Dark matter (astro)physics: a theorist's perspective

Paolo Gondolo University of Utah

Dark matter (astro)physics

- Fifty shades of dark
- The forbidden fruit
- Confusion of the mind
- That which does not kill us makes us stronger

Fifty shades of dark



Large Scale Structure

Cosmic Microwave Background

Galaxy Clusters



Galaxies spin faster or are hotter than gravity of visible mass can support (rotation curves, velocity dispersion)



Dwarf galaxies are dominated by dark matter.

40 20

0

-20

-40

-60

40

20

-20

-40

-60



Large Scale Structure

Cosmic Microwave Background

Galaxy clusters are mostly invisible mass (motion of galaxies, gas density and temperature, gravitational lensing)











Cosmic Microwave Background

An invisible mass makes the Cosmic

into galaxies (CMB and matter power

spectra, or correlation functions)

Microwave Background fluctuations grow

Galaxy Clusters

Evidence for cold dark matter Cosmic Microwave

Background fluctuations



linear perturbation theory

general relativity and statistical mechanics at $10^4 \text{ K} \sim 1 \text{ eV/k}$

	Planck+WP+highL+BAO	
Parameter	Best fit	68% limits
$\overline{\Omega_{ m b}h^2}$	0.022161	0.02214 ± 0.00024
$\Omega_{\rm c} h^2$	0.11889	0.1187 ± 0.0017
$100\theta_{\rm MC}$	1.04148	1.04147 ± 0.00056
τ	0.0952	0.092 ± 0.013
$n_{\rm s}$	0.9611	0.9608 ± 0.0054
$\ln(10^{10}A_s)$	3.0973	3.091 ± 0.025
$\overline{\Omega_{\Lambda}}$	0.6914	0.692 ± 0.010
σ_8	0.8288	0.826 ± 0.012
$Z_{\rm re}$	11.52	11.3 ± 1.1
H_0	67.77	67.80 ± 0.77
Age/Gyr	13.7965	13.798 ± 0.037
$100\theta_*$	1.04163	1.04162 ± 0.00056
<i>r</i> _{drag}	147.611	147.68 ± 0.45

Planck (2013)



radiation $p=\rho/$ vacuum $p=-\rho$

Planck (2015) TT,TE,EE+lowP+lensing+ext $1 \text{ pJ} = 10^{-12} \text{ J}$ $\rho_{\text{crit}} = 1.68829 \ h^2 \text{ pJ/m}^3$

Evidence for nonbaryonic cold dark matter



Matter fluctuations uncoupled to the plasma can gravitationally grow into galaxies in the given 13 Gyr Dark matter is non-baryonic More than 80% of all matter does not couple to the primordial plasma! SDSS



Evidence for nonbaryonic cold dark matter

BIG BANG NUCLEOSYNTHESIS

- The baryon-to-photon ratio has been the same since ~1 minute after the Big Bang.
- Baryons are \approx 15.7% of the mass in matter.



Is dark matter an elementary particle?



No known particle can be nonbaryonic cold dark matter!

Particle dark matter

- SM neutrinos
- lightest supersymmetric particle
- lightest Kaluza-Klein particle
- sterile neutrinos, gravitinos
- Bose-Einstein condensates, axions, axion clusters
- solitons (Q-balls, B-balls, ...)
- supermassive wimpzillas

Mass range $10^{-22} \text{ eV} (10^{-56}\text{g}) \text{ B.E.C.s}$ $10^{-8} M_{\odot} (10^{+25}\text{g})$ axion clusters



Interaction strength range

Only gravitational: wimpzillas Strongly interacting: B-balls

QCD Axions

QCD axions as dark matter

Hot

Produced thermally in early universe

Important for $m_a > 0.1 eV$ ($f_a < 10^8$), mostly excluded by astrophysics

Cold

Produced by coherent field oscillations around mimimum of $V(\theta)$ (Vacuum realignment)

Produced by decay of topological defects (Axionic string decays) Still a very complicated and uncertain calculation! e.g. Harimatsu et al 2012

