Higgs couplings from a BSM perspective

Alex Pomarol, CERN & UAB (Barcelona)



Outline

- Rationale for a **Higgs-coupling** parametrization
- The most important Higgs couplings (*primaries*): the equivalent of the S & T parameters in EWPT
- BSM contributions to Higgs couplings
- **Beyond** primaries
- LHC high-energy regime

(most of this can be found in arXiv:1412.4410)

With the Higgs discovery, the SM has been established!



can give mass

to scalars

But still a lingering problem, the lightness of the Higgs...

_	Massless	Massive			
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Vector} \\ \textbf{A}_{\mu} \end{array}$	2 dof (+,-)	3 dof (+,0,-)	 2≠3 ✓ Massless vectors are save 2≠4 ✓ Massless fermions are save 		
Fermion (charged)	2 dof Ψ∟	4 dof Ψ⊾,ΨR			
Scalar	I dof	I dof	I=I Problem!	DANGER	
				Quantum fluctuations	

...demanding new-physics!

Main importance of the Higgs discovery:

With the Higgs, by measuring its properties , we have access to new & relevant information about BSMs



The Higgs is usually the most "sensitive" SM particle to new-physics













Rationale for a Higgs-coupling parametrization

Rationale for a Higgs-coupling parametrization

Integrating out new-physics in a generic BSM:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} = \frac{\Lambda^4}{g_*^2} \mathcal{L} \left(\frac{D_{\mu}}{\Lambda} , \frac{g_* H}{\Lambda} , \frac{g_* f_{L,R}}{\Lambda^{3/2}} , \frac{g F_{\mu\nu}}{\Lambda^2} \right)$$

 g_* = coupling that can be as large as ~4 π

 Λ = new-physics scale

Generic case difficult to be treated extra assumption needed!

1) Quite conservatively, we can assume $\Lambda \gg E$, m_h

(extra light matter, weakly coupled to the Higgs, can also be easily incorporated)



Up to $O(h^3)$, $O(h\partial^2 V^2)$ and $O(hVf^2)$

(assuming CP-conservation)



We can also expand in the Higgs field (and other SM fields):



We can also expand in the Higgs field (and other SM fields):

 \blacktriangleright Not <u>all type</u> of Higgs couplings can arise from \mathcal{L}_6 !

There are plenty of correlations among possible deviations this is the <u>important information</u> to extract



Higgs couplings

(assuming CP-conservation)

independent from other SM couplings

$$\mathcal{L}_{h}^{\text{primary}} = g_{VV}^{h} h \left[W^{+\mu} W_{\mu}^{-} + \frac{1}{2c_{\theta_{W}}^{2}} Z^{\mu} Z_{\mu} \right] + \frac{1}{6} g_{3h} h^{3} + g_{ff}^{h} \left(h \bar{f}_{L} f_{R} + h.c. \right) + \kappa_{GG} \frac{h}{2v} G^{A \,\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^{A} + \kappa_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{h}{2v} A^{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} + \kappa_{Z\gamma} \frac{h}{v} A^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} ,$$

correlated to other SM couplings

indirectly "measured"

8 Primary Higgs couplings related to 8 dim-six operators with |H|²

(on the vacuum $|H|^2 = v^2$, they give a SM operator)



Other reason why primary Higgs couplings are the most important ones:

<u>Receive the largest contributions from main BSM</u>

Expected largest corrections to Higgs couplings in BSM scenarios:

	hff	hVV	hγγ	hγZ	hGG	h ³
MSSM	\checkmark					\checkmark
NMSSM	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
PGB Composite	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark
SUSY Composite	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark
SUSY partly-composite			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
"Bosonic TC"						\checkmark
Higgs as a dilaton			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

We have specific patterns!

