# Stripline BPM for the Drive Beam of the CLIC Two Beam Module



Alfonso Benot Morell Manfred Wendt



CLIC Workshop 18<sup>th</sup>-22<sup>nd</sup> January 2016, CERN

- 1. The CLIC Drive Beam
- 2. Stripline BPM basics
- 3. Acquisition electronics
- 4. Pick–Up Design
- 5. Beam Tests at CTF3
- 6. Noise issues
- 7. Conclusions and future work

#### 1. The CLIC Drive Beam

- 2. Stripline BPM basics
- 3. Acquisition electronics
- 4. Pick–Up Design
- 5. Beam Tests at CTF3
- 6. Noise issues
- 7. Conclusions and future work

#### 1 - The CLIC Drive Beam

- Close proximity to PETS
  - 130 MW of RF power at 12 GHz propagating along the Drive Beam pipe ( $fc_{TE11} = 7.64$  GHz).
  - Need to measure mW beam signals in proximity of MW RF pulses.
  - Suppression of 12 GHz PETS interference needed.
- Simple and economic design imposed by number of units and available installation space (<150 mm).
- Tight resolution and accuracy requirements.



#### **BPM Requirements**

N° BPMs	41580
Beam current	100 A
Bunch frequency	12 GHz
Bunch length	10 ps
Train length	242 ns
Aperture	23 mm
Spatial resolution	2 µm
Time resolution	10 ns
Accuracy	20 µm

- The CLIC Drive Beam
  Stripline BPM basics
- 3. Acquisition electronics
- 4. Pick–Up Design
- 5. Beam Tests at CTF3
- 6. Noise issues
- 7. Conclusions and future work

#### 2 - Stripline BPM basics

- 130 MW PETS RF interference at 12 GHz needs to be suppressed.
  - BPM technology with a suitable frequency response.
- Two possible versions of stripline BPM:
  - <u>Compact</u>: downstream short-circuited electrodes, simple, low cost.
  - <u>Terminated</u>: 8-port, increased tunability, loop-through calibration possible.







- 1. The CLIC Drive Beam
- 2. Stripline BPM basics
- **3. Acquisition electronics**
- 4. Pick–Up Design
- 5. Beam Tests at CTF3
- 6. Noise issues
- 7. Conclusions and future work

#### 3 – Acquisition electronics



Analog signal shaping required for correct acquisition of short and intense BPM electrode signals  $\rightarrow$  Integration / Low-Pass (LP) filtering before ADC.

Position estimates as  $x=k\Delta/\Sigma$ , being k the linear calibration coefficient and  $\Delta$  the difference,  $\Sigma$  the sum of opposite electrode signals.

- 3 Acquisition electronics
- Position along the pulse obtained by deconvolution between the electrode signals and BPM transfer response.
- Electrode signals sensitive to >10ns position changes.
- Loop-through calibration, 2 modes:
  - White noise
  - Pulse train



Loop-through Calibration V-Plane (same for H)

- 1. The CLIC Drive Beam
- 2. Stripline BPM basics
- 3. Acquisition electronics

### 4. Pick–Up Design

- 5. Beam Tests at CTF3
- 6. Noise issues
- 7. Conclusions and future work

#### 4- Pick-Up Design



Parameter	Shorted BPM	Terminated BPM
Stripline length	25 mm	37.5 mm
Angular coverage	12.5% (45°)	5.55% (20°)
Electrode thickness	3.1 mm	1 mm
Outer radius	17 mm	13.54 mm
Ch. Impedance	37 Ω	50 Ω
Duct aperture	23 mm	23 mm
Resolution	2 µm	2 µm
Accuracy	20 µm	20 µm
Time Resolution	10 ns	10 ns





- 1. The CLIC Drive Beam
- 2. Stripline BPM basics
- 3. Acquisition electronics
- 4. Pick–Up Design
- 5. Beam Tests at CTF3
- 6. Noise issues
- 7. Conclusions and future work