QCD axions as cold dark matter PQ_symmetry breaks before inflation ends PQ_simmetry breaks after inflation ends PQ symmetry breaking scale 10¹⁸ $\theta_i = 0.0001$ $\theta_i = 0.001$ 10¹⁶ Axion Isocurvature **Fluctuations** $\theta_i = 0.01$ f_a [GeV] 10¹⁴ 10⁻⁶ $\theta_i = 0.1$ m_{a} axion 10¹² ADMX · $\Omega_a > \Omega_c$ $\theta_i = 1$ Fraction of axion 10¹⁰ 10^{-1} density from decays of topological defects arfs Cooling Time 10⁸ White Dw 10⁸ 10¹² 10^{4} 10^{6} 10¹⁰ 10^{14} $m_a = (71 \pm 2) \,\mu \text{eV} \,(1 + \alpha_{\text{d}})^{6/7}$ H_I [GeV] Expansion rate at end of inflation

Visinelli, Gondolo 2009, 2014

Neutrinos

Heavy active neutrinos

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

VOLUME 39

25 JULY 1977

NUMBER 4

Cosmological Lower Bound on Heavy-Neutrino Masses

Benjamin W. Lee^(a) Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory,^(b) Batavia, Illinois 60510

and

Steven Weinberg^(c) Stanford University, Physics Department, Stanford, California 94305 (Received 13 May 1977)

The present cosmic mass density of possible stable neutral heavy leptons is calculated in a standard cosmological model. In order for this density not to exceed the upper limit of 2×10^{-29} g/cm³, the lepton mass would have to be *greater* than a lower bound of the order of 2 GeV.

2 GeV/ c^2 for $\Omega_c = 1$

Now 4 GeV/ c^2 for Ω_c =0.25

Cosmic density of massive neutrinos



Sterile neutrino dark matter

Standard model + right-handed neutrinos

Active and sterile neutrinos oscillate into each other.

Sterile neutrinos can be warm dark matter (mass > 0.3 keV)

Dodelson, Widrow 1994; Shi, Fuller 1999; Laine, Shaposhnikov 2008



vMSM Laine, Shaposhnikov 2008 **Supersymmetric particles**

Supersymmetric dark matter

Neutralinos (the most fashionable/studied WIMP)

Goldberg 1983; Ellis, Hagelin, Nanopoulos, Olive, Srednicki 1984; etc.

Sneutrinos (also WIMPs)

Falk, Olive, Srednicki 1994; Asaka, Ishiwata, Moroi 2006; McDonald 2007; Lee, Matchev, Nasri 2007; Deppisch, Pilaftsis 2008; Cerdeno, Munoz, Seto 2009; Cerdeno, Seto 2009; etc.

Gravitinos (SuperWIMPs)

Feng, Rajaraman, Takayama 2003; Ellis, Olive, Santoso, Spanos 2004; Feng, Su, Takayama, 2004; etc.

Axinos (SuperWIMPs)

Tamvakis, Wyler 1982; Nilles, Raby 1982; Goto, Yamaguchi 1992; Covi, Kim, Kim, Roszkowski 2001; Covi, Roszkowski, Ruiz de Austri, Small 2004; etc.

Neutralino dark matter: impact of LHC

Cahill-Rowell et al 1305.6921

"the only pMSSM models remaining [with neutralino being 100% of CDM] are those with bino coannihilation" pMSSM (phenomenological MSSM) $\mu, m_A, \tan \beta, A_b, A_t, A_\tau, M_1, M_2, M_3,$ $m_{Q_1}, m_{Q_3}, m_{u_1}, m_{d_1}, m_{u_3}, m_{d_3},$ $m_{L_1}, m_{L_3}, m_{e_1}, m_{e_3}$ (19 parameters)



Neutralino dark matter: impact of LHC

The CMSSM* is in dire straights, but there are many supersymmetric models



*Constrained Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model

Neutralino dark matter: impact of LHC

The CMSSM* is in dire straights, but there are many supersymmetric models



*Constrained Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model

The forbidden fruit

Searches for particle dark matter







Dark matter creation with particle accelerators

Searching for the conversion protons \rightarrow energy \rightarrow dark matter





The ATLAS detector

Particle production at the Large Hadron Collider

Higgs-portal dark matter: impact of LHC

Discovery of 125 GeV Higgs boson constrains models with Higgs boson mediator between dark and ordinary matter



