### Analysis of the Stability Margin of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn QXF cable for the Hi-Lumi LHC project

Author:

**Enrico Felcini** 

Supervisors:

Marco Breschi Luca Bottura

CERN High Luminosity

CERN, October 2015

# Outline

- Stability of accelerator superconducting cables
- Zero dimensional approach
- One dimensional approach
- Convergence studies
- Results with Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn cable
  - Single-strand model
  - Multi-strand model
- Comparison with NbTi cable
- Summary





# Stability margin

### Stability margin:

the minimum energy density that an external source need to provide to cause a thermal runaway





E. Felcini

L. Bottura, "Superconductivity for accelerators", Erice, Italy, April-May 2013, pg. 401-451

# Stability margin



# **Quench or Recovery?**

### How to determine the status of the system:

- Wait a lot after the disturbance and check the temperature [easy, obvious, high time consuming] > 0-D Model
- Check the integrated Joule power along the whole cable for each strand
   [smart, fast, low time consuming] - 1-D Model





### **Recovery** ?

### The trends of the temperatures are not enough:



Heat disturbance: 10 µs

### **Recovery** !

# Looking at the integrated Joule power, it is evident that it *will be* a recovery



### **Quench**?

### The trends of the temperatures are not enough:



### Quench !

### Looking at the integrated Joule power, it is evident that it *will be* a quench



E. Felcini



ERI

Heat disturbance: 100 µs

# Stability Margin

• A bisection method with a convergence criterion of 5% is implemented for the calculation of the Stability Margin



# Stability Margin

 Starting from the old results, the algorithm looks for the solution for the next value of Heating time

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

### MQXF v2 Cable

#### MQXF v2 Cable Data

Parameter	Value
Cable Type	QXF2
Strand diameter [mm]	0.850
Cu/non Cu ratio	1.20
Number of strands	40
Transposition pitch [mm]	109
Width [mm]	18.15

### **MQXF cross section**

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Rutherford cable

![](_page_11_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Model description**

ER

.uminosity

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

E. Felcini

G. Battistoni et al., "The FLUKA code: Description and bench-marking," in Proc. AIP Conf. Hadronic Shower Simul. Workshop, 2007, vol. 896, pp. 31-49

# **0-D**<sup>[1]</sup> model description

- All strands are lumped into one single thermal and electric element, with uniform temperature
- The non-uniformity of the magnetic field and the heat deposition are not taken into account

$$A_{St}C_{St}\frac{dT_{St}}{dt} = \dot{q}'_{St} + \dot{q}'_{Joule} - p_{St,He}h_{St,He}(T_{St} - T_{He}) - p_{St,Ja}h_{St,Ja}(T_{st} - T_{Ja})$$

$$A_{Ja}C_{Ja}\frac{dT_{Ja}}{dt} = -p_{Ja,He}h_{Ja,He}(T_{Ja} - T_{He}) - p_{St,Ja}h_{St,Ja}(T_{Ja} - T_{St})$$

$$A_{Ja}C_{Ja}\frac{dT_{He}}{dt} = \dot{q}'_{Ja} - p_{St,He}h_{St,He}(T_{St} - T_{He}) - p_{Ja,He}h_{Ja,He}(T_{Ja} - T_{He})$$

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

# **1-D**<sup>[1]</sup> model description

### **Heat Pulse**

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Model Elements**

- THERMAL: N<sub>strand</sub> (Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn + Cu) + Glass Epoxy
- HYDRAULIC: Helium Bath
- ELECTRIC: **N**<sub>strand</sub> (Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn + Cu)

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

E. Felcini

[1] L. Bottura, "CryoSoft code THEA v. 2.2", December 2013

# **1-D: Thermal Model**

### Heat transfer equation:

$$A_{i}\rho_{i}c_{i}\frac{\partial T_{i}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(A_{i}k_{i}\frac{\partial T_{i}}{\partial x}\right) = q_{i}' + q'_{Joule} + \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{N}\frac{\left(T_{j} - T_{i}\right)}{H_{ij}} + \sum_{h=1}^{N}p_{ih}h_{ih}\left(T_{h} - T_{i}\right)$$

### Heat exchange occurs between:

- adjacent and non-adjacent strands through thermal resistance <sup>[1]</sup>
- strands and Glass-Epoxy through solid conduction
- Glass-Epoxy and Helium bath [Hydraulic element] with a stationary heat transfer model, obtained by a fitting of experimental results <sup>[2]</sup> {We are still waiting for experimental results of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn from CryoLab}

### There is NO contact between the strands and the Helium bath

 [1] G. Willering, "Stability of superconducting Rutherford cables for accelerator magnets", Ph. Dissertation, University of Twente, The Netherlands, 2009

![](_page_15_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_11.jpeg)

[2] P. P. Granieri, et al., IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond., vol.24, 4802806, 2014

### **1-D: Thermal Model**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **1-D: Thermal Model**

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **1-D: Electrical Model**

- The strands are modelled with a distributed parameter circuit model <sup>[1]</sup>
- The strands are connected through conductances and mutual inductance <sup>[2]</sup>

