

PHYSICS HIGHLIGHTS

OF A

FUTURE EP/EA COLLIDER

STEFANO FORTE UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO & INFN



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO



CERN, Nov. 19, 2015

ECFA MEETING



HISTORY

EP OPTION PROPOSED SINCE THE BEGINNING OF THE LHC

PHYSICS OF ep COLLISIONS IN THE TeV ENERGY RANGE

G. Altarelli^{*)}, B. Mele^{*)} and R. Rückl, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland (Presented by G. Altarelli)

ABSTRACT

We study the physics of electron-proton collisions in the range of centre-of-mass energies between $\sqrt{s} \approx 0.3$ TeV (HERA) and $\sqrt{s} \approx (1-2)$ TeV. The latter energies would be achieved if the electron or positron beam of LEP [E_e \approx (50-100) GeV] is made to collide with the proton beam of LHC [E_p \approx (5-10) TeV].

CERN-ECFA workshop, Lausanne, March 1984: a Large Hadron Collider in the LEP tunnel

PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE EP FACILITIES



- LHEC: CDR PUBLISHED IN 2012
- EIC: "APPROVED AS A CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATION" BY NSAC OCT. 2015

(CEIC2 no longer considered; MEIC2 lumi now $2 - 5 \times 10^{34}$; HL-RHIC lumi now $2 - 3 \times 10^{34}$)

THE LHEC

- RECIRCULATING LINAC WITH ENERGY RECOVERY
 - \rightarrow three accelerating passes through each of two $10~{\rm GeV}$ linacs
 - $\Rightarrow 60 \text{ GeV}$ Electron beam
- COLLISIONS WITH ONE HL-LHC BEAM (PROTON OR ION)



10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹ Luminosity reach PROTONS ELECTRONS 7000 Beam Energy [GeV] 60 Luminosity [10³³cm⁻²s⁻¹] 16 16 20 Normalized emittance $\gamma \epsilon_{x,y}$ [µm] 2.5 Beta Funtion β^*_{xy} [m] 0.05 0.10 rms Beam size $\sigma^*_{x,y}$ [µm] 4 4 rms Beam divergence $\sigma' *_{x,y}$ [µrad] 80 40 Beam Current @ IP[mA] 25 1112 Bunch Spacing [ns] 25 25 **Bunch Population** 2.2*10¹¹ 4*10⁹ Bunch charge [nC] 35 0.64

LAYOUT

BASELINE PARAMETERS

SITING OPTIONS



THE LHEC DETECTOR

Baseline Detector

LHO



LHEC: KINEMATIC COVERAGE

DEEP-INELASTIC SCATTERING



- Very small momentum fractions $x \leq 10^{-6}$ reached at low scale $Q \sim 1~{\rm GeV}$
- Very large scale $Q \sim 1$ TeV reached at large $x \sim 0.5$
- Very large $x \sim 0.9$ reached in wide range of scales $10 \leq Q \leq 1000 \text{ GeV}$

THE EIC

- TWO BASIC DESIGNS (JLAB VS. BNL); ENERGY-LUMI TRADEOFF
- e beam: 5 10 GeV; variable CM energy: 20 100 GeV
- LUMI $10^{33} 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$
- POLARIZED BEAMS AVAILABLE WITH e, p, d, ${}^{3}He$
- WIDE RANGE OF NUCLEI FOR UNPOL. eA; LUMI PER NUCLEON SAME AS FOR e-p



EIC: KINEMATIC COVERAGE

DEEP-INELASTIC SCATTERING

- SOMEWHAT LOWER ENERGY IN COMPARISON TO HERA
- MAIN STRENGTHS: POLARIZATION AND VARIETY OF NUCLEAR TARGETS
- POLARIZATION: UNIQUE OPTION



PHYSICS AT THE LHEC

- PARTON DISTRIBUTIONS
 - $-\ PDF$ uncertainties at NNLO and beyond
 - α_s
 - NUCLEAR PDFs
- $\bullet\,$ precision physics of the SM and beyond
 - HIGGS COUPLINGS (b and c) and CP
 - SINGLE TOP (FCNC, V_{tb})
 - THE WEAK MIXING ANGLE
- "ALICE" PHYSICS
 - HIGH DENSITY QCD & SATURATION
 - DIFFRACTION

PARTON DISTRIBUTIONS

WHY WORRY ABOUT PDFs?



