



Equilibration in weak coupling approaches

Sören Schlichting | Brookhaven National Laboratory

May 24 2016 Initial Stages 2016 Lisbon, Portugal

Objectives

Hydrodynamics extremely successful for description of soft physics observables in A+A collisions

-> provide equilibration time and initial conditions for hydro

2 Develop a microscopic understanding of how QGP is created from the high-energy collision of heavy nuclei

Energy density of initial state corresponds to temperature scale on the order~4 $T_{\rm c}$ (LHC)

-> weak-coupling methods can work

General picture at weak-coupling



Consistent theoretical description requires combination of different weak-coupling methods

Before the collision

Nuclei described in terms of distribution of color charges carried by the quarks & gluons inside



Color glass condensate: (McLerran, Venugopalan D49 (1994) 2233-2241)

Saturation of the gluon density occurs when phase-space density becomes non-perturbatively large

High-energy nuclei feature a non-perturbatively large number of gluons $\sim 1/\alpha_s$, with a typical momentum Q_s(\sqrt{s})

Early times ($0 < Q_s \tau < 1$)

Strong boost invariant classical fields E^η, B^η created immediately after the collision

Decoherence of classical fields occurs on a time scale $1/\ensuremath{Q_{s}}$

- leading order (α_s) dynamics

well studied analytically and numerically in classical-lattice gauge theory (c.f. talk by R. Fries)

classical fields remain boost invariant





IP-Glasma

Early times ($0 < Q_s \tau < 1$)

Strong boost invariant classical fields E^η, B^η created immediately after the collision

Decoherence of classical fields occurs on a time scale $1/Q_{\mbox{\scriptsize s}}$

- next-to-leading order (α_s) corrections break boost invariance

-> plasma instabilities lead to an increase of longitudinal pressure





Classical regime $(1 < Q_s \tau < \alpha_s^{-3/2})$



Classical field interactions are not sufficiently strong to restore isotropy beyond 1/Qs

-> anisotropy of the plasma increases again

No sign of plasma instabilities playing a significant role at later times $Q_s \tau > 1$

Classical regime ($1 < Q_s \tau < \alpha_s^{-3/2}$)

Classical field evolution ($\tau > 1/Q_s$) of hard modes can be accurately described in terms of weakly interacting quasi particles



-> Effective kinetic description (AMY) can be used to study dynamics of hard modes from $\tau > 1/Q_s$ all the way to equilibration

Bottom-up scenario

Equilibration process beyond $\tau \sim 1/Q_s$ occurs as a three step process (Baier et al. PLB 502 (2001) 51-58)



Need to switch from classical Yang-Mills to kinetic description to describe approach to equilibrium

Quantum regime ($Q_s \tau > \alpha_s^{-3/2}$)

Inelastic processes dominate and lead to a radiative break-up -> mini-jets loose all their energy to soft thermal bath



Kurkela, Lu PRL 113 (2014) 182301

equilibration <-> (mini-) jet quenching

Quantum regime ($Q_s \tau > \alpha_s^{-3/2}$)

Inelastic processes dominate and lead to a radiative break-up -> mini-jets loose all their energy to soft thermal bath Soft bath heating up due to energy deposited by mini-jets

-> longitudinal pressure rises and system isotropizes



Kurkela, Lu PRL 113 (2014) 182301

Kurkela, Zhu PRL 115 (2015) 182301

Quantum regime ($Q_s \tau > \alpha_s^{-3/2}$)

Inelastic processes dominate and lead to a radiative break-up -> mini-jets loose all their energy to soft thermal bath Soft bath heating up due to energy deposited by mini-jets

-> longitudinal pressure rises and system isotropizes



Kurkela, Lu PRL 113 (2014) 182301

Kurkela, Zhu PRL 115 (2015) 182301

-> smooth matching to hydro-dynamics (no free parameters)

Equilibration process

Clear understanding of the dynamics in the weak-coupling limit



Beyond weak coupling

Extrapolate leading order weak coupling description to physical values α_s =0.3



Even though distinctions become less clear basic mechanism remains the same

Onset of hydrodynamics



Kurkela, Zhu PRL 115 (2015) 182301

Smooth matching to second order viscous hydrodynamics on a time scale ~1 fm/c (c.f. talk by Yan Zhu)

Pressure evolution matches for $P_L/P_T \sim 1/5$

-> Equilibration takes much longer than ~1 fm/c for expanding system

Initial conditions for hydro from weak-coupled equilibrium dynamics (c.f. talk by Aleksas Mazeliauskas)

Exploring pre-equilibrium dynamics in small systems?



Event-multiplicity for fixed system size

-> Small systems provide a unique laboratory to probe early time dynamics.

Initial state immediately after the collision ($\tau=0^+$)

(SS, Schenke, Venugopalan PLB 747 (2015) 76-82)



-> Significant momentum space correlations v₂ at τ=0 due to production mechanism.

-> No odd harmonics for gluons without final-state interactions. Initial spectrum symmetric under $k_T <->-k_T$

Classical Yang-Mills evolution after the collision — includes re-scattering of produced gluons



(SS, Schenke, Venugopalan PLB 747 (2015) 76-82)

Classical Yang-Mills evolution after the collision — includes re-scattering of produced gluons



(SS, Schenke, Venugopalan PLB 747 (2015) 76-82)

Classical Yang-Mills evolution after the collision — includes re-scattering of produced gluons



-> Clear modifications of correlations due to pre-equilibrium dynamics on a time scale ~0.4 fm/c

Quantitative understanding requires theoretical description which consistently takes into account initial state and final state effects.

