First results from Phase II of the neutrinoless double beta decay experiment GERDA

Werner Maneschg for the GERDA Collaboration

Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik

TeV Particle Astrophysics 2016

September 12-16, 2016, CERN, Geneva

Double beta decay: Theory and observation





Double beta decay: $2\nu\beta\beta$ versus $0\nu\beta\beta$

1. $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay: Allowed by SM
   (A,Z) $\rightarrow$ (A,Z+2) + 2 e^- + 2 $\bar{\nu}_e$ ($\Delta L = 0$)
   $\rightarrow$ Signature: β-like spectrum
   $\rightarrow$ Observed in 12 candidates: O($T_{1/2}$)=10^{18}-10^{24} yr
2. $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay: Forbidden by SM
   (A,Z) $\rightarrow$ (A,Z+2) + 2 e^- ($\Delta L = 2$)
   $\rightarrow$ Signature: Full energy peak at $Q_{\beta\beta}$

Signature from $2\nu\beta\beta$ and $0\nu\beta\beta$:



Observable: half-life

- $(T_{1/2})^{-1} = G^{0\nu} \left|M^{0\nu}\right|^2 \langle m_{ee}\rangle^2$
- $T_{1/2} \propto \begin{cases} a \cdot \epsilon \cdot M \cdot T, & \text{background-free} \\ a \cdot \epsilon \cdot \sqrt{\frac{M \cdot T}{\Delta E \cdot B}}, & \text{w background} \end{cases}$

The GERDA experiment

Location:
Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso,
Assergi, Italy

Setup and background reduction:

- 1.4 km overburden
  → Reduction of cosmic muon flux by 6 orders of magnitude (PB)
- Water tank and plastic scintillator
  → neutron moderator/absorber (PB)
  → muon Cherenkov veto (AB)
- Liquid argon (LAr) cryostat:
  → cooling medium for diodes (80 K)
  → attenuation of external radiation (PB)
  → LAr scintillation light used for bg rejection (AB)
- GERmanium Detector Array:
  - Operate bare diodes in LAr with low-mass, ultra-radiopure copper holders (PB)
  - Detectors enriched in ^{76}**Ge**:
    $Q_{\beta\beta}$ of ^{76}Ge = 2039 keV
    Concept: DBD source = Detector
  - Coincidence modus between Ge diodes and auxiliary systems (AB)
  - Particle identification via pulse shape (AB)

Legend:
PB = passive background rejection
AB = active background rejection

GERDA Phase I (2011-2013)

String with coaxial Ge diodes



String with Broad Energy Ge diodes



Results from GERDA Phase I

- Physics goal fullfilled: 21.6 kg·yr with B≈0.01 cts/(kg·yr·keV) at $Q_{\beta\beta}$ incl. pulse shape discrimination (PSD) cuts (unprecedented !)
- Detector performance: excellent energy resolution at 0.2%, energy scale very stable
- Data analysis: fully **blinded** (unprecedented !)
- Physics results:
  - No evidence for peak, → $\mathbf{T^{0\nu}_{1/2}}$ **>2.1×10^{25} yr (90% C.L.)**
  - combined Ge experiments: $T^{0\nu}_{1/2}$ >3.0×10^{25} yr (90% C.L.))
    → Combined Ge experiments (HdM + IGEX + GERDA): $\langle m_{\beta\beta}\rangle$ <(0.2-0.4) eV

GERDA: From Phase I to Phase II

Strategy: improve $T_{1/2}$ sensitivity by applying modifications *inside* the LAr cryostat

Starting point: $T_{1/2} \propto a \cdot \epsilon \cdot \sqrt{\frac{M \cdot T}{\Delta E \cdot B}}$, assuming non-zero background