(J. Campbell, HCP2012)

PDF UNCERTAINTY EITHER DOMINANT, OR VERY LARGE, OR BOTH

... AND NOT ONLY FOR THE HIGGS!

(W MASS DETERMINATION, NEW PHYSICS SEARCHES FOR HEAVY STATES,...)

PDFs TODAY:

KINEMATIC COVERAGE

NNPDF3.0 NLO dataset



PDFs TODAY: PDFS & UNCERTAINTIES



- LOWEST PDF UNCERTAINTIES ~ 5%: \Rightarrow Gluon at small x, valence at medium-large x
- STRANGE AND SEA LESS WELL KNOWN
- LARGE UNCERTAINTIES AT SMALL AND LARGE x

- Uncertainties blow up for light ($\lesssim 10~{\rm GeV}$) and heavy ($\gtrsim 1~{\rm TeV}$) final states
- CAN READ OFF PDF UNCERTAINTY



HERA AND LHC DATA: WHAT IS THE RELATIVE IMPACT?

COMPARE PDF DETERMINED FROM FULL GLOBAL FIT, NO LHC DATA, ONLY HERA DATA:



- IN REGIONS WHERE HERA DATA AVAILABLE, HERA ONLY UNCERTAINTY QUALITATIVELY COMPARABLE TO THAT OF GLOBAL FIT
- IMPACT OF LHC DATA MODERATE
- DIS DATA DRIVE THE GLOBAL FIT



HERA AND LHC DATA: WHAT IS THE RELATIVE IMPACT?

- OVERALL MEASURE OF IMPACT: $\varphi \Rightarrow$ FIT UNCERTAINTY/DATA UNCERTAINTY
- HERA-II IMPACT SIZABLE
- IMPACT OF LHC DATA MODERATE BUT VISIBLE
- IMPACT OF CMS OR ATLAS COMPARABLE TO (MOD-ERATE) IMPACT OF NON-LHC, NON-HERA DATA

FRACTIONAL UNCERTAINTY	
------------------------	--

Dataset	φ NLO	φ NNLO
Global	0.291	0.302
HERA-I HERA all HERA+ATLAS HERA+CMS	$0.453 \\ 0.375 \\ 0.391 \\ 0.315 \\ 0.212$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.439 \\ 0.343 \\ 0.318 \\ 0.345 \\ 0.216 \end{array}$
no LHC	0.312	0.316





PDFS AT LHC RUN II

- DATA AT HIGHER CM ENERGY & INFO ON CORRELATION TO LOW ENERGY \rightarrow EXTENDED KINEMATIC COVERAGE & REDUCED SYSTEMATICS
- EXPECT REDUCTION IN MODEL DEPENDENCE
- MODERATE REDUCTION IN UNCERTAINTY



(PDF4LHC: 1507.00556)

VERY DIFFICULT TO REDUCE UNCERTAINTIES BELOW 3-4% level at a hadron collider

PDFS AT THE LHEC

- UNCERTAINTIES DOWN TO PERCENT LEVEL IN WIDE KINEMATIC REGION
- WITH DEUTERON BEAMS, FULL LIGHT FLAVOR DECOMPOSITION
- THANKS TO HIGH ENERGY, $NC+CC \Rightarrow$ precision strangeness determination



(A. Cooper-Sarkar & Voica Radescu, 2015)

WITH THE LHEC, PDF UNCERTAINTY ON HIGGS PRODUCTION CHANNELS ESSENTIALLY REMOVED (PART OF BACKGROUND NOISE)