Djouadi, Falkowski, Mambrini, Quevillon 2012

Indirect detection of particle dark matter

The principle

Dark matter particles transform into ordinary particles, which are then detected or inferred

Indirect detection of particle dark matter

The principle

Dark matter particles transform into ordinary particles, which are then detected or inferred



Neutrinos from WIMP annihilation in the Sun

Best limits on WIMP-proton spin-dependent scattering cross section



Aarsten et al (IceCube) 2012

Indirect detection of particle dark matter

The principle

Dark matter particles transform into ordinary particles, which are then detected or inferred

Gunn, Lee, Lerche, Schramm, Steigman 1978; Stecker 1978







HEAT BESS

AMS

GAPS

PAMELA

The principle of direct detection

Dark matter particles that arrive on Earth scatter off nuclei in a detector

Goodman, Witten 1985





Low-background underground detector
Direct WIMP searches (2015)



Billard et al 2013, Snowmass 2013, LUX 2013, SuperCDMS 2014

Direct WIMP searches (2015)

Spin-dependent interactions



Expected event rate is small

Expected WIMP spectrum



~l event/kg/year (nuclear recoils)

Expected event rate is small

Measured Expected WIMP spectrum banana spectrum 1 × 10⁻³ Hoeling et al Am.J.Phys. 1999, 67, 440. 1200 Mass = 20 GeVWith Banana 1000 $\sigma_{N,SI} = 10^{-45} \text{ cm}^2$ ⁴⁰K 0.8 10 zeptobarn dR/dE [kg keV d]⁻¹ 6 70 800 Counts 600 400 200 0.2 Without Banana 0 00 720 760 800 600 680 640 10 20 30 40 E [keV] **Channel Number**

~I event/kg/year (nuclear recoils) ~100 events/kg/second (electron recoils)

Expected event rate is small



~I event/kg/year (nuclear recoils) ~100 events/kg/second (electron recoils)

Confusion of the mind

Evidence for cold dark matter particles?

GeV γ -rays



Hooper et al 2009-14

3.5 keV X-ray line



Bulbul et al 2014

Annual modulation

Drukier, Freese, Spergel 1986



Positron excess



Adriani et al 2009; Ackerman et al 2011; Aguilar et al 2013

Gamma-rays from dark matter?

Gamma-rays from dark matter

a

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma - \operatorname{ray} \\ \operatorname{flux} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{particle} \\ \operatorname{physics} \end{pmatrix} \times (\operatorname{astrophysics})$$

nnihilation
$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{d\Omega \, dE} = \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{8\pi m_{\chi}^2} \frac{dN_{\gamma}}{dE} \times \int_{\operatorname{l.o.s}} \rho^2 \, ds$$
$$\operatorname{decay} \quad \frac{d^2 \phi}{d\Omega \, dE} = \frac{1}{4\pi \tau_{\chi} m_{\chi}} \frac{dN_{\gamma}}{dE} \times \int_{\operatorname{l.o.s}} \rho \, ds$$

Gamma-rays from WIMP annihilation

, J factor



Kuhlen, Diemand, Madau 2007

Gamma-rays from dark matter

Astrophysical uncertainty in the J factors of dwarf spheroidals



Geringer-Sameth, Koushiappas, Walker 1408.0002

Large statistical and systematic uncertainties

1 GeV gamma-ray excess?

Goodenough, Hooper;Vitale, Morselli et al 2009; Hooper, Goodenough; Boyarsky, Malyshev, Ruchayskiy; Hooper, Linden 2011; Abazajian, Kaplinghat 2012; Gordon, Macias 2013; Abazajian, Canac, Horiuchi, Kaplinghat; Daylan et al; Calore, Cholis, Weniger 2014



Fit model of known emission. Find residual.