Parameter	**Phase I**	**Phase II**
M(^{76}Ge enr.) (all operational channels)	6 coax: 14.6 kg 4 BEGe: 3.0 kg	7 coax: 15.2 kg 30 BEGe: 20.0 kg
M · T	Goal: 20 kg·yr Achieved: 21.6 kg·yr	Goal: 100 kg·yr
ΔE at $Q_{\beta\beta}$ (full-width at half-maximum)	coax: 4.2-5.7 keV BEGe: 2.6-4.0 keV	Goal: as good as in P I or improve via new filters
B at $Q_{\beta\beta}$	Goal: 10^{-2} cts/(kg·keV·yr) Achieved (incl. PSD): coax: 1.1-3.0·10^{-2} cts/(kg·keV·yr) BEGe: 0.5·10^{-2} cts/(kg·keV·yr) * PSD: reduced for coax * Signal contact: mech. spring * FE electronics + preampl. * Copper mini-shroud – – –	Goal: 10^{-3} cts/(kg·keV·yr) * PSD: enhanced for BEGe * Signal contact: bonding * New development * Nylon mini-shroud * LAr scintillation light: - PMTs - Glass fibers with SiPMs

GERDA Phase II upgrade: New detector components

String with 8 BEGe detectors



Background mitigation:

- pulse shape discrimination and Ge detector anti-coincidence:



- low mass holder of low activity + new contacting / electronics





GERDA Phase II upgrade: LAr scintillation light instrumentation







Background mitigation:

- Ge detectors in anti-coincidence with LAr scintillation light read-out:



- Hybrid design:
  1. 16 x 3" low background PMTs Ham RG11065-10/20 MOD
  2. 810 x scintillating fibers coupled to 90 KETEK SiPMs
  3. 100 μm Cu shrouds with wavelength shift TETRATEX foil

GERDA Phase II upgrade: A special barrier against ^{42}Ar



^{42}Ar background mitigation:

- Mechanical barrier against ^{42}Ar ions attracted by high E field (GERDA Phase I: copper shroud)
- Requirements to GERDA Phase II shroud:
  1. transparent for LAr scintillation light λ=128 nm
     $\rightarrow$ use nylon
  2. match PMT and SiPM sensitivity
     $\rightarrow$ use nylon coated with wavelength shifter
  3. mech. robustness, no ageing, low intrinsic contamination

Proof of principle in LArGe test stand



GERDA Phase II commissioning: Active background suppression

Calibration with external sources:



Results:

Isotope	LAr Veto	LAr Veto + det. anti-coincidence + pulse shape discrimination
^{228}Th	85±3	390±28
^{226}Ra	5.1±0.2	25±2.2

- Suppression factors depend on isotopes, source location & detector configuration
- For external γ's: LAr veto more efficient than pulse shape discrimination (PSD)

Strength of PSD:



In case of peak discovery at $\approx Q_{\beta\beta}$ possibility to check if event has:
a) multi-site energy dep. $\rightarrow$ bg-like
b) single energy dep. $\rightarrow$ **$0\nu\beta\beta$-like** !

GERDA Phase II: Data collection

Data collection start: December 15, 2015

All 7 strings mounted & all Ge detectors + LAr veto working !





Data set of GERDA Phase II used for 1. data release:

- From Run 53: First event: UTC Fri Dec 25 00:45:09 2015
- To Run 64: Last event: UTC Wed Jun 1 07:43:10 2016
- Live time: 130.67 d = 0.358 yr ($\rightarrow$ Duty cycle: 82.0%)

GERDA Phase II: Background suppression of BEGe detectors



BEGe

Blinded region:

$Q_{\beta\beta} \pm 25$ keV

- LAr veto cut: → For ^{40}K: fully accepted; ^{42}K: SF∼5
  → Below 2 MeV: basically only $2\nu\beta\beta$ spectrum
  → In 1839-2239 keV: survival fraction = ∼1/3
- Pulse shape: → Events in ROI: signal accep. 87.3±0.9 %, Bg reject. 80%
  → α events: very efficient rejection

GERDA Phase II: Background suppression of coaxial detectors



Coax

Blinded region:

