Sensitivity to scalar contributions in $b \rightarrow c(u) \tau \nu$ decays

Alejandro Celis

Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München



AC, M. Jung, X-Q. Li, A. Pich, Phys.Lett. B771 (2017) 168-179 [arXiv:1612.07757]

EPS-HEP 2017, Lido di Venezia, Italy July 6, 2017

Motivation: R(D) and $R(D^*)$ in 2017

$$R(D^{(*)}) = rac{\mathrm{Br}(B
ightarrow D^{(*)} au
u)}{\mathrm{Br}(B
ightarrow D^{(*)} \ell
u)}$$



contours: 68% CL, filled: 95(68)% CL

Framework

Parametrization of charged scalar contributions

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4G_F V_{q_u q_d}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\bar{q}_u (g_L^{q_u q_d \ell} \mathcal{P}_L + g_R^{q_u q_d \ell} \mathcal{P}_R) q_d \right] [\bar{\ell} \mathcal{P}_L \nu_\ell]$$

Assume colour-neutral scalar exchange

$$g_{L,R}^{q_uq_d\ell} = g_{L,R}^{q_uq_d}g_L^\ell$$

Flavour ansatz (relating $b \rightarrow c$ and $b \rightarrow u$):

$$rac{g_L^{cb}}{g_L^{ub}} = rac{m_c}{m_u}\,, \qquad \qquad rac{g_R^{cb}}{g_R^{ub}} = 1$$

realized in 2HDMs with natural flavour conservation and in the aligned 2HDM.

Observables

$\underline{b ightarrow c}$

- $R(D^{(*)})$ and q^2 distribution in $B o D^{(*)} au
 u$
- τ polarization asymmetry
- ► B_c meson lifetime

$$\blacktriangleright R(X_c) \equiv \frac{\operatorname{Br}(B \to X_c \tau \nu)}{\operatorname{Br}(B \to X_c \ell \nu)}$$

$$\underline{b \rightarrow u}$$

$$\mathsf{R}(\tau) \equiv \frac{\operatorname{Br}(B \to \tau\nu)}{\operatorname{Br}(B \to \pi\ell\nu)}$$
$$\mathsf{R}(\pi) \equiv \frac{\operatorname{Br}(B \to \pi\tau\nu)}{\operatorname{Br}(B \to \pi\ell\nu)}$$

exp. upper bound

- $\underline{B} \rightarrow D\ell\nu$: Boyd-Grinstein-Lebed form factor parametrization, following *Bigi-Gambino (2016)*
- $\underline{B} \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$: Caprini-Lellouch-Neubert parametrization with data input, $R_3(1)$ from HQET relation to order α_s , $1/m_{b,c}$ and enhanced uncertainty following *Fajfer-Kamenik-Nisandzic (2012)*
- q^2 distribution in $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \tau \nu$: Normalization is kept floating in the fit.



- ➤ <u>*τ* polarization asymmetry</u> first measurement by Belle (2016), provides important handle to discriminate NP scenarios but statistical error is still large
- Indirect bound from the B_c lifetime

discussed in: Li-Yang-Zhang (2016) & Alonso-Grinstein-Camalich (2016)

Conservative limit: $Br(B_c \rightarrow \tau \nu) \le 40\%$ (in SM ~ 2%) derived from the measured B_c lifetime and theory input for its decay rate *Beneke-Buchalla* (1996)

 $\blacktriangleright \frac{R(X_c)}{C}$

Constraint derived from LEP measurement

$$Br(b \to \tau \nu + X) = (2.41 \pm 0.23)\%$$

dominated by $b \to X_c \tau \nu$ because of $|V_{ub}|^2 / |V_{cb}|^2 \sim 1\%$.





Green: allowed by $R(D^{(*)})$, but excluded by the shape information

Analysis of $b \rightarrow c$ and $b \rightarrow u$ sectors

dotted contour: $R(D^{(*)})$ and $R(\tau)$



Predictions

$$R(\Lambda_c) \equiv \frac{\operatorname{Br}(\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c \tau \nu)}{\operatorname{Br}(\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c \ell \nu)} \text{ and } R(p) \equiv \frac{\operatorname{Br}(\Lambda_b \to p \tau \nu)}{\operatorname{Br}(\Lambda_b \to p \ell \nu)}$$



Scalar (blue) vs SM-like operator (green)

form factors for baryonic decays from lattice QCD

Detmold-Lehner-Meinel (2015)

Conclusions

We performed a global analysis of $b \rightarrow c$ and $b \rightarrow u$ transitions considering generic contributions from a charged Higgs

Important tensions are observed between $R(D^{(*)})$, the indirect bound from the B_c lifetime and the q^2 distribution in $B \to D^{(*)}\tau\nu$

Depending on the flavour structure, tensions also arise between the $b \rightarrow c$ and $b \rightarrow u$ sectors due to $R(\tau)$

Additional decays provide complementary tests of Lepton Universality Violation in charged-current mediated processes, e.g. $R(\Lambda_c) \& R(p)$

Interesting measurements expected from LHCb and Belle(-II)

Extra Slides



$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4G_F V_{q_u q_d}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\bar{q}_u (g_L^{q_u q_d \ell} \mathcal{P}_L) q_d \right] \left[\bar{\ell} \mathcal{P}_L \nu_\ell \right]$$



dashed contour (68% CL) from au polarization asymmetry





The speaker's attendance at this conference was sponsored by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

http://www.humboldt-foundation.de