Conclusions & Outlook

Development of leading order weak-coupling approach to equilibrium

-> first results look promising in terms of phenomenology

Ultimate goal: Qualitative insights -> Quantitative framework

- -> Screening in anisotropic plasmas
- -> Quarks & chemical evolution
- -> 3D structure of Glasma fields

Several applications beyond bulk phenomenology

- -> small systems
- -> electromagnetic & hard probes

-> sphaleron transitions & chiral magnetic effect (Mace,SS,Venugopalan PRD93 (2016) 074036 ; Mueller,SS,Sharma in preparation)

Backup

The ridge in p+p,p+Pb & Pb+Pb

p+p@7TeV p+Pb@5.02TeV Pb+Pb@2.76TeV



Gluon spectra

Evolution in classical regime



Transverse spectrum shows thermal-like $1/p_T$ behavior up to $Q_{s.}$

Dynamics in the scaling regime consists of *longitudinal momentum broadening* — not strong enough to completely compensate for red-shift due to longitudinal expansion

(Berges, Boguslavski, SS, Venugopalan PRD 89 (2014) 074011; 89 (2014) 114007)

Self-similarity



Dynamics can be entirely described in terms of universal scaling exponents $\alpha = -2/3$, $\beta = 0$, $\gamma = 1/3$ and scaling function f_S extracted from simulations

$$f(\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}},\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{z}},\tau) = (Q\tau)^{\alpha} f_{S} \Big((Q\tau)^{\beta} \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}, (Q\tau)^{\gamma} \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{z}} \Big)$$

(Berges, Boguslavski, SS, Venugopalan PRD 89 (2014) 074011; 89 (2014) 114007)

Quantum regime

Equilibration process



Quantum regime

• Numerical study of effective kinetic description (AMY 2<->2 + eff. coll. 1<->2)

Kurkela & Zhu PRL 115 (2015) 18, 182301



Clear observation of the three distinct stages of "bottom up" scenario

Hydrodynamic behavior on time scales ~1 fm/c when extrapolating weak coupling description to $\alpha_s = 0.3$

-> Viscous hydrodynamics applicable already for large pressure anisotropies

Expanding scalars & Universality

Expanding scalar field theory

Consider massless N-component scalar field theory with quartic self-interaction

$$S[\varphi] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g(x)} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \varphi_a) g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\nu \varphi_a) - \frac{\lambda}{24N} (\varphi^2)^2 \right)$$

in a longitudinally expanding setup.

Even though perturbatively there is no preference for small angle scattering, one at least expects elastic processes to dominate

> -> Energy conservation & Particle number conservation



(Berges, Boguslavski, SS, Venugopalan PRL114 (2015) 061601)

Comparison of simulations

Comparison of longitudinally expanding Yang-Mills and scalar field theory in the classical regime of high occupancy



Scalar theory shows three distinct scaling regimes at soft (~ p^{-5}), intermediate (~ $1/p_T$) and hard momenta (~const) ->Common ~ $1/p_T$ scaling regime

(Berges, Boguslavski, SS, Venugopalan PRL114 (2015) 061601)

Universality far from equilibrium

Scaling exponents and scaling functions agree in the inertial range of momenta, where both theories show $1/p_T$ behavior



(Berges, Boguslavski, SS, Venugopalan PRL114 (2015) 061601)

Sphalerons & CME

Chern-Simons number Histograms

Generated early (10<Qt<20)

Generated later (50<Qt<60)



-> Early times dominate generation of axial charge imbalance

Quantifying the sphaleron rate

Extract auto-correlation function of the Chern-Simons number

$$\frac{1}{V} \left\langle \left(N_{CS}(t+\delta t) - N_{CS}(t) \right)^2 \right\rangle = \Gamma_{sph}^{eq} \delta t$$



-> Simple probabilistic picture not applicable in the Glasma — clear non-Markovian emerge from the auto-correlation function

Mace, SS, Venugopalan arXiv:1601.07342

Quantifying the sphaleron rate

Define non-equilibrium sphaleron rate by the early rise of the auto-correlation function

 $\Gamma_{sph}^{neq}(t) = \left\langle \frac{(N_{CS}(t+\delta t) - N_{CS}(t))^2}{V \ \delta t} \right\rangle_{Q_s \delta t < 10}$

Non-equilibrium sphaleron rate





- Sizeable contribution from field strength fluctuations
- Strong time dependence observed — rate is largest at early times and decreases rapidly as a function of time

Do we understand the nonequilibrium sphaleron rate?

Since in equilibrium Γ_{sph} is controlled by modes on the oder of the magnetic screening scale $\sim \sqrt{\sigma}$, one should really compare Γ_{sph}/σ^2



-> Separation of scales emerges dynamically

-> Non-equilibrium sphaleron rate dominated by modes on the order of the magnetic screening scale

Chiral Magnetic Effect

Evolution of axial/vector charges during and after sphaleron transition in the presence of magnetic field

-> Based on real-time lattice simulation with dynamical fermions



(Mueller,SS,Sharma in preparation)