

MicroBooNE: Experience Gained and Future Scenarios

Bryce Littlejohn Illinois Institute of Technology

January 27, 2016

Outline



- The MicroBooNE Experience, 2008-2016
 - Construction, installation, and commissioning tales
 - Lessons learned
 - Initial TPC data and discussion
 - Initial neutrino data and discussion
- Where MicroBooNE is going
 - Features of MicroBooNE's low-energy analysis
 - Scenarios for MicroBooNE's low-energy excess measurement: "What if..."

MicroBooNE NOW:



- So here we are, with wonderful-looking neutrino event displays.
- How did we get here? What did we learn along the way?



Well, We Had to Build THIS Thing.



- Need a 170t cryostat full of ultra-pure LAr to produce ionization electrons
- Need reliable HV to drift produced electrons
- Need low-noise electronics on 8000+ taut wires to read out electron signal
- Need light detection system to properly find neutrinos in time and space.



MicroBooNE: Genesis



- This goes quite a WAYS back! First uBooNE DocDB in <u>2008</u>!
- Some perspective:
 - First miniBooNE result: 2007; 'low-energy excess' first osc-interpreted by mB in 2009!
 - 2009: ArgoNeuT takes first beam data...
 - Argon purity methods (MTS, LAPD, filters) publicized 2009-2014
 - Physics, R&D reality being established <u>WHILE</u> MicroBooNE is being designed and prepared for (sometimes <u>BY</u> uB...)
- LArTF ground-breaking: 2012





M. Soderberg, 2008 Seminar: looks kinda close to the real thing circa 2016...!

~10 weeks



- 2012-2014: Constructing the TPC
- MicroBooNE was establishing LArTPC parameters all throughout this process
 - How do we installed/tensioned 8000+ wires?
 - How do we install/test cold electronics?
 - How do we ensure safe operation of a large TPC in the presence of HV?











- Much hard data taken during this learning process:
 - It's a big effort to put 8000+ well-situated wires with 3mm spacing at 3 different orientations in your LArTPC
 - Verified every wire's tension prior to installation of TPC in cryostat!
 - Lesson: gather as much data on your TPC as possible during all steps of the process!









- Much hard data taken during this learning process:
 - HV Breakdown voltages are much lower than initial estimates indicated
 - Shown in uB test cryostat at LArTF: breakdown depends on geometry, purity!
 - Lesson: be conservative when planning/ designing for HV in your LArTPC!!!







#

- Much hard data taken during this learning process:
 - Applied this last lesson to the field cage
 - JINST 9 TI 1004 (2014): Beefy resistors can endure breakdown-induced surges
 - JISNT 9 P09002 (2014): Varistors provide surge protection in event of HV breakdown
 - Lesson: Consider the complicated electro-dynamics of your TPC: resistance, capacitance, etc etc!



Surge protection boards (3 series varistors)







90

MicroBooNE: 2012-2014

- Much data taken during learning process:
 - TONS of electronics testing to do:
 - Acceptance tests at Brookhaven on boards
 - At Fermilab, on each channel with a 'master feedthrough/DAQ' ('Mr.T test stand')
 - At Fermilab, on each channel in cryostat with its feedthrough and 'master DAQ'
 - Lesson: test electronics as much as possible ahead of time!
 - Will reduce incidence of future problems that may be hard to resolve at a later time. Ê 3200
 - Also excellent opportunity to exercise DÁQ/ offline analysis chain!



MicroBooNE: 2014



- 2014: Don't forget the cryostat...
 - Even after acceptance/installation, more testing should be done: electronics, PMTs, HV.







MicroBooNE: June 2014

- When ALL that was finally done...
- We install! And we live happy ever after, right?
- Wait, I'm only to 2014...





MicroBooNE: Later in 2014...



- 2014 installation: cabling!
 - 6.2 km of data, power, network, etc. cables installed in <u>one month</u>



- Thousands of connectors and cables, and all need to work correctly.
- Cryo installation and testing is similarly complex (and crucial!)



 After installing/testing all electronics and laying all cryo pipe, it's time to prepare for filling our detector.