- CTBM, pos. 0645 and 0685
- Evaluate the influence of 12 GHz PETS interference (130 MW in CLIC) in the linearity of the device.
- Beam steered by corrector 0510.
- Reference BPMs: Inductive BPMs 0520 and 0750
- Three test scenarios: 2.4 MW, 40 MW and 60 MW PETS power interference at 12 GHz
- Damaged right electrode in BPM 0645 (only 2.4 MW and 40 MW tested for H-plane)
   → µ-welding foreseen

> Signals for i.e. (x,y) = (0, 3.25), 40 MW PETS power



Expected signal shape and levels



➤ Strange signals for BPM 0645 → Beam scraping electrode??

Stripline BPM unit CM.BPL0685 

H RMS lin. error (µm)

Three test scenarios: 2.4 MW, 40 MW and 60 MW PETS power interference at 12 GHz



$x_{H,V} = (S_{H,V}^{-1})\Delta/\Sigma + EOS_{H,V}$				
arameter	2.4 MW PETS RF power (Beam current: 3.5 A)	40 MW PETS RF power (Beam current: 15 A)	60 MW PETS RF power (Beam current: 22 A)	EM Simulation
sensitivity S <sub>v</sub> (m <sup>-1</sup> )	242.2 ± 7.4	$\textbf{221.9} \pm \textbf{10.6}$	$\textbf{148.1} \pm \textbf{9.4}$	155.27
sensitivity S <sub>H</sub> (m <sup>-1</sup> )	$\textbf{276.9} \pm \textbf{6.2}$	$\textbf{298.0} \pm \textbf{15.8}$	N/A	155.27
offset EOS <sub>v</sub> (mm)	$\textbf{1.82} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	$\textbf{2.11} \pm \textbf{0.18}$	$\textbf{1.17} \pm \textbf{0.25}$	0.00
offset EOS <sub>H</sub> (mm)	$\textbf{-1.18} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	$\textbf{-0.83} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	N/A	0.00
RMS lin. error (µm)	105.30	212.32	58.19	0.00
RMS lin. error (µm)	82.41	86.63	N/A	0.00

Stripline BPM unit CM.BPL0685

Three test scenarios: 2.4 MW, 40 MW and 60 MW PETS power interference at 12 GHz



$X_{H,V} = (S_{H,V})^{-1}$	)Δ/Σ	$+ EOS_{H}$
----------------------------	------	-------------

Parameter	2.4 MW PETS RF power (Beam current: 3.5 A)	40 MW PETS RF power (Beam current: 15 A)	60 MW PETS RF power (Beam current: 22 A)	EM Simulation
V sensitivity S <sub>v</sub> (m <sup>-1</sup> )	242.2 ± 7.4	<b>221.9</b> ± <b>10.6</b>	$\textbf{148.1} \pm \textbf{9.4}$	155.27
H sensitivity S <sub>H</sub> (m <sup>-1</sup> )	276.9 ± 6.2	$\textbf{298.0} \pm \textbf{15.8}$	N/A	155.27
V offset EOS <sub>v</sub> (mm)	$\textbf{1.82} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	$\textbf{2.11} \pm \textbf{0.18}$	$\textbf{1.17} \pm \textbf{0.25}$	0.00
H offset EOS <sub>H</sub> (mm)	$\textbf{-1.18} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	$\textbf{-0.83} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	N/A	0.00
V RMS lin. error (μm)	105.30	212.32	58.19	0.00
Η RMS lin. error (μm)	82.41	86.63	N/A	0.00

- Stripline BPM unit CM.BPL0685
- > Y during H-scan:



Parameter	2.4 MW PETS RF power (Beam current: 3.5 A)	40 MW PETS RF power (Beam current: 15 A)	60 MW PETS RF power (Beam current: 22 A)
std (Δ/Σ) <sub>v</sub>	0.03	0.02	N/A
Tilt (mrad)	-17.4	13.5	N/A