Vitale, Morselli et al 2009

Daylan et al 2014

Murgia et al 2014

1 GeV gamma-ray excess?

Dark matter annihilation

Goodenough, Hooper 2014; Hooper, Goodenough; Hooper, Linden 2011; Abazajian, Kaplinghat 2012; Abazajian, Canac, Horiuchi, Kaplinghat; Daylan et al; Calore, Cholis, Weniger 2014; Possible for specific WIMP and dark halo models

Millisecond pulsars

Wang et al 2005; Abazajian 2011; Gordon, Macias 2013; Hooper et al 2013; Yuan, Zhang 2014; Calore et al 2014; Cholis et al 2014; Petrovic et al 2014; Lee et al 2014; Bartels et al 2014

Can be tested by one-point statistics or wavelet analysis





1 GeV gamma-ray excess?

Dark matter or point sources?



Gamma-rays from dark matter

Upper limits on the WIMP annihilation cross section from dwarf spheroidal galaxies and Galactic Center



Gamma-rays from dark matter

Upper limits on the WIMP annihilation cross section from dwarf spheroidal galaxies and Galactic Center



Positrons from dark matter?

Excess in cosmic ray positrons

High energy cosmic ray positrons are more than expected



Adriani et al. [PAMELA ,2008

Ackernmann et al [Fermi-LAT] 2011



0 1 10 10² 10³ Energy [GeV]

Accardo et al [AMS-02] 2014

Excess in cosmic ray positrons

Dark matter? **Pulsars**? Secondaries from extra primaries?





E(GeV`

Blasi 2009

+

Grasso et al [Fermi-LAT] 2009

Excess in cosmic ray positrons

The safe way: use the AMS spectrum purely as upper limit on positrons from WIMP dark matter.



Bergstrom et al 2013

X-rays from dark matter?

X-rays from dark matter?

An unidentified 3.5 keV X-ray line has been reported in stacked images of 73 galaxy clusters and in the Andromeda galaxy

Boyarsky et al 2014



Bulbul et al 2014

X-rays from dark matter?

Radiative decay of sterile neutrinos $\nu_s \rightarrow \gamma \nu_a$

X-ray line
$$E_{\gamma} = rac{1}{2}m_s$$

 $m_v = 7.1 \text{ keV}$ $\sin^2(2\theta) = 7 \times 10^{-11}$



Laine, Shaposhnikov 2008

Direct detection of dark matter?

Annual modulation in direct detection

• The revolution of the Earth around the Sun modulates the WIMP event rate

Drukier, Freese, Spergel 1986



• DAMA observes such kind of modulation



Direct evidence for dark matter particles?

The DAMA signal seems incompatible with other experiments



DAMA modulation

Model Independent Annual Modulation Result

DAMA/Nal + DAMA/LIBRA-phase1 Total exposure: 487526 kg×day = 1.33 ton×yr





No systematics or side reaction able to account for the measured modulation amplitude and to satisfy all the peculiarities of the signature

Comparison between **single hit residual rate (red points)** and **multiple hit residual rate (green points)**; Clear modulation in the single hit events; No modulation in the residual rate of the multiple hit events **A=-(0.0005±0.0004) cpd/kg/keV**



The data favor the presence of a modulated behaviour with all the proper features for DM particles in the galactic halo at about 9.2σ C.L.

DAMA modulation

Model Independent Annual Modulation Result

DAMA/Nal + DAMA/LIBRA-phase1 Total exposure: 487526 kg×day = 1.33 ton×yr



No systematics or side processes able to quantitatively account for the measured modulation amplitude and to simultaneously satisfy the many peculiarities of the signature are available.

DAMA modulation

Model Independent Annual Modulation Result



- No
- Nd
- Nc ev
- R(t)
- here
- S_m (cpd/kg/keV)

"Public? What does it mean?"

Pierluigi Belli at IDM2014

amplitude and to simulaneously satisfy the many peculiarities of the signature are available.