$Q_{\beta\beta} \pm 25$ keV

- LAr veto cut: → In 1839-2239 keV: survival fraction$\sim$1/2
- Pulse shape:
  → Multi-site events: rejected via artificial neural network (ANN) + projective Likelihood: ϵ_{mse}=(80±9)% $0\nu\beta\beta$ acceptance
  → α events: rejected via ANN: ϵ_{α}=(96±1)% $0\nu\beta\beta$ acceptance
  → **Total eff.**: $\epsilon_{psd} = \epsilon_{mse} \cdot \epsilon_{\alpha}$=(77±9)%, while bg. rejected at 65%

Global fit prior application of LAr veto and PSD cut

BEGe: p-value=0.3



Coax: p-value=0.6



- Fit [570,5300] keV with 30 keV binning
- Well understood bg composition
- Expect flat bg in ROI at $Q_{\beta\beta}$
- Bg model still preliminary!

- Fit predicts again flat bg in ROI
- Main components before LAr veto and PSD cut similar to Phase 1:
  - α from ^{210}Po and ^{226}Ra
  - β from ^{42}K
  - γ from ^{214}Bi and ^{208}Tl

GERDA Phase II: Unblinding of first data set

GERDA Collaboration Meeting on Ringberg castle (GER), June 15-18, 2016

Final steps prior unblinding the $Q_{\beta\beta} \pm 25$ keV window:

- Freeze analysis cuts (energy reconstruction, quality cuts, flags...)
- Freeze data periods from Phase 1 and 2
- Freeze background model
- Freeze LAr veto and PSD cuts for BEGe and Coax
- Fix and agree on statistical methods ... $\rightarrow$

GERDA Phase II: Unblinding of first data set

BEGe: Exposure = 5.8 kg·yr



Coax: Exposure = 5.0 kg·yr



Counts	Region	**BEGe**	**Coax**
expected, w PSD	$Q_{\beta\beta}\pm25$ keV	0.3	0.8
	1930-2190 keV	1.2	3.6
observed, w PSD	$Q_{\beta\beta}\pm25$ keV	0	1
	1930-2190 keV	1	4

→ Like in Phase I,
no hint for γ-line at $Q_{\beta\beta}$!
Background is **flat**.

GERDA Phase I and II: Statistical analysis

List of used data sets and of relevant quantities

Data set	Exposure [kg·yr]	Signal eff.	Background [cts/(keV·kg·yr)]	Resolution FWHM [keV]
Phase I, coax golden	17.9	0.57(3)	$11\pm2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	4.3(1)
Phase I, coax silver	1.3	0.57(3)	$30\pm10 \cdot 10^{-3}$	4.3(1)
Phase I, BEGe	2.4	0.66(2)	$5^{+4}_{-3} \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.7(2)
Phase I, extra	1.9	0.58(4)	$5^{+4}_{-3} \cdot 10^{-3}$	4.2(2)
Phase II, coax	5.0	0.51(7)	$3.5^{+2.1}_{-1.5} \cdot 10^{-3}$	4.0(2)
Phase II, BEGe	5.8	0.60(2)	$\mathbf{0.7}^{+1.1}_{-0.5} \cdot 10^{-3}$	3.0(2)

Analyses based on two methods:

	unbinned profile likelihood 2-side test-stat	Bayesian flat prior on cts
$0\nu\beta\beta$ cts best fit value	0 cts	0 cts
$T^{0\nu}_{1/2}$ lower limit	$>5.2 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr (90% CL)	$>3.5 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr (90% CI)
$T^{0\nu}_{1/2}$ median sensitivity	$4.0 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr (90% CL)	$3.0 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr (90% CI)

GERDA Phase II: Summary and outlook

- **Result from Phase I + first Phase II period**:
  - Sensitivity: $T^{0\nu}_{1/2}$ >4.0·10^{25} yr (90% CL)
  - No $0\nu\beta\beta$ signal found
    → $T^{0\nu}_{1/2}$ >5.2·10^{25} yr (90% CL)
    → m_{eff} <(0.15-0.33) eV
- **Goal for Phase II (next 3-4 yr)**:
  - Exposure of 100 kg·yr
  - Only a fraction of bg event in ROI expected
    → first bg free experiment
- **Discovery potential**:
  - Find γ-line at $Q_{\beta\beta}$
    ← very good energy res. and low bg
  - Identify origin of γ-line at $Q_{\beta\beta}$
    ← capability to distinguish $\beta\beta$ from other bg events on event-by-event basis

Based on current BI and duty cycle:



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION !



INFN Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso
INFN Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare SEZIONE DI MILANO
INFN Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare SEZIONE DI MILANO BICOCCA
INFN Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Sezione di Padova
Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik Heidelberg
Jagiellonian University in Krakow
Technische Universität Dresden
Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research
Technische Universität München
Max-Planck-Institut für Physik
INR Moscow
Kurchatov Institute
ITEP Moscow
Universität Zürich
European Commission Joint Research Centre JRC Geel

SPARE SLIDES

GERDA and some general remarks about $\beta\beta$-decay search

GERDA: Active background suppression via pulse shape discrimination



Current signal $= q \cdot v \cdot \nabla\Phi$

q = charge
v = velocity
Φ = weighting potential

Particle identification:

- $0\nu\beta\beta$ events: free path of 1 MeV electrons in Ge is ≈1 mm. So, only one single energy deposition → single site event (SSE).
- Gamma-rays: free path of 1 MeV of γ-rays in Ge is $> 10\times$ larger, Compton scattering. So, charge pulse consists of several time-spread energy depositions → multi-site events (MSE)
- Surface events: peculiar behavior distinguishable from SSE (fast/slow risetime)

Example: Charge and current signal for a BEGe





Survival vs. rejection fraction:
BEGe: SSE survival: $(92\pm2)\%$, bkgr. rej.: $>80\%$
coax: SSE survival: $(90^{+5}_{-9})\%$, bkgr. rej.: $>45\%$

GERDA Phase II upgrade: detector production and characterisation

1. 2005: Ge enr. at 88% in ^{76}Ge at ECP, Zelenogorsk, RUS
2. 2010: Purification via zone-refinement at PPM GmbH, Langelsheim, GER
3. 2011/12: Crystal pulling at Canberra Industries Inc., Oak Ridge, USA
4. 2012: BEGe Diode production at Canberra Semiconductors NV, Olen, BEL;

- Production of 30 new BEGe detectors: high mass yield (20.2 kg), all operational
- BEGe detector properties: fully characterized
- Exposure to cosmic radiation: minimized and tracked

$0\nu\beta\beta$ decay formalism: a difficult nuclear physics problem

Half-life correlation with effective Majorana neutrino mass

$$(T_{1/2})^{-1} = G^{0\nu} \left|M^{0\nu}\right|^2 \langle m_{ee}\rangle^2$$

with $G^{0\nu}$: phase space factor, $M^{0\nu}$: nuclear matrix element, $\langle m_{\beta\beta}\rangle = \left|\sum_j m_j U_{ej}^2\right|$



- $M^{0\nu}$ calculations:
  - Improvements for NSM and QRPA:
    →Most QRPA discrepancies solved
    →Progress in understanding source of spread of NSM values
  - New methods IBM, EDF, pHFB
- $Q_{\beta\beta}$ values:
  → Penning-traps (e.g. ^{130}Te: 5% shift)
- Cross sections for neutron reactions:
  (e.g.^{207}Pb(n,n'γ): DEP of 3062 keV $\simeq Q_{\beta\beta}$ of ^{76}Ge)

Theory's demand for a larger number of measurements with different isotopes

→ Avoid (not well) known rare background events at $Q_{\beta\beta}$
→ NME uncertainties $\lesssim$30% for neutrino mass spectrum & CP violating phases
→ Mechanisms: Light vs. heavy Majorana neutrino exchange, RHC,...

