

k-essence models of unified Inflation, Dark matter & Dark energy

Archan S. Majumdar

S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, Kolkata, India

Refs:

1. N. Bose and A. S. Majumdar, *A k-essence model of inflation, dark matter and dark energy*, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 103517 (2009) [arXiv: 0812.4131].
2. N. Bose and A. S. Majumdar, *Unified model of k-inflation, dark matter and dark energy*, [arXiv: 0907.2330].

Introduction & Motivations

- Inflationary model (kinetic energy driven) originally motivated from string theoretic (Born-Infeld) action. [*c.f. Armendariz-Picon, Damour, Mukhanov, (1999)]*
- Subsequently, models for late time acceleration of the universe driven by scalar field kinetic energy. [*c.f. Chiba et. al (1999), Steinhardt et. al. (2001), Chimento (2004)....]*
- Search for a single field (or similar mechanism) to generate the early and present era acceleration of the universe. [*c.f. quintessential inflation: Peebles (1999), Copeland (2000), Majumdar (2001), Sahni (2004).....]*
- Nature of both dark matter and dark energy are unknown. Could these be manifestations of the same entity ? [*c.f. unified models:Liddle et. al. (2008)]*
- How does *k-essence* fare in such schemes ?

K-essence Models

- Type-I:

$$L = F(x) - V(\varphi)$$

$$L = F(x)V(\varphi)$$

- Type-II:

$$x = \dot{\varphi}^2$$

Purely kinetic k -essence

$$L = F(x)$$

- Lagrangian:

$$(2xF_{xx} + F_x)\dot{x} + 6HF_x x = 0$$

- Equation of motion:

$$\sqrt{x} F_x = \frac{k}{a^3}$$

- Solution [Scherrer (2004)]:

$$\rho = 2xF_x - F$$

- Energy density:

- Energy density (ansatz):

$$\rho = \lambda + c_1 / a^3 \equiv \lambda + c_1 \sqrt{x} F_x / k$$

- Consistency:

$$a \propto \text{constt.}$$

- *DM & DE with purely kinetic k -essence not compatible with expanding universe.*

Model-I

$$L = F(x)V(\varphi) \quad F(x) = Kx - m_{pl}^2 L \sqrt{x} + m_{pl}^4 M \quad V(\varphi) = 1 + e^{-\varphi/\varphi_c}$$

- Inflationary era: ($V \sim \text{constant}$, or varies slowly)

Field equation: $(2xF_{xx} + F_x)\dot{x} + 6HF_x x = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \sqrt{x}F_x = k/a^3$

Energy conservation: $\Rightarrow \dot{\rho} = -3H(\rho + p) = -6HF_x xV$

Fixed points of the eq. ($x = x_0 \equiv \left(0, m_{pl}^4 \frac{L^2}{4K^2}\right)$) correspond to extrema of F .

$p = -\rho$ is an attractor leading to exponential inflation.

- Exit from the inflationary era: x slowly moves away from x_0

Inflation ends when $\frac{\delta x}{x_0} \approx 1$

Model-II

$$L = F(x) - V(\varphi) \quad F(x) = Kx - m_{pl}^2 L \sqrt{x} + m_{pl}^4 M \quad V(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2$$

- Inflationary era dynamics: $V(\varphi) \gg 2xF_x - F$

Field eqn.:

$$3H F_x \dot{\varphi} + \frac{dV}{d\varphi} \approx 0$$

Slow roll parameters:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left(\frac{V'}{V} \right)^2 \frac{1}{F_x} \quad \eta = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \left(\frac{V''}{V} \right) \frac{1}{F_x^2}$$

$$F_x \sim O(1) \quad \text{identifies with the standard scenario.}$$

Computation of inflationary parameters, e.g., no. of e-folds, spectral index, tensor-to-scalar index, etc..

Post-inflationary evolution

- Stage of kinetic domination after inflation

F.E.:

$$(2xF_{xx} + F_x)\ddot{\phi} + 3HF_x\dot{\phi} = 0 \quad F(x) = B(1 - 2A\sqrt{x})^2 - C$$

$$x = \frac{1}{16A^4B^2} \left(2AB + \frac{k}{a^3} \right)$$

recovering back effectively, kinetic *k*-essence.

- Energy density: $\rho = C + \frac{k}{Aa^3} + \frac{k^2}{4A^2Ba^6}$
- Eq. of state: $w = \frac{\frac{k^2}{4A^2Ba^6} - C}{C + \frac{k}{Aa^3} + \frac{k^2}{4A^2Ba^6}}$

post-inflation (before radiation domination): $w \approx 1$

matter domination: $w \approx 0$

- *late time evolution ($a \rightarrow \infty$):* $w \rightarrow -1$

Constraints on model parameters

Observational requirements:

- Inflationary era: amplitude of density perturbations $\delta_H = 2 \times 10^{-5}$; e-foldings $N > 60$
- Intermediate era: crossover from kinetic to radiation domination before nucleosynthesis;
& matter domination subsequently
- Present era: transition to accelerated expansion after structure formation

Impose the constraints: $250 (GeV)^2 \leq A \leq 10^{10} (GeV)^2$ $10^{-22} (GeV)^4 \leq B \leq 10^{-6} (GeV)^4$

with the tuning $C = 10^{-48} (GeV)^4$ leading to:

- **Scale of inflation** $m = 10^{13} GeV$ **Slow roll parameters** $\epsilon, \eta \approx 10^{-3}$
- **tensor-to-scalar ratio** $r \approx 0.12$ **spectral index** $n_s \approx 0.95$
- **Transition to acceleration** $Z_T \approx 0.81$ **present value of eq. state parameter** $w_0 \approx -0.75$

Summary & Conclusions

(N. Bose and A. S. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 103517 (2009) [arXiv:0812.4131]; arXiv:0907.2330)

- We consider *k-essence* models with the interplay of kinetic and potential terms.
- Our aim is to obtain inflation, dark matter & dark energy within a unified framework.
- We show that purely kinetic *k-essence* is unable to achieve such a unification.
- Dynamics of models: inflationary potential (slow roll), exit leading to kinetic domination.
- Reheating by gravitational particle production (*problematic with k-domination, low reheat temperatures*).
- Later evolution reproducing dark matter and dark energy at appropriate stages.
- Constraints on model parameters from phenomenological considerations (*tuning of one parameter*)
- Viability of inflationary perturbations, and predictions of Z_T and w_0 with upcoming probes.