That which does not kill us makes us stronger

Make no assumptions

All particle physics models

- Consider all possible interactions between dark matter and standard model particles
- This program has been carried out in some limits (e.g., non-relativistic conditions, heavy mediators)

All astrophysical models

- Halo-independent methods of analysis have been developed
- Ideally they require no assumption on the astrophysical density and velocity distributions of dark matter particles

All particle physics models

Write down and analyze all possible WIMP interactions with ordinary matter

Effective operators

if mediator mass >> exchanged energy



Four-particle effective operator

There are many possible operators. Interference is important although often, but not always, neglected. Long(ish) distance interactions are not included.

Effective operators: LHC & direct detection

Name	Operator	Coefficient
D1	$ar\chi\chiar q q$	m_q/M_*^3
D2	$ar{\chi}\gamma^5\chiar{q}q$	im_q/M_*^3
D3	$ar{\chi}\chiar{q}\gamma^5 q$	im_q/M_*^3
D4	$ar{\chi}\gamma^5\chiar{q}\gamma^5q$	m_q/M_*^3
D5	$\bar{\chi}\gamma^{\mu}\chi\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}q$	$1/M_{*}^{2}$
D6	$\bar{\chi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{5}\chi\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}q$	$1/M_{*}^{2}$
D7	$\bar{\chi}\gamma^{\mu}\chi\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma^{5}q$	$1/M_{*}^{2}$
D8	$\bar{\chi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{5}\chi\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma^{5}q$	$1/M_{*}^{2}$
D9	$\bar{\chi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\chi\bar{q}\sigma_{\mu\nu}q$	$1/M_{*}^{2}$
D10	$\bar{\chi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^5\chi\bar{q}\sigma_{lphaeta}q$	i/M_*^2
D11	$\bar{\chi}\chi G_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu}$	$\alpha_s/4M_*^3$
D12	$\bar{\chi}\gamma^5\chi G_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu}$	$i\alpha_s/4M_*^3$
D13	$\bar{\chi}\chi G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$	$i\alpha_s/4M_*^3$
D14	$\bar{\chi}\gamma^5\chi G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$	$\alpha_s/4M_*^3$

Name	Operator	Coefficient
C1	$\chi^\dagger\chiar q q$	m_q/M_*^2
C2	$\chi^{\dagger}\chi ar{q}\gamma^5 q$	im_q/M_*^2
C3	$\chi^\dagger \partial_\mu \chi \bar q \gamma^\mu q$	$1/M_{*}^{2}$
C4	$\chi^{\dagger}\partial_{\mu}\chi\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{5}q$	$1/M_{*}^{2}$
C5	$\chi^{\dagger}\chi G_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu}$	$\alpha_s/4M_*^2$
C6	$\chi^{\dagger}\chi G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$	$i\alpha_s/4M_*^2$
$\mathbf{R1}$	$\chi^2 ar q q$	$m_q/2M_*^2$
R2	$\chi^2 ar q \gamma^5 q$	$im_q/2M_*^2$
R3	$\chi^2 G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}$	$\alpha_s/8M_*^2$
R4	$\chi^2 G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$	$i\alpha_s/8M_*^2$

Table of effective operators relevant for the collider/direct detection connection *Goodman, Ibe, Rajaraman, Shepherd, Tait, Yu 2010*

Effective operators: LHC & direct detection

LHC limits on WIMP-quark and WIMP-gluon interactions are competitive with direct searches

Beltran et al, Agrawal et al., Goodman et al., Bai et al., 2010; Goodman et al., Rajaraman et al. Fox et al., 2011; Cheung et al., Fitzptrick et al., March-Russel et al., Fox et al., 2012.....