GAr in

• Step I: Purge tank with gaseous argon





Air

Gaseous Argon



- Step I: Purge tank with gaseous argon
- Step 2: Cool down the relatively pure gaseous argon



Average Cryostat Temperature

- Step I: Purge tank with gaseous argon
- Step 2: Cool down the relatively pure gaseous argon
- Step 3: Fill with Liquid Argon
 - Lesson: make sure your argon meets your delivery specs!





http://argo-microboone.fnal.gov/FillLevel/



- Step I: Purge tank with gaseous argon
- Step 2: Cool down the relatively pure gaseous argon
- Step 3: Fill with Liquid Argon
- Step 4: Filter while doing other commissioning, analysis

• Met design goal within 6 volume cycles!





- We even made sure the electronics were holding up well during this commissioning and filling period.
- Example: noise characterization
 - Lower temperatures = much lower electronics noise.
 - Lesson: It turn out cold electronics ARE a good idea! Much lower noise achieved!



Notes Before Turning to Data:



- We should note the R&D achievements met by MicroBooNE:
 - We have a full, stable, pure tank of liquid argon in MicroBooNE!
 - We have fully tested cold electronics on every channel in the TPC!
 - We have a LArTPC taking stable data in the presence of HV!
- We also should note the lessons learned (this is obviously NOT an exhaustive list...)
 - Lesson: test electronics as much as possible ahead of time!
 - Lesson: gather as much data on your TPC as possible during all steps of the process!
 - Lesson: Consider the complicated electro-dynamics of your TPC: resistance, capacitance, etc etc!
- Lesson: make sure your argon meets your delivery specs!
- Lesson: be conservative when planning/ designing for HV in your LArTPC!!!
- Lesson: It turn out cold electronics ARE a good idea! Much lower noise achieved!
- R&D demonstrations, LAr/LArTPC property measurements, and these lessons learned will greatly accelerate timelines for designing, building, and operating future LArTPCs.



- After filling and HV ramp, start to look for tracks, and find them (from cosmics) in short order!
 - ... Maybe a day or two of channel mapping pain, but worth the trouble!
 - ... Maybe a little random noise in first data, but cleaned up with some focus.





- After filling and HV ramp, start to look for tracks, and find them (from cosmics) in short order!
 - Analyzers are hard at work pulling reconstruction, detector physics results out of this first (and ongoing) set of cosmic MicroBooNE triggers
 - Drift loss, re-combination, diffusion, etc. etc!





• Also having success utilizing PMT system to look at cosmics



MicroBooNE: 2015 BEAM!



- We started getting BNB beam in 15 October 2015
- We have had excellent DAQ uptime for beam-on periods
- We are getting spills on tape from NuMI, as well.







• First we saw them with PMTs...



MicroBooNE: 2015 NEUTRINOS!



- ...then we saw them with the TPC.
- TPC neutrino ID possible with a cuts on a few key quantities
- For example, the analysis using *<u>automated</u>* 3D-reconstruction:
 - Two or more reconstructed tracks with start points within 5cm of each other
 - All tracks must be fully-contained
 - Longest track must satisfy $\cos(\theta) > 0.8$
 - >5sigma CL observation of neutrinos with the TPC!
- Have a similar *<u>automated</u>* algorithm for 2D reconstruction

Number of events	Optical + 3D-based	Optical + 2D-based
Non-beam background (expected from off-beam measurements)	4.6 ± 2.6	385 ± 24
Total observed (during beam)	18	463

MicroBooNE: 2015 NEUTRINOS!



• ...then we identified them in the TPC!



MicroBooNE: 2015 NEUTRINOS!



• Breaking one event display down:



MircoBooNE: 2015 NEUTRINOS!



- Then we celebrate MircoBooNE's accomplishment!
- ...and get back to work.





