Factorization and resummation of nonglobal observables within SCET

Ding Yu Shao University of Bern

PSR16 06.07.2016 Paris

In collaboration with T. Becher, M. Neubert & L. Rothen (PRL116(2016)192001, arXiv:1605.02737, work in progress)

Sterman-Weinberg dijets

(Sterman & Weinberg 1977)



$$\frac{\sigma(\beta,\delta)}{\sigma_0} = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{3\pi} \left[-16\ln\delta\ln\beta - 12\ln\delta + 10 - \frac{4\pi^2}{3} \right]$$

IR finite, but problems for small β , δ

- Large log can spoil perturbative expansion
- Scale choice?

$$\mu = Q, \ Q\beta, \ Q\delta, \ Q\beta\delta$$
 ?

Non-global logarithms (NGLs)

(Dasgupta & Salam 2001)

Observables which are insensitive to emissions into certain regions of phase space involve additional NGLs not captured by the usual resummation formula

 $\sigma \sim \mathcal{H} \cdot \mathcal{J}_1 \otimes \mathcal{J}_2 \otimes \mathcal{S}$



Jet observables involve NGLs because they are insensitive to emissions inside the cone

$$\alpha_s^2 C_F C_A \pi^2 \ln^2 \beta$$

These types of logarithm do not exponentiate in the usual way

Leading-Log resummation

Banfi, Marchesini & Smye 2002

 The leading logarithms arise from configuration in which the emitted gluons are strongly ordered

 $E_1 \gg E_2 \gg \cdots \gg E_m$

• In the large-Nc limit, multi-gluon emission amplitudes become simple:

$$N_c^m g^{2m} \sum_{(1\cdots m)} \frac{p_a \cdot p_b}{(p_a \cdot p_1)(p_1 \cdot p_2) \cdots (p_m \cdot p_b)}$$

 Based on this structure, Banfi, Marchesini & Smye derive an integral-differential equation for resuming NG logarithms at LL level in the large-Nc limit:

BMS equation:
$$\partial_L G_{ab}(L) = \int \frac{d \Omega_j}{4\pi} W^j_{ab} \left[\Theta_{in}^{n\bar{n}}(j) G_{aj}(L) G_{jb}(L) - G_{ab}(L) \right]$$

Some recent progress

- Resummation of LL NGLs beyond large Nc Weigert '03; Hatta Ueda '13 + Hagiwara '15; Caron-Huot '15
- Fixed-order results
 - two-loop hemisphere soft function Kelley, Schwartz, Schabinger & Zhu '11; Horning, Lee, Stewart, Walsh & Zuberi '11
 - with jet-cone Kelley, Schwartz, Schabinger & Zhu '11; von Manteuffel, Schabinger & Zhu '13
 - LL NGLs 5-loops (BMS eq & finite Nc) Schwartz, Zhu '14; Delenda, Khelifa-Kerfa '15
- Expansion in soft sub-jets Larkoski, Moult & Neill '15; Neill '15; Laroski, Moult '15
- Avoid NGLs Dasgupta, Fregoso, Marzani & Powling '13; Dasgupta, Fregoso, Marzani & Salam '13; Larkoski, Marzani, Soyez & Thaler '14; Frye, Larkoski, Matthew & Yan '16



From SCET to Jet Effective Theory

Becher, Neubert, Rothen & DYS, PRL116(2016)192001

EFT for narrow-cone jets

 $p \sim (n \cdot p, \bar{n} \cdot p, \vec{p}_{\perp})$



 $2E_{\rm out} < \beta Q \ll Q$



One-loop Region Analysis

Hard
$$\Delta \sigma_{h} = \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \sigma_{0} \left(\frac{\mu}{Q}\right)^{2\epsilon} \left(-\frac{4}{\epsilon^{2}} - \frac{6}{\epsilon} + \frac{7\pi^{2}}{3} - 16\right)$$
Collinear
$$\Delta \sigma_{c+\bar{c}} = \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \sigma_{0} \left(\frac{\mu}{Q\delta}\right)^{2\epsilon} \left(\frac{4}{\epsilon^{2}} + \frac{6}{\epsilon} + c_{0}\right)$$
"Soft"
$$\Delta \sigma_{s} = \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \sigma_{0} \left(\frac{\mu}{Q\beta}\right)^{2\epsilon} \left(\frac{8}{\epsilon} \ln \delta - 8 \ln^{2} \delta - \frac{2\pi^{2}}{3}\right)$$
(Cheung, Luke, Zuberi 2009.....)
$$\Delta \sigma^{\text{tot}} = \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \sigma_{0} \left(-16 \ln \delta \ln \beta - 12 \ln \delta + c_{0} + \frac{5\pi^{2}}{3} - 16\right)$$
stant c_{0} depends on the definition of jet axis:
 $\beta = \delta$
(Sterman-Weinberg)
 $c_{0} = -3\pi^{2} + 26$ (Sterman-Weinberg)
 $c_{0} = -5\pi^{2}/3 + 14 + 12 \ln 2$ (thrust axis)
 $2E_{\text{out}} < \beta Q$

One-loop Region Analysis

Hard
$$\Delta \sigma_{h} = \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \sigma_{0} \left(\frac{\mu}{Q}\right)^{2\epsilon} \left(-\frac{4}{\epsilon^{2}} - \frac{6}{\epsilon} + \frac{7\pi^{2}}{3} - 16\right)$$
Collinear
$$\Delta \sigma_{c+\bar{c}} = \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \sigma_{0} \left(\frac{\mu}{Q\delta}\right)^{2\epsilon} \left(\frac{4}{\epsilon^{2}} + \frac{6}{\epsilon} + c_{0}\right)$$
Soft
$$\Delta \sigma_{s} = \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \sigma_{0} \left(\frac{\mu}{Q\beta}\right)^{2\epsilon} \left(\frac{4}{\epsilon^{2}} - \pi^{2}\right)$$
Coft
$$\Delta \sigma_{t+\bar{t}} = \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \sigma_{0} \left(\frac{\mu}{Q\delta\beta}\right)^{2\epsilon} \left(-\frac{4}{\epsilon^{2}} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\right)$$

$$\Delta \sigma^{\text{tot}} = \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \sigma_{0} \left(-16\ln\delta\ln\beta - 12\ln\delta + c_{0} + \frac{5\pi^{2}}{3} - 16\right)$$
Stant C_{0} depends on the definition of jet axis:
 $\beta = \delta$

$$c_{0} = -3\pi^{2} + 26$$
(Sterman-Weinberg)
 $c_{0} = -5\pi^{2}/3 + 14 + 12\ln 2$ (thrust axis)
 $2E_{\text{out}} < \beta Q$

Factorization for two-jet cross section



First all-order factorization theorem for non-global observable. Achieves full scale separation!

