even by biasing


## Statistical errors, systematic errors, and... mistakes

Systematic errors, due to code weaknesses

- Apart from the statistical error, which other factors affect the accuracy of MC results?
- physics: different codes are based on different physics models. Some models are better than others. Some models are better in a certain energy range. Model quality is best shown by benchmarks at the microscopic level (e.g. thin targets)
- artifacts: due to imperfect algorithms, e.g., energy deposited in the middle of a step*, inaccurate path length correction for multiple scattering*, missing correction for cross section and $d E / d x$ change over a step*, etc. Algorithm quality is best shown by benchmarks at the macroscopic level (thick targets, complex geometries)
- data uncertainty: an error of $10 \%$ in the absorption cross section can lead to an error of a factor 2.8 in the effectiveness of a thick shielding wall (10 attenuation lengths). Results can never be better than allowed by available experimental data!
* Not in FLUKA!

Statistical errors, systematic errors, and... mistakes

Systematic errors, due to user ignorance

- Missing information:
a material composition not always well known. In particular concrete/soil composition (how much water content? Can be critical)
- beam losses: most of the time these can only be guessed. Close interaction with engineers and designers is needed
a presence of additional material, not well defined (cables, supports...)
- Is it worth to do a very detailed simulation when some parameters are unknown or badly known?

Systematic errors, due to simplification

- Geometries that cannot be reproduced exactly (or would require too much effort)
- Air contains humidity and pollutants, has a density variable with pressure


## Statistical errors, systematic errors, and... mistakes

Code mistakes ("bugs")

- MC codes can contain bugs:
a Physics bugs: I have seen pair production cross sections fitted by a polynomial... and oscillating instead of saturating at high energies, non-uniform azimuthal scattering distributions, energy nonconservation...
- Programming bugs (as in any other software, of course)


## User mistakes

- mis-typing the input: Flair is good at checking, but the final responsibility is the user's
- error in user code: use the built-in features as much as possible!
- wrong units
- wrong normalization: quite common
- unfair biasing: energy/space cuts cannot be avoided, but must be done with much care
- forgetting to check that gamma production is available in the neutron cross sections (e.g. Ba cross sections)


## END

## The angular flux $\Psi$

The angular flux $\psi$ is the most general radiometric quantity: particle phase space density $\times$ velocity
or also
derivative of fluence $\Phi(x, y, z)$ with respect to 3 phase space coordinates: time, energy and direction vector

$$
\Psi=\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t \partial E \partial \vec{\Omega}}=\dot{\Phi}_{E \bar{\Omega}}
$$

$\Psi$ is fully differential, but most Monte Carlo estimators integrate it over one or more (or all) phase space dimensions: coordinates, time, energy, angle
Fluence $\Phi$, on the opposite, is the most integral radiometric quantity:

$$
\Phi=\iiint_{E \Omega t} \dot{\Phi}_{E \vec{\Omega}} d E d \vec{\Omega} d t=n v t
$$

where $n=$ particle density in normal space, $v=$ velocity, $t=$ time

## Particle Transport

- Particle transport == evolution of the phase space densities due to deterministic and stochastic processes
- Most general solution: Boltzmann equation: integro-differential balance equation in phase space
- The "solution" needs the definition of a source and a detector
- The "source will be a known distribution in phase space (i.e. a particle beam, or a volume filled with $\gamma$ emitters..)
- The detector will be a region in phase space where we look for a solution. For instance, the neutron fluence after a shielding layer
- The transport from the source to the detector is defined by the combined probability of production and destruction processes: scattering, decay, absorption, particle production...


## The Boltzmann Equation

- All particle transport calculations are (explicit or implicit) attempts to solve the Boltzmann Equation
- It is a balance equation in phase space: at any phase space point, the increment of angular flux $\Psi$ in an infinitesimal phase space volume is equal to

> sum of all "production terms" minus
> sum of all "destruction terms"

- Production:

Sources, Translational motion "in", "Inscattering", Particle Production, Decay "in"

- Destruction:

Absorption, Translational motion "out", "Outscattering", Decay "out"
(For convenience, we merge into a single term Particle Production and Decay "in" and in a similar way we put together Absorption and Decay "out")

## The Boltzmann Equation

$\frac{1}{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(\vec{r}, \vec{\Omega}, E, t)+\vec{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \Psi+\sum_{t} \Psi-S=\iint_{\text {source }} \Psi(\vec{r}, \vec{\Omega}, E, t) \Sigma_{s}\left(\vec{r}, \vec{\Omega} \vec{\Omega}^{\prime} \rightarrow \vec{\Omega}, E^{\prime} \rightarrow E\right) d E^{\prime} d \vec{\Omega}{ }^{\prime}$
translation
time dependent absorption scattering
$\Sigma_{\dagger}=$ total macroscopic cross section = interaction probability per cm

$$
=1 / \lambda_{+}=\sigma_{+} N_{A} \rho / A
$$

$\lambda_{+}=$interaction mean free path $\sigma_{\dagger}=$ interaction probability per atom/cm ${ }^{2}$
$\Sigma_{s}=$ scattering macroscopic cross section $=\sigma_{s} N_{A} \rho / A$
This equation is in integro-differential form. But in Monte Carlo it is more convenient to put it into integral form, carrying out the integration over all possible particle histories.
A theorem of statistical mechanics, the Ergodic Theorem, says that the average of a function along the trajectories is equal to the average over all phase space. The trajectories "fill" all the available phase space.

