Intro to SUSY II: SUSY QFT

Archil Kobakhidze





PRE-SUSY 2016 SCHOOL 27 JUNE -1 JULY 2016, MELBOURNE

Recap from the first lecture:

• N=1 SUSY algebra $P_{\mu},~M_{\mu\nu},~Q_{\alpha},~ar{Q}^{\dot{lpha}},~R$

$$[P_{\mu}, P_{\nu}] = 0 ;$$

$$[P_{\mu}, M_{\rho\sigma}] = -i(\eta_{\mu\rho}P_{\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\sigma}P_{\rho}) ;$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}] = i(\eta_{\mu\rho}M_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\nu\rho}M_{\mu\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\sigma}M_{\nu\rho} + \eta_{\nu\sigma}M_{\mu\rho})$$

$$\begin{split} &[P_{\mu},Q_{\alpha}] = [P_{\mu},\bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}] = 0, \\ &[M_{\mu\nu},Q_{\alpha}] = -(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}^{\ \beta}Q_{\beta}, \ [M_{\mu\nu},\bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}] = -(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\beta}}^{\ \dot{\alpha}}\bar{Q}^{\dot{\beta}}, \\ &\{Q_{\alpha},\bar{Q}^{\dot{\beta}}\} = 2(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha}^{\ \dot{\alpha}}P_{\mu}, \\ &\{Q_{\alpha},Q_{\beta}\} = \{\bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}},\bar{Q}^{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0, \\ &\{Q_{\alpha},R\} = Q_{\alpha}, \ [\bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}},R] = -\bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}} . \qquad \bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}} = i\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \theta^{\beta}(\sigma^{\mu})_{\beta}^{\ \dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\mu} \end{split}$$

Melbourne, June 2016

Recap from the first lecture:

• 8-dimensional N=1 superspace $X^M = (x^{\mu}, \ \theta^{\alpha}, \overline{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}})$

$$dS^{2} = G_{MN} dX^{M} dX^{N}$$
$$G_{MN} = (\eta_{\mu\nu}, \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}, \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}})$$

• Covariant derivatives $D_M = (\partial_\mu, D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}})$

$$D_{\alpha} = \partial_{\alpha} + i(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}\partial_{\mu} ,$$

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} - i\theta^{\beta}(\sigma^{\mu})_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\mu} ,$$

• Non-zero torsion $\{D_{\alpha}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = -2i(\sigma)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\mu}$

Melbourne, June 2016

Recap from the first lecture:

• Superspace integration $\int d\theta \theta = 1$ and $\int d\theta = 0$.

 $\int d\theta \frac{df}{d\theta} = 0$; $\delta(\theta) = \theta$ - Grassmann delta-function;

$$\int d\theta f(\theta) = f_1 = \frac{d}{d\theta} f(\theta)$$

Grassmann integration is equivalent to differentiation

$$d^{2}\theta = -\frac{1}{4}d\theta^{\alpha}d\theta^{\beta}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}, \ d^{2}\bar{\theta} = -\frac{1}{4}d\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}d\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \ d^{4}\theta = d^{2}\theta d^{2}\bar{\theta}$$
$$\int d^{2}\theta \ \theta^{2} = \int d^{2}\bar{\theta} \ \bar{\theta}^{2} = \int d^{4}\theta \ \bar{\theta}^{2}\theta^{2} = 1$$

Melbourne, June 2016

Outline of Part II: SUSY QFT

- Basic consequences of superalgebra
- Superfields
 - Chiral superfield
 - Vector superfield. Super-gauge invariance
- Nonrenormalisation theorems

i. Inspect,

$$[P_{\mu}, Q_{\alpha}] = \left[P_{\mu}, \bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}} \right] = 0$$
$$\implies [P^{\mu}P_{\mu}, Q_{\alpha}] = \left[P^{\mu}P_{\mu}, \bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}} \right] = 0$$

 P^2 is a quadratic Casimir operator of the super-Poincaré algebra with eigenvalues m^2 .

