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Fixed order

## Problem

$$
\sigma_{m}^{\mathrm{NNLO}}=\sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}}+\sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{RV}}+\sigma_{m}^{\mathrm{VV}}
$$

$$
\equiv \int_{m+2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}} J_{m+2}+\int_{m+1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{RV}} J_{m+1}+\int_{m} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m}^{\mathrm{VV}} J_{m}
$$

- the three contributions are separately divergent in $d=4$ dimensions:
- in $\sigma^{R R}$ kinematical singularities as one or two partons become unresolved yielding $\epsilon$-poles at $O\left(\epsilon^{-4}, \epsilon^{-3}, \epsilon^{-2}\right.$, $\epsilon^{-1}$ ) after integration over phase space, no explicit $\epsilon$ poles
- in $\sigma^{R V}$ kinematical singularities as one parton becomes unresolved yielding $\epsilon$-poles at $O\left(\epsilon^{-2}, \epsilon^{-1}\right)$ after integration over phase space + explicit $\epsilon$-poles at $O\left(\epsilon^{-2}\right.$, $\epsilon^{-1}$ )
- in $\sigma^{V V}$ explicit $\epsilon$-poles at $O\left(\epsilon^{-4}, \epsilon^{-3}, \epsilon^{-2}, \epsilon^{-1}\right)$

How to combine to obtain finite cross section?

## Structure of subtractions

...is governed by the jet functions

$$
\sigma_{m}^{\mathrm{NNLO}}=\sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}}+\sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{RV}}+\sigma_{m}^{\mathrm{VV}}=\sigma_{m+2}+\sigma_{m+1}+\sigma_{m}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+2} & =\left\{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}} J_{m+2}-\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}} J_{m}-\left[\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}} J_{m+1}-\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{12}} J_{m}\right]\right\}_{\epsilon=0} \\
\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+1} & =\left\{\left[\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{RV}}+\int_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}}\right] J_{m+1}-\left[\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{RV}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}}+\left(\int_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}}\right)^{\mathrm{A}_{1}}\right] J_{m}\right\}_{\epsilon=0} \\
\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m} & =\left\{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m}^{\mathrm{VV}}+\int_{2}\left[\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}}-\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{12}}\right]+\int_{1}\left[\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{RV}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}}+\left(\int_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}}\right)^{\mathrm{A}_{1}}\right]\right\}_{\epsilon=0} J_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

- RR, $A_{2}$ regularizes doubly-unresolved limits
- $R R, A_{1}$ regularizes singly-unresolved limits
- RR, A 12 removes overlapping subtractions
- RV, A1 regularizes singly-unresolved limits


## CoLoRFulNNLO is a subtraction scheme with

$\checkmark$ fully local counter-terms
(efficiency and mathematical rigor)
$\checkmark$ fully differential predictions (with jet functions defined in $d=4$ )
$\checkmark$ explicit expressions including flavor and color (color space notation is used)
$\checkmark$ completely general construction (valid in any order of perturbation theory)
$\checkmark$ option to constrain subtraction near singular regions (important check)

Completely Local SubtRactions for
Fully Differential Predictions@NNLO
$e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow 3$ jets

## Jet rates at NNLO accuracy

$n$-jet rate $R_{n}$ is defined by the ratio

$$
R_{n}(\vec{a})=\frac{\sigma_{e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow n \mathrm{jets}}(\vec{a})}{\sigma_{e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \text { hadrons }}}
$$

where $\vec{a}$ is a set of jet resolution parameters in our example it is simply $\mathrm{y}_{\text {cut }}$ of
the exclusive $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}$ clustering algorithm

$$
R_{3}^{\mathrm{FO}}(\vec{a}, \mu)=\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}(\mu)}{2 \pi} A_{3}(\vec{a}, \mu)+\left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}(\mu)}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} B_{3}(\vec{a}, \mu)+\left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}(\mu)}{2 \pi}\right)^{3} C_{3}(\vec{a}, \mu)
$$

## Jet rates at NNLO accuracy



Scale dependence of the three-jet rate $R_{3}$ with 3-loop running unphysical for small $y_{c u t}$

