Signal classification and event reconstruction for acoustic neutrino detection in sea water

Dominik Kießling ARENA Groningen, 09.06.2016









Acoustic signals from Neutrinos





Spatial distribution of transient background





Simulation studies

- 24 Lines ~ KM3NeT-Phase 1 Italian site
- 100 Lines ~ KM3NeT Building Block





Simulated Events



- Neutrinos (Energy 10¹⁸ 10²¹ eV)
- Signals of the positioning system
- Spherically emitting sound sources
- Random coincidences



Characteristic traits of neutrino signatures

- Good candidates for machine learning features are (in total 16):
 - Singular values from distribution of hits in detector (Pancake reconstruction as by-product)
 - Correlation coefficient of the amplitude and the distance to the pancake
 - "likelihood" of the event
 - Distance of the sensors from plane
- "Boosted Decision Trees" (bdt) well suited from OpenCV*
 - Recognition rates ~99%



*http://opencv.org



Events rates and cascading classifiers

- Rates derived from AMADEUS data
- Reduction to less than 1 event/yr neccessary

Stage	Events/year
Sources with pos. reco	10 000 000
BIP-Classification	315 000
Clustering	63 000
Pancake-bdt	12.6
Pancake-cascade	7

- Cascading classifiers improves background suppresion (here bdt, decision tree and random forest)
- 98% of triggered neutrinos pass whole chain



Energy threshold

Number of triggered hydrophones



• Energy threshold ≈5.10¹⁸ eV



Angular resolution of the direction from the SVD



- Discriminating between up- and down-going events not possible
- Assumption: all neutrinos are downgoing
- Error region around the horizon [+5°,-5°] (depending on energy)



Probability for a neutrino to reach 1500m depth in water



Earth is opaque for ultra high energy neutrino

Ambiguity only causes minimal problems



Energy estimate as feature for the classification

- Vertex and direction of the neutrino used as input
- Average amplitude at vertex used in linear regression
- Error can become very large, overestimating the energy several oders of magnitude if the direction is a few degrees off



Requires a combined fit for vertex, direction and energy!



Toy Monte Carlo for the fit

- Generating events has to be fast
- Create event using position, direction and shower energy
- Peak to peak amplitude from simple linear function
- Arrival time and amplitude at the hydrophones from position and direction





Combined fit

- Generate an event from the toy MC
- Define a function to minimize:

$$f = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{\left(t_{i} - t_{i}^{rec}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{t}^{2}} + \Delta A_{i}^{2} \right)$$

- *t_i* : arrival time at hydrophone i
- *a_i*: peak to peak amplitude at hydrophone i
- σ : weights of the values
- Amplitude depends on all values, time only on vertex position
- Use Minuit to find vertex, shower direction and shower energy



Reconstructed Amplitude



Results of the combined fit



- Average direction error 1.0° (90% Quantile: 2.3°)
- Average vertex error 250m (90% Quantile: 620m)
- Average energy error 30% (90% Quantile: 75%)



Energy reconstruction





Cuts on the quality parameters of the fit to improve background suppression

- Minuit gives error estimates for all fitted parameters
- Define cuts for these parameters to remove badly reconstructed events



- E.g. estimated error of the energy: Δ ≤ 0.5 E
- Removes a lot of falsely classified neutrinos
 - In a test run with 2 neutrinos and 120k background events, 1 neutrino and 1 falsely classified background event was found



Combined fit with the information of hydrophones NOT in the event

- If a sensor does not detect an event, then the amplitude was too small there
- This provides additional information for the fit

$$g = \sum_{i} \ln \left(0.5 - 0.5 \cdot \tanh \left(\frac{3 \cdot a_i^{rec}}{2 \cdot Noise} - 3 \right) \right)$$

- Use a linear combination of f and g for the minimization
- Improves reconstruction for some events
- Investigation ongoing whether this is a better reconstruction method

Logarithm of chance not to trigger (approximation)





Summary

- Signal classification for KM3NeT using the pancake is under investigation. Improvements by cascading machine learning algorithmns. Background rates reduced by 4 orders of magnitude
- Energy reconstruction requires combined fit of vertex, direction and energy. Achieves accuracy of 30% for the shower energy
- Cutting on the quality parameters of the combined fit improves background suppression by a factor of ~15



Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

Thank you for your attention!



Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung





Intrinsic variance

• Shower with 5e19 eV 200m from the sensor





Sensitivity of the Detectors (transient free limit)





Signal classification needed



- High rate of transient background with bipolar pulses, from e.g. whales and dolphins
- Additional background suppression is necessary

- Acoustic signal from neutrinos is emitted in a O(20 m) thick plane
- Most background is emitted as spherical waves
- Use the sound propagation geometry as classification for the signals in a large volume acoustic detector

Ο



Error of the zenith angle reconstruction for a building block (no earth model)



Reconstructed Zenith angle of the shower

Earth model is not included to emphasize the effect!



Error of the zenith angle reconstruction for a building block (WITH earth model)

Reconstructed Zenith angle of the shower