That is, each irreducible representation of superalgebra contains fields that are degenerate in mass.

ii. Inspect,
$$\{Q_{\alpha}, \bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}\} = 2(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}P_{\mu}$$
.

Since P_{μ} is an invertible operator, so is $\{Q_{\alpha}, \bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}\}$. Then, the action

$$\{Q_{\alpha}, \bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}\}|B\rangle = Q_{\alpha}\bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}|B\rangle + \bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}Q_{\alpha}|B\rangle = Q_{\alpha}|\bar{F}\rangle + Q^{\dot{\alpha}}|F\rangle = |B'\rangle$$

implies one-to-one correspondence between fermionic and bosonic states. Thus, each irreducible representation of superalgebra contains equal number of fermionic and bosonic states.

iii. Take sum over spinor indices in

 $\{Q_{\alpha}, Q_{\alpha}^{*}\} = 2(\sigma^{\mu})_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} P_{\mu}.$ $P_{0} \equiv H = \frac{1}{4} \left[Q_{1}Q_{1}^{*} + Q_{2}Q_{2}^{*} + Q_{1}^{*}Q_{1} + Q_{2}^{*}Q_{2} \right],$ $H|E\rangle = E|E\rangle .$

iiia. Total energy of an arbitrary supersymmetric system is positive definite:

 $E \geqslant \mathbf{0}$

iiib The vacuum energy of a supersymmetric system is 0,

$$E_{\text{vac}} = 0 \ [Q_{\alpha} | \text{vac} \rangle = 0]$$

iiic Supersymmetry is broken if

$$E_{vac} \neq 0 \ [Q_{\alpha} | vac \rangle \neq 0]$$

 Compute 1-loop vacuum energy of a system of particles with various spins S and corresponding masses m_S:

$$E_{\text{vac}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(S)} (-1)^{2S} (2S+1) \int d^3 \vec{q} \sqrt{\vec{q}^2 + m_S^2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(S)} (-1)^{2S} (2S+1) \int d^3 \vec{q} \sqrt{\vec{q}^2} \left[1 + \frac{m_S^2}{2\vec{q}^2} - \frac{m_S^4}{\vec{q}^4} + \dots \right]$$

- $Strm_{S}^{0} \equiv \sum_{(S)} (-1)^{2S} (2S+1) = 0$ (no quart. div.)
- $Strm_S^2 \equiv \sum_{(S)} (-1)^{2S} (2S+1) m_S^2 = 0$ (no quadr. div.)

 $\text{Str}m_S^4 \equiv \sum_{(S)} (-1)^{2S} (2S+1) m_S^4 = 0$ (no log. div.)

Melbourne, June 2016

 Compute 1-loop correction to the mass of a scalar field (SUSY adjustment of couplings is assumed):

$$\delta m_H^2 \propto \sum_{(S)} (-1)^2 (2S+1) \int d^4 p_E \frac{1}{p_E^2 + m_S^2} = \sum_{(S)} (-1)^2 (2S+1) \int d^4 p_E \frac{1}{p_E^2} \left[1 - \frac{m_S^2}{p_E^2} + \dots \right]$$

 $Strm_{S}^{0} \equiv \sum_{(S)} (-1)^{2S} (2S+1) = 0$ (no quadr. div.)

 $\text{Str}m_S^2 \equiv \sum_{(S)} (-1)^{2S} (2S+1) m_S^2 = 0$ (no log. div.)

