# Rare decays and exotic states in quark flavour physics

Justine Serrano

Centre de Physique des Particules de Marseille

On behalf of:



#### EPSHEP 2017

## Outline

- Rare decays
  - Motivations
  - Beauty :  $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$  processes
  - Charm
  - Strange
- Exotic states
- Prospects

## Why rare decays ?

- To look for new physics effects, in an *indirect* way
- Back to the 70's : Kaon semileptonic branching fractions



$$\frac{BR(K^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)}{BR(K^+ \to \mu^+ \nu_{\mu})} = \frac{7 \times 10^{-9}}{0.64} \approx 10^{-8}$$



Flavour Changing Neutral Currents are heavily suppressed in the Standard Model

 $\Rightarrow$  charm quark prediction

### Why rare decays ?

• Flavour Changing Neutral Currents are forbidden at the tree level in the SM, they can only proceed through loop diagrams.



- NP virtual particles can enter the loop and modify observables such as branching ratios, CP asymmetries, angular distributions,...
- Complementary to direct searches as rare decays can probe a very high scale

# Which rare decays ?

- The ones that have precise SM predictions and can be precisely measured
  - $\Rightarrow$  need statistics so high intensity experiments

e+e- : Belle, Babar, BES pp: LHCb, ATLAS, CMS, D0, CDF Dedicated experiments : NA62, KOTO, MEG, ...

 The correlations between the observables allows to identify the type of new physics involved



- $\Rightarrow$  important to measure all possible observables
- How rare ? Typical branching ratios are from 10<sup>-6</sup> down to ~0 for forbidden decays (lepton flavour violation, lepton number violation,....)



# Phenomenology of $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$

 $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$  decays can theoretically be described by effective hamiltonian ٠



- Wilson coefficient C<sub>i</sub> describe short distance effects, they are sensitive to NP
- Operators O<sub>i</sub> depends on hadronic form factors, which usually dominate theoretical uncertainties



- $B \rightarrow \ell \ell : C_{10} C_S C_P$   $B \rightarrow X_S \gamma : C_7$
- $B \rightarrow K^* \ell \ell : C_7 C_9 C_{10}$

 $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ 

#### SM predictions for branching ratios:

	ee	μμ	ττ
B <sup>0</sup>	(2.48±0.21) 10 <sup>-15</sup>	(1.06±0.09) 10 <sup>-10</sup>	(2.22±0.19) 10 <sup>-8</sup>
B <sub>s</sub>	(8.54±0.55) 10 <sup>-14</sup>	(3.65±0.23) 10 <sup>-9</sup>	(7.73±0.49) 10 <sup>-7</sup>

#### $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ :

- Searched for 30 years
- First evidence of B<sub>s</sub> decay in LHCb with 1fb<sup>-1</sup>
- Observation from CMS+LHCb combined Run1 analysis
- Good agreement with SM



# $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

• ATLAS result with 25 fb<sup>-1</sup> of Run1 data:



 $B_s$  signal significance is 1.4  $\sigma$ , compatible with SM at  $2\sigma$ 

(95%CL)

# $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

- New LHCb analysis :  $3fb^{-1} Run1 + 1.4 fb^{-1} Run2$   $BR(B_{S}^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}) = (3.0 \pm 0.6^{+0.3}_{-0.2}) \times 10^{-9}$  $BR(B^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}) < 3.4 \times 10^{-10}$  (95%CL)
- First single experiment observation (7.8σ)
- First measurement of effective lifetime

$$\tau_{\mu\mu} = \frac{\tau_{B_s}}{(1 - y_s^2)} \frac{1 + 2y_s A_{\Delta\Gamma} + y_s^2}{1 + y_s A_{\Delta\Gamma}}$$

SM predicts  $A_{\Delta\Gamma} = 1$ 

 $\tau(B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.04 \pm 0.44 \pm 0.05) \, ps$ 

Not yet sensitive to  $A_{\Delta\Gamma}$ , but will become interesting with future runs!





