The very high energy γ-ray (and neutrino) diffuse emission from the Galactic Center

Dario GRASSO (INFN and Università Pisa)

in coll. with:
D. GAGGERO (GRAPPA, Amsterdam)
A. MARINELLI (INFN, Pisa)
M. TAOSO (IFT, UAM/CSIC Madrid)
A. URBANO (CERN)
S. VENTURA (INFN and Università Pisa, Pisa)

EUROPEAN PHYSICAL SOCIETY
HEP2017

EPS Conference on High Energy Physics
Venice, Italy 5-12 July 2017

H.E.S.S. Nature 2006

- The diffuse emission from the CMZ is harder ($\Gamma \simeq 2.3$) than expected from the hadron interaction of Galactic cosmic rays (CR) if their spectrum is the same of that at the Earth ($\Gamma \simeq 2.7$)

- A freshly accelerated (harder) component was invoked

H.E.S.S. Nature 2016

250 hours of observation of the γ-ray diffuse emission from 200 GeV to 50 TeV of the central molecular zone (CMZ) region

H.E.S.S. Nature 2016

- Emission correlated with the gas distribution (inferred from CO and CS emission maps)

- At the GC the emission profile seems more peaked than the estimated gas distribution.
  The same effect however may be due to a larger gas density in the inner 50 pc
  ($1° \simeq 150$ pc at the GC)

- The inferred CR density profile is consistent with that expected from CR diffusing out a stationary source.
  This conclusion, however, relies on an estimate of the Galactic large scale background based on local CR measurements

H.E.S.S. Nature 2016

- Diffuse emission traces gas, strong losses ➡ hadronic emission

- the diffuse emission around J1745-290 (positionally compatible with SgrA*) extends up to ~ 50 TeV
  ➡   CR protons up to ~ PeV

- the spectrum of the point source J1745-290 might be attenuated

H.E.S.S.   Nature 2016
  + arXiv 1706.04535

Same spectra in the ridge
($|l| < 1°$, $|b| < 0.3°$), $d <$ 150 pc

Γ_{HESS17} = 2.28 ± 0.03$_{stat}$ ± 0.2$_{sys}$

and in the "*pacman*"
$0.15° < \theta < 0.45°$, $22 < d < 67$ pc

Γ_{HESS16} = 2.32 ± 0.05$_{stat}$ ± 0.11$_{sys}$

ADDING NEW PIECES TO THE PUZZLE

The GC PeVatron interpretation does not account for two new features which do not fit the conventional scenario

1. the CR hardening found by PAMELA, AMS and CREAM @ 300 GeV/nucleon

2. the CR proton spectral index radial gradient found in the Fermi-LAT data

CR hardening @ 300 GeV/n

CREAM coll. ApJ Lett. 2010

PAMELA coll. SCIENCE 2011

AMS-02 coll. PLR 2015

If the effect is present in the whole Galaxy - as expected if due to CR propagation (see e.g. *Blasi et al. 2012, 2015*) - *it should affect the diffuse γ-ray emission spectrum:*

Thoudam & Hoerandel 2013

CR spectral index radial gradient

proton density > 10 GeV

GALPROP
Fermi LAT collab. ApJ 750 2012 3A

DRAGON
Gaggero+ PhRvD 91 2015 083012

proton spectral index

Galactocentric radius (kpc)

proton spectral index

The model assumes a radial dependent diffusion coefficient

with

so that

$$D(E) = D_0 (E/E_0)^{-\delta(R)}$$

$$\delta(R) = A\,R + B$$

$$\Gamma(R) = \Gamma_{int} + \delta(R)$$

9

CR spectral index radial gradient

Gaggero, Urbano, Valli & Ullio PRD 2015

The model reproduces CR spectra and the diffuse γ-ray spectrum on the whole sky including the inner Galactic plane where conventional models underestimate the flux

**The first step above the TeV:
solution of the Milagro anomaly**

Gaggero, D.G., A. Marinelli, Urbano, Valli ApJ L 2015

Incorporate the CR spectral hardening in the KRAγ model (assuming it is present in the whole Galaxy).

This automatically reproduces the Milagro flux @ 15 TeV which was 4σ larger than the GALPROP prediction

testable by HAWC (work in progress) and CTA !

H.E.S.S. + Fermi-LAT

Gaggero, D.G., A. Marinelli, Taoso & Urbano, PRL 2017

+ S. Ventura

$|l| < 1°, |b| < 0.3°$

Comparison with HESS 2017

Galactic Ridge

Hard diffusion

Legend:
- Gamma model
- Base model
- Fermi Data PASS8
- HESS Data 2017
- Best Fit HESS+Fermi

PASS8 Fermi-LAT 470 weeks of data extracted with the v10r0p5 Fermi tool. Point sources from the 3FGL catalogue subtracted.

