



CPPM activities towards HV/HR CMOS in LF

Marlon Barbero – AMU / CPPM

AIDA-2020 first annual meeting, June 15th 2016











- Participate to design of HV/HR CMOS sensor in LF technology, in particular by TCAD simulations, studying and optimizing vs:
 - Input capacitance.
 - Breakdown voltage.
 - Depletion voltage vs. bias / resistivity
 - Transient charge collection behavior.



- Characterization in lab, under radiation...
- Design!
 - \rightarrow CCPD LF Demonstrator submission in February.
 - → On-going: Monopix design, submission target date end June.
- First tries towards assessing potential physics performance of technology at small radius.
- 2-day simulation workshop in CPPM https://indico.cern.ch/event/497449/







Background



- Team: Bonn/CPPM pursue long standing collaboration → HV/HR-CMOS LF since ~2014. IRFU has joined forces more recently on this topic (2015).
- LFOUNDRY technology & projects

LFoundry CMOS3E technology:

- 150nm CMOS (Avezzano, Italy)
- 2kΩcm p-type bulk
- Deep NWell available in real triple-well process
- HV process
- Thinning and back size metallization possible
- MLM3 (25.840mm x 9.505mm)

LFoundry Projects

PERIMENT

- CCPD-LF VA chip : 5 x 5 mm² (Bonn, CPPM,KIT) submitted july 2015
- CCPD-LF VB chip : 5 x 5 mm² (Bonn, CPPM, KIT) submitted july 2015
- LFCPIX VA chip : 10 x 10 mm² (Bonn, CPPM, IRFU) submitted Feb 2016
- LFCPIX VB chip : 10 x 10 mm² (Bonn, CPPM, IRFU) submitted Feb 2016
- LF_MONOPIX_01 chip : 10 x 10 mm² (Bonn, CPPM, IRFU) submission summer 2016
- COOL 1 chip : 12 x 10 mm² (SLAC, UCSC, KIT) submission summer 2016



















KPERIMENT



The capacitances mainly come from the C_{dnw} (between the DNW and the substrate) and the C_{pw-dnw} (between the PW/PSUB and the DNW)















CPPM activities in AIDA-2020 WP6, AIDA-2020 1st annual meeting, June 13-16 2016, DESY



LF VA/VB 2015 version

IDA

Aix⁺Marseille

IINIVersi

CPPN





Pwellring + 2 floating guard-rings + backbias + seal-ring.

The depleted region can not reach the chip edge even with removed 5 outer guard-rings.







шш

49

- Feb. 2016: MLM3.
- V2: V1 + new guard ring strategy. Bottom matrix: Col. ctrl, bias gene., analog buff., glob. config./SR readout

<u>Pixel Matrix :</u>

- Pixel 250µm×50µm (FEI4-like)
- All pixels have bond pad to FEI4
- <u>3 sub-matrices</u> :
 - Passive: only DNwell sense diode
 - **AnalogDigital**: à la LF VA, 4 flavors (different diode bias, diff. input transistors NMOS and PMOS).
 - Analog: preamp with complementary input CMOS, and 8 flavors (diode polarization, outputs "linear", "saturated" or "digital"...).
 - Preamp out / hitOR available for all pix



S CPPM activities in AIDA-2020 WP6, AIDA



Aix*Marseille





- On-going: monolithic LF design
- Monopix design: Bonn/CPPM/IRFU
- 9 different flavors
 - Each has 4 columns
- 2 RO architectures
 - FE-I3 like pixels
 - NMOS/CMOS pre-amp.
 - Old/new discri.
 - Different power domain for discri.
 - CS /CMOS token transmission
 - Pixel without RO logic

(logic at end of colum)

• Expected submission date: end June



Aix⁺Marseille





- Changes brought to Geant4-based simulation of current ATLAS pixel geometry.
- As a first try, to get faster results, no fundamental change to current 3+1 pixel layer geometry. Alessandro Calandri / CPPM
- HVCMOS could be exploited for outer layers, but we advocate here their use for the inner layer (current IBL) where they can have large impact on physics.





Modifying the sensor thickness

Current digitization method is the drift model:

- carriers drift in the depleted sensor depth taking into account direction of electric field, the Lorentz angle and the diffusion
- Geant4 provides entry point, exit point and energy loss
 - drift path is divided into 50 segments and the total charge is uniformly spread within these segments
 - for each segment, the charge is divided into 10 sub-charges to ensure randomness of the diffusion process.
- The drift is performed between the entrance and the exit point following the Lorentz angle + a Gaussian smearing that accounts for the thermal diffusion
- The signal on each pixel is the sum of all sub-charges that reached that pixel

+ pitch reduction $R\phi \& z \rightarrow next$ slides



The ionization potential for the silicon is regarded as constant

The Lorentz angle is computed from the conditions of the database (temperature, bias voltage applied on the sensors)

✓ IBLPlanarChargeTool and IBL3DChargeTool inside the package PixelDigitization can be modified to reduce the sensor thickness and perform the charge drift in a smaller depth

> for this study, I've just modified the depletion depth for planar sensors (IBLPlanarChargeTool)













- TCAD simulations led to design in LF
- Characterization in lab, under radiation...
 - \rightarrow CCPD LF Demonstrator submission in February.
 - \rightarrow Should be back after the Summer.
 - → Monolithic demonstrator in LF.
- First tries towards assessing potential physics performance of technology at small radius.
 - Scan pitch
 - Single track muons.
 - ttbar.

















• Combine 3 pixels together to fit one FE-I4 pixel (50×250µm²), with HVCMOS pixels encoded by pulse height.