Resummation

## Jet rates at NDL accuracy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{s}\left(M_{z}\right) \log ^{2}\left(1 / y_{\text {cut }}\right)=2.5 \text { for } a_{s}\left(M_{z}\right)=0.118 \text { and } y_{\text {cut }}=0.01 \\
& a_{s}\left(M_{z}\right) \log \left(1 / y_{\text {cut }}\right)=1 \text { for } a_{s}\left(M_{z}\right)=0.118 \text { and } y_{\text {cut }}=0.001
\end{aligned}
$$

need to sum up at least leading and next-to-leading logarithms ( $L=\log (1 /$ ycut $)$ ) to all order in perturbation theory NLL(...) resummation formula:

$$
R^{\mathrm{NLL}}(L)=\exp \left(L g_{1}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{S}} L\right)+g_{2}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{S}} L\right)+\ldots\right) \mathcal{F}_{N L L}(L)
$$

for jet-rates only NDL resummation formula is known:

$$
R^{\mathrm{NDL}}(L)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\mathrm{S}}^{n}\left(G_{n, 2 n} L^{2 n}+G_{n, 2 n-1} L^{2 n-1}+\mathcal{O}\left(L^{2 n-2}\right)\right)
$$

[Catani et al., Phys.Lett. B269 (1991) 432]

## Jet rates at NDL accuracy



NDL resummation for the three-jet rates: correct only for asymptotically small values of $y_{\text {cut }}$

## R-matching

## Jet rates at FO+NDL accuracy

For NDL R-matching is the standard option, defined by

$$
R^{\mathrm{FO}+\mathrm{NDL}}=R^{\mathrm{NDL}}-R_{e x p}^{\mathrm{NDL}}+R^{\mathrm{FO}}
$$

with

$$
R_{e x p}^{\mathrm{NDL}}=\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}}{2 \pi} A_{e x p}+\left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} B_{e x p}+\left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}}{2 \pi}\right)^{3} C_{e x p}
$$

## Jet rates at NNLO+NDL accuracy



R-matching for the three-jet rates:

$$
\text { unphysical for } y_{\text {cut }}<10^{-4}
$$

as a result of uncontrolled subleading logarithmic behavior

## NLO+NDL vs NNLO+NDL



R-matching for the three-jet rates:

$$
\text { unphysical for } y_{\text {cut }}<10^{-4}
$$

as a result of uncontrolled subleading logarithmic behavior

## ...with cusp anomalous dimension in splitting kernels

[Nagy and ZT hep-ph/9708344]

$$
R_{3}^{\mathrm{NDL}+\mathrm{K}}\left(y_{\mathrm{cut}}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\mathrm{S}}^{n}\left(G_{n, 2 n} \log ^{2 n} y_{\mathrm{cut}}+G_{n, 2 n-1} \log ^{n-1} y_{\mathrm{cut}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\log ^{2 n-2} y_{\mathrm{cut}}\right)\right)
$$



R-matching for the three-jet rates:
still unphysical for $y_{\text {cut }}<10^{-4}$
as a result of uncontrolled subleading logarithmic behavior
logR-matching

## $\log R$ matching for jet rates

For NDL $\log$ R-matching can be defined by

$$
\log R^{\mathrm{FO}+\mathrm{NDL}}=\log R^{\mathrm{NDL}}-\left(\log R^{\mathrm{NDL}}\right)_{e x p}+\tilde{R}^{\mathrm{FO}}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { with } \\
e^{\tilde{R}^{\mathrm{FO}}} \rightarrow \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}}{2 \pi} A^{\mathrm{FO}}+\left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} B^{\mathrm{FO}}+\left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}}{2 \pi}\right)^{3} C^{\mathrm{FO}}
\end{gathered}
$$

multiplicative matching instead of additive: provides correct asymptotic behavior at small ycut unphysical above the LO kinematic limit

## $R$ matching vs $\log R$ matching


the $R$ matching and $\log R$ matching prescriptions give consistent predictions for $y_{\text {cut }}>10^{-3}$


## Conclusions

$\checkmark$ Defined a general subtraction scheme for computing NNLO fully differential jet cross sections (presently only for processes with no colored particles in the initial state, extension to hadronic collisions is in progress)
$\checkmark$ Subtractions are
$\checkmark$ fully local
$\checkmark$ exact and explicit in color (using color state formalism)
$\checkmark$ Application: three rates in electron-positron annihilation
$\checkmark$ numerical precision matches formal precision well
$\checkmark$ NNLO matched to NDL with $R$ and $\log R$ matching prescriptions
$\Rightarrow$ higher logarithmic accuracy needed