Melbourne, June 2016

- Cancellation of divergences follow automatically from superalgebra! The above examples follow from the general all-loop perturbative non-renormalization theorem in quantum field theories with supersymmetry.
- Absence of quadratic divergences in scalar masses is the main phenomenological motivation for supersymmetry: supersymmetry may ensure stability of the electroweak scale against quantum corrections (the hierarchy problem)

Superfields

Superfield is a function of superspace coordinates. A generic scalar superfield can be expanded in a form of a Taylor series expansion with respect to Grassmannian coordinates:

$$S(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}) = \phi(x) + \theta\psi_1(x) + \bar{\theta}\bar{\psi}_2(x) + \theta^2 M(x) + \bar{\theta}^2 N(x)$$
$$\theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\theta}A_{\mu}(x) + \theta^2\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda}_1(x) + \bar{\theta}^2\theta\lambda_2(x) + \theta^2\bar{\theta}^2 D(x)$$

• This generic scalar superfield is in a reducible SUSY representation. We may impose covariant constraints to obtain irreducible superfields.

• Consider, e.g., the following covariant condition:

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}S(x,\theta,\bar{\theta})=0.$$

• The solution to the above constraint is known as the (left-handed) chiral superfield.

• To solve the constraint let us introduce new bosonic coordinates:

$$y^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + i\theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\theta}$$

One verifies that

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}y^{\mu} = \left(\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} + i\theta^{\beta}(\sigma^{\mu})_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\mu}\right)(x^{\mu} + i\theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\theta}) = 0$$

Exercise: Check this.

Melbourne, June 2016

• Therefore, the solution is:

$$S(x,\theta,\overline{\theta}) = \Phi(y,\theta)$$

• Taylor expansion of the chiral superfield reads:

$$\begin{split} \Phi(y,\theta) &= \phi(y) + \sqrt{2}\theta\psi(y) + \theta^2 F(y) \\ &= \phi(x) + \sqrt{2}\theta\psi(x) + \theta^2 F(x) \\ &+ i\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}\partial_\mu\phi(x) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\theta^2\partial_\mu\psi(x)\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta} - \frac{1}{4}\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\phi(x) \end{split}$$

Exercise: Obtain this expansion

Melbourne, June 2016

Supersymmetry transformations:

$$\begin{split} \delta \Phi(y,\theta) &= (i\epsilon Q + i\bar{\epsilon}\bar{Q}) \,\Phi(y,\theta) = \\ \sqrt{2}\epsilon\psi(x) + \sqrt{2}\theta \,(\epsilon F(x) + i\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\epsilon}\partial_{\mu}\phi(x)) - i\sqrt{2}\theta^{2}(\partial_{\mu}\psi(x))\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\epsilon} \end{split}$$

Exercise: Verify this

• Thus, we have:

$$\begin{split} \delta\phi(x) &= \sqrt{2}\epsilon\psi(x)\\ \delta\psi(x) &= \sqrt{2}\left(\epsilon F(x) + i\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\epsilon}\partial_{\mu}\phi(x)\right)\\ \delta F(x) &= -i\sqrt{2}(\partial_{\mu}\psi(x))\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\epsilon} \end{split}$$

Melbourne, June 2016

Anti-chiral superfield

• In similar manner, we can define anti-chiral superfield through the constraint:

$$D_{\alpha}S(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}) = 0$$

which posses the solution

$$S(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}) = \Phi^+(y,\theta) = \Phi(y^+,\bar{\theta})$$

where $y^+ = (y)^+ = x^\mu - i\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}$.

Melbourne, June 2016

Chiral field Lagrangian - Superpotential

- Superpotential $W(\Phi) [W(\Phi^+)]$ is a holomorphic function of a chiral (anti-chiral) superfields
- Superpotential itself is a (composite) chiral (anti-chiral) superfield:

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}W(\Phi) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \Phi}\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi = 0$$
• Consider, $\int d\theta^2 W = W|_{\theta^2} + \text{total derivatives}$

$$\Phi(y,\theta) = \phi(y) + \sqrt{2}\theta\psi(y) + \theta^2 F(y)$$

$$= \phi(x) + \sqrt{2}\theta\psi(x) + \theta^2 F(x)$$

$$+ i\theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\theta}\partial_{\mu}\phi(x) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\theta^2\partial_{\mu}\psi(x)\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\theta} - \frac{1}{4}\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}\phi(x)$$
Malbauma luna

Melbourne, June 2016

Chiral field Lagrangian - Superpotential

• Since F-terms $W(\Phi)|_{\theta^2}$, $W(\Phi^+)|_{\bar{\theta}^2}$ transform as total derivatives under SUSY transformations,

$$\int d\theta^2 \ W(\Phi) + \int d\bar{\theta}^2 \ W(\Phi^+)$$

is SUSY invariant Lagrangian density!