Post-MicroBooNE Scenarios

Sterile v Mystery at Fermilab

- It's now 3 years in the future, and MicroBooNE has released their full-dataset low-energy excess result.
 - Will definitively test MiniBooNE excess by measuring the same neutrinos with a more sensitive detection technique.
- What might it show?
 - Electron-like excess
 - Photon-like excess
 - No excess?
 - Excess in both channels?
 - Something else?
- Let's investigate these scenarios in more detail







- How will the electron-like result look different than MiniBooNE?
 - Gamma-related backgrounds should be way smaller in this stack.



- How will the electron-like result look different than MiniBooNE?
 - Gamma-related backgrounds should be way smaller in this stack.
 - TPC-external beam backgrounds might look different: more of them, but also new rejection methods.



• There will likely be a new (small) color in here from cosmogenic backgrounds

- How will the electron-like result look different than MiniBooNE?
 - Gamma-related backgrounds should be way smaller in this stack.
 - TPC-external beam backgrounds might look different: more of them, but also new rejection methods.



- There will likely be a new (small) color in here from cosmogenic backgrounds
- You might see a totally different x-axis metric: instead of CCQE, maybe lepton+vertex energy, or maybe something else!



- How will the electron-like result look different than MiniBooNE?
 - Gamma-related backgrounds should be way smaller in this stack.
 - TPC-external beam backgrounds might look different: more of them, but also new rejection methods.



- There will likely be a new (small) color in here from cosmogenic backgrounds
- You might see a totally different x-akis metric: instead of CCQE, maybe lepton+vertex energy, or maybe something else!
- You might also see a different range on this plot: no Cerenkov thresholds and excellent 3D position information could enable a lowered threshold.
- So more than just an improvement in e/γ separation.

Electron-Like Excess: Scenarios



- If we see an electron-like excess, this would be amazing!
 - SBND would collect statistics quickly at its shorter baseline, giving very convincing confirmation of the nue appearance interpretation.
 - Full SBN would then provide the precision measurement of this oscillation.
 - Must be diligent in our proper estimation of TPC-external beam backgrounds and cosmic backgrounds.



E^{QE} (GeV)

Photon-Like Excess: Scenarios

- A big question in this case: where is the excess?
 - If excess picks up at lowest energies, this could point an issue with π⁰s:
 - enhanced neutrino NC π^0 production?
 - improper estimation of 'BITE' single-gammas-from-π⁰?





Photon-Like Excess: Scenarios

- A big question in this case: where is the excess?
 - If excess picks up at lowest energies, this could point an issue with π⁰s:
 - Issues with neutrino NC π⁰ mis-identification estimates?
 - Improper estimation of external single-gammas-from-π⁰?
 - Excess at low-energy, but not TOO low: additional single-gamma processes...
 - Massive uptick at very low energies could come from cosmic mis-estimation.
- In all these scenarios, subsequent SBND measurement is <u>crucial</u>
 - If it's 'BITE'- or cosmic-related, SBND's signature will look totally different.
 - If it's a neutrino cross-section thing, SBND, ICARUS will provide very valuable high-statistics measurements for...





DUNE Impacts



- Crucial for DUNE that MicroBooNE (and the rest of SBN) tell us what is causing the excess.
- If electrons:
 - We must correct our predictions for the existence of a new short-baseline oscillation!
- If photons:
 - We must properly re-configure our background estimates; particularly valuable for properly understanding the 2nd oscillation maximum
- If both electron and photon excess, ditto, for same reasons as above.
- If no excess in MicroBooNE:
 - Still extremely important to address sterile phase space in full to properly interpret DUNE results — i.e. DUNE would still need SBN





Thanks for your attention!



Questions/Comments?



BACKUP



• An example: automated track reconstruction on cosmic muons



MicroBooNE Cross-Checks



- We have other abilities to cross-check any BNB-related low-energy-excess-like signals that we see
 - If we see a photon-like or electron-like excess: do we also see hints of the same excess from NuMI?
 - Similar NuMI hints in ICARUS would be even better
 - If electron-like excess: do we see other oscillatory hints in other channels, i.e. numu disappearance from BNB, or nue disappearance from NuMI?
 - Also better-measured in full SBN case...





DUNE Impacts



• What if we only had MicroBooNE sterile search?



Early Hints: NOvA





Selection 2