NUCLEAR PDFS AT THE LHEC (AND EIC)

- HUGE ENLARGEMENT IN KINEMATIC REGION
- HUGE INCREASE IN PRECISION AT SMALL \boldsymbol{x}
- EIC PERFORMANCE SIMILAR BUT AT LOWER ENERGY

NPDFS AT THE EIC



N³LO PDFs:

- NEEDED AT THE 1% ACCURACY LEVEL
- IMPACT OF N^3 LO DEPENDS ON PROCESS:
 - Higgs gluon fusion: perturbative dep. of PDF negligible in comparison to matrix element $\Rightarrow N^3LO$ not needed
 - TOP: PERTURBATIVE DEP. OF PDF SMALLER, BUT NOT NEGLIGIBLE IN COMPARISON TO MATRIX ELEMENT, ANTICORRELATED TO IT $\Rightarrow N^{3}LO$ NECESSARY



SCALE UNCERTAINTY & DEP. ON PERTURBATIVE ORDER

(s.f., Isgrò, Vita, 2014)

- N³LO DIS COEFFICIENT FUNCTIONS KNOWN
- BOTTLENECK: N^{3} LO ANOMALOUS DIMENSIONS
- N^3LO Jets unlikely to be available any time soon

THE VALUE OF α_s

- PDG VALUE (AUGUST 2014): $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1185 \pm 0.0006$
- HXSWG/PDF4LHC RECOMMENDS $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.118 \pm 0.0015$
- This is a $\sim 5\%$ uncertainty on higgs in gluon fusion

PROGRESS EXPECTED?

- PDG IS AN AN AVERAGE OF AVERAGES, SOME OF WHICH INCLUDE MUTUALLY INCONSISTENT VALUES BY UP TO FOUR-FIVE σ
- LITTLE PROGRESS FOR MANY YEARS: PDG 1998-2006 $\Delta \alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.002$; PDG 2010-2014 $\Delta \alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.0006 \div 0.0008$ (CHANGE OF AUTHOR)







combined fit to PDFs+ α s using LHeC data



- ACCURATE SIMULTANEOUS DETERMINATION OF α_s AND THE GLUON POSSIBLE THANKS TO BIG LEVER ARM IN Q^2
- LONG-STANDING ISSUE WITH α_s FROM DIS CAN BE RESOLVED

PDFs: SUMMARY

- ACCURATE PDFs REQUIRED BOTH FOR PRECISION AND DISCOVERY
- WITHOUT LHEC EXTREMELY DIFFICULT TO GO BELOW 3% Uncertainty in Precision Physics region
- WITHOUT LHEC EXTREMELY DIFFICULT TO REDUCE CURRENT 100% UNCERTAINTY IN DISCOVERY REGION
- WITHOUT LHEC EXTREMELY DIFFICULT TO REDUCE CURRENT 1-2% UNCERTAINTY ON α_s



GLUINO PRODUCTION: WITH OR W/O LHEC



PRECISION PHYSICS

HIGGS PRODUCTION EP VS. PP



- HIGGS PRODUCED IN CC OR NC INTERACTIONS
- CLEAN SIGNAL AND ZZH VS. WWH SEPARATION \Rightarrow PROBE OF HWW VERTEX \rightarrow HIGGS CP PROPERTIES
- Direction of FS quark well defined \rightarrow accurate $b\bar{b}$ decay measurement

HIGGS PHYSICS AT THE LHEC TENSOR COUPLINGS TO WW

• PARAMETRIZE HWW VERTEX AS

 $\gamma^{mu\nu} = -g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{m_W^2} \left[\lambda \left(p_1 \cdot p_2 g^{\mu\nu} - p_1^{\mu} p_2^{\nu} \right) + i\lambda' \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \right]; \text{ SM: } \lambda = \lambda' = 0$