PPN



Readout of CCPD_LF and FEI4

universität**bonn**



ToT dispersion of 1 "good" FE-I4 pixel (test pulse injection)

universität**bonn**



hirono@physik.uni-bonn.de





ToT response of a single FEI4 pix



- Sub-pixel encoding works for real beam
- Detailed analysis is on-going

hirono@physik.uni-bonn.de

ATLAS Upgrade Week - 19 Apr 2016

LF-CPIX Demonstrator

LF-CPIX: + Fully]

- 150nm CMOS (Avezzano, Italy)
- 2kΩcm p-type bulk
- Deep N-Well/P-Well available
- HV process
- CPPM + IRFU/CEA + Bonn



submitted 03/2016





LF-Monopix-01 Fully Monolithic





Aix*Marse

้นniversität**bon**ดิ

submission 05/2016



Modifying the sensor thickness (2)

Depletion depth divided by 10 in the active region for IBL planar (200 \rightarrow 20 μ m) - symmetric wrt 0 in depth

- <u>Relevant thresholds</u> (the DB has been disabled in such a way that values can be modified by hand) for IBL divided by 10 accordingly (naively assuming linear scaling of thresholds vs sensor thickness in the charge drift) in PixelConditionService package (PixelCalibSvc), namely:
 - discrete threshold IBL: 1500→150, in-time threshold IBL: 1900→190, threshold noise: 200→20
- The <u>current NN-clustering</u> (flag in InDetJobProperties) switched-off for this study as the NN-based is not retrained for the new conditions, same for the clustering option in dense environment (TIDE)



- Lorentz angle correction in the RPhi plane properly taken into account when the depletion depth is modified:
 - LorentzCorrection in Phi → tanLorentzPhi•depletionDepth (factor that gives the effective correction in the reconstruction frame)

Modifying the pixel pitch in the IBL

- IBL pitch in RPhi/Z can be modified by overwriting the values stored in the DB with a txt file inside the InDetIBLExample package
 - values in the DB refer to the geometry tag I've used for this study, i.e. R2-2015-03-01-00
 - local dictionary (IDDictInnerDetector_readout.xml) modified to account for the new conditions (maxValues of the indices in Phi and Eta identifying the pixels varied according to the change in pitch)

Default configuration: $R\Phi$ -Z: (50×250) μ m PITCHETA PITCHETALONG NCHIPSPH will show in the following slides a test on the double double double int tracking performance for a reduced pitch in .4 .6 05 R Φ (divided by 2, 50 \rightarrow 25 μ m) and Z .25 05 .45 (divided by 5, both for the normal pixels and the long ones, $250 \rightarrow 50 \ \mu m$, $450 \rightarrow 90 \ \mu m$) .25 .25



Pixel clustering algorithms (2)





Example of the linear fit to extract the slope Δ in bins of cluster sizes in Phi and Z for the IBL

- Will have to reproduce the fit for each region and update the hardcoded file
- <u>Results exploited by using digital clustering both for the default and</u> <u>modified case in order to remove clustering-related issues (no charge</u> <u>interpolation)</u>
 - remarkable improvement in residuals/d0/pulls of the modified configuration (reduced pixel pitch in RPhi and Z) wrt to the nominal approach



Results

- For low pt tracks (<20 GeV), the improvement in residuals and impact parameter is of the order of 35% (25%) when the pitch in Rφ is divided by 4 (2). Same trend in Z (d0) although the absolute values of the typical gain are smaller
- Additional gain for tracks in the pt region 20-40 GeV (~5% improvement compared to the previous case)
- No significant changes in gain above 40 GeV (improvement, 40/30% in Rφ and 8/15% in Z, wrt the nominal configuration is flat vs pt)
- No dependence (expected) in pt noted in the local Y residuals distributions



Pt cat: 0-20/20-40/40-60 /60-100 GeV



Wrapping up/ongoing activities

- Working on pixel simulation in light of possible usage of HV-CMOS technology for pixel ITK
 - fine pixel granularity and small depletion depth can result in better performance on the tracking and pattern recognition



- Checks deployed on single track samples and ttbar with Run 2 geometry (only in the IBL planar region)
 - the depletion depth of the sensor is decreased by factor 10 (200→20 µm) and the relevant IBL-related thresholds have been varied accordingly
 - the pixel pitch (RΦ and Z) is decreased from its current value (250*50 µm) in order to simulate different sensor geometries for the HV-CMOS detectors
 - set of checks on the pixel clustering algorithm deployed in the analysis → results are shown for digital clustering: remarkable improvement in tracking performance (25-30%) due to the reduced pixel pitch

Ongoing activities and plans for the future

- Will complete the study on ttbar by gauging the improvement brought by the gain in resolution on Sd0/Sz0 on the IPTag performance
 - encouraging results found in the enhancement of b vs light log-likelihood ratio separation
- Will reproduce these studies (single tracks and ttbar) and assess the typical gain in the ITK framework by
 exploiting the different layouts that are currently being examined by the ATLAS ITK Simulation and Tracking group

Plan to write a note summarizing and documenting these studies on HV-CMOS simulation once thoroughly completed



LF VA/VB 2015 version











Full symb. no BP

Empty symb. BP

60

k@uni-bonn.de

80

100

Φ = 5e14, N ... = 3.2e13 cm³

120

140

160

Bias (V)

20

20

ere





0.00 0.002 0.004 0.006 0.008 0.010 0.012 0.014

Signal (Ampout) [V]

0.00 0.02 0.04 0.06 0.08 0.10 0.12 0.14 0.16 0.18 Signal (Ampout) [V]

T.Hirono (Bonn)



The pixels electrical parameters not really affected by the protons irradiation (10-20% variations)