

Introduction to Wilson Lines and Loops

I.O. Cherednikov



ECT Workshop:
New Observables in Quarkonium Production 2016
28 Feb - 4 Mar 2016, Trento, Italy*

R. Angeles-Martinez, *et al.*:

“Transverse momentum dependent (TMD) parton distribution functions: status and prospects”,

Acta Phys. Pol. **B46** (2015) 2501; arXiv:1507.05267 [hep-ph]

Ich., T. Mertens, F.F. Van der Veken:

“Wilson Lines in Quantum Field Theory”, De Gruyter, Berlin (2014)

TMD-related talks at the *Quarkonium 2016*

QCD Description of Proton-Proton Collisions

Fundamental Properties and Concepts of QCD

Confinement: fundamental building blocks of QCD – quarks and gluons – do not exist as free particles

Running coupling: the strong coupling α_s changes with the characteristic energy

Asymptotic freedom: at small distance the quarks and gluons are (almost) free particles and the perturbative approach is applicable

Factorisation: enables the separation of large- [essentially nonperturbative] and small-distance [perturbative hard scattering matrix elements] contributions

Parton distribution functions [pdfs]: accumulate information about intrinsic structure of hadrons

Transverse-Momentum Dependent pdfs

Inclusive processes → **collinear factorisation**: one or less hadron detected; e.g., DIS, electron-positron annihilation to hadrons

“More inclusive” processes → **TMD factorisation**: two or more hadrons in the initial or final state detected; e.g., Drell-Yan, SIDIS, hadron-hadron to jets, Higgs and heavy-flavour production

Collinear factorisation: longitudinal momenta of the partons are intrinsic, transverse momenta can be created by perturbative radiation effects (parton showers)

TMD factorisation: a unifying QCD-based framework with both mechanisms of the transverse-momentum creation taken into account—intrinsic (essentially non-perturbative) and perturbative radiation

3D Imaging of the Nucleon: PDFs beyond the collinear approximation

3-dimensional pdfs contain the information about the **intrinsic longitudinal and two-dimensional transverse momenta** of the quarks and gluons, are called **unintegrated** or

Transverse-Momentum Dependent = TMD

3D-structure: two sets of experimental data

high-energy DIS: $\sqrt{s} \rightarrow \infty$, momentum transfer fixed

low- q_T DY and SIDIS (polarized and unpolarized): $q_T \rightarrow 0$, invariant mass fixed

Why TMD Factorization?

low- q_T DY: $d\sigma_{DY}^{Z*}(q_T)$ in the range $60 \text{ GeV} < M < 120 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow$ High- q_T (10^2 GeV), the 'peak region' (10 GeV), low- q_T (1 GeV). pQCD convoluted with the collinear pdf $\rightarrow d\sigma_{DY}^{Z*}(q_T)$ diverges at small q_T .

high-energy DIS: rise of the proton structure function at small- x . As parton longitudinal momentum fractions (Bjorken- x) become small, the transverse degrees of freedom becomes increasingly important. The strong corrections at small- x come from multiple radiation of gluons over long intervals in rapidity, in regions not ordered in the gluon transverse momenta \mathbf{k}_\perp , and are present in all higher orders of perturbation theory. TMD evolution provides an appropriate framework to resum such corrections.

Parton Distribution Functions

Must be

Gauge-invariant

Universal

Renormalizable

Wilson lines are crucial for everything!

Parton Distribution Functions

Issues

Wilson lines: save gauge invariance, but introduce **path-dependence**

Path-dependence: the structure of the Wilson lines is process-dependent (colour flows); universality (and/or factorization) may be broken

Factorisation scale is arbitrary: transition from one scale to another (different experiments have different characteristic scales) by means of **evolution equations**; **Wilson lines** complicate renormalizability

Path-dependence in the collinear (integrated) PDF

Longitudinal **momentum fraction**:

$$xk^+ = P^+$$

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^-}{2\pi} e^{-ik^+z^-} \langle h | \bar{\psi}(z^-) \gamma^+ \psi(0^-) | h \rangle$$

Gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x) &\rightarrow U(x)\psi(x) \\ \bar{\psi}(x) &\rightarrow \bar{\psi}(x)U^\dagger(x)\end{aligned}$$

Gauge invariance and path-dependence of bi-local operator products

$$\Delta(y, x) = \bar{\psi}(y)\psi(x)$$
$$\Delta(y, x) \rightarrow \bar{\psi}(y)U^\dagger(y)U(x)\psi(x)$$

Problem: find a 'transporter'

$$T_{[y,x]}\psi(x) \rightarrow U(y)[T_{[y,x]}\psi(x)]$$

Bi-local product supplied with the **transporter** is **gauge invariant**:

$$\bar{\psi}(y)T_{[y,x]}\psi(x) \rightarrow$$
$$\bar{\psi}(y)U^\dagger(y)U(y)[T_{[y,x]}\psi(x)] = \bar{\psi}(y)T_{[y,x]}\psi(x)$$