• MEASURE AZIMUTHAL DISTRIBUTION BETWEEN NEUTRINO (E_t^{miss}) & JETS \rightarrow SENSITIVE PROBE OF HIGGS CP PROPERTIES



HIGGS PHYSICS AT THE LHEC THE Hbb COUPLING

- FORWARD JET TAGGING \Rightarrow VERY CLEAN SIGNAL AGAINST SMALL $\overline{b}bj$ NC & CC BACKGROUND WITH CUT-BASED ANALYSIS
- MEASURE COUPLING WITH 4% PRECISION WITH 100 fb⁻¹ 10 fb⁻¹ = one month = 1100 $H \rightarrow \bar{b}b$ events
- $\tau\tau$ about 10% precision; even $\bar{c}c$ measurable



HIGGS PHYSICS AT THE LHEC **SUMMARY**



HL LHC + LHeC

- GLUON FUSION AND W FUSION \Rightarrow PDF+ α_s UNCERTAINTY REMOVED (hatched bands)
- $H\bar{b}b$ measured to percentage precision;
- $\tau\tau$ AND $\bar{c}c$ ALSO MEASURABLE

TOP PHYSICS AT THE LHEC THE V_{tb} CKM MATRIX ELEMENT



- CLEAN DEEP-INELASTIC SINGLE TOP PRODUCTION
- 100 fb⁻¹ $\Rightarrow \sim 10^6$ SINGLE TOP DIS EVENTS $\Rightarrow \Delta V_{tb} = 0.005$ PRECISION



TOP PHYSICS AT THE LHEC FCNC



- CLEAN DEEP-INELASTIC SINGLE TOP PRODUC-TION
- TEST ANOMALOUS COUPLINGS
- 100 fb⁻¹ $\Rightarrow \sim 10^6$ SINGLE TOP DIS EVENTS \Rightarrow FCNC $O\left(\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}\right)$; $\Lambda = 1$ TeV, DISCOVERY FOR $\kappa \sim 10^{-3}$



THE ELECTROWEAK MIXING ANGLE

- CAN EXTRACT $\sin^2 \theta_W$ FROM CC/NC DIS RATIOS & FROM SEPARATION OF γZ INTERFERENCE FROM PURE Z EXCHANGE (e^+ VS. e^- DIS)
- CAN MEASURE MIXING ANGLE FOR SEVERAL Q^2 VALUES TO HIGH ACCURACY \Rightarrow TEST OF SCALE DEPENDENCE



"ALICE" PHYSICS

LHEC: THE MECCA OF HIGH-DENSITY QCD

- "LINEAR" ALTARELLI-PARISI EVOLUTION: AT LOW MOMENTUM FRACTION, GLUON AND SEA PDFs rise \Rightarrow Unitarity violation?
- PARTON RECOMBINATION SETS IN \Rightarrow NONLINEAR EVOLUTION
- NEW PHASE OF QCD MATTER \Rightarrow COLOR GLASS CONDENSATE
- TRANSITION HAPPENS AT LOW x, HIGH A



HIGH-DENSITY QCD: SATURATION

- F_2 and F_L separately depend on gluon & on evolution mechanism \Rightarrow measuring both pins down gluon & evolution
- WHERE AND HOW IS ALTARELLI-PARISI RISE QUENCHED?
- UNDERSTANDING OF NON-LINEAR QCD REGIME STILL TENTATIVE
- MODELS/THEORIES CAN BE TESTED & DISENTANGLED



HIGH-DENSITY QCD: DIFFRACTION



- DIFFRACTION PROBES FACTORIZATION & PERTURBATIVE-NONPERTURBATIVE INTERFACE
- ENHANCED GLUON SENSITIVITY
- ACCESS TO TRANSVERSE DEGREES OF FREEDOM



CONCLUSION

SUMMARY

- The main impact of the LHeC is PDF+ α_s uncertainties at 1% or below
- THE LHEC IS A TOP-HIGGS FACTORY WITH THE POTENTIAL OF VERY CLEAN MEASUREMENTS IN SPECIFIC CHANNELS
- THE LHEC IS THE MECCA OF HIGH-DENSITY QCD