Chiral field Lagrangian – Kähler potential

- Consider product of chiral and anti-chiral superfields, $\Phi^+ \Phi$

which is a generic scalar superfield with the reality condition imposed.

$$S(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}) = \phi(x) + \theta\psi_1(x) + \bar{\theta}\bar{\psi}_2(x) + \theta^2 M(x) + \bar{\theta}^2 N(x)$$
$$\theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\theta}A_{\mu}(x) + \theta^2\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda}_1(x) + \bar{\theta}^2\theta\lambda_2(x) + \theta^2\bar{\theta}^2 D(x)$$

• SUSY transformation of D-term

$$\delta D = \left(i\epsilon Q + i\bar{\epsilon}\bar{Q} \right) S \Big|_{\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2} = \text{total derivative}$$

Melbourne, June 2016

Chiral field Lagrangian - Kähler potential

• Hence,

$$\int d\theta^2 d\bar{\theta}^2 \ K(\Phi^+\Phi)$$

is SUSY invariant Lagrangian density!

• $K(\Phi^+\Phi)$ Kähler potential

Melbourne, June 2016

Wess-Zumino model

 A chiral superfield, which contains a complex scalar (2 dofs both on-shell and off-shell), Majorana fermion (2 dofs on-shell, 4-dofs off-shell), a complex auxiliary field (0 dof on-shell, 2 dofs off-shell)

$$\mathcal{L}_{WZ} = \int d\theta^2 d\bar{\theta}^2 \, \Phi^+ \Phi + \int d\theta^2 \, W(\Phi) + h.c.$$
$$W(\Phi) = \frac{m}{2} \Phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{3} \Phi^3$$

Exercise: Express WZ Lagrangian in component form

J. Wess and B. Zumino, "Supergauge transformations in four Dimensions", Nuclear Physics B 70 (1974) 39

Melbourne, June 2016

Vector (real) superfield

 $S(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}) = \phi(x) + \theta\psi_1(x) + \bar{\theta}\bar{\psi}_2(x) + \theta^2 M(x) + \bar{\theta}^2 N(x)$ $\theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\theta}A_{\mu}(x) + \theta^2\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda}_1(x) + \bar{\theta}^2\theta\lambda_2(x) + \theta^2\bar{\theta}^2 D(x)$

- Reality condition: $S^+(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = S(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})$
- Solution is:

 $V(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}) = \phi(x) + \theta\psi + \bar{\theta}\bar{\psi} + \theta^2 M(x) + \bar{\theta}^2 M^*(x)$ $+ \theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\theta}A_{\mu}(x) + \theta^2\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda} + \bar{\theta}^2\theta\lambda + \theta^2\bar{\theta}^2 D(x)$

Melbourne, June 2016

Vector (real) superfield

- Let's use the vector superfield to describe a SUSY gauge theory, e.g. super-QED
- Supergauge transformations

$$V' = V + i(\Lambda^+ - \Lambda)$$

Arbitrary chiral superfield –

$$\begin{split} \Lambda &= \alpha(x) + \sqrt{2}\theta\xi(x) + \theta^2 f(x) \\ &+ i\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}\partial_\mu\alpha(x) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\theta^2\partial_\mu\xi(x)\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta} - \frac{1}{4}\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\alpha(x) \end{split}$$

- Standard gauge transformations $A'_{\mu} = A_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu}(\alpha + \alpha^{*})$