#### • X during V-scan:



Parameter	2.4 MW PETS RF power (Beam current: 3.5 A)	40 MW PETS RF power (Beam current: 15 A)	60 MW PETS RF power (Beam current: 22 A)
std (Δ/Σ) <sub>H</sub>	0.02	0.04	0.19
Tilt (mrad)	6.2	-9.2	-62.0

- Stripline BPM unit CM.BPL0685
  - Y during H-scan:



**40 MW PETS RF** 60 MW PETS RF 2.4 MW PETS RF power power power Parameter (Beam current: (Beam current: (Beam current: 3.5 A) 15 A) 22 A) 0.02 N/A 0.03 std  $(\Delta/\Sigma)_{v}$ Tilt (mrad) -17.4 13.5 N/A

#### • X during V-scan:



Parameter	2.4 MW PETS RF power (Beam current: 3.5 A)	40 MW PETS RF power (Beam current: 15 A)	60 MW PETS RF power (Beam current: 22 A)
std (Δ/Σ) <sub>H</sub>	0.02	0.04	0.19
Tilt (mrad)	6.2	-9.2	-62.0



Resolution test using Singular Value Decomposition (SVD):

- Separate <u>systematic beam effects</u> (i.e. betatron motion, cavity phase/energy errors, RF jitter...) from <u>uncorrelated BPM noise floor</u>
- P=999 consecutive, synchronous shots (22 A beam) analysed for all M=9 BPMs in the Drive Beam of the Two-Beam Module

$$B = U \cdot S \cdot V^{T}$$
, where:

- $B_{P \times M} \rightarrow Position data for all BPMs$
- $U_{PxP} \rightarrow Temporal eigenvectors$
- $S_{PxM} \rightarrow Diagonal matrix (eigenvalues <math>s_{ii}$ )
- $V_{M \times M} \rightarrow$  Spatial eigenvectors
- $s_{ii}$  give correlation level between U and V
- Set  $s_{ii} = 0$  in the high correlation region and recompute  $B \rightarrow B'$
- $\sigma$  (stdev) of columns of B' : resolution for each BPM. For our prototypes:
  - BPM0645 (#5): 1.4 μm (H) , 0.5 μm (V)
  - BPM0685 (#6): 2.4 μm (H) , 2.5 μm (V)

- 1. The CLIC Drive Beam
- 2. Stripline BPM basics
- 3. Acquisition electronics
- 4. Pick–Up Design
- 5. Beam Tests at CTF3
- 6. Noise issues
- 7. Conclusions and future work

#### 6 – Noise issues

- Unwanted noise observed in the absence of beam / CAL inputs → up to ~100 ADC counts <-> ~25mV → Unacceptable
- Not present when cabling is removed
- Uncorrelated component → Ground loop
- Correlated component → ~230 kHz interference (switched power supply?)
- Mitigation strategies tested:
  - Transformers
  - Inductive common mode chokes
  - Capacitive DC blocks
- Reduced to ~15 ADC counts
  ←→ ~3.75mV (Acceptable) by disconnecting calibrator during beam operation.



- 1. The CLIC Drive Beam
- 2. Stripline BPM basics
- 3. Acquisition electronics
- 4. Pick–Up Design
- 5. Beam Tests at CTF3
- 6. Noise issues
- 7. Conclusions and future work

#### 6- Conclusions and future work

- Stripline BPM with terminated electrodes
  - Improved suppression of 12 GHz PETS interference
  - Acquisition electronics for improved time resolution and calibration functionality
  - Resolution results close to specified values

- Plans for 2016
  - Linearity/Sensitivity tests:
    - Separate beam scans for units 0645 and 0685.
    - Test H-plane of unit 0685 with 60 MW PETS power.

#### Acknowledgments



#### A. Faus-Golfe



B.P. Bielawski, D. Gudkov, T. Lefèvre, F. Locci, S. Magnoni, L. Søby, M. Wendt



J.M. Nappa, S. Vilalte



#### **CLIC Workshop Organization**

## Thank you

#### 2 - Stripline BPM Basics



Terminated version (8 ports)



#### Passive filters for DB Stripline BPM

