Modification of the energy and spatial spectra of relativistic LPI electrons by front-surface target structures





RR Freeman Scarlet Laser Facility The Ohio State University hedp.osu.edu





Ohio Supercomputer Center Empower. Partner. Lead.



Acknowledgements



S. Jiang, L. L. Ji, J. Snyder, K. M. George, D. W. Schumacher, K. U. Akli



A. G. Krygier

HUNDER CHNOLOGIC

H. Audesirk, N. S. Lewis



0085

A. Pukhov

Work supported by AFOSR under contract FA9550-14-1-







Ohio Supercomputer Center Empower. Partner. Lead.

Short-pulse lasers produce e⁻/e⁺, fast ions, X-rays, and γ-rays



Can the LPI be controlled?

Nano-structured "Velvet" Target



G. Kulcsár et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5149 (2000)





S. Jiang, A. Krygier et al., Phys. Rev. E 89, 013106

Increase the interface to enhance absorption.



Uncontrollable.

Well organized.

Adjustable.

Why 3D printed nano/micro-targets?

Nano/micro-structures can survive under Relativistic laser intensities.

- Femto-second pulse duration
- High contrast (10⁻⁹)

3D nano-printing technique

- Fine structures (200nm resolution)
- High repetition
- > Full precise control

Another degree of freedom in LPI.



3D-printed micro-tube plasma (MTP) targets



Experiments





At least an order of magnitude enhancement for electrons with energies > 10 MeV

How do these targets work?



2D model illuminates 3D physics



PIC simulation (LSP and VLPL) details

Laser: $40 \text{ fs}, 3.2 \ \mu\text{m} \text{ focus}$ $E = 15J \ \lambda = 0.8 \ \mu\text{m}$ $a_0 = 21$ (Scarlet conditions) Simulation details: $\Delta \mathbf{x} = \Delta \mathbf{y} = 0.1 \ \mu \mathbf{m}$ $\Delta \mathbf{z} = 0.05 \ \mu \mathbf{m}$ $\Delta \mathbf{t} = 0.03 \ \mathbf{fs}$

Structured targets show dramatic enhancement in hot tail



Structured targets also show dramatic narrowing



Narrowing of the electron distribution is caused by quasi-static fields



Y. Sentoku et al. Phy. Rev. Lett. 11, 3083 (2004). S. A. Gaillard et al., Phys. Plasmas 18,9056710 (2011). T. Kluge et al., New J. Phys. 14, 023038 (2012).

Electrons are pulled from the wires and accelerated directly by the laser

* * * * * * * *





Surface E field is built up due to charge separation.

+ + + + + + + +



* * * * * * * *

>7

Ε

Hot and return currents produced by direct laser acceleration of electrons



EJ

Currents produce quasi-static magnetic field



Opposing forces create a guiding effect along the wire surface



Comparison Between Experiment and Simulation



Effects of advanced targets on LPI

Input laser: 5.3×10²¹W/cm² 40fs



Carbon $n_e=300n_c$ Diameter $4.8\mu m$ Thickness $0.8\mu m$





In-tube laser: 1.5×10²²W/cm²





$\times 10^{22} W/cm^2$

1.5

1.2

0.9

0.6

0.3

0.0

Electron beam as a function of tube diameter





Tube diameter: 1.44um



Tube diameter: 2.4um



Effect of laser pointing on electron beam





Reduced symmetry in 3D system produces different results



Magnetic field has quasi cylindrical symmetry

R

Sy plane



The electric field does not have the same symmetry



Electrons guided in X, pinched in Y



Effect shown clearly in particle tracks



We performed the experiment using the Scarlet laser facility at Ohio State

4 – 5 J 40 fs

800 nm

We performed the experiment using the Scarlet laser facility at Ohio State

$F/2.2 \rightarrow 3 \mu m$ $I/I_0 > 10^9$ $1 \ge 10^{21} W/cm^2$



collected with magnetic spectrometer



enhancement consistent with modeling



MeV

Hot electrons generated from two distinct spatial regions





spectrum predicted for structured targets