## Kinematic singularities cancel in RR


$R=$ subtraction/RR

## Kinematic singularities cancel in RV



$R=$ subtraction/(RV+RR, $\left.A_{1}\right)$

## Regularized RR \& RV contributions

## can now be computed by numerical Monte Carlo integrations

(generalization to colored initial states is in progress)

$$
\sigma^{\mathrm{NNLO}}=\sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}}+\sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{RV}}+\sigma_{m}^{\mathrm{VV}}=\sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{NNLO}}+\sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{NNLO}}+\sigma_{m}^{\mathrm{NNLO}}
$$

$$
\sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{NNLO}}=\int_{m+2}\left\{\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}} J_{m+2}-\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}} J_{m}-\left(\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}} J_{m+1}-\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{12}} J_{m}\right)\right\}
$$

$$
\sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{NNLO}}=\int_{m+1}\left\{\left(\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{RV}}+\int_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}}\right) J_{m+1}-\left[\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{RV}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}}+\left(\int_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}}\right) \mathrm{A}_{1}\right] J_{m}\right\}
$$

$$
\sigma_{m}^{\mathrm{NNLO}}=\int_{m}\left\{\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m}^{\mathrm{VV}}+\int_{2}\left(\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}}-\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{12}}\right)+\int_{1}\left[\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{RV}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}}+\left(\int_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}}\right) \mathrm{A}_{1}\right]\right\} J_{m}
$$
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## Integrated approximate xsections

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma^{\mathrm{NNLO}}=\sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}}+\sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{RV}}+\sigma_{m}^{\mathrm{VV}}=\sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{NNLO}}+\sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{NNLO}}+\sigma_{m}^{\mathrm{NNLO}} \\
& \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{NNLO}}= \int_{m+2}\left\{\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}} J_{m+2}-\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}} J_{m}-\left(\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}} J_{m+1}-\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{12}} J_{m}\right)\right\} \\
& \sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{NNLO}}= \int_{m+1}\left\{\left(\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{RV}}+\int_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}}\right) J_{m+1}-\left[\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{RV}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}}+\left(\int_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}}\right)^{\mathrm{A}_{1}}\right] J_{m}\right\} \\
& \sigma_{m}^{\mathrm{NNLO}}= \int_{m}\left\{\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m}^{\mathrm{VV}}+\int_{2}\left(\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}}-\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{12}}\right)+\int_{1}\left[\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{RV}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}}+\left(\int_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}}\right) \mathrm{A}_{1}\right]\right\} J_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

After integrating over unresolved momenta \& summing over unresolved colors and flavors, the subtraction terms can be written as products of insertion operators (in color space) and lower point cross sections:

$$
\int_{p} \mathrm{~d} \sigma^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{p}}=\boldsymbol{I}_{p}^{(0)}\left(\{p\}_{n} ; \epsilon\right) \otimes \mathrm{d} \sigma_{n}^{\mathrm{B}}
$$

## Example: $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow m(=3)$ jets at $\mu^{2}=s$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{m}^{\mathrm{NNLO}}=\int_{m}\left\{\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m}^{\mathrm{VV}}+\int_{2}\left[\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}}-\mathrm{d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{12}}\right]+\int_{1}\left[\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+1}^{\mathrm{RV}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}}+\left(\int_{1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{m+2}^{\mathrm{RR}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}}\right)^{\mathrm{A}_{1}}\right]\right\} J_{m} \\
\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{3}^{\mathrm{VV}}=\operatorname{Poles}\left(A_{3}^{(2 \times 0)}+A_{3}^{(1 \times 1)}\right)+\mathcal{F} \text { inite }\left(A_{3}^{(2 \times 0)}+A_{3}^{(1 \times 1)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathcal{P o l e s}\left(A_{3}^{(2 \times 0)}+A_{3}^{(1 \times 1)}\right)+\mathcal{P o l e s} \sum \int \mathrm{d} \sigma^{\mathrm{A}}=200 \mathrm{k}$ Mathematica lines = zero numerically in any phase space point:


## $\log R$ matching formulae

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\log R^{\mathrm{NDL}}\right)_{e x p} & =\log \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}}{2 \pi}+\log A_{e x p}+\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}}{2 \pi} \frac{B_{e x p}}{A_{e x p}}+\left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \frac{2 A_{e x p} C_{e x p}-B_{e x p}^{2}}{2 A_{e x p}^{2}} \\
& +\left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}}{2 \pi}\right)^{3} \frac{B_{e x p}^{3}-3 A_{e x p} B_{e x p} C_{e x p}+2 A_{e x p}^{2} D_{e x p}}{3 A_{e x p}^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R}^{\mathrm{FO}} & =\log \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}}{2 \pi}+\log A_{F O}+\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}}{2 \pi} \frac{B_{F O}}{A_{F O}}+\left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \frac{2 A_{F O} C_{F O}-B_{F O}^{2}}{2 A_{F O}^{2}} \\
& +\left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}}{2 \pi}\right)^{3} \frac{B_{F O}^{3}-3 A_{F O} B_{F O} C_{F O}}{3 A_{F O}^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