 $\widetilde{\sigma}(\tau,\delta) = \sigma_0 H(Q,\epsilon) \widetilde{S}(Q\tau,\epsilon) \left\langle \mathcal{J}_1(\{n_1\},Q\delta,\epsilon) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_1(\{n_1\},Q\delta\tau,\epsilon) + \mathcal{J}_2(\{n_1,n_2\},Q\delta,\epsilon) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_2(\{n_1,n_2\},Q\delta\tau,\epsilon) + \mathcal{J}_3(\{n_1,n_2,n_3\},Q\delta,\epsilon) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \dots \right\rangle^2$

 $\widetilde{\sigma}(\tau,\delta) = \sigma_0 H(Q,\epsilon) \widetilde{S}(Q\tau,\epsilon) \left\langle \mathcal{J}_1(\{n_1\},Q\delta,\epsilon) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_1(\{n_1\},Q\delta\tau,\epsilon) + \mathcal{J}_2(\{n_1,n_2\},Q\delta,\epsilon) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_2(\{n_1,n_2\},Q\delta\tau,\epsilon) + \mathcal{J}_3(\{n_1,n_2,n_3\},Q\delta,\epsilon) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \dots \right\rangle^2$

Soft function:

$$S(Q\beta) \mathbf{1} = \sum_{X_s} \langle 0 | S^{\dagger}(\bar{n}) S(n) | X_s \rangle \langle X_s | S^{\dagger}(n) S(\bar{n}) | 0 \rangle \theta(Q\beta - 2E_{X_s})$$



Soft Radiation

Large-angle soft radiation off a jet of collinear particles does not resolve individual energetic patrons

$$\sum_{i} Q_i \frac{p_i \cdot \epsilon}{p_i \cdot k} \approx Q_{\text{tot}} \frac{n \cdot \epsilon}{n \cdot k}$$

This approximation breaks down for soft radiation collinear to the jet!!! $k^{\mu} = \omega n^{\mu}$

Typically this small region of phase space does not give an $\mathcal{O}(1)$ contribution. However it does in the non-global observable!

 $\widetilde{\sigma}(\tau,\delta) = \sigma_0 H(Q,\epsilon) \widetilde{S}(Q\tau,\epsilon) \left\langle \mathcal{J}_1(\{n_1\},Q\delta,\epsilon) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_1(\{n_1\},Q\delta\tau,\epsilon) + \mathcal{J}_2(\{n_1,n_2\},Q\delta,\epsilon) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_2(\{n_1,n_2\},Q\delta\tau,\epsilon) + \mathcal{J}_3(\{n_1,n_2,n_3\},Q\delta,\epsilon) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \dots \right\rangle^2$



 $\widetilde{\sigma}(\tau,\delta) = \sigma_0 H(Q,\epsilon) \widetilde{S}(Q\tau,\epsilon) \left\langle \mathcal{J}_1(\{n_1\},Q\delta,\epsilon) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_1(\{n_1\},Q\delta\tau,\epsilon) + \mathcal{J}_2(\{n_1,n_2\},Q\delta,\epsilon) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_2(\{n_1,n_2\},Q\delta\tau,\epsilon) + \mathcal{J}_3(\{n_1,n_2,n_3\},Q\delta,\epsilon) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \dots \right\rangle^2$



 $\mathcal{J}_1(\hat{\theta}_1, Q\delta, \epsilon) = \delta(\hat{\theta}_1) \mathbf{1} \qquad \qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_1(\hat{\theta}_1, Q\tau\delta, \epsilon) = \mathbf{1} + \frac{C_F \alpha_0}{4\pi} e^{-2\epsilon L_t} u_F(\hat{\theta}_1) \mathbf{1}$

 $\langle \mathcal{J}_1 \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_1 \rangle = \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_1(0, Q\delta\tau, \epsilon) \rangle$

$\widetilde{\sigma}(\tau,\delta) = \sigma_0 H(Q,\epsilon) \widetilde{S}(Q\tau,\epsilon) \left\langle \mathcal{J}_1(\{n_1\},Q\delta,\epsilon) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_1(\{n_1\},Q\delta\tau,\epsilon) + \mathcal{J}_2(\{n_1,n_2\},Q\delta,\epsilon) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_2(\{n_1,n_2\},Q\delta\tau,\epsilon) + \mathcal{J}_3(\{n_1,n_2,n_3\},Q\delta,\epsilon) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \dots \right\rangle^2$







$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{2}^{(1)}(\hat{\theta}_{1},\hat{\theta}_{2},\phi_{2},Q\delta,\epsilon) &= C_{F}\,\delta(\phi_{2}-\pi)\,e^{-2\epsilon L_{c}} \\ &\times \left\{ \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon^{2}}+\frac{3}{\epsilon}+7-\frac{5\pi^{2}}{6}+6\ln2\right)\delta(\hat{\theta}_{1})\,\delta(\hat{\theta}_{2})-\frac{4}{\epsilon}\,\delta(\hat{\theta}_{1})\left[\frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_{2}}\right]_{+}+8\,\delta(\hat{\theta}_{1})\left[\frac{\ln\hat{\theta}_{2}}{\hat{\theta}_{2}}\right]_{+} \\ &+4\frac{dy}{d\hat{\theta}_{2}}\left[\frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_{1}}\right]_{+}\frac{1+2y+2y^{2}}{(1+y)^{3}}\,\theta(\hat{\theta}_{1}-\hat{\theta}_{2}) \\ &+4\frac{dy}{d\hat{\theta}_{1}}\left[\frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_{2}}\right]_{+}\left(2\left[\frac{1}{y}\right]_{+}-\frac{4+5y+2y^{2}}{(1+y)^{3}}\right)\theta(\hat{\theta}_{2}-\hat{\theta}_{1})+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\right\}\mathbf{1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{2}(\hat{\theta}_{1},\hat{\theta}_{2},\phi_{2},Q\tau\delta,\epsilon) = \mathbf{1} + \frac{\alpha_{0}}{4\pi} e^{-2\epsilon L_{t}} \left[C_{F} u_{F}(\hat{\theta}_{1}) + C_{A} u_{A}(\hat{\theta}_{1},\hat{\theta}_{2},\phi_{2}) \right] \mathbf{1}$$