Experimental sensitivity and constraints

Determination of the half-life

$$T_{1/2} \propto \begin{cases} a \cdot \epsilon \cdot M \cdot T, & \text{background-free} \\ a \cdot \epsilon \cdot \sqrt{\frac{M \cdot T}{\Delta E \cdot B}}, & \text{if background is present} \end{cases}$$

with a: Abun./Enrich.; M: Mass; ϵ: act.volume; ΔE: e-res.; T: life-time; B: bkgd ([counts/kg/keV/yr] around region-of-interest)

Isotope	$Q_{\beta\beta}$ [keV]	nat. abundance [%]	annual production [ton]	Experiment (oper./funded)	FWHM/E @ $Q_{\beta\beta}$ [%]	Mass [kg]
^{48}Ca	4273.7	0.19	5×10^5	Candles		0.35
^{76}Ge	2039.1	7.8	10	GERDA	0.1-0.2	15→35
				Majorana Dem.	0.1-0.2	30
^{82}Se	2995.5	9.2	250	SuperNEMO		7→100
				Lucifer		–
^{100}Mo	3035.0	9.6	2.5×10^4	MOON		480
				AMoRe		100
^{116}Cd	2809.1	7.6	1.5×10^3	Cobra		0.4→415
^{130}Te	2530.3	34.5	50	CUORE	0.2	206
				SNO+	∼10	1333(260)
^{136}Xe	2457.8	8.9	6	EXO	4.0	175→5000
				KamLand-Zen	9.8	320→1000
				NEXT		10→100

Motivations for a larger number of experiments and isotopes:

→ Reduction of background: detector segmentation, particle tracking, pulse shape, $Q_{\beta\beta}$ above lines of ^{228}Th
→ Advantages of single isotopes: better ΔE, enrichment of isotope, scalability (detector size, world annual production, prize)
→ Avoid/reduce systematics: independent techniques, measurements with $\leq$30% precision

Isotopes and applied technologies for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay search

- Selected best isotope candidates: 8 out of 35 ($\leftarrow$ nat. abundance, $Q_{\beta\beta}$, $G^{0\nu} \propto (Z, Q^5_{\beta\beta})$, chem. properties)
- Techniques:



- Source=Detector: large isotopic masses possible and scalable (10-100 kg now, 1 ton near future)
- Source≠Detector: Tracking particle momenta $\rightarrow$ Topology of events and mechanisms
- Liquid scintillator detectors: multi-functional, largest target masses, purification strategies
- Solid state detectors achieve the best energy resolution: FWHW/E at $Q_{\beta\beta}$=0.15%

Remarks about sensitivity limits and discovery potential

Some $\beta\beta$ decay experiments are more suitable to push the sensitivity limits, others are more suitable for the discovery of $0\nu\beta\beta$ signals

Road map towards a discovery of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay

- **1. Step: Find γ-line at $Q_{\beta\beta}$**
  - **Very good energy resolution** $\rightarrow$ solid state ^{76}Ge / ^{130}Te det's
  - **No** interferring continuous and γ-line **background** $\rightarrow$ solid state ^{76}Ge det's
  - **Enough** isotopic $\beta\beta$ **mass** $\rightarrow$ ^{136}Xe and ^{130}Te, but also ^{76}Ge det's
- **2. Step: Identify origin of events in γ-line found at $Q_{\beta\beta}$**
  - **Identify** $\beta\beta$ **events** as **single-site energy deposition**:
  $\rightarrow$ Ge-based det's can distiguish them from multi-site energy depositions
  $\rightarrow$ Xe-based det's: liquid scintillator cannot due to low pos. reco. resolution;
  2-phase TPC can partly distinguish
  - **Extract** $\beta\beta$ **daughter nuclides**:
  $\rightarrow$ ^{76}Se from ^{76}Ge not possible (solid state detector)
  $\rightarrow$ ^{136}Ba from ^{136}Xe for TPC under testing, but very challenging ('Ba tagging')
- **3. Step: Identify exchange mechanism responsible for observed $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay**
  - Track outgoing particles and **reconstruct kinematics**:
  $\rightarrow$ tracking calorimeter (e.g. SuperNEMO concept)