Higgs couplings

(assuming CP-conservation)

Almost all Higgs primaries have been measured at the LHC (the "kappas"):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{h}^{\text{primary}} &= \left(g_{VV}^{h}h\left[W^{+\mu}W_{\mu}^{-} + \frac{1}{2c_{\theta_{W}}^{2}}Z^{\mu}Z_{\mu}\right] + \frac{1}{6}g_{3h}h^{3} + g_{ff}^{h}\left(h\bar{f}_{L}f_{R} + h.c.\right)\right) \\ &+ \left(\kappa_{GG}\frac{h}{2v}G^{A\,\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu}^{A} + \kappa_{\gamma\gamma}\frac{h}{2v}A^{\mu\nu}A_{\mu\nu} + \kappa_{Z\gamma}\frac{h}{v}A^{\mu\nu}Z_{\mu\nu}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\mathcal{L}_{h} &= \delta g_{ZZ}^{h}h\frac{Z^{\mu}Z_{\mu}}{2c_{\theta_{W}}^{2}} + g_{Zff}^{h}\frac{h}{2v}\left(Z_{\mu}J_{N}^{\mu} + h.c.\right) + g_{Wff'}^{h}\frac{h}{v}\left(W_{\mu}^{+}J_{C}^{\mu} + h.c.\right) \end{aligned}$$

+ $\kappa_{WW} \frac{h}{v} W^{+\mu\nu} W^{-}_{\mu\nu} + \kappa_{ZZ} \frac{h}{2v} Z^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu}$,

correlated to other SM couplings

indirectly "measured"













Higgs couplings

(assuming CP-conservation)

Only two remain to be measured:

$$\mathcal{L}_{h}^{\text{primary}} = g_{VV}^{h} h \left[W^{+\mu} W_{\mu}^{-} + \frac{1}{2c_{\theta_{W}}^{2}} Z^{\mu} Z_{\mu} \right] + \frac{1}{6} g_{3\nu} h^{3} + g_{ff}^{h} (h\bar{f}_{L}f_{R} + h.c.)$$

$$+ \kappa_{GG} \frac{h}{2v} G^{A\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^{A} + \kappa_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{h}{2v} A^{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} + \kappa_{Z} \sqrt{\frac{h}{v}} A^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} \right]$$

$$h \rightarrow Z\gamma$$

$$\Delta \mathcal{L}_{h} = \delta g_{ZZ}^{h} h \frac{Z^{\mu} Z_{\mu}}{2c_{\theta_{W}}^{2}} + g_{Zff}^{h} \frac{h}{2v} (Z_{\mu} J_{N}^{\mu} + h.c.) + g_{Wff'}^{h} \frac{h}{v} (W_{\mu}^{+} J_{C}^{\mu} + h.c.)$$

$$+ \kappa_{WW} \frac{h}{v} W^{+\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu}^{-} + \kappa_{ZZ} \frac{h}{2v} Z^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu},$$

correlated to other SM couplings

indirectly "measured"

Impact on BSM from Higgs coupling measurements

 Today, as Higgs coupling measurements agree with the SM, we only place bounds on new-physics

The Higgs is our best weapon of BSM mass-destruction

Higgs coupling measurements are already ruling out regions of the MSSM parameter space





observed (expected) 95% CL upper limit of $\xi < 0.12 (0.29)$ MCHM4 $\xi < 0.15 (0.20)$ MCHM5

The "space" of natural BSMs can have a large "cartography" PGB Composite Higgs Mostly-uncharted territory Susy + TeV Strong dynamics motivated to keep naturalness in the absence of superpartners below TeV and mh~125 GeV (hard susy-breaking effects?) **Elementary Higgs** (SUSY)

Possibilities:

1) Strong-sector with accidental ("emergent") supersymmetry delivering a composite-susy light Higgs ($m_h \ll \Lambda \sim \text{TeV}$)

T.Gherghetta, AP 03, R. Sundrum 04, M.Redi, B.Gripaios 10

2) MSSM Higgs coupled to a TeV strong-sector breaking Susy:

$$g_i \int d^2\theta \ H_i \mathcal{O}_i$$

A. Azatov, J. Galloway and M.A. Luty 12

T. Gherghetta, AP 11

that could also break the EW symmetry

similarity with Bosonic TC M.Dine, A.Kagan, S. Samuel 90

3) Higgs as a dilaton: $v = f_{\text{dilation}}$ (associated to the breaking of scale invariance)