# $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$

- Experimentally very challenging due to final state neutrinos
- LHCb uses  $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$  decay
- Fit the output of a NN using Run1 data





- First experimental result on the B<sub>s</sub>  $BR(B_s^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-) < 6.8 \times 10^{-3}$  (95%CL)
- Best limit on B<sup>0</sup>  $BR(B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-) < 2.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ (95\%CL)}$
- Still orders of magnitude above SM but proof of concept that rare decays into taus can be done at hadron collider

## $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$ branching ratios

Measured BR are consistently lower than predicted in SM



## $b \rightarrow s \mu \mu$ branching ratios

Measured BR are consistently lower than predicted in SM

Same trend seen by CMS in  $B^0 \rightarrow K^* \mu \mu$ 



#### $B \rightarrow K^* \ell \ell$ angular observables



Observables  $(A_{FB}, F_L and S_j)$  are function of the Wilson coefficients.

A cleaner set of observables, where hadronic form factor uncertainties cancel at the leading order, can be defined

$$P'_{5} \equiv \frac{S_{5}}{\sqrt{F_{L}(1-F_{L})}}$$

### $B \rightarrow K^* \ell \ell$ literature

	dataset	Angles and modes used	Measured obervables	Reference
ATLAS	8TeV data (20.3 fb <sup>-1</sup> )	$(\theta_{l}, \theta_{K}, \phi)$ with folding technique, $\ell = \mu$	F <sub>L</sub> , S <sub>j</sub> , P' <sub>i</sub>	ATLAS-CONF- 2017-023
<i>Lнср</i> гнср	Run1 data (3fb <sup>-1</sup> )	Full angular analysis $(\theta_{l}, \theta_{K}, \phi), \ell = \mu^*$	$A_{FB}$ , $F_L$ , $S_j$ , $P'_i$	JHEP 02 (2016) 104
CCMS unit under and	8TeV data (20.5 fb <sup>-1</sup> )	$(\theta_l, \theta_K, \phi)$ with folding technique $\ell = \mu$	$P'_{5,}P_1$ A <sub>FB</sub> , F <sub>L</sub> measured in a previous paper	CMS-PAS-BPH- 15-008 PLB 753 (2016) 424
	All	$(\theta_l, \theta_K)$ , also B <sup>+</sup> modes $\ell = e, \mu$	A <sub>FB</sub> , F <sub>L</sub>	PRD 93 (2016) 052015
BELLE	All	$(\theta_{l}, \theta_{K}, \phi)$ with folding technique, also B <sup>+</sup> modes, $\ell = e, \mu$	$A_{FB}$ , $F_L$ , $S_j$ , $P'_i$ , and also Qi	PRL 118 (2017) 111801

Justine Serrano

\*Angular analysis for e modes also performed at low q2 in JHEP04(2015)064

 $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu \mu$  results

Overall good agreement with SM predictions for the angular observables. The main exception:



LHCb, Belle and ATLAS show deviations from the SM in the P'<sub>5</sub> observable in 4<q<sup>2</sup><8 GeV<sup>2</sup>

 $\Rightarrow$  Points toward an anomaly in the Wilson coefficient C<sub>9</sub>

# Test of lepton universality in angular observables

Belle split electron and muon modes :



tension at 2.6 $\sigma$  for the muons tension at 1.1 $\sigma$  for the electrons

 $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$ 





• Isospin asymmetry

$$\Delta_{0+} = \frac{\Gamma(B^0 \to K^{*0}\gamma) - \Gamma(B^+ \to K^{*+}\gamma)}{\Gamma(B^0 \to K^{*0}\gamma) + \Gamma(B^+ \to K^{*+}\gamma)}$$

• CP asymmetry, very small in the SM

 $\Delta_{0+} = (+6.2 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.2)\%$ 

First evidence of isospin asymetry at  $3.1\sigma$ , compatible with SM

A<sub>cp</sub> compatible with SM:  $A_{CP}(K^{*0}\gamma) = (-1.3 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.4)\%$   $A_{CP}(K^{*+}\gamma) = (+1.1 \pm 2.3 \pm 0.3)\%$  $A_{CP}(K^{*}\gamma) = (-0.4 \pm 1.4 \pm 0.3)\%$ 

$$A_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}^* \gamma) - \Gamma(B \to K^* \gamma)}{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}^* \gamma) + \Gamma(B \to K^* \gamma)}$$



#### Rare charm decays

 D<sup>0</sup> → vv predicted BR ~10<sup>-30</sup>, NP models (dark matter final states) may enhance BR to ~10<sup>-15</sup> First search by Belle: BR(D<sup>0</sup> → invisible) < 8.8 x 10<sup>-5</sup> @90%C.L.