$$\left\langle \mathcal{J}_{2}^{(1)} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{2}^{(1)} \right\rangle = e^{-2\epsilon(L_{c}+L_{t})} \left(C_{F}^{2}M_{F} + C_{F}C_{A}M_{A} \right)$$

$$M_{F} = -\frac{4}{\epsilon^{4}} - \frac{6}{\epsilon^{3}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \left(-14 + \frac{2\pi^{2}}{3} - 12\ln 2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(-26 - \pi^{2} + 10\zeta_{3} - 32\ln 2 \right)$$

$$-52 - \frac{10\pi^{2}}{3} - 27\zeta_{3} + \frac{11\pi^{4}}{30} - \frac{4}{3}\ln^{4} 2 - 8\ln^{3} 2 - 4\ln^{2} 2 + \frac{4\pi^{2}}{3}\ln^{2} 2$$

$$-52\ln 2 + 4\pi^{2}\ln 2 - 28\zeta_{3}\ln 2 - 32\operatorname{Li}_{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),$$

$$M_{A} = \frac{2\pi^{2}}{3\epsilon^{2}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(-2 + \frac{\pi^{2}}{2} + 12\zeta_{3} + 6\ln^{2} 2 + 4\ln 2 \right) - 4 + \frac{7\pi^{2}}{6} - 24\zeta_{3} - \frac{\pi^{4}}{6} + \frac{8}{3}\ln^{4} 2$$

$$- 4\ln^{3} 2 + 6\ln^{2} 2 - \frac{8\pi^{2}}{3}\ln^{2} 2 - 4\ln 2 + 9\pi^{2}\ln 2 + 56\zeta_{3}\ln 2 + 64\operatorname{Li}_{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

$$\frac{\sigma(\beta,\delta)}{\sigma_0} = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} A(\beta,\delta) + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 B(\beta,\delta) + \dots$$

$$\begin{split} B(\beta,\delta) &= C_F^2 \left[\left(32\ln^2\beta + 48\ln\beta + 18 - \frac{16\pi^2}{3} \right) \ln^2\delta + \left(-2 + 10\zeta_3 - 12\ln^22 + 4\ln2 \right) \ln\beta \right. \\ &+ \left(\left(8 - 48\ln2 \right) \ln\beta + \frac{9}{2} + 2\pi^2 - 24\zeta_3 - 36\ln2 \right) \ln\delta + c_2^F \right] \\ &+ C_F C_A \left[\left(\frac{44\ln\beta}{3} + 11 \right) \ln^2\delta - \frac{2\pi^2}{3}\ln^2\beta + \left(\frac{8}{3} - \frac{31\pi^2}{18} - 4\zeta_3 - 6\ln^22 - 4\ln2 \right) \ln\beta \right. \\ &+ \left(\frac{44\ln^2\beta}{3} + \left(-\frac{268}{9} + \frac{4\pi^2}{3} \right) \ln\beta - \frac{57}{2} + 12\zeta_3 - 22\ln2 \right) \ln\delta + c_2^A \right] \\ &+ C_F T_F n_f \left[\left(-\frac{16\ln\beta}{3} - 4 \right) \ln^2\delta + \left(-\frac{16}{3}\ln^2\beta + \frac{80\ln\beta}{9} + 10 + 8\ln2 \right) \ln\delta \right. \\ &+ \left(-\frac{4}{3} + \frac{4\pi^2}{9} \right) \ln\beta + c_2^f \right]. \end{split}$$

• $\frac{1}{\epsilon^4}, \frac{1}{\epsilon^3}, \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}, \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ divergences have cancelled!

Energy flow in restricted angular regions

(Dasgupta & Salam '02)



EFT for NGOs with rapidity gap

(Becher, Neubert, Rothen, DYS 1605.02737)





$$\Delta \eta = -2\ln \delta$$

Factorization

- Hard parton -> collinear fields $\Phi_i \in \{\chi_i, \bar{\chi}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i\perp}^{\mu}\}$ along $n_i^{\mu} = (1, \vec{n}_i)$
- performing SCET decoupling transformation: $\Phi_i = S_i(n_i) \Phi_i^{(0)}$

$$\boldsymbol{S}_{i}(n_{i}) = \mathbf{P} \exp\left(ig_{s} \int_{0}^{\infty} ds \, n_{i} \cdot A_{s}^{a}(sn_{i}) \, \boldsymbol{T}_{i}^{a}\right)$$

• The operator for the emission from an amplitude with m hard partons



hard scattering amplitude with m particles (vector in color space)

$$S_1(n_1) S_2(n_2) \ldots S_m(n_m) | \mathcal{M}_m(\{\underline{p}\}) \rangle$$

soft Wilson lines along the directions of the energetic particles (color matrices)

Factorization

• Then the cross section can be written in factorized form as,

$$\sigma(\beta,\delta) = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left\langle \mathcal{H}_m(\{\underline{n}\}, Q, \delta) \otimes \mathcal{S}_m(\{\underline{n}\}, Q\beta, \delta) \right\rangle$$