**The effect of the new CR sea at the GC**

Gaggero, D.G., A. Marinelli, Taoso & Urbano, PRL 2017
+ S. Ventura

$|l| < 1°, |b| < 0.3°$

Comparison with HESS 2017

Galactic Ridge

- Gamma model
- Base model
- ● Fermi Data PASS8
- ◆ HESS Data 2017
- --- Best Fit HESS+Fermi

Hard diffusion

Conventional diffusion

Base model (Case&Bhattacharya)
Base model (Yusifov)
Gamma model (Case&Bhattacharya)
Gamma model (Yusifov)

Degeneracy between poorly known gas and CR source densities at the GC

We use the [*Ferriere 2007*] 3-D gas model choosing a normalisation (X_{CO}) such to match the gas column density maps adopted by HESS

13

The effect of the new CR sea at the GC

Gaggero, D.G., A. Marinelli, Taoso & Urbano, PRL 2017
+ S. Ventura

Comparison with HESS 2017

Galactic Ridge

Conventional diffusion

Hard diffusion

- Gamma model
- Base model
- Fermi Data PASS8
- HESS Data 2017
- Best Fit HESS+Fermi

Comparison with HESS

Pacman region

Conventional diffusion

Hard diffusion

- Gamma model
- Base model
- Fermi Data PASS8
- HESS Data 2016
- Best Fit HESS+Fermi

No evidence a local component taking over a high energy and dominating at the Galactic center

Very good agreement with large scale model of the diffuse emission based on Fermi data

Future perspectives

CTA may observe more external clouds where the PeVatron scenario predicts a lower CR density than that expected in our scenario

Implications for neutrino astronomy

Gaggero, D.G., A. Marinelli, Urbano, Valli ApJ L 2015

ANTARES coll. , Phys. Lett. B, 2016

ANTARES coll. + *D. Gaggero, D.G.* arXiV:1705.00497

- On the whole sky the diffuse flux due to the Galaxy is 8 % (4 % for conventional models) of that measured by IceCube

- In the inner Galactic plane however the gain is much larger ➡

- A neutrino telescope in the North hemisphere is more suited to detect the Galactic component. IceCube coll. is using our templates to look for this Galactic component. ANTARES present upper limit is at 1.25 times our most optimistic prediction. Observable by KM3NeT (work in progress) !

$|l| < 30°$ $|b| < 4°$

A possible theoretical interpretation

Cerri, Gaggero, Vittino, Evoli & DG, to be submitted. 2017

Anisotropic CR diffusion in the presence of a poloidal magnetic field component

$$D_{ij}(\mathbf{x},\rho) = [\, D_{\parallel}(\mathbf{x},\rho) - D_{\perp}(\mathbf{x},\rho)\,]\, b_i\, b_j + D_{\perp}(\mathbf{x},\rho)\, \delta_{ij}$$

$D_{\parallel}$ and $D_{\perp}$ are expected to have different rigidity dependence (*Blasi, De Marco, Stanev 2007 and Snodin et al. 2012*) found

$$D_{\parallel} \propto \rho^{1/3} \qquad D_{\parallel} \propto \rho^{1/2}$$

for Kolmogorov turbulence.

We incorporated this behaviour in the DRAGON 2 code (*Evoli, Gaggero, Vittino,, Di Bernardo, Ligorini, Di Mauro, Ullio, DG , JCAP 2017)* allowing for anisotropic diffusion

CONCLUSIONS

- H.E.S.S. and Fermi-LAT data are consistent showing the presence of a single CR component in the CMZ region with index ~ 2.3

- The large scale, steady-state, CR Galactic population can nicely account for that emission if computed with a model accounting for the radial gradient of the CR spectral index found in the Fermi data (if it extends beyond 100 GeV) and the CR spectral hardening found by Pamela & AMS (if it is present in the whole Galaxy)

- CTA may confirm the scenario we proposed observing the emission from molecular clouds at distances > 200 pc from the GC

- The neutrino emission from the Galactic ridge is expected to be significantly enhanced under those conditions and be detectable by KM3NeT

- Those results strongly motivate to go beyond conventional modelling of CR propagation in the Galaxy

BACKUP SLIDES

The spectrum in the ridge without CR spectral gardening @ 300 GeV/n

Comparison with HESS 2017

Galactic Ridge

Legend:
- — Gamma model
- — " without hardening
- ● Fermi Data PASS8
- ◆ HESS Data 2017
- - - Best Fit HESS+Fermi

Gamma model with hardening

Gamma model without hardening

This seems to require that the hardening found in the proton and He spectrum by Pamela and AMS is present in the whole Galactic disk !

20

The neutrino spectrum in the ridge

Central Molecular Zone neutrino expected $|l| < 1.0$ and $|b| < 0.3$

Legend:
- Gamma model (cut 5×10^7 GeV)
- Gamma Model (cut 5×10^6 GeV)
- Base Model (cut 5×10^7 GeV)
- Base Model (cut 5×10^6 GeV)

Axis labels: $E^2 d\Phi/dE_\gamma d\Omega$ $[\text{TeV cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\text{sr}^{-1}]$ vs $E\,[\text{TeV}]$