# Feedback from the Analysis Community

Jochen Klein

CERN

ALICE Offline week

Nov. 25th, 2015





#### introduction

# thanks for invitation to give feedback

#### in the following:

- some points from analyzers' perspective
  - you don't have to agree with them
  - but appreciated if they are acknowledged as a view
  - better proposals for improvement are more than welcome
- this is not a representative survey could be done but needs more preparation
- collection of
  - personal impressions from interactions with analyzers
  - comments contributed from analysis community

# build system

### many advantages, some (easily avoidable) issues:

- faster build times
- known what is actually build
- reproducable environment allows local debugging not yet widely known, but helpful for advanced users
- automatic loading of dependencies very useful but has not reached all the trains
- some unannounced upgrades, e.g. fastjets, not all implications clear to everybody
- recently many tags deployed to cvmfs, not always clear which ones to use (add comments and/or usability flags?)

# coding

- coverity for AliPhysics?
  we don't have to be as strict as with AliRoot but could help spotting problems
- how strict do we want to be with warnings? here for AliPhysics, different requirements than AliRoot
- guidelines on allowed dependencies some unintended ones introduced in AliPhysics
- recommendations for documentation?
  - ROOT-style comments
  - doxygen
- coding guidelines?

## git commits

- current workflow: send patch by email to somebody with commit rights
- time critical because of tagging committer has to process in time (this is what is used for the analysis trains, a day of delay can hurt)
- additional load on committers at least (and often only) check compilation and commit
- automatic check of compilation? (in reach with new build system now?)
- do we need this for PWGxx directories? or
  - let everybody commit to e.g. PWGxx/UserTasks?
  - gitlab with pull requests?
  - something else?

### LEGO trains

- daily tags convenient for full train running
- also testing of trains depends on daily tags limits turn-around times for testing
- ▶ if we have builds from the commit testing: faster deployment possible at least for the train tests?
- provide LEGO train docker container for local testing in full setup?

# analysis framework

- no dedicated developer only limited development
- work for ROOT 6 migration?
- future of data structures, e.g. AODs or nanoAODs who takes care? (nanoAODs available but not widely used)
- usage of VAF?
  - how much is it used?
  - what are further plans?

(dedicated talk later)

#### communication

- good atmosphere on the mailing list, helpful comments on the mailing list
- ► little information flow through PWG conveners at least for some pWGs
- role of user representative was recently discontinued communication could benefit from further discussion
  - discussion started amongst juniors
- unclear priorities who defines them actually?

#### summary

- in preparation of this talk now: no severe complaints on offline performance, people appear to be mostly happy
- communication could be improved
- wishes from the offline group to the analysis community?

# Thank you!