Melbourne, June 2016

Vector (real) superfield

- We can fix $\alpha(x), \ \xi(x), f(x)$ to remove $\ \phi(x), \ \psi(x), \ M(x)$ (Wess-Zumino gauge)

 $V_{WZ}(x,\theta,\bar{\theta}) = \theta \sigma^{\mu} \bar{\theta} A_{\mu}(x) + \theta^{2} \bar{\theta} \bar{\lambda} + \bar{\theta}^{2} \theta \lambda + \theta^{2} \bar{\theta}^{2} D(x)$

- Note, in the Wess-Zumino gauge SUSY is not manifest.
- We can generalize this construction to super-Yang-Mills:

 $V \to V^a T^a$

Strength tensor superfield

$$W_{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{4}\bar{D}^{2}D_{\alpha}V, \ \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{4}D^{2}\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}V$$

Exercise: Prove that these are chiral and anti-chiral superfields, respectively.

• In the WZ gauge:

$$W_{\alpha} = \lambda_{\alpha} + \theta_{\alpha}D + \frac{i}{2}(\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu}\theta)_{\alpha}F_{\mu\nu} + i\theta^{2}(\sigma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\bar{\lambda})_{\alpha}$$

Melbourne, June 2016

Super-QED

$$\mathcal{L}_{SQED} = \int d\theta^2 \, \frac{1}{4} W^{\alpha} W_{\alpha} + h.c.$$

Exercise: Rewrite this Lagrangian in component form.

• Matter couplings:

$$\Phi' = e^{ig\Lambda}\Phi, \ \Phi^{+\prime} = \Phi^+ e^{-ig\Lambda^+}$$

Gauge and SUSY invariant 'kinetic' term

$$\int d\theta^2 d\bar{\theta}^2 \, \Phi^+ \mathrm{e}^{gV} \Phi$$

Melbourne, June 2016

Nonrenormalisation theorems

M.T. Grisaru, W. Siegel and M. Rocek, ``Improved Methods for Supergraphs," Nucl. Phys. B159 (1979) 429

$$\mathcal{L} = K \left[\Phi^+ e^{gV} \Phi \right] \Big|_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} + W(\Phi) \Big|_{\theta^2} + h.c. + f(\Phi) W^{\alpha} W_{\alpha} \Big|_{\theta^2} + h.c.$$

•Kahler potential $K \left[\Phi^+ e^{gV} \Phi \right]$ receives corrections order by order in perturbation theory

•Only 1-loop corrections for $f(\Phi)$

• $W(\Phi)$ not renormalised in the perturbation theory!

Melbourne, June 2016

Nonrenormalisation theorems

- N. Seiberg, ``Naturalness versus supersymmetric nonrenormalization theorems," Phys. Lett. B318 (1993) 469
- Consider just Wess-Zumino model:

$$W(\Phi) = \frac{m}{2}\Phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{3}\Phi^3$$

• R-symmetry and U(1) charges:

'field'	Φ	m	λ
U(1)	1	-2	-3
$U(1)_{R}$	1	0	-1

Nonrenormalisation theorems

N. Seiberg, ``Naturalness versus supersymmetric nonrenormalization theorems," Phys. Lett. B318 (1993) 469

Quantum corrected superpotential:

$$W_{eff}(\Phi) = m\Phi^2 f\left(\frac{\lambda\Phi}{m}\right) = \sum_{n\geq 0} c_n \lambda^n m^{1-n} \Phi^{n+2}$$

- Consider $\rightarrow 0 \rightarrow n \ge 0$
- Considen $\rightarrow 0 \rightarrow n \leq 1$
- Hence, $W_{eff}(\Phi) = W(\Phi)$

Melbourne, June 2016

Summary of Part II

- Basic consequences of SUSY algebra
- Chiral superfield. Superpotential and Kahler potential. Wess-Zumino model
- Vector superfield. Wess-Zumino gauge. Super-QED and matter coupling
- Nonrenormalisation theorems