- We define the squared matrix element of this operator as $S_m(\{\underline{n}\}, Q\beta, \delta) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \langle 0|S_1^{\dagger}(n_1) \dots S_m^{\dagger}(n_m)|X_s\rangle \langle X_s|S_1(n_1) \dots S_m(n_m)|0\rangle \theta \left(Q\beta - 2E_{\text{out}}\right)$
- The hard functions are obtained by integrating over the energies of the hard particles, while keeping their direction fixed

$$\mathcal{H}_m(\{\underline{n}\}, Q, \delta) = \frac{1}{2Q^2} \sum_{\text{spins}} \prod_{i=1}^m \int \frac{d\omega_i \, \omega_i^{d-3}}{(2\pi)^{d-2}} |\mathcal{M}_m\rangle \langle \mathcal{M}_m | \delta \Big(Q - \sum_{i=1}^m \omega_i \Big) \delta^{d-1}(\vec{p}_{\text{tot}}) \, \Theta_{\text{in}}^{n\bar{n}}\big(\{\underline{p}\}\big)$$

• \bigotimes indicates integration over the direction of the energetic partons $\mathcal{H}_m(\{\underline{n}\}, Q, \delta) \otimes \mathcal{S}_m(\{\underline{n}\}, Q\beta, \delta) = \prod_{i=1}^m \int \frac{d\Omega(n_i)}{4\pi} \mathcal{H}_m(\{\underline{n}\}, Q, \delta) \mathcal{S}_m(\{\underline{n}\}, Q\beta, \delta)$

One-loop coefficient v.s. EVENT2

$$A(\beta,\delta) = C_F \left[-8\ln\delta\ln\beta - 1 + 6\ln2 - 6\ln\delta - 6\delta^2 + \left(\frac{9}{2} - 6\ln2\right)\delta^4 - 4\operatorname{Li}_2(-\delta^2) + 4\operatorname{Li}_2(\delta^2) \right]$$



Two-loop coefficient v.s. EVENT2

 $B(\beta,\delta) = C_F^2 B_F + C_F C_A B_A + C_F T_F n_f \overline{B_f}$

$$\begin{split} B_A &= \left[\frac{44}{3}\ln\delta - \frac{2\pi^2}{3} + 4\operatorname{Li}_2(\delta^4)\right]\ln^2\beta + \left[\frac{4}{3(1-\delta^4)} - \frac{16\ln\delta}{3(1-\delta^4)} + \frac{16\ln\delta}{3(1-\delta^4)^2} \right] \\ &- \frac{4}{3}\ln^3(1-\delta^2) - \frac{20}{3}\ln^3(1+\delta^2) + 32\ln\delta\ln^2(1-\delta^2) - 4\ln(1+\delta^2)\ln^2(1-\delta^2) \\ &- 4\ln^2(1+\delta^2)\ln(1-\delta^2) + 64\ln\delta\ln^2(1+\delta^2) - 64\ln^2\delta\ln(1+\delta^2) \\ &+ \frac{88}{3}\ln\delta\ln(1-\delta^2) - \frac{16}{3}\pi^2\ln(1-\delta^2) + 44\ln\delta\ln(1+\delta^2) + \frac{16}{3}\pi^2\ln(1+\delta^2) \\ &+ \frac{44\ln^2\delta}{3} - \frac{16}{3}\pi^2\ln\delta - \frac{268\ln\delta}{9} + \frac{88\operatorname{Li}_2(\delta^4)}{3} - 4\operatorname{Li}_3(\delta^4) + 8\operatorname{Li}_3\left(-\frac{\delta^4}{1-\delta^4}\right) \\ &+ 8\ln 2\operatorname{Li}_2(\delta^4) - \frac{88\operatorname{Li}_2(\delta^2)}{3} - \frac{22}{3}\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{1+\delta^2}\right) + \frac{22}{3}\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\delta^2}{1+\delta^2}\right) + 32\operatorname{Li}_3(1-\delta^2) \\ &+ 32\operatorname{Li}_3\left(\frac{\delta^2}{1+\delta^2}\right) + 32\ln(1-\delta^2)\operatorname{Li}_2(\delta^2) + 32\ln\delta\operatorname{Li}_2(\delta^2) - 32\ln(1+\delta^2)\operatorname{Li}_2(\delta^2) \\ &+ 32\ln\delta\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{1+\delta^2}\right) - 32\ln(1+\delta^2)\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{1+\delta^2}\right) - 32\ln\delta\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\delta^2}{1+\delta^2}\right) \\ &+ 32\ln(1+\delta^2)\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\delta^2}{1+\delta^2}\right) - 8\ln(1-\delta^2)\operatorname{Li}_2(\delta^4) + 8\ln(1+\delta^2)\operatorname{Li}_2(\delta^4) - 24\zeta_3 \\ &- \frac{2}{3} - \frac{4}{3}\pi^2\ln 2 - M_A^{[1]}(\delta) \operatorname{Li}_\beta + c_2^4(\delta), \end{split}$$