•  $D^0 \rightarrow \pi \pi \mu \mu$  and  $D^0 \rightarrow KK \mu \mu$  are FCNC, expected BR ~10<sup>-9</sup> Intermediate  $\mu \mu$  resonances can have BR ~10<sup>-6</sup>

Integrated BR measured by LHCb : B( $D^0 \rightarrow \pi \pi \mu \mu$ )=(9.64±0.48±0.51±0.96)x10<sup>-7</sup> B( $D^0 \rightarrow KK\mu\mu$ )=(1.54±0.27±0.09±0.16)x10<sup>-7</sup>

Rarest charm decays ever observed!



#### Rare charm decays



#### Rare kaon decays: $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \nu$



- Previous result (2013)
   BR < 5.1 × 10<sup>-8</sup> (90%CL)
   arXiv:1609.03637
- Upgrade of the detector ongoing
- Expect to reach standard model sensitivity by 2021

5arXiv:1012.3893 E949 10 SM4 $10^{10} imes {
m BR}(K_L o \pi^0 \nu \overline{
u})$ 3 RSc  $\mathbf{2}$ MFV 0 3  $10^{10} \times \mathrm{BR}(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu})$ NA62 at CERN • 10<sup>12</sup> K<sup>+</sup> collected in 2016

- ~ 100 SM  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu$  events before end of 2018
- Much more physics can be done: LFV/LNV decays, search for long-lived dark sector particles, heavy neutral lepton searches,....

#### Rare kaon decays: $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \nu$



#### Lepton flavour violation

- Lot of tau LFV decay searches performed by Belle/Babar/LHCb Limits in the range 10<sup>-8</sup> to 2x10<sup>-7</sup>
- $\Rightarrow$  Expect improvements from Belle 2





- Muon LFV searches by dedicated experiments
- Final MEG upper limit B( $\mu$ →eγ) < 4.2 10<sup>-13</sup> @90% CL *EPJC* 76 (2016) 434
- Exciting times ahead with MEGII, Mu2e, COMET, Mu3e

#### Exotic states



#### **Exotic states**

- What? all hadrons that are not mesons (qq) or baryons (qqq) Tetraquarks, pentaquark, glueballs, hybrid mesons..
- How do we know they are exotic states?
  - Argand diagrams tells you it's a resonance
  - Unconventional J<sup>PC</sup> quantum numbers (e.g. quark model can not account for 0<sup>--</sup> states)
  - Unconventional charge or quark content (e.g. meson of charge 2+)
  - Conventional J<sup>PC</sup> but supernumerary
  - Conventional J<sup>PC</sup> but non expected production or decay modes
- About ~30 observed states so far, mainly in cc and bb sector

#### charmoniumlike

#### bottomiumlike

- They are labelled X, Y, Z, P... according to some not-always-followed rules:
  - X = neutral resonance appearing in B decays
  - Y = states produced in ISR processes
  - Z = charged charmonium like states (and their isospin partner)
  - P = pentaquark



# Pentaquark in $\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\psi pK$ decays

Amplitude analysis in 6 dimensions (decay angles and  $m_{Kp}$ ) 2  $P_c$  states needed to describe the data



 $J^{\rm P}$  also compatible with (3/2<sup>+</sup>,5/2<sup>-</sup>) and (5/2<sup>+</sup>,3/2<sup>-</sup>)

Minimal quark content: ccuud



Argand diagrams:



# Pentaquark in $\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\psi p\pi$ decays

Search for these states in  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\psi p\pi$  decays in order to test hypothesis of threshold effects

Angular analysis indicates the need for exotic states at 3.3 $\sigma$ , but can't tell which (the two P<sub>c</sub> or Z<sub>c</sub>(4200)).