High

uminosity

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$\mathbf{L}\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{R}\mathbf{I} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathbf{C}^{-1}\frac{\partial \mathbf{I}}{\partial x}) = \Delta \mathbf{V}^{ext}$$

E. Felcini

[1] M. Breschi, "Current distribution in multistrand superconducting cables", Ph.D. dissertation, University of Bologna, Italy, 2001

[2] G. Willering, "Stability of superconducting Rutherford cables for accelerator magnets", PhD. Dissertation, University of Twente, The Netherlands, 2009

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Operating condition**

### Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn for Hi-Lumi LHC MQXFv2 quadrupole

- Total current = 16470 A
- Operating current density = 1600 A / mm<sup>2</sup>
- Peak magnetic field = 11.4 T
- Temperature = 1.9 K

*Tcs – Top* = 5.34 K *Tc – Top* = 10.94 K *Jop/Jc* = 0.472

### **Boundary condition**

• 
$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \mathbf{m}: \Delta V = \mathbf{0}$$
;  $\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = 0$ 

• x = 2 m:  $\Delta V = 0$ ; T = 1.9 K

### Initial condition

- T = 1.9 K everywhere
- $I_j = I_{op}/N_{strand}$   $j = 1, N_{strand}$

![](_page_19_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_14.jpeg)

### Strands currents distribution

 The current distribution follows the trend of the magnetic field:
 the current is minimum where the field is maximum and viceversa

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Strands currents distribution

- The propagation of the normal zone can be observed [video]
- While the zone is subjected to the transition from superconducting to normal state, the currents try to "escape", looking for a less demanding condition
- After the quench all the current is flowing in the copper and we can observe a stable behaviour

![](_page_21_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **Strand Temperature**

The distribution of the temperature follows the trend of the heat deposition

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Strands Temperature**

We can observe the propagation on the normal zone along the cable length [video]

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

# **Convergence studies**

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Suggested time steps:

Simulation Time	Minimum time step	Maximum time step
from $0.0 \sec to 1.0E-5 \sec t$	1.0E-8 sec	1.0E-7 sec
from $1.0E-5$ sec to $1.0E-3$ sec	1.0E-7 sec	1.0E-6 sec
from 1.0E-3 sec to END	1.0E-6 sec	1.0E-5 sec

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Convergence studies

- Convergence within 3 % is reached with a mesh element size about 5 mm
- Reducing the mesh dimension entails a strong increasing of computational time

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Model validation

### NbTi for LHC MQ quadrupole

 THEA simulations are coherent with the *pseudo-experimental* results presented in [1], based on the reconstruction of the energy introduced in the magnet at quench by means of the Beam Loss Monitors and FLUKA simulations <sup>[2]</sup>

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Heating time (s)

- [1] B. Auchmann et al. "Testing Beam-Induced Quench Levels of LHC Superconducting Magnets in Run 1", Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 18, 061002, 2015.
- [2] G. Battistoni et al., "The FLUKA code: Description and bench- marking," in Proc. AIP Conf. Hadronic Shower Simul. Workshop, 2007, vol. 896, pp. 31–49

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **0-D model**

### UNIFORM Heat Deposition UNIFORM Magnetic Field

- Lower values of current determine higher QEs
- No significant variation of QEs can be observed with the raising of the heating time

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

Heating time [s]

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

### 0-D and 1-D models

**UNIFORM Heat Deposition UNIFORM Magnetic Field** 

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

 The 0-D and 1-D models are in a good agreement, both for high and low currents

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

### 1-D: one-strand model

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

### 1-D: multi-strand model

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

# 1-D: multi-strand model

- For uniform heat deposition the QEs increase only slightly with the heating time
- For non-uniform heat deposition, the QEs increase with the heating time, especially at high operation current
- The QEs calculated for the uniform heat deposition at operating current are coincident with Enthalpy of the cable
- Uniform heat deposition implies a better stability of the cable, because at fast time scale we can observe a local behaviour and the peaks of the heat deposition are responsible for the quench

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

### 1-D: Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn vs NbTi

### Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn for Hi-Lumi LHC MQXFv2 quadrupole

- Total current = 16470 A
- Operating current density = 1600 A / mm<sup>2</sup>
- Peak magnetic field = 11.4 T
- Temperature = 1.9 K

Tcs - Top = 5.34 KTc - Top = 10.94 KJop/Jc = 0.472

### NbTi for LHC MQ quadrupole

- Total current = 11870 A
- Operating current density = 1820 A / mm<sup>2</sup>
- Peak magnetic field = 6.85 T
- Temperature = 1.9 K

*Tcs – Top =* 2.89 K *Tc – Top =* 5.04 K *Jop/Jc =* 0.465

Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn has a double temperature margin with respect to NbTi

![](_page_32_Picture_14.jpeg)

### 1-D: Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn vs NbTi<sup>[1]</sup>

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

- NbTi exhibits a greater increase of QEs than Nb3Sn in the multi-strand model
- The NbTi and Nb3Sn cables exhibit comparable values of QEs at low pulse durations

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

Quench energy analysis of LHC superconducting cables using a multi-strand, 1D model", IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond., vol. 25, 4700405, 2015.