2) Higgs coupled to a TeV strong-sector breaking also EW symmetry (Bosonic TechniColor (TC)): M.Din

M.Dine,A.Kagan,S. Samuel 90 A.Azatov, J.Galloway and M.A. Luty 12 T. Gherghetta, AP 11

 Important: Invalidates the EFT description, since new source of EWSB other than the Higgs:

> The BSM has heavy tachyons! It is non-decoupling!

 → the Higgs VEV

 is induced from a
 mixing to the TC sector

 Still small deformations of hVV & hff Higgs couplings, if the Higgs has a small mixing with the TC sector



 $\Delta \mathcal{L}_{h} = \delta g_{ZZ}^{h} h \frac{Z^{\mu} Z_{\mu}}{2c_{\theta_{TAT}}^{2}}$

 $\Delta \mathcal{L}_{h} = \frac{\delta g_{ZZ}^{h}}{2c_{\theta_{W}}^{2}} + \frac{g_{Zff}^{h}}{2v} \left(Z_{\mu}J_{N}^{\mu} + h.c.\right) + \frac{g_{Wff'}^{h}}{v} \left(W_{\mu}^{+}J_{C}^{\mu} + h.c.\right)$

contact interactions







remember that BSM effects here are <u>not</u> independent from effects to other couplings!

All can be written as a function of contributions to other couplings:

$$\begin{split} \delta g_{ZZ}^{h} &= 2gt_{\theta_{W}}^{2}m_{W}\left(c_{\theta_{W}}^{2}\delta g_{1}^{2}-\delta \kappa_{\gamma}\right), \\ \delta g_{ff}^{H} &= 2\delta g_{ff}^{2}-2\delta g_{1}^{2}\left(g_{ff}^{Z}c_{2\theta_{W}}+g_{ff}^{\gamma}s_{2\theta_{W}}\right)+2\delta \kappa_{\gamma}Y_{f}\frac{es_{\theta_{W}}}{c_{\theta_{W}}^{3}}, \\ g_{Wff'}^{h} &= 2\delta g_{ff}^{W}-2\delta g_{1}^{2}g_{ff'}^{W}c_{\theta_{W}}^{2}, \\ \kappa_{ZZ} &= \frac{1}{c_{\theta_{W}}^{2}}\delta \kappa_{\gamma}+2\frac{c_{2\theta_{W}}}{s_{2\theta_{W}}}\kappa_{Z\gamma}+\kappa_{\gamma\gamma}, \\ \end{split}$$

 $\kappa_{\gamma\gamma}\,,\,\kappa_{Z\gamma}$

All can be written as a function of contributions to other couplings:

$$\begin{split} \delta g_{ZZ}^{h} &= 2gt_{\theta_{W}}^{2}m_{W}\left(c_{\theta_{W}}^{2}\delta g_{1}^{Z}-\delta\kappa_{\gamma}\right), \\ g_{Zff}^{h} &= 2\delta g_{ff}^{Z}-2\delta g_{1}^{Z}(g_{ff}^{Z}c_{2\theta_{W}}+g_{ff}^{\gamma}s_{2\theta_{W}})+2\delta\kappa_{\gamma}Y_{f}\frac{es_{\theta_{W}}}{c_{\theta_{W}}^{3}}, \\ \kappa_{ZZ} &= \frac{1}{c_{\theta_{W}}^{2}}\delta\kappa_{\gamma}+2\frac{c_{2\theta_{W}}}{s_{2\theta_{W}}}\kappa_{Z\gamma}+\kappa_{\gamma\gamma}, \\ \end{split}$$



For example:

Breaking of custodial in $h \rightarrow ZZ^*,WW^*$:

$$\lambda_{WZ}^{2} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(h \to WW^{(*)})}{\Gamma^{\text{SM}}(h \to WW^{(*)})} \frac{\Gamma^{\text{SM}}(h \to ZZ^{(*)})}{\Gamma(h \to ZZ^{(*)})}$$
prediction from $\mathcal{L}_{6: \text{ arXiv:I} 308.2803}$

$$\lambda_{WZ}^{2} - 1 \approx 0.6 \,\delta g_{\text{I}}^{\text{Z}} - 0.5 \,\delta \text{K}_{\text{Y}} - 1.6 \,\text{K}_{\text{Z}\text{Y}}$$

For example:

Breaking of custodial in $h \rightarrow ZZ^*,WW^*$:





A

W,Z

$$\mathcal{M}_{hVff}(q,p) = \frac{1}{v} \epsilon^{*\mu}(q) J_{V}^{\nu}(p) \left[A^{V} \eta_{\mu\nu} + B^{V} \left(p \cdot q \eta_{\mu\nu} - p_{\mu} q_{\nu} \right) + C^{V} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p^{\rho} q^{\sigma} \right]$$

$$A^{V} = a^{V} + \hat{a}^{V} \frac{m_{V}^{2}}{p^{2} - m_{V}^{2}}, \quad B^{V} = b^{V} \frac{1}{p^{2} - m_{V}^{2}} + \hat{b}^{V} \frac{1}{p^{2}}$$
one-to-one correspondence
with Higgs couplings
$$\mathbf{hV}_{\mu} \mathbf{J}^{\mu} \quad \mathbf{hV}^{\mu} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \quad \mathbf{hV}^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{V}_{\mu\nu} \quad \mathbf{hZ}^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{A}_{\mu\nu}$$



A

W,Z

$$\mathcal{M}_{hVff}(q,p) = \frac{1}{v} \epsilon^{*\mu}(q) J_{V}^{\nu}(p) \left[A^{V} \eta_{\mu\nu} + B^{V} \left(p \cdot q \eta_{\mu\nu} - p_{\mu} q_{\nu} \right) + C^{V} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p^{\rho} q^{\sigma} \right]$$

$$A^{V} = a^{V} + \hat{q}^{V} \frac{m_{V}^{2}}{p^{2} - m_{V}^{2}}, \quad B^{V} = b^{V} \frac{1}{p^{2} - m_{V}^{2}} + \hat{b}^{V} \frac{1}{p^{2}}$$
one-to-one correspondence
with Higgs couplings
$$h \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \mathbf{J}^{\mu} \quad h \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \quad h \mathbf{V}^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{V}_{\mu\nu} \quad h \mathbf{Z}^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{A}_{\mu\nu}$$
enhanced at high-energies = ideal for the LHC!

0

Example: $pp \rightarrow Vh$:





Can do better than indirect measurements (non-Higgs measurements)?



bounds must be combined!

What **BSMs** can we probe here?

BSMs where fermions and Higgs belong to a strong sector at ~TeV



<u>Consistent picture</u>: the strong sector can have accidental symmetries that do not allow for SM couplings, e.g., $H \rightarrow H+c$ & flavor sym. \Rightarrow interactions arise from higher-dimensional operators

Small breaking of these symmetries could generate the SM couplings (Yukawa & Higgs potential)
 SM <u>fermions</u> and <u>Higgs</u> appear "accidentally" weakly-coupled at low-energies

To probe this type of scenarios we must scatter fermions and Higgs at high-energies:



However, not clear that Higgs physics is the best place to look, as we also expect:



Conclusions

- At the end of the LEP era, the precise measurements of Z couplings led to strong constraints on BSM
 mainly characterized by the S & T parameters
- At the LHC, Higgs couplings afford new and even more interesting probes of BSMs, mainly the primary Higgs-couplings

At present, Higgs physics plays already an important role in BSM destruction

Highest motivation to measure these couplings better and better

 Beyond them, the LHC high-energy regime affords new probes for new (more exotic) BSM in Vh (and VV) associated production