Two-loop coefficient v.s. EVENT2

Leading NGLs $B(\beta, \delta) = \overline{C_F^2}B_F + C_F C_A B_A + C_F T_F n_f B_f$ $B_{A} = \left[\frac{44}{3}\ln\delta - \frac{2\pi^{2}}{3} + 4\operatorname{Li}_{2}(\delta^{4})\right]\ln^{2}\beta + \left[\frac{4}{3(1-\delta^{4})} - \frac{16\ln\delta}{3(1-\delta^{4})} + \frac{16\ln\delta}{3(1-\delta^{4})^{2}}\right]$ $-\frac{4}{2}\ln^{3}(1-\delta^{2}) - \frac{20}{2}\ln^{3}(1+\delta^{2}) + 32\ln\delta\ln^{2}(1-\delta^{2}) - 4\ln(1+\delta^{2})\ln^{2}(1-\delta^{2})$ $-4\ln^2\left(1+\delta^2\right)\ln\left(1-\delta^2\right)+64\ln\delta\ln^2\left(1+\delta^2\right)-64\ln^2\delta\ln\left(1+\delta^2\right)$ $+\frac{88}{2}\ln\delta\ln(1-\delta^{2}) - \frac{16}{2}\pi^{2}\ln(1-\delta^{2}) + 44\ln\delta\ln(1+\delta^{2}) + \frac{16}{3}\pi^{2}\ln(1+\delta^{2})$ $+\frac{44\ln^{2}\delta}{2} - \frac{16}{3}\pi^{2}\ln\delta - \frac{268\ln\delta}{9} + \frac{88\operatorname{Li}_{2}(\delta^{4})}{3} - 4\operatorname{Li}_{3}(\delta^{4}) + 8\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{\delta^{4}}{1-\delta^{4}}\right)$ $+8\ln 2\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\delta^{4}\right)-\frac{88\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\delta^{2}\right)}{3}-\frac{22}{3}\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{1+\delta^{2}}\right)+\frac{22}{3}\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{\delta^{2}}{1+\delta^{2}}\right)+32\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(1-\delta^{2}\right)$ $+32 \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{\delta^{2}}{1+\delta^{2}}\right)+32 \ln\left(1-\delta^{2}\right) \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\delta^{2}\right)+32 \ln\delta \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\delta^{2}\right)-32 \ln\left(1+\delta^{2}\right) \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\delta^{2}\right)$ $+32\ln\delta\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{1+\delta^{2}}\right)-32\ln\left(1+\delta^{2}\right)\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{1+\delta^{2}}\right)-32\ln\delta\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{\delta^{2}}{1+\delta^{2}}\right)$ $+32\ln\left(1+\delta^{2}\right)\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{\delta^{2}}{1+\delta^{2}}\right)-8\ln\left(1-\delta^{2}\right)\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\delta^{4}\right)+8\ln\left(1+\delta^{2}\right)\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\delta^{4}\right)-24\zeta_{3}$ $-\frac{2}{3} - \frac{4}{3}\pi^2 \ln 2 - M_A^{[1]}(\delta) \ln \beta + c_2^A(\delta),$

Two-loop coefficient v.s. EVENT2



> We reproduce ALL logs at two loops

Renormalization

We renormalise the bare hard function

$$\mathcal{H}_m(\{\underline{n}\}, Q, \delta, \epsilon) = \sum_{l=2}^m \mathcal{H}_l(\{\underline{n}\}, Q, \delta, \mu) \, \mathbf{Z}_{lm}^H(\{\underline{n}\}, Q, \delta, \epsilon, \mu)$$

e.g. $\mathcal{H}_2(\epsilon) = \mathcal{H}_2(\mu) Z_{22}^H(\epsilon,\mu)$

 $\mathcal{H}_3(\epsilon) = \mathcal{H}_2(\mu) \boldsymbol{Z}_{23}^H(\epsilon,\mu) + \mathcal{H}_3(\mu) \boldsymbol{Z}_{33}^H(\epsilon,\mu)$



• By consistency, matrix Z^H must render the soft function finite

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{l}(\{\underline{n}\}, Q\beta, \delta, \mu) = \sum_{m=l}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{Z}_{lm}^{H}(\{\underline{n}\}, Q, \delta, \epsilon, \mu) \,\hat{\otimes} \, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{m}(\{\underline{n}\}, Q\beta, \delta, \epsilon)$$

Renormalization

• We verify that Z^H renormalises the two-loop soft function

$$\mathcal{S}_2(\mu) = Z_{22}^H \,\mathcal{S}_2(\epsilon) + Z_{23}^H \,\hat{\otimes} \,\mathcal{S}_3(\epsilon) + Z_{24}^H \,\hat{\otimes} \,1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$$

• and the general one-loop soft function

$$\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \boldsymbol{z}_{m,m}^{(1)}(\{\underline{n}\}, Q, \delta, \epsilon, \mu) + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \int \frac{d\Omega(n_{m+1})}{4\pi} \boldsymbol{z}_{m,m+1}^{(1)}(\{\underline{n}, n_{m+1}\}, Q, \delta, \epsilon, \mu) \\ + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_m(\{\underline{n}\}, Q\beta, \delta, \epsilon) = \text{finite}$$

Resummation

Large logarithms in the soft function

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{l}(\{\underline{n}\}, Q\beta, \delta, \mu_{h}) = \sum_{m \ge l} \boldsymbol{U}_{lm}^{S}(\{\underline{n}\}, \delta, \mu_{s}, \mu_{h}) \,\hat{\otimes} \, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{m}(\{\underline{n}\}, Q\beta, \delta, \mu_{s})$$

with the formal evolution matrix

$$\boldsymbol{U}^{S}(\{\underline{n}\},\delta,\mu_{s},\mu_{h}) = \mathbf{P} \exp\left[\int_{\mu_{s}}^{\mu_{h}} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{H}(\{\underline{n}\},\delta,\mu)\right]$$

Therefore the resumed cross section

$$\sigma(\beta,\delta) = \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{H}_{l}(\{\underline{n}\}, Q, \delta, \mu_{h}) \otimes \sum_{m \ge l} U_{lm}^{S}(\{\underline{n}\}, \delta, \mu_{s}, \mu_{h}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_{m}(\{\underline{n}\}, Q\beta, \delta, \mu_{s})$$

Resummation

At LL level,

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{T} = (1, 1, \cdots, 1) \qquad \mathcal{H} = (\sigma_{0}, 0, \cdots, 0) \qquad \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{V}_{2} & \boldsymbol{R}_{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{V}_{3} & \boldsymbol{R}_{3} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \boldsymbol{V}_{4} & \boldsymbol{R}_{4} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \boldsymbol{V}_{5} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

 V_m : div. of one-loop virtual correction to m-legs amplitude

 R_m : div. from additional radiation

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{LL}}(\delta,\beta) = \sigma_0 \left\langle \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_2(\{n,\bar{n}\},Q\beta,\delta,\mu_h) \right\rangle = \sigma_0 \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left\langle \boldsymbol{U}_{2m}^S(\{\underline{n}\},\delta,\mu_s,\mu_h) \,\hat{\otimes} \, \mathbf{1} \right\rangle$$

The symbol $\hat{\otimes}$ indicates that one has to integrate over the additional directions present in the higher-multiplicity anomalous dimensions R_m and V_m