### 1-D: Nb3Sn vs NbT<sup>[1]</sup>

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Quench energy [mJ/cc] 10 $-\Box$ -36-strand model Iop = 11870 A - 1-strand model Iop = 11870 A 1.0E-04 1.0E-03 1.0E-02 1.0E-01 1.0E+00 1.0E-06 1.0E-05 Heating time [s]

MQ Inner Layer

NbTi

+200%

1000

100

#### NbTi MQ Cable Data

Parameter	Value
Cable Type	LHC2
Strand diameter [mm]	0.825
Cu/non Cu ratio	1.95
Number of strands	36
Transposition pitch [mm]	100
Width [mm]	15.1

[1] Breschi, A. Bevilacqua, L. Bottura, P. P. Granieri, "Quench energy analysis of LHC superconducting cables using a multi-strand, 1D model", IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond., vol. 25, 4700405, 2015.

#### Nb3Sn MQXF v2 Cable Data

Parameter	Value
Cable Type	QXF2
Strand diameter [mm]	0.850
Cu/non Cu ratio	1.20
Number of strands	40
Transposition pitch [mm]	109
Width [mm]	18.15

![](_page_34_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_9.jpeg)

# The Cu/nonCu ratio

- The thermal conductivity of the copper is much greater than the one of the superconductors
- We cannot observe a relevant increase of QEs from the onestrand to multi-strand model, due to the different Cu/nonCu ratio

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn thermal conductivity

- Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn has a thermal conductivity one/two orders of magnitude lower then the NbTi one
- Using comparable thermal conductivity a strong increase of QEs from the one-strand to the multi-strand model is not achievable

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

### 1-D: Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn vs NbTi<sup>[1]</sup>

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

- The non-uniformity of the heat deposition has a very strong impact on the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn
- The Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn cables are more sensitive to the details of the non-uniform distribution of the heat deposition than the NbTi cables

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

E. Felcini

[1] Breschi, A. Bevilacqua, L. Bottura, P. P. Granieri, "Quench energy analysis of LHC superconducting cables using a multi-strand, 1D model", IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond., vol. 25, 4700405, 2015.

# NbTi with Glass Epoxy

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

- A strong decrease of QEs is observed: a factor 4 for the one-strand model and a factor 2 for the multi-strand model
- The NbTi interstitial He gives a strong contribution to the stability margin for long heating times
- The presence of Glass-Epoxy induce the same trend in Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn and NbTi

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

# The role of Glass-Epoxy

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

The Glass-Epoxy gives a very weak contribution to the stability of the cable

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

- The core is introduced as a new thermal element and represent a thermal "bridge" between all the strands
- The current is assumed not to flow in the core in longitudinal direction, due to its high resistance
- Introducing the core means to increase significantly the electrical and thermal resistances between non-adjacent strands

 [2] G. Willering, "Stability of superconducting Rutherford cables for accelerator magnets", Ph. Dissertation, University of Twente, The Netherlands, 2009

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_7.jpeg)

### CORE

- Stainless steel
- Width: 12 mm
- Thickness: 25 µm

![](_page_40_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

- As expected, a lower stability of the cored cable is obtained at the 25% of the operating current
- Surprisingly, at full current, the cored cable exhibits higher QEs than the cable without core
- The core represent a thermal link between the strands: at 100% of operating current it is a bridge, while at 25% it is a wall.

![](_page_41_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Core as Thermal Element:

- Each non-adjacent strand can
   exchange heat only through the core
- Each strand is using the whole heat capacity of the core

### Core is **NOT** Thermal Element:

- The heat capacity of core is not considered anymore
- The only effect of the core is to increase the thermal and the electric resistances between non-adjacent strands

![](_page_42_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_10.jpeg)

 If the core is not considered as a thermal element (NO heat capacity) we cannot observe any variation of the QEs

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

Heating time[s]

### The **core should be split in several thermal elements**, each one linked with the neighbouring strands

![](_page_43_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Summary

- The stability of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn cable for Hi-Lumi LHC has been analysed by means of zero and one-dimensional models
- The absence of interstitial liquid helium does not allow significant enhancement of the QE with the duration of the heat disturbance
- Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn exhibits a local behaviour at fast time scale, and a global behaviour at slow time scale
- The areas of the insulator and stabiliser do not seem to affect significantly the values of QEs for Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn
- Although the operating conditions are more demanding, the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn QEs at fast time scale are very close to the NbTi ones

![](_page_44_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Future work

- Introduce the experimental value for the Heat Transfert Coefficient between the Helium bath and the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn, both stationary and transient model
- Implement a more realistic geometry and parameters of the strands, of the Glass epoxy and of the core

**Compare these results with experimental values** 

![](_page_45_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Thank you for your attention

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)