## Visualizing a 2-D phase space...



Translational motion: change of position, no change of energy and direction

Inscattering Outscattering


Scattering: no change of position, change of energy and direction
$d E / d x$ : change of position and energy (translation plus many small scatterings

No arrows upwards! (except for thermal neutrons)

## The sources and the detectors

- To solve the Boltzmann Equation, we must define one or more source and one or more detectors
- A source is a region of phase space: one or more particle types, a range of space coordinates, a distribution in angle, energy and time (but often the source is simply a monoenergetic monodirectional point source - a "beam"!)
- Also a detector is a region of phase space, in which we want to find a solution of the Boltzmann equation
- We can look for solutions of different type:
$\square$ at a number of (real or phase) space points
$\square$ averages over (real or phase) space regions
$\square$ projected on selected phase space hyperplanes
- time-dependent or stationary
$\square$.
- For each solution we must define a detector


## Line integration of the Boltzmann Equation



Let's change coordinates along the line s in direction $\Omega$ :

$$
\frac{1}{v} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \Psi}{\mathrm{~d} s}+\Sigma_{t} \Psi=S+q
$$

where $q$ indicates the scattering integral

## From source to detector without interaction

uncollided term $\Psi_{0}$

$$
\Psi_{0}=\mathrm{Se} \mathrm{\int}^{-\int_{t} \Sigma_{t} s \mathrm{ds}}=\mathrm{Se}^{-\beta}
$$

detector
$\beta=\int_{0}^{s} \Sigma_{t} s d s=$ optical thicknes
robability to reach detector without absorption nor scattering
"source" and "detector" are two regions of phase space

## From source to detector with one scattering

once-collided term $\Psi_{1}$
obtained by summing
(= integrating)
all contributions from phase space points reached by uncollided particles


$$
\Psi=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta}\left[\iint_{E \Omega} \Sigma_{s} \Psi_{0} d \vec{\Omega} d E\right] d s+\mathrm{Se}^{-\beta}=\Psi_{1}+\Psi_{0}=\mathrm{K}_{\substack{ \\(\text { K: integral } \\ \text { operator })}}
$$

## Neumann series

The solution of the Boltzmann equation in integral form is obtained by summing:

- the uncollided term $\Psi_{0}$
- the once-collided term $\Psi_{1}=K \Psi_{0}$
- the twice-collided term $\Psi_{2}=K \Psi_{1}$
etc...
Each term is derived from the previous one, adding one scattering


## Neumann series:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{0}=S e^{-\beta} \\
& \Psi_{1}=K \Psi_{0} \\
& \Psi_{2}=K \Psi_{1} \\
& \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
& \Psi_{n}=K \Psi_{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that analytical shielding formulae are written as:

$$
D=D_{0} B(E) \mathrm{e}^{-\Sigma x}
$$

where $D$ (dose) is assumed to be proportional to $\Phi$ (fluence) $D_{0} e^{-\Sigma x}$ is the uncollided term
$B$ (build-up factor) is the sum of all collided terms

## Integration efficiency

- Traditional numerical integration methods (e.g., Simpson) converge to the true value as $\boldsymbol{N}^{-1 / n}$, where $N=$ number of "points" (intervals) and $n=$ number of dimensions
- Monte Carlo converges as $\mathbf{N}^{-1 / 2}$, independent of the number of dimensions
- Therefore:
- $n=1 \rightarrow M C$ is not convenient
- $n=2 \rightarrow$ MC is about equivalent to traditional methods
$\square n>2 \rightarrow M C$ converges faster (and the more so the greater the dimensions)
- With the integro-differential Boltzmann equation the dimensions are the 7 of phase space, but we use the integral form: the dimensions are those of the largest number of "collisions" per history (the Neumann term of highest order)
- Note that the term "collision" comes from low-energy neutron/photon transport theory. Here it should be understood in the extended meaning of "interaction where the particle changes its direction and/or energy, or produces new particles"


## Sampling a uniform isotropic radiation field

Several problems (e.g. concerning cosmic rays or phantom dosimetry require to simulate a uniform isotropic radiation field over a region of space


Uniform Distribution
This can be obtained as follows:

- select a random point on the surface a sphere of radius $R$ surrounding the region
- sample a random inward direction from a cosine distribution
- send the particle from point $R$ in the selected direction


Cosine Distribution

## Sampling a uniform isotropic radiation field



Why the cosine distribution?

The solid angle $d \Omega$ 'subtended by the element of sphere surface at random point $P_{0}$ from a generic point $P_{1}$ is $=d \Omega \cos \theta$, where $d \Omega$ is the solid angle subtended in the direction of the normal in $P_{0}$