Complete theories contain sums of operators (interference) and not-so-heavy mediators (Higgs)

Fox, Harnik, Primulando, Yu 2012

Effective operators: direct detection

All short-distance operators classified

Fitzpatrick et al 2012

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{1}, & \vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \vec{S}_{N}, & v^{2}, & i(\vec{S}_{\chi} \times \vec{q}) \cdot \vec{v}, & i\vec{v} \cdot (\vec{S}_{N} \times \vec{q}), & (\vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \vec{q}) (\vec{S}_{N} \cdot \vec{q}) & i\vec{S}_{N} \cdot \vec{q}, & i\vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \vec{q}, \\ & \vec{v}^{\perp} \cdot \vec{S}_{\chi}, & \vec{v}^{\perp} \cdot \vec{S}_{N}, & i\vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot (\vec{S}_{N} \times \vec{q}). & (i\vec{S}_{N} \cdot \vec{q})(\vec{v}^{\perp} \cdot \vec{S}_{\chi}), & (i\vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \vec{q})(\vec{v}^{\perp} \cdot \vec{S}_{N}). \end{array}$

All nuclear form factors classified

Response $\times \left[\frac{4\pi}{2J_i+1}\right]^{-1}$	Leading Multipole	Long-wavelength Limit	Response Type
$\sum_{J=0.2}^{\infty} \langle J_i M_{JM} J_i \rangle ^2$	$M_{00}(q\vec{x}_i)$	$rac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}}1(i)$	M_{JM} : Charge
$\sum_{J=1,3,\ldots}^{3} \langle J_i \Sigma_{JM}'' J_i\rangle ^2$	$\Sigma_{1M}^{\prime\prime}(q\vec{x}_i)$	$rac{1}{2\sqrt{3\pi}}\sigma_{1M}(i)$	L_{JM}^5 : Axial Longitudinal
$\sum_{J=1,3,\dots}^{\infty} \langle J_i \Sigma'_{JM} J_i \rangle ^2$	$\Sigma'_{1M}(q\vec{x}_i)$	$rac{1}{\sqrt{6\pi}}\sigma_{1M}(i)$	$T_{JM}^{\rm el5}$: Axial Transverse Electric
$\sum_{J=1,3,\dots}^{\infty} \langle J_i \frac{q}{m_N} \Delta_{JM} J_i\rangle ^2$	$\frac{q}{m_N}\Delta_{1M}(q\vec{x}_i)$	$-rac{q}{2m_N\sqrt{6\pi}}\ell_{1M}(i)$	T_{JM}^{mag} : Transverse Magnetic
$\sum_{J=0,2,\dots}^{\infty} \langle J_i \frac{q}{m_N} \Phi_{JM}'' J_i\rangle ^2$	$\frac{q}{m_N}\Phi_{00}''(q\vec{x}_i)$	$-rac{q}{3m_N\sqrt{4\pi}}ec{\sigma}(i)\cdotec{\ell}(i)$	L_{JM} : Longitudinal
$\sum_{J=2,4,\ldots}^{\infty} \langle J_i \frac{q}{m_N} \tilde{\Phi}'_{JM} J_i\rangle ^2$	$\frac{\frac{q}{m_N}\Phi_{2M}''(q\vec{x}_i)}{\frac{q}{m_N}\tilde{\Phi}_{2M}'(q\vec{x}_i)}$	$\begin{vmatrix} -\frac{q}{m_N\sqrt{30\pi}} [x_i \otimes (\vec{\sigma}(i) \times \frac{1}{i}\vec{\nabla})_1]_{2M} \\ -\frac{q}{m_N\sqrt{20\pi}} [x_i \otimes (\vec{\sigma}(i) \times \frac{1}{i}\vec{\nabla})_1]_{2M} \end{vmatrix}$	$T_{JM}^{\rm el}$: Transverse Electric

nuclear oscillator model *Fitzpatrick et al 2012*
Effective operators: direct detection

Experimental limits on single operators...

Schneck et al (SuperCDMS) 2015



Effective operators: direct detection

Combined analysis of short-distance operators

Catena, Gondolo 2014



Effective operators: direct detection

Combined analysis of short-distance operators

Catena, Gondolo 2014



All astrophysics models

Do not assume any particular WIMP density or velocity distribution

DM-nucleus elastic scattering



Nuclear recoil

Astrophysics model

$$\begin{pmatrix} event \\ rate \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} detector \\ response \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} particle \\ physics \end{pmatrix} \times (astrophysics)$$

