Workshop Goals – part 2



J. Apostolakis

October 19, 2009

Outline



- Workshop's Goals and Opportunities
 See 2009 slides
- Reference Focus areas of Collaboration Meeting
- The Geant4 Delta Review 2009

Focus areas



- R Computing Performance
- R Physics Performance
- R Physics Lists
- Internal Topics
 Ongoing developments
 Code improvement

Internal Topics



- Ongoing developments
 Code improvement
 New 'Infrastrucure'
 testing tools
 - ca code management

Geant4 Review 2009



Delta-Review held in January 2009
 Followed Review of April 2007

Progress on many, major topics noted by panel
 Strong showing of progress and plans

R Issues

Report includes new recommendations

Geant4 Delta Review 2009



- Organized by the Geant4 Oversight Board
- Review 2007
 - R Previous reviews: 2001 full, 2002 delta
 - R Panel members from 2007, with one change
- Addressed issues relevant to HEP users, medical & space communities
 - Reviewers from HEP, medical, space+hadronic MC code
- R Topics covered included:
 - EM & Hadronic physics, validation, computing performance, documentation.

Geant4 Review 2009 outcome

Review panel report included 22 recommendations
 These span 8 different areas:

- R EM physics
- R Hadronic physics
- R Computing Performance
- R Physics Validation
- Release Validation
- R Documentation
- Resources.

Geant4 Review 2009 Key recommendations



- 1. Simplification of choices where possible e.g. removal of less accurate EM models/options.
- 2. Provide guidance to users for available EM models and options
- 3. Study assumptions and parameterisations of physics models in FLUKA and improve corresponding hadronic models available in Geant4, where needed
- 4. Continue in monitoring CPU performance, perform code reviews for improvement
- 5. Development of multi-threading capability in Geant4.
- 6. Include thread-safety in the planned code design assessment with view to integrate it in code
- 7. Consolidation of the hadronic WG and low-energy WG web pages

Geant4 Review 2009 Key recommendations - 2



- 1. Provide pointers to physics benchmark results and tests and related documentation
- 2. Adopt a range of metrics to characterize as broad a range of validation results as possible
- 3. Acquire/redirect resources to improve documentation and keep up with updates
- 4. Adopt periodic review of the documentation and improve documentation design
- 5. Identify new tools to adopt for the software installation
- 6. Involvement of the user community in the log-term support of physics models
- 7. Seek for additional support from all available sources; extend the Collaboration to new Institutions

Responses & actions



- Report made 22 recommendations
- ↔ Actions planned by WG/SB for 2009 are underway
- Next actions and responses to be formulated by SB in Catania