SiPM and scintillator studies for highly granular calorimeters

Laszlo Varga (CERN, Eotvos Lorand University HU)

January 18 - 22, 2016
CLIC Workshop

Motivation

- Improve understanding of scintillators and SiPMs and related systematic effects in HCAL and ECAL applications
- Characterize impact of scintillator wrappings and tile size on measured light yield



Sensitive layer of the CALICE AHCAL

Calibration of the SiPM: Gain vs. Temperature

Schematic of the Gain vs. Temperature measurement



- Optical fiber in front of the SiPM connected to an LED pulser
- Measure SIPM signal from individual photons for gain calibration
- Temperature regulation is done by a thermally isolated Peltier-element chamber with temperature range covering well region of interest [15°C - 30°C]

The interior of the Peltier-element chamber





- The temperature of the metal plate inside is adjustable
- Ensure good heat flow between metal plate, SiPM and thermometer (PT1000) by using thermally conductive grease
- The temperature of the SiPM is measured with the PT1000 thermometer
- Temperature of metal plate is measured directly on metal plate

The method of the charge measurement



$\int dt \Longrightarrow$

Signal-Pedestal



- Two time windows for pedestal and signal measurement
- Convert signal and pedestal response to charge by time integration
- Subtract pedestal from signal event-by-event

The fitting procedure

- Measure the charge of single photons
- Measure T simultaneously

- Fit as many peaks as possible using separate Gaussian functions with correlated parameters:

  $A_{Poiss} \cdot \mathbf{Poisson}(n_{peak}; \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{Gauss}(x; Q_{PE1} + i \cdot d; \sigma_i)$

- d = distance between the peaks
  $\Rightarrow$ Gain (=G)

- Repeat the method for every T value

  $\Rightarrow$ G – T dependence



G - T calibration results



- Scanned a temperature range [15.5 °C- 29.5 °C] two times (↑ and ↓) with 2 °C steps
- Combine the ↑ and ↓ measurements
- Fit G – T with linear function: $G(T) = p_0 \cdot T + p_1$
  $\Rightarrow$ 0.76 $\left[\frac{\%}{°C}\right]$ dependency
- Stable results $< 1\%$ of gain when changing N_{bins}, N_{peaks}, LED settings

Results of the scintillator tile scans

The experimental layout for tile-measurements at CERN





Immediate environment of the scintillator tile





- Use tile support structure with low material close to the tile
  to avoid signal from stray electrons in the support structure in the tile
- 1 mm step size, 100 s measurement time for each step
- Temperature variation during the measurement $\sim 2\,^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$
  - $\Rightarrow$ influence on the SiPM signal $\sim 3\%$
  - $\Rightarrow$ correct the temperature to $T_{ref} = 25\,^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$

Scanned tile set

Wrapped tiles



Painted tiles



- coating:
  - ⇒ wrapping (3M foil)
  - ⇒ reflective paint
- size:
  - ⇒ □20x2 mm^3
  - ⇒ □15x2 mm^3
  - ⇒ □10x2 mm^3

Regions of a tile scan

e^- beam spot size is $\sim 1mm^2$
$\Rightarrow$ 3 regions with different amplitude spectra depending on the beam position

- e^- beam outside of the tile
- e^- beam on the tile edge
- e^- beam inside of the tile



Outside the tile



Edge of the tile



Inside the tile



Regions of a tile scan

e^- beam spot size is $\sim 1mm^2$
$\Rightarrow$ 3 regions with different amplitude spectra depending on the beam position

- e^- beam outside of the tile
- e^- beam on the tile edge
- e^- beam inside of the tile

Remove events with charge similar to $Q_{\text{ped. subwindow}}$



Outside the tile



Edge of the tile



Inside the tile



Evaluation of the tile scans







- 3 types of map from the scanned area:
  - ⇒ Trigger map: verify position of the tile edges
  - ⇒ Integral of the charge map: Investigate the edge effects and the uniformity
  - ⇒ Mean of the charge map: Compare the light yield of the tiles
- Study observables for two slices in x and y direction

Slices of all wrapped tiles



- The light yield scales with the sizes of the tile ($\sim$ SiPM area to tile front area)
- The light yields of the wrapped tiles of same size differ to each other by 20%

Slices of all painted tiles



- The light yield is more constant for painted tiles of same size (within 7%) than for wrapped tiles (20%)
- The light yield of the painted tiles is $\sim 4-5$ times lower than for wrapped tiles

Slices of □20x2 mm^3 painted tiles



- The light yield of the painted tiles can be increased by using thicker painting:
  - ⇒ 2 layers of painting
  - ⇒ 3 layers of painting (65% more light yield)

2 tile setup

Layout of the 2 tile measurement





- Multi-tile setup for investigation of edge effects
- Setup allows for investigation of different tile sizes ($20\text{x}20\,\text{mm}^2$, $15\text{x}15\,\text{mm}^2$, $10\text{x}10\,\text{mm}^2$)

First results



- One SiPM is used for readout
- On the trigger map the position of the tiles, the holders, the SiPM are visible
- Need to measure with second SiPM and to plot sum of signals

Summary and Outlook

- Gain-temperature calibration
  - ⇒ Controlled temperature with Peltier-element chamber [15.5°C- 29.5°C]
  - ⇒ Ensured good heat flow between all components using thermal grease
  - ⇒ Calibrated gain as a function of temperature and studied systematic uncertainties
- Tile scans
  - ⇒ The light yield of the wrapped tile is $\sim 4-5$ times higher than for the painted tile independent of the size
  - ⇒ The light yield scales with the sizes of the tile ($\sim$ SiPM area to tile front area)
  - ⇒ The light yield is more constant for painted tiles of same size (within 7%) than for wrapped tiles (20%)
  - ⇒ The light yield of the wrapped tiles is more homogeneous than the painted tiles
  - ⇒ The light yield of the painted tiles can be increased using thicker painting
  - ⇒ 2 tile setup: Need to measure with second SiPM and to plot sum of signals
- Outlook
  - ⇒ Further investigations with the multi-tile setup with 2 or 4 tiles of different sizes
  - ⇒ Comparison of different SiPMs (pitch, type)

Thank you for your attention!

Reminder: The SiPM

- Silicon Photomultipliers (SiPMs) are photon sensitive devices built from an avalanche photodiode array on common Si substrate
- The gain (G) of a SiPM depends on the temperature (T)
- For a correct signal reconstruction, the temperature dependence needs to be known $\rightarrow$ Gain-Temperature calibration
- Gain can be estimated using well-defined signal from single photons

- Hamamatsu MPPC:
  1.3x1.3 mm^2 effective photonsensitive area
  2668 pixels, 25 μm pixel size
  Type No.: S13360-1325PE
  Serial No.: 10036



Q - T calibration results



- Measure over long period in central region of tile
- Combine the data of same temperature
- Fit the dataset with linear function:
  $Q(T) = p_0 \cdot T + p_1$
  $\Rightarrow$ 1.57 $\left[\frac{\%}{°C}\right]$ dependency
- Reminder: for the G - T
  $\Rightarrow$ 0.76 $\left[\frac{\%}{°C}\right]$ dependency
- Stable results $< 2\%$ of $\overline{Q}$

Rendered setup at September 2015



2 tile setup



Rejection of the pedestal peak



- Two time windows for pedestal and signal measurement
- Convert signal and pedestal response to charge by time integration
- Subtract pedestal from signal event-by-event







Inside of the Peltier-element chamber