Micromegas for ATLAS New Small Wheel upgrade - status report -

Paolo lengo (CERN) On behalf of Micromegas NSW Collaboration

The ATLAS New Small Wheel project

 Upgrade of the innermost forward detector wheels of the ATLAS muon system during LHC Long Shutdown 2 (2019/2020)



- 2 wheels ~10 m diameter
- 2 detector technologies both with triggering and tracking capabilities:
 - o Micromegas: primary tracking detector
 - sTGC: primary trigger detector
- High redundancy: 8 sTGC and 8 MM layers arranged in quadruplets



NSW requirements

- 1 mrad angular resolution (from HL-LHC L1 trigger requirement)
- o Bunch crossing identification
- Efficiency > 98%
- Rate capability > 15 kHz/cm2



Micromegas for ATLAS NSW

128 Micromegas quadruplets (modules) in total Small sector modules Large sector modules 1821.5 2220 4 different types (32 modules each) with surface of 2 to 3 m² SM2 LM2 $+1.5^{\circ}$ 1.5° .6 2022.8 1321.1 Stereo Planes 2008.5 1319.2 5 "eta" Planes In each quadruplet: 2310 5 PCBs ដឹ Two $(\mathbf{\eta})$ layers with parallel readout strips 0 SM1, 2 LM1 Two (ϕ) layers with stereo readout strips inclined by $\pm 1.5^{\circ}$ 0 Cathode Outer skin 500 640 2 doublets in back-to-back Resistive Total of >1200 m² of 1 - Drift panel strips configuration (5 panels): Mesh R/O Micromegas active area Strips 2 readout panels 0 2 - Read-out panel x2 eta strips 2 external drift cathode 0 panels (single) 3 - Drift panel x2 1 middle drift cathode 0 NSW is the largest panel (double) 4 - Read-out panel x2 Micromegas-based project ever built 5 - Drift panel

Detector design

- Resistive strip layer for spark suppression
- Mesh stainless steel woven on plain weave, 30/70
 - o mechanically floating (no bulk)
 - o grounded, HV on resistive strips
- Panels (stiff and light):
 - 10 mm Al honeycomb with Al frames
 - Two FR4 skins (0.5 mm thick)





Readout board design



ATLAS MM Status Report

 \rightarrow Detector mounting on the support structure (spacer frame)

Requirements on mechanics

- To reach the required tracking performance the detector construction shall meet stringent requirements: strip position accuracy <30 μ m in **n** and 80 μ m in Z
 - Strip position (absolute) <35 µm 1. \rightarrow PCB fabrication
 - 2. Alignment of two RO PCBs on the same layer <25 µm
 - 3. Alignment of RO PCBs on the two sides of the same panels $<25 \,\mu m$
 - 4. Planarity of RO and Drift panels $<37 \mu m$ (RMS); $<\pm110 \mu m$ min-max
 - Precision pin-slot system to align PCBs 0
 - Optical system (C-CCD) to check alignment 0
 - Precise marble table or stiff-back structure as surface reference 0
 - 5. Alignment of two RO panels <18 µm \rightarrow Detector assembly
 - Precision pin-slot system to align RO panels 0
 - Optical system (C-CCD) to check alignment 0



Holes 12mm

ale Insert 10mm







Construction sites and organization

- Four construction site clusters:
 - o Italy INFN (Frascati, Pavia, Rome1, Rome3, Cosenza, Lecce, Napoli)
 - o Geermany (BMBF; Mainz, Munich, Wurzburg, Freiburg)
 - France (CEA Saclay)
 - Russia/Greece (Dubna, Tessaloniki) with detector design, tooling development and construction and Module0 done at CERN
- Readout board QA/QC, detector commissioning and preparation at CERN



Readout board production

Production done in industry – stringent requirements

I. Copper pattern creation by photolithography

- $_{\odot}$ Copper pattern absolute accuracy: <30 μm for the short side and $\,$ <100 $\mu m/m$ for the long side
- o Line and space accuracy 20% w.r.t. the design file
- o Maximum 1% of cut on the copper lines, as long as cuts are not on neighboring lines
- Maximum of 0.1% of shorts between two lines, as long as no more than two successive lines are shorted

II. Selective plating on connector pads

o Layer thickness depending on plating choice: Au/Ag/Pd

III. Cutting of Kapton foils with resistive pattern

Cutting accuracy shall be better than ±1mm

IV. High pressure Gluing of Kapton foil on the PCB

- Alignment accuracy shall be better than ±0.5mm
- V. Connection between HV input line and resistive strips (screen printed: silver conductive paste)
- Position accuracy w.r.t. the copper pattern < ±1m
- $\circ~$ Resistance of the silver HV connection line < 10 Ω

VI. Pillar creation (2x 64µm Pyralux coverlay)

- Coverlay pattern absolute accuracy < ±1 mm
- $\circ~$ Accuracy of the diameter of the pillars ±25 μm
- Missing pillars maximum 0,1% of the total number, as long as no neighboring pillars are missing
- Max. 10 extra coverlay structures of a size < 1mm in each dimension are tolerated per square meter
- $\circ~$ The mean height of the coverlay layer / pillars in different 25x25 cm² regions has to be homogeneous on a level of <5 μm over the full surface of the board

