

# *k*-essence models of unified Inflation, Dark matter & Dark energy

Archan S. Majumdar

*S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, Kolkata, India*

## Refs:

1. N. Bose and A. S. Majumdar, *A k-essence model of inflation, dark matter and dark energy*, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 103517 (2009) [arXiv: 0812.4131].
2. N. Bose and A. S. Majumdar, *Unified model of k-inflation, dark matter and dark energy*, [arXiv: 0907.2330].

# Introduction & Motivations

- Inflationary model (kinetic energy driven) originally motivated from string theoretic (Born-Infeld) action. [*c.f. Armendariz-Picon, Damour, Mukhanov, (1999) ]*
- Subsequently, models for late time acceleration of the universe driven by scalar field kinetic energy. [*c.f. Chiba et. al (1999), Steinhardt et. al. (2001), Chimento (2004)....]*
- Search for a single field (or similar mechanism) to generate the early and present era acceleration of the universe. [*c.f. quintessential inflation: Peebles (1999), Copeland (2000), Majumdar (2001), Sahni (2004)..... ]*
- Nature of both dark matter and dark energy are unknown. Could these be manifestations of the same entity ? [*c.f. unified models: .....Liddle et. al. (2008)]*
- How does *k-essence* fare in such schemes ?

## ***K-essence Models***

- Type-I:

$$L = F(x) - V(\varphi)$$

$$L = F(x)V(\varphi)$$

- Type-II:

$$x = \dot{\varphi}^2$$

# Purely kinetic $k$ -essence

$$L = F(x)$$

- Lagrangian:

$$(2xF_{xx} + F_x)\dot{x} + 6HF_x x = 0$$

- Equation of motion:

$$\sqrt{x} F_x = \frac{k}{a^3}$$

- Solution [Scherrer (2004)]:

- Energy density:

$$\rho = 2xF_x - F$$

- Energy density (ansatz):

$$\rho = \lambda + c_1 / a^3 \equiv \lambda + c_1 \sqrt{x} F_x / k$$

- Consistency:

$$a \propto \text{constt.}$$

- *DM & DE with purely kinetic  $k$ -essence not compatible with expanding universe.*

# Model-I

$$L = F(x)V(\varphi) \quad F(x) = Kx - m_{pl}^2 L \sqrt{x} + m_{pl}^4 M \quad V(\varphi) = 1 + e^{-\varphi/\varphi_c}$$

- Inflationary era: ( $V \sim \text{constant}$ , or varies slowly)

Field equation:  $(2xF_{xx} + F_x)\dot{x} + 6HF_x x = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \sqrt{x}F_x = k/a^3$

Energy conservation:  $\Rightarrow \dot{\rho} = -3H(\rho + p) = -6HF_x xV$

Fixed points of the eq. ( $x = x_0 \equiv \left(0, m_{pl}^4 \frac{L^2}{4K^2}\right)$ ) correspond to extrema of  $F$ .

$p = -\rho$  is an attractor leading to exponential inflation.

- Exit from the inflationary era:  $x$  slowly moves away from  $x_0$

Inflation ends when  $\frac{\delta x}{x_0} \approx 1$

## Model-II

$$L = F(x) - V(\varphi) \quad F(x) = Kx - m_{pl}^2 L \sqrt{x} + m_{pl}^4 M \quad V(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2$$

- Inflationary era dynamics:  $V(\varphi) \gg 2xF_x - F$

Field eqn.:

$$3H F_x \dot{\varphi} + \frac{dV}{d\varphi} \approx 0$$

Slow roll parameters:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left( \frac{V'}{V} \right)^2 \frac{1}{F_x} \quad \eta = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \left( \frac{V''}{V} \right) \frac{1}{F_x^2}$$

$$F_x \sim O(1) \quad \text{identifies with the standard scenario.}$$

*Computation of inflationary parameters, e.g., no. of e-folds, spectral index, tensor-to-scalar index, etc..*

# Post-inflationary evolution

- Stage of kinetic domination after inflation

F.E.:

$$(2xF_{xx} + F_x)\ddot{\phi} + 3HF_x\dot{\phi} = 0 \quad F(x) = B(1 - 2A\sqrt{x})^2 - C$$

$$x = \frac{1}{16A^4B^2} \left( 2AB + \frac{k}{a^3} \right)$$

recovering back effectively, kinetic *k*-essence.

- Energy density:  $\rho = C + \frac{k}{Aa^3} + \frac{k^2}{4A^2Ba^6}$

- Eq. of state:  $w = \frac{\frac{k^2}{4A^2Ba^6} - C}{C + \frac{k}{Aa^3} + \frac{k^2}{4A^2Ba^6}}$

*post-inflation (before radiation domination):*  $w \approx 1$

*matter domination:*  $w \approx 0$

- *late time evolution (  $a \rightarrow \infty$  ):*  $w \rightarrow -1$

# Constraints on model parameters

## *Observational requirements:*

- Inflationary era: amplitude of density perturbations  $\delta_H = 2 \times 10^{-5}$  ; e-foldings  $N > 60$
- Intermediate era: crossover from kinetic to radiation domination before nucleosynthesis; & matter domination subsequently
- Present era: transition to accelerated expansion after structure formation

*Impose the constraints:*  $250 (GeV)^2 \leq A \leq 10^{10} (GeV)^2$      $10^{-22} (GeV)^4 \leq B \leq 10^{-6} (GeV)^4$

with the tuning  $C = 10^{-48} (GeV)^4$     leading to:

- **Scale of inflation**  $m = 10^{13} GeV$     **Slow roll parameters**  $\epsilon, \eta \approx 10^{-3}$
- **tensor-to-scalar ratio**  $r \approx 0.12$     **spectral index**  $n_s \approx 0.95$
- **Transition to acceleration**  $Z_T \approx 0.81$     **present value of eq. state parameter**  $w_0 \approx -0.75$



# Summary & Conclusions

(N. Bose and A. S. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 103517 (2009) [arXiv:0812.4131]; arXiv:0907.2330)

- We consider *k-essence* models with the interplay of kinetic and potential terms.
- Our aim is to obtain inflation, dark matter & dark energy within a unified framework.
- We show that purely kinetic *k-essence* is unable to achieve such a unification.
- Dynamics of models: inflationary potential (slow roll), exit leading to kinetic domination.
- Reheating by gravitational particle production (*problematic with k-domination, low reheat temperatures*).
- Later evolution reproducing dark matter and dark energy at appropriate stages.
- Constraints on model parameters from phenomenological considerations (*tuning of one parameter*)
- Viability of inflationary perturbations, and predictions of  $Z_T$  and  $w_0$  with upcoming probes.