Dark matter flux on Earth

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Local halo density} \\ (\text{astrophysics}) = \eta(v_{\min}, t) \equiv \rho_{\chi} \int_{v > v_{\min}} \frac{f(\mathbf{v}, t)}{v} \, \mathrm{d}^{3}v \\ \hline \\ \text{Minimum WIMP speed to impart recoil energy } E_{R} \\ v_{\min} = (ME_{R}/\mu + \delta)/\sqrt{2ME_{R}} \end{array}$$

Astrophysics model: local density

The dark matter density near the Solar System is known reasonably well



Read at IDM 2014

Astrophysics model: velocity distribution

We know very little about the dark matter velocity distribution near the Sun





Odenkirchen et al 2002 (SDSS) Streams of stars have been observed in the galactic halo SDSS, 2MASS, SEGUE,.....

Cosmological N-Body simulations including baryons are challenging but underway

Astrophysics model: velocity distribution





Standard Halo Model

truncated Maxwellian

$$f(\vec{v}) = C e^{-|\vec{v} + \vec{v}_{\rm obs}|/\bar{v}_0^2} \Theta(v - v_{\rm esc})$$

The spherical cow of direct WIMP searches Gelmini

Agnese et al (SuperCDMS) 2014

Astrophysics-independent approach



Fox, Liu, Wiener 2011; Gondolo, Gelmini 2012; Del Nobile, Gelmini, Gondolo, Huh 2013-14

Astrophysics-independent approach

Gondolo Gelmini 2012

• The measured rate is a "weighted average" of the astrophysical factor.



• Every experiment is sensitive to a "window in velocity space."



Spin-independent isoscalar interactions

$$\sigma_{\chi A} = A^2 \sigma_{\chi p} \mu_{\chi A}^2 / \mu_{\chi p}^2$$



Astrophysics-independent approach

Halo modifications alone cannot save the SI signal regions from the Xe and Ge bounds

CDMS-Si event rate is similar to yearly modulated rates

Still depends on particle model

Del Nobile, Gelmini, Gondolo, Huh 2014

In the next episodes

In the next episodes..... DAMA's revenge?



In the next episodes..... Direct check on DAMA

Experiments have been proposed that can directly check the DAMA modulation using the same target material

DM-ICE, ANAIS, KIMS-Nal,...



In the next episodes..... Giant direct detectors

SuperCDMS, LZ, XENON1T, XENONnT, Darwin,



In the next episodes..... High-energy γ-rays



Doro, 2014

In the next episodes..... Precision cosmic rays

AMS (Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer)

Isotopic ratios measured to better than 1% precision up to Fe and ~100 GeV/nucleon allow for better Galactic cosmic ray models





In the next episodes..... Precision cosmic rays

For example, use of the new precise AMS-02 proton and helium spectra shows no unambiguous evidence for a significant antiproton excess over the expectation for secondary antiprotons.



Giesen et al 2015





University of Hawaii 1. Jaogle, S. Bass, S. Valsen*

MIT H. Chi, C. Descone, P. Fisher^a, S. Henderson, W. Koch, J. Lopez, H. Tomita

Royal Holloway (UK) 6. Drain, R. Eggleston, P. Giampa, J. Monzoe^a

al direct detection e direction of nuclear recoil

&D efforts

- DRIFT
- Dark Matter TPC
- NEWAGE
- MIMAC
- D3
- Emulsion Dark Matter Search
- Columnar recombination



DMTPC

Only ~ 10 events needed to confirm extraterrestrial signal

In the next episodes..... WIMP astronomy



Synopsis

- Fifty shades of dark
 - There is evidence for nonbaryonic cold dark matter.
 - There are many candidates for nonbaryonic dark matter particles.
- The forbidden fruit
 - Search DM particles through production, scattering, and annihilation/decay.
 - Interaction rates are very small. (No bananas in the lab.)
- Confusion of the mind
 - Some experiments claim dark matter detection while others exclude it.
- That which does not kill us makes us stronger
 - Move to consider all possible WIMP-SM currents.
 - Do not assume any specific dark halo model.
- In the next episodes
 - DAMA vs giant direct detectors, γ-rays, precision cosmic rays, WIMP astronomy, etc.