Parallel transport equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} T_{[y,x]} = \pm ig \mathcal{A}_\gamma(t) T_{[y,x]}$$

Path-dependence:

$$z \in \gamma$$

$$dz_\mu = \dot{\gamma}_\mu(t) dt, \quad z(0) = x, \quad z(t) = y$$

$$\mathcal{A}_\gamma(t) = A_\mu[z(t)] \dot{\gamma}_\mu(t)$$

Parallel transport equation: Solution

Integral form:

$$T_{[y,x]} - T_{[x,x]} = T(t) - T(0) = \int_0^t \mathcal{A}_\gamma(t_1) T(t_1) dt_1$$

Perturbative expansion:

$$T_{[y,x]}(t) = T^{(0)} + g T^{(1)} + g^2 T^{(2)} + \dots + g^n T^{(n)} + \dots$$

Initial condition:

$$T(0) = T_{[x,x]} = T^{(0)}$$

Parallel transport equation: Solution

Leading order term:

$$T^{(1)}(t) = \left[\int_0^t \mathcal{A}_\gamma(t_1) dt_1 \right] T^{(0)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(2)}(t) &= \int_0^t \mathcal{A}_\gamma(t_1) T(t_1) dt_1 \\ &= \left[\int_0^t \mathcal{A}_\gamma(t_1) \int_0^{t_1} \mathcal{A}_\gamma(t_2) dt_1 dt_2 \right] T^{(0)} \\ T^{(2)}(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathcal{P} \int_0^t \int_0^t \mathcal{A}_\gamma(t_1) \mathcal{A}_\gamma(t_2) dt_1 dt_2 \right] T^{(0)} \end{aligned}$$

Parallel transport equation: Solution

Path-ordering:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P} \mathcal{A}_\gamma(t_1) \mathcal{A}_\gamma(t_2) \\ &= \theta(t_1 - t_2) \mathcal{A}_\gamma(t_1) \mathcal{A}_\gamma(t_2) + \theta(t_2 - t_1) \mathcal{A}_\gamma(t_2) \mathcal{A}_\gamma(t_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(n)}(t) &= \frac{1}{n!} \mathcal{P} \int_0^t \dots \int_0^t [\mathcal{A}_\gamma(t_1) \dots \mathcal{A}_\gamma(t_n) dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_n] T^{(0)} \\ T(t) &= \sum_{n=0} g^n \frac{1}{n!} \mathcal{P} \int_0^t \dots \int_0^t [\mathcal{A}_\gamma(t_1) \dots \mathcal{A}_\gamma(t_n) dt_1 dt_2 dt_n] T^{(0)} \\ &\equiv \mathcal{P} \exp \left[g \int_0^t \mathcal{A}_\gamma(t') dt' \right] T^{(0)} \end{aligned}$$

Parallel transport equation: Wilson line

$$T^{(0)} = T_{[x,x]} = 1$$

$$T_{[y,x]} = \mathcal{P} \exp \left[\pm ig \int_x^y A_\mu[z] dz_\mu \right]_\gamma$$

Parallel transporter is a **Wilson line**:

$$T_{[y,x]} = \mathcal{W}_\gamma[y, x]$$

Path-dependent correlation functions: main issues

$$\mathcal{F}(k)_\gamma = \text{F.T. } \langle h | \bar{\Psi}(z) \mathcal{W}_\gamma[z, 0] \Psi(0) | h \rangle$$

Gauge invariance is guaranteed by the **Wilson line**

$$\mathcal{W}_\gamma = \mathcal{P} \exp \left[\pm ig \int_0^z d\zeta^\mu \mathcal{A}_\mu(\zeta) \right]_\gamma$$

Issues:

Gauge invariance \rightarrow complicated **structure of the Wilson lines**

Path dependence \rightarrow **universality** is jeopardized

Singularities \rightarrow problems with **renormalization**

Factorization \rightarrow **evolution**

Beyond the tree approximation: Why divergences?

Heisenberg representation

$$\langle h |_H \bar{\Psi}_H(z) \mathcal{W}_\gamma[z^-, z_\perp; 0^-, 0_\perp] \Psi_H(0) | h \rangle_H$$

Dirac representation

$$\langle h | \bar{\Psi}(z) \mathcal{W}_\gamma[z^-, z_\perp; 0^-, 0_\perp] \Psi(0) \mathbf{S}_{\text{int}} | h \rangle$$

→ Perturbative expansion, Feynman graphs etc.

@ [Ch, Stefanis (2008, 2009, 2010)]

UV, rapidity and overlapping **divergences** beyond the tree-approximation in the operator definition of TMD: principal source of the evolution issues