Run 2 will help!



Other decays are also under study :  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \chi_{c(1,2)}$  pK (arXiv:1704.07900),  $\Xi_b \rightarrow J/\psi \Lambda K$  (PLB 772 265),  $B_{(s)} \rightarrow J/\psi pp$  (JHEP 09(2013)006) ,...

# Tetraquark in $B \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K$

- Looking at the J/ $\psi\phi$  spectrum, a narrow state X(4140)<sup>o</sup> reported by CDF, DO, CMS but not seen by Belle. Hints of X(4274) also seen by CDF and CMS
- LHCb amplitude analysis of B  $\rightarrow J/\psi \phi K \Rightarrow$  confirmed these 2 + 2 new states



# X(5568)?

- D0 report a peak in the  $B_s\pi$  mass distribution Local significance 5.1 $\sigma$  (after cone cut) Also confirmed in semileptonic decays D0 conf note 6496
- LHCb looked for it using 20 times more B<sub>s</sub> than D0 but did not find signal





 CMS preliminary search also turned out to be negative



# Charmed baryons



3 states expected from quark model:  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} = ccu, \ \Xi_{cc}^{+} = ccd, \ \Omega_{cc}^{+} = ccs$   $\Xi_{cc}^{-+}$  observation reported by the SELEX experiment (*PRL89(2002)112001, PRB628(2005)18*)





SU(4) flavor multiplets, PDG Review of Particle Physics, Phys.Rev. D86, 010001.

First observation of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ Mass ~100 MeV larger than the one reported by SELEX for  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$ , disfavouring the  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$  hypothesis of SELEX

First observation of a baryon containing two heavy quarks Provides a very interesting tool to probe QCD

#### Prospects



## Thanks for your attention

More details in parallel sessions

• flavour & symmetry :

Tom Blake, Simon Whele, Albert Puig, Carla Benito, Stefano Lacaprara, Elisa Manoni, Umberto De Sanctis, Saskia Falke, Jiangchuan Chen, Dominik Mitzel, Eduardo Rodrigues, Francesco Renga, Giuseppe Ruggiero, Miguel Pernas, Stefano Miscetti,...

QCD and hadronic physics:

Patrick Spradlin, Sergey Polykarpov, Olaf Steinkamp, Bruce Hoeneisen,...

• Dark matter:

Youngjoon Kwon,..



## $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$ interpretation

- A lot of activity in the theory side to interpret these results
- Just one example of global model-independent fit of Wilson coefficients (*arXiv:* 1704.05340):





#### Rare kaon decays: $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu$



- ~100 ps timing for K<sup>+</sup>-π<sup>+</sup> association
- EM calorimeters to veto γs
- Hadron calorimeters to veto  $\mu$ s
- Very light, high-rate trackers to reconstruct K<sup>+</sup> and π<sup>+</sup>
- Full particle identification
- SM prediction:  $BR(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}) = (8.4 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-11}$
- best result by E949:  $BR(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}) = (17.3^{+11.5}_{-10.5}) \times 10^{-11}$



- 10<sup>13</sup> K<sup>+</sup> will be collected before LS2
- ~ 100 SM K<sup>+</sup>  $\rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu$  events
- Much more physics can be done: LFV/LNV decays, search for long-lived dark sector particles, heavy neutral lepton searches,....

# Rare kaon decays: $K^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \nu$



• Future LHCb upgrades under study



- Several μ LFV experiments will start in 2017-2019 :
  - MEGII (PSI): improve resolution by a factor
     2
  - COMET (J-PARK): μ-e conversion in atoms, target ~10<sup>-17</sup>
  - Mu2e (FNAL): μ-e conversion in atoms, target ~10<sup>-17</sup>
  - Mu3e (PSI): previous result from 1988 at 10<sup>-12</sup>, goal is to reach 10<sup>-15</sup> in a first phase, then 10<sup>-16</sup>

	MEG, MEG II	COMET	Mu2e	Mu3e	g-2@ FNAL	g-2@J-PARC	
2013							
2014							
2015							
2016							
2017							
2018							
2019							
2020							
2021							
2022							
2023							
2024							
2025							