Expand RG equation order by order

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2}^{(1)} &= -\left(4N_{c}\right) \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{3}_{\text{Out}} W_{12}^{3}, \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2!} \left(4N_{c}\right)^{2} \int_{\Omega} \left[-\mathbf{3}_{\text{In}} \mathbf{4}_{\text{Out}} \left(P_{12}^{34} - W_{12}^{3} W_{12}^{4}\right) + \mathbf{3}_{\text{Out}} \mathbf{4}_{\text{Out}} W_{12}^{3} W_{12}^{4}\right], \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2}^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{3!} \left(4N_{c}\right)^{3} \int_{\Omega} \left[\mathbf{3}_{\text{In}} \mathbf{4}_{\text{Out}} \mathbf{5}_{\text{Out}} \left[P_{12}^{34} \left(W_{13}^{5} + W_{32}^{5} + W_{12}^{5}\right) - 2W_{12}^{3} W_{12}^{4} W_{12}^{5}\right] \right. \\ &\left. - \mathbf{3}_{\text{In}} \mathbf{4}_{\text{In}} \mathbf{5}_{\text{Out}} W_{12}^{3} \left[\left(P_{13}^{45} - W_{13}^{4} W_{13}^{5}\right) + \left(P_{32}^{45} - W_{32}^{4} W_{32}^{5}\right) - \left(P_{12}^{45} - W_{12}^{4} W_{12}^{5}\right)\right] \right. \\ &\left. - \mathbf{3}_{\text{Out}} \mathbf{4}_{\text{Out}} \mathbf{5}_{\text{Out}} W_{12}^{3} W_{12}^{4} W_{12}^{5}\right] \end{split}$$

 $n_i \cdot n_j$

14

 W^k

Agrees with order-by-order expansion of BMS equation

$$\partial_L G_{12}(L) = \int \frac{d\Omega_j}{4\pi} W_{12}^j \left[\Theta_{\rm in}^{n\bar{n}}(j) G_{1j}(L) G_{j2}(L) - G_{12}(L) \right]$$

Schwartz, Zhu



 $S(t) = -12t - 36.3399 t^2 + 1186.55 t^3 - 4768.05 t^4$

LL evolution equation: $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{H}_n(t) = \mathcal{H}_n(t)V_n + \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(t)R_{n-1}$



Solution:

$$\mathcal{H}_n(t) = \mathcal{H}_n(t_1)e^{(t-t_1)V_n} + \int_{t_1}^t dt' \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(t')R_{n-1}e^{(t-t')V_n}$$

This form is exactly what is implemented in a standard parton shower MC







exponentiate the fix order soft function

Conclusion

We have derived a factorization formula for a NG observable: cone-jet process

$$\sigma = \sum_{m} \langle \mathcal{H}_{m} \otimes \mathcal{S}_{m} \rangle \qquad \qquad \widetilde{\sigma} = \sigma_{0} H \widetilde{S} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\langle \mathcal{J}_{m} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{m} \right\rangle \right]^{2}$$

- In both case we have checked the factorization up to NNLO and reproduce full QCD results
- All the scales are separated —> RG evolution can be used to resum all large logarithms
- We apply MC method to solve the associated RG equations at LL level (next step: NLL)
- Numerous possible applications: jet cross sections, jet substructure, jet veto,.....

Thank you

Extra Slides

NNLO singular terms

• Up to NNLO,

 $\sigma(\beta,\delta) = \sigma_0 \langle \mathcal{H}_2 \mathcal{S}_2 + \mathcal{H}_3 \otimes \mathcal{S}_3 + \mathcal{H}_4 \otimes \mathbf{1} \rangle.$

• the hard function \mathcal{H}_m starts from $\mathcal{O}(lpha_s^{m-2})$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 \sim 1 \qquad \qquad \mathcal{H}_3 \sim \alpha_s \qquad \qquad \mathcal{H}_4 \sim \alpha_s^2$$

• **two-loop** S_2 (Kelley, Schwartz, Schabinger & Zhu '11)

$$\mathcal{S}_2(Q\beta,\epsilon) = \int_0^{Q\beta/2} d\lambda \int_0^{+\infty} dk_L \int_0^{+\infty} dk_R S_R(k_L,k_R,\lambda,\mu)$$

• Combining all the bare ingredients we obtain a finite result

$$\frac{\sigma(\beta,\delta)}{\sigma_0} = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} A(\beta,\delta) + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 B(\beta,\delta)$$

Comparison to BMS

Consider real and virtual together, all collinear divergences drop out. Leading soft divergence obtained by the soft approximation for the emitted (real or virtual) gluon

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{V}_{m} &= \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{m,m}^{(1)} = -4\sum_{(ij)} \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{T}_{i,L} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{j,L} + \boldsymbol{T}_{i,R} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{j,R} \right) \int \frac{d\Omega(n_{k})}{4\pi} W_{ij}^{k} \left[\Theta_{\mathrm{in}}^{n\bar{n}}(k) + \Theta_{\mathrm{out}}^{n\bar{n}}(k) \right], \\ \boldsymbol{R}_{m} &= \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{m,m+1}^{(1)} = 4\sum_{(ij)} \boldsymbol{T}_{i,L} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{j,R} W_{ij}^{k} \Theta_{\mathrm{in}}^{n\bar{n}}(k) \end{split}$$

Virtual has the same form as the real-emission contribution, because the principal-value part of the propagator of the emission does not contribute.

In the large N_c limit the color structure becomes trivial



Comparison with LMN approach

(Larkoski, Moult and Neill, 1501.04596)



LMN perform differential measurements to isolate regions where soft subjets give rise to NGLs. Resummation of the GLs associated with subjet observables resums part of the NGLs.

•

- We derive factorization theorem directly for NG observables: Resummation of NGLs with RG. Soft Wilson lines along energetic particles instead of soft subjets.
- \cdot LMN method involves a tower of effective theories with more and more d.o.f;
- We work with a single theory with only two d.o.f: hard and soft. NGLs get factorized into hard and soft logs.





