



# First SPL Collaboration Meeting



## Working Group 1

### High Power RF Distribution System

## Introduction

E. Ciapala/O Brunner



# SPL General considerations:



Nb. of SC cavities: **234**  
 Power per cavity: up to 1MW (high  $\beta$  section)  
 RF pulse length/frequency/current: **LPSPL** 0.6ms -1.2ms / 0.4-1.25 Hz / 20mA  
**HPSPL** 0.4-1.2ms / **50Hz** / **40mA**

Remarks:

- low  $\beta$  region: less power per cavity required
- **HPSPL vs LPSPL:** Same peak power but much higher average power, Important implications on power distribution scheme

Operation at 704.4MHz cavity Beta	Application	RF Duty Cycle [%]	P pk [kW]		P av [kW]	
			0.65	0.92	0.65	0.92
LP-SPL	LHC Injector/PS2/RIB	0.39	270	475	1	1.8
HP-SPL	"neutrino operation (0.4ms)"	3.92	540	950	21	37
HP-SPL	"high-power EURISOL (1.2ms)"	7.92	540	950	42	75

Same scale as LEP 2 RF system.... 272 cavities, ~ 40 klystrons installed



## WG1 Workpackage Description - 1



Distribution of RF power from one 5-6 MW klystron (704 MHz) to 4/8 and, if possible, up to 16 cavities with the capability of adjusting/controlling the amplitude and phase of each cavity individually. The phase/amplitude control of the single cavity fields will have to reach a precision of  $\pm 0.5\%$  and  $\pm 0.5$  deg.

The following conditions apply:

- The input power couplers will be matched for an average current of 40 mA, yielding a maximum power per cavity of  $\sim 1$  MW, ( $Q_{ext} \sim 1.0E6$ )
- Operation at 20 mA is foreseen using the same input power couplers, yielding a reflected power from the couplers of  $\sim 11\%$ , and yielding a peak power per cavity of up to  $\sim 0.5$  MW (+ reflected power),
- **A power budget of 30%** is foreseen to compensate cavity detuning caused by Lorentz Forces,
- The system must be designed for 50 Hz and a maximum RF pulse length of 2 ms,
- **Due to space restrictions in the klystron tunnel the RF splitting has to be done in the accelerator tunnel.**
- We assume a single RF output from the klystron. If it becomes apparent during the R&D phase that one output is not feasible due to restrictions on the window or due to a high risk of sparking **2 klystron outputs will be considered.**



## WG1 Workpackage Description - 2



The distribution system has to aim for the following features:

- Possibility to adjust for different gradients and phases (via mechanical phase shifters) in the cavities of one string without a large overhead of reflected power, this implies the use of variable splitters, which can be adjusted mechanically,
- Ideally there should be a possibility to “remove” a single cavity from the RF distribution in case of technical problems. This could be done by either detuning the cavity and/or adjusting the variable splitters to minimise the reflected power.
- “fast” phase/amplitude shifters should be used to compensate for transient effects such as Lorentz force detuning. These units should have a bandwidth in the 10 kHz range with approximately 50 us rise time, providing a phase/amplitude adjustment of  $\pm 60$  deg/12 db.
- Space is a major concern, which means that the RF distribution system should be as compact as possible.
- It is desirable to be able to operate a string of cavities, even if one cavity of the string cannot be used. One can imagine a mechanical intervention to “manually” block the RF power from reaching this particular cavity. In such a case that RF distribution system should still allow the operation of the remaining cavities of the string.