VII. Cutting of the boards and drilling of the non-precision holes (holes for mechanical assembly and alignment)

- Cutting absolute accuracy w.r.t. the copper pattern shall be better than ±100µm
- $\circ~$ Holes absolute position accuracy referring to the copper pattern shall be at least $\pm 100 \mu m$



Module0 readout boards

- Boards needed for 2 pre-series detectors (Module-0) of each type:
 - 40 (10 different types) for SM1 → 20 panels
 - 40 (10 different types) for LM1 → 32 panels
 - 24 (6 different types) for SM2 → 12 panels
 - 24 (6 different types) for LM2 → 12 panels
- Order placed to two companies in Dec. 2014
 - o SM1 and LM2 (64 boards, 32 panels)
 - o SM2 and LM1 (64 boards, 44 panels)
- Resistive foils:
 - SM1 and LM2: screen-printing done in industry (Japan, Kobe and Tokyo groups)
 - o SM2: sputtering done in industry (Japan, Kobe and Tokyo groups)
 - o LM1: screen-printing done at CERN
- Base PCB material (FR4 halogen free) ordered at CERN and provided to the companies after thermal stabilization





Figure 2: Top: example of two <u>Micromegas</u> read-out PCB boards produced from a single panel. Bottom: example of one <u>Micromegas</u> read-out PCB board produced from a single panel.

Foil dimension: 2180 mm x 1200mm

Module0 readout boards

Unexpected delay and unsatisfactory quality of the boards from both





First few boards received in July/August Main observed problems:

- 1. Bubbles/dust between kapton and PCB in active area
- 2. Deviation in the dimension of the copper pattern
- 3. Missing or weakly attached pillars (homogeneity of pillar heights resulted not to be an issue)
- 4. Inaccurate edge cutting and drilling
- 5. Strip cut badly repaired
- 6. Bad metallization/plating of the connector pad
- 7. Missing or bad HV connection lines









Module0 readout boards

- Actions have been taken to improve the quality of the boards after the first deliveries, including site visits to the industries
- Quality of the boards has increased, still some less severe problems observed
- Bad quality mostly related to missing quality checks during production and negligence in reading/applying our specifications, not to technical limitations of the companies



A reparation campaign is ongoing to keep the boards needed for Module0

RO boards QA/QC performed at CERN in a temporary lab. The final one is being set-up

- For the mass production a tender process is in progress.
- A deeper quality check at the production company(-ies) with interfering measurements will be put in place

Status of ModuleO construction: Italy

- Readout panels (Pavia): waiting for RO boards
 Test panels built with good results
- Drift panels (Rome1): ready to be equipped with mesh (Rome3)
 - o Within specs
- Quadruplet assembly (Frascati): tooling ready
- Expected completion: beginning of February



Assembly test at LNF



Test panel during first step of construction (vacuum bag)

Status of Module0 construction: Germany

counts

- Readout panels (Munich): waiting for RO boards
- Construction of first drift panel ongoing (Mainz)
- Mesh stretching tests ongoing (Wurzburg)
- Assembly tools under construction (Munich)
- Expected completion: mid March



RO test panel fulfill requirements



Status of Module0 construction: CERN

- Readout panels: waiting for RO boards
- Drift panels: ready to be equipped with mesh
 Within specs
- Quadruplet assembly: tooling under construction
- Expected completion: beginning of February







Min-Max < 120 um

Toward mass production

- Procurement of detector components started:
 - Al honeycomb 0
 - Al frames 0
 - Mesh 0
 - PCB components 0
 - Sealing joint (O-ring) 0

- Tender for readout PCB production shall be finalized soon
 - PCB production to start in Spring 0
 - Module construction in Summer 0



Detector validation

- The Micromegas validation procedure has been defined in order to have a full quality check of the detectors while spotting problems as early as possible
- Preliminary acceptance criteria, to be refined after Mod0
- Cosmic test at construction sites
 - Noise map / pedestal level / dead channels 0
 - Average efficiency per HV sector vs HV 0
 - Efficiency map per plane (@ nominal HV) 0
 - Gain homogeneity 0
- Test at integration site (CERN)





Efficiency

0.9

0.8

0.2

Recent results from GIF++

- Two resistive bulk-Micromegas chambers have been exposed to GIF++ photon flux (up to 64 MHz/cm²) since May 2015
- No performance degradation observed after ~70 mC/cm² integrated charge
- Current behavior as expected
- Measured hit rate at full source: 0.12 MHz/cm²
 → detector sensitivity to ¹³⁷Cs photons: ~1.9 10⁻³



 Several 10x10 cm² floating mesh detectors built in Japan using two different Kapton types (EN and HN) have been tested too

No difference observed between the two Kapton types. Decision to use EN (from Japan)

Conclusions

- The design of the NSW Micromegas is finalized (only few details still under discussion)
- Pre-series detectors (Module0) are under construction in ³/₄ construction sites
- First production of readout boards revealed a number of weaknesses
- Quality improvement already achieved with some points still under observation
- The Micromegas construction schedule, although with several delays, is compatible with the NSW general schedule