 Our RG resummation method is standard (but the RG is complicated!). Clear which ingredients are needed for a given log accuracy.

Open questions in LMN approach

- The problems with traditional global factorization theorems become visible only at NNLO
 - Have evaluated all ingredients to this accuracy and verified that we reproduce the full QCD result. Would be worthwhile to do the same in their approach
- One expects that a factorization theorem for a jet cross section with additional measurements is at least as complicated as the factorization theorem we obtain: Multi-Wilson-line operators in LMN approach?
- We find that the operators with different multiplicities of energetic particles mix under renormalization. This effect should be present in some form in their approach.

Comparison with approach of Caron-Huot (1501.03754)

· Caron-Huot defines colour density matrix:

$$\sigma[U] = \sum_{n} \int d\Pi_n \left[A_n^{a_1 \cdots a_n} (\{p_i\}) \right]^* U^{a_1 b_1}(\theta_1) \cdots U^{a_n b_n}(\theta_n) \left[A_n^{b_1 \cdots b_n} (\{p_i\}) \right]$$

· Here unitary matrices $U(\theta)$ are used to track the contributions from different particle multiplicities.

$$\left[\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} + \beta \frac{d}{d\alpha_s}\right] \sigma^{\rm ren}[U;\mu] = K(U,\delta/\delta U,\alpha_s(\mu),\epsilon)\sigma^{\rm ren}[U;\mu]$$

- The one-loop expression for K are in one-to-one correspondence to our anomalous dimensions, and the LL resumed results are the same as ours.
- Beyond LL accuracy, the relation is less immediate. Caron-Huot doesn't distinguish hard and soft partons but multiplies every parton by a matrix $U(\theta)$, and also doesn't include the Wilson line structure which is a important feature of our formula.

Coft factorization



For cone-jet processes with narrow cones, small angle soft radiation became relevant

- collinear and soft ("coft")
- resolves individual collinear partons: operators with multiple Wilson lines

1 - 12t - 36.3399 t^2 + 1186.55 t^3 - 4768.05 t^4

Method of region expansion

To isolate the different contributions, one expand the amplitudes as well as the phase space constrains in each momentum region.

- Generic soft mode has O(1) angle: after expansion, it is always outside the jet
- Collinear mode has large energy. Can never go outside the jet
- Coft mode can be inside or outside, but its contribution to momentum inside the jet is negligible.

Expansion is performed on the integrand level: the full result is obtained after combining the contributions from the different regions.

One-loop renormalization for the narrowangle jet process

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^{(1)}\cdot\mathbf{1}+\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(1)}\cdot\mathbf{1}+\boldsymbol{z}_{m,m}^{(1)}+\boldsymbol{z}_{m,m+1}^{(1)}+\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}}_{m}^{(1)}=\mathrm{fin.}$$



$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_m^{(1)}(\{\underline{n}\},\epsilon) &= -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{(ij)} \boldsymbol{T}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_j \left[\ln\left(1 - \hat{\theta}_i^2\right) + \ln\left(1 - \hat{\theta}_j^2\right) - \ln\left(1 - 2\cos\phi_j \hat{\theta}_i \hat{\theta}_j + \hat{\theta}_i^2 \hat{\theta}_j^2\right) \right] \\ &- \frac{2}{\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^l \boldsymbol{T}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_i \ln\left(1 - \hat{\theta}_i^2\right) + \boldsymbol{T}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_0 \left(-\frac{2}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{4L_{Q\tau\delta}}{\epsilon} \right) \end{split}$$







region I:

$$C_F\left(\frac{\mu}{Q}\right)^{\epsilon} u^{-1-2\epsilon} v^{-1-\epsilon} h_3^{\mathbf{I}}(u,v,\delta,\epsilon)$$

region II:

$$C_F\left(\frac{\mu}{Q}\right)^{\epsilon} v^{-1-\epsilon} h_3^{\mathbf{II}}(u,v,\delta,\epsilon)$$

region III:

 $C_F\left(\frac{\mu}{Q}\right)^{\epsilon} h_3^{\mathbf{III}}(u,v,\delta,\epsilon)$



region I:

$$C_F\left(\frac{\mu}{Q}\right)^{\epsilon} u^{-1-2\epsilon} v^{-1-\epsilon} h_3^{\mathbf{I}}(u,v,\delta,\epsilon)$$

region II:

$$C_F\left(\frac{\mu}{Q}\right)^{\epsilon} v^{-1-\epsilon} h_3^{\mathbf{II}}(u,v,\delta,\epsilon)$$

region III:

 $C_F\left(\frac{\mu}{Q}\right)^{\epsilon} h_3^{\mathbf{III}}(u,v,\delta,\epsilon)$

 $\langle {\cal H}_3^{(1)} \otimes {f 1}
angle$

NLO



region I:

$$C_F\left(\frac{\mu}{Q}\right)^{\epsilon} u^{-1-2\epsilon} v^{-1-\epsilon} h_3^{\mathbf{I}}(u,v,\delta,\epsilon)$$

region II:

$$C_F\left(\frac{\mu}{Q}\right)^{\epsilon} v^{-1-\epsilon} h_3^{\mathbf{II}}(u,v,\delta,\epsilon)$$

region III:

 $\left| C_F\left(\frac{\mu}{Q}\right)^{\epsilon} h_3^{\mathbf{III}}(u,v,\delta,\epsilon) \right|$

 $\langle oldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_3^{(1)} \otimes oldsymbol{1}
angle \qquad \langle oldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_3^{(1)} \otimes oldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_3^{(1)}
angle$

NLO

NNLO

Soft Function S_3

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{3}^{(1)}\left(\{\underline{n}\},\epsilon\right) = \frac{4}{\epsilon} S_{\epsilon} \left(\frac{\mu}{Q\beta}\right)^{2\epsilon} \sum_{(i,j)} \boldsymbol{T}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{j} \int \frac{d\Omega(n_{k})}{4\pi} \frac{n_{i} \cdot n_{j}}{n_{i} \cdot n_{k} n_{k} \cdot n_{j}} \Theta_{\text{out}}(n_{k})$$

$$(i,j) = (1,2), (1,3), (2,3)$$



Soft Function \mathcal{S}_3

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{3}^{(1)}\left(\{\underline{n}\},\epsilon\right) &= \frac{4}{\epsilon} S_{\epsilon} \left(\frac{\mu}{Q\beta}\right)^{2\epsilon} \sum_{(i,j)} T_{i} \cdot T_{j} \int \frac{d\Omega(n_{k})}{4\pi} \frac{n_{i} \cdot n_{j}}{n_{i} \cdot n_{k} n_{k} \cdot n_{j}} \Theta_{\text{out}}(n_{k}) \\ \begin{pmatrix} i, j \end{pmatrix} &= (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3) \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{3}^{(1)} \right\rangle &= \left(\frac{\mu}{Q\beta}\right)^{2\epsilon} \left[C_{F} s_{3}^{F}(u, v, \delta, \epsilon) + C_{A} s_{3}^{A}(u, v, \delta, \epsilon) \right] \\ s_{3}^{A} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} A_{\mathrm{I}}^{[-1]}(u, v, \delta) + A_{\mathrm{I}}^{[0]}(u, v, \delta) + \epsilon A_{\mathrm{I}}^{[1]}(u, v, \delta) \end{split}$$

Ċ

Soft Function S_3

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{3}^{(1)}\left(\{\underline{n}\},\epsilon\right) &= \frac{4}{\epsilon} S_{\epsilon} \left(\frac{\mu}{Q\beta}\right)^{2\epsilon} \sum_{(i,j)} \boldsymbol{T}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{j} \int \frac{d\Omega(n_{k})}{4\pi} \frac{n_{i} \cdot n_{j}}{n_{i} \cdot n_{k} n_{k} \cdot n_{j}} \Theta_{\text{out}}(n_{k}) \\ \begin{pmatrix} i, j \end{pmatrix} &= (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3) \\ \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{3}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}^{2\epsilon} \left[C_{F} s_{3}^{F}(u, v, \delta, \epsilon) + C_{A} s_{3}^{A}(u, v, \delta, \epsilon) \right] \\ s_{3}^{A} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} A_{1}^{[-1]}(u, v, \delta) + A_{1}^{[0]}(u, v, \delta) + \epsilon A_{1}^{[1]}(u, v, \delta) \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{3}^{(1)} \rangle &= C_{\epsilon} \left(\frac{\mu}{Q}\right)^{2\epsilon} \left(\frac{\mu}{Q\beta}\right)^{2\epsilon} \delta^{-2\epsilon} \left[C_{F}^{2} M_{F}(\delta, \epsilon) + C_{F} C_{A} M_{A}(\delta, \epsilon) \right] \\ M_{A}(\delta, \epsilon) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \left[-8 \operatorname{Li}_{2}(\delta^{4}) + \frac{4\pi^{2}}{3} \right] + \frac{2M_{A}^{[1]}(\delta)}{\epsilon} \end{split}$$

Soft Function S_3

$$\mathcal{S}_{3}^{(1)}\left(\{\underline{n}\},\epsilon\right) = \frac{4}{\epsilon} S_{\epsilon} \left(\frac{\mu}{Q\beta}\right)^{2\epsilon} \sum_{(i,j)} T_{i} \cdot T_{j} \int \frac{d\Omega(n_{k})}{4\pi} \frac{n_{i} \cdot n_{j}}{n_{i} \cdot n_{k} n_{k} \cdot n_{j}} \Theta_{\text{out}}(n_{k})$$

$$(i,j) = (1,2), (1,3), (2,3)$$

$$\left\langle \mathcal{S}_{3}^{(1)} \right\rangle = \left(\frac{\mu}{Q\beta}\right)^{2\epsilon} \left[C_{F} s_{3}^{F}(u,v,\delta,\epsilon) + C_{A} s_{3}^{A}(u,v,\delta,\epsilon)\right]$$

$$s_{3}^{A} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} A_{I}^{[-1]}(u,v,\delta) + A_{I}^{[0]}(u,v,\delta) + \epsilon A_{I}^{[1]}(u,v,\delta)$$

$$\mathcal{CH}_{3}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{S}_{3}^{(1)} \rangle = C_{\epsilon} \left(\frac{\mu}{Q}\right)^{2\epsilon} \left(\frac{\mu}{Q\beta}\right)^{2\epsilon} \delta^{-2\epsilon} \left[C_{F}^{2} M_{F}(\delta,\epsilon) + C_{F} C_{A} M_{A}(\delta,\epsilon)\right]$$

$$M_{A}(\delta,\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \left[-8 \operatorname{Li}_{2}(\delta^{4}) \left[\frac{4\pi^{2}}{3}\right] + \frac{2M_{A}^{[1]}(\delta)}{\epsilon} \operatorname{NGLs} \mathbb{I} \mathbb{I}$$

Coft Scale

We emphasize that the coft modes have very low virtuality $p_t^2 = \Lambda_t^2 = (Q\beta\delta)^2$, much lower than the virtuality of the collinear and soft modes. The presence of this low physical scale might have important implications for the relevance of non-perturbative effects. These are suppressed by the ratio $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/\Lambda_t$, where $\Lambda_{\rm QCD} \sim 0.5 \,\text{GeV}$ is a scale associated with strong QCD dynamics. Non-perturbative corrections to jet processes can thus be much larger than the naive expectation $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/Q$. For example, for a jet opening angle $\alpha = 10^{\circ}$ ($\delta \approx 0.09$) and 5% of the collision energy outside the jets ($\beta = 0.1$), one obtains $\Lambda_t \approx 1 \,\text{GeV}$ for $Q = 100 \,\text{GeV}$. It would be interesting to explore phenomenological consequences of this low-scale physics.



Data point from EVENT2, solid lines are our prediction. Difference yields unknown constants

 $c_2^F = 17.1_{-4.7}^{+3.0}, \qquad c_2^A = -28.7_{-1.0}^{+0.7}, \qquad c_2^f = 17.3_{-9.0}^{+0.3}.$

Note: EVENT2 suffers from numerical instability in n_f channel.