

# Commissioning of the ATLAS IBL CO<sub>2</sub> Cooling system

### **Forum on Tracking Detector Mechanics 2016**  *(Bonn, 23-25 May 2016)*

VERLAAT, Bart (CERN), OSTREGA, Maciej (CERN), ZWALINSKI, Lukasz (CERN), BORTOLIN, Claudio (CERN), VOGT, Sven (MPI-Münich (DE)), DELUCA, Carolina (NIKHEF (NL)), DZIURDZIA, Piotr (Cracow University of Technology (PL)), GODLEWSKI, Jan (Polish Academy of Sciences (PL)), CRESPO-LOPEZ, Olivier (CERN), LANTZSCH, Kerstin (Universität Bonn)



## Atlas Inner B-Layer (IBL)

IBL detector:

- Ø80mm x 800mm (7m including services)
- 1 kW @ -40°C (+1kW ambient)
- 14 staves with 1 cooling pipe

New detector with smaller beam pipe in space of previous beam pipe

Pixel detector chips (30-70 watt/stave)

Carbon foam structure

IBL staye detail

1.5mm ID titanium cooling pipe

~20<sub>mm</sub>



### Atlas IBL: A new 1<sup>st</sup> layer around a reduced beam pipe







IBL Carbon stave with cooling pipe



3 IBL Stave

Cooling temperature required: <-35°C



# Installation of the IBL in ATLAS (June 2014)







### The IBL cooling loop layout (1)



**FP-DT** 

**Detector Technologies** 

 $EERN$ 









See details in Claudio's talk at FTDM-2015, https://indico.cern.ch/event/363327/contributions/860744/attachments/722740/991990/ForumNikhef2015.pdf

**FP-DT** 

**Detector Technologies** 





# IBL cooling system layout





# CO<sub>2</sub> cooling hardware in UX

















# $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and R404a system P&ID





# Cooling plants in USA-15





#### $\frac{1}{2}$  of the limit  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$ IBL  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  operation cycle, challenges at cold

EP-DT **Detector Technologies** 

CERN



### $CO<sub>2</sub>$  plant operation *(over junction box)*



**FP-DT** 

**Detector Technologies** 

CERN





#### **Detector Technologies**

**FP-DT** 



2-stage compressor for low temperature operation



### The 2-Stage Chiller Cold and stable operation

- The chiller is 2-stage to reach lower temperatures
	- $\circ$   $CO_{2}$  liquid: <- $50^{0}$ C
	- $\circ$   $\,$  Challenging: CO $_2$  freezes at -56 $^0\mathrm{C}$
	- Chiller need to be very stable
		- o Different tricks to stabilize under load changes:
			- Hot gas by-pass with liquid injection
			- Back-pressure regulation
			- Compressor speed control (30-70Hz).
		- Advanced super heating control due to low gas temperature from main evaporator
			- Hot gas injection during fast changes to avoid liquid in the compressor
			- Suction line accumulator
		- 2 primary cooling sources:
			- o Water cooling
			- o Air cooling (Back-up)
- All commercial refrigeration technologies
	- o Bitzer, Danfoss, Carel, Swep, Alfa-Laval



**FP-DT** 

**Detector Technologies** 

### Chiller capacity and temperature control



### Cooling system warm start-up and cold operation



**FP-DT** 

**Detector Technologies** 



### IBL Cooling system operation at -40°C set-point





### Cooling system reaction on load **Detector Technologies** changes



**FP-DT** 



### IBL Cooling system operation at +15°C set-point





# Commissioning Period

- The total commissioning period was about 1 year
- System commissioning:
	- o January-April 2014: Plant stand alone commissioning
		- I/O checks
		- Alarm settings
		- **System tuning**
		- Capacity measurements
	- o April-June 2014: System commissioning over junction box with dummy load • Capacity measurements<br>
	ril-June 2014: System commissioning over junction box with  $\frac{9}{6}$ <br>
	mmy load<br>• Full system checks
		-
		- Full system fine tuning
- 25 June 2014: first cooling flow through detector.
- System commissioning with detector
	- o June-August 2014
		- Explore detector behaviour with warm cooling (No IDEP closure)
		- Detector commissioning
		- Fine tune system to detector behaviour
	- o 29 August 2014
		- First cold cooling (-25°)
	- o September 2014
		- Blow off system commissioning for bake-out
		- Prepare cooling system for bake-out
	- o 15-29 October 2014
		- Bake-Out period
	- o November-December 2014
		- Steady state cooling tests
	- o January-May 2015
		- Long term testing
	- $\circ$  June 2015
		- LHC restart





#### **Detector Technologies**

**FP-DT** 

Cooling down tests, experiencing temperature stabilities and gradients



Detector check-out



# Bake-out (1)

- The beam pipe bake-out was a crucial event for the IBL cooling
	- $\circ$  The beam-pipe was heated in steps to 230 $\mathrm{^0C}$ , the cooling system must prevent the IBL to over heat
	- o A special operation of the cooling was established
		- 2 systems operated in parallel
		- System back-up by a bottle battery blow system
- Results and observations
	- o Maximum recorded sensor temperature was -8 $^0C \& 230^0C$  beam pipe
	- $\circ$  Despite the high ambient load, the staves were hard to boil. (Single phase worked well for BO)
	- $\circ$  Due to twice the flow (2 systems in parallel) => ca. 4x pressure drop, most staves stayed single phase for long time.
	- $\circ$  What was interesting to see is that the boiling front stayed at the same location for a long time despite load increase
		- This indicates that something linked to the geometry is triggering the boiling. => Too smooth pipes?
- No issues during bake-out, system run without problems



#### **FP-DT** Detector Technologies **IBL plant failure test with 3kW heat load**

The graph show a failure of plant B and A followed by the blow system activation. A 3kW heat load was on all at time. Shown are the flows and heater temperature.

CERN



25



### IBL plant failure test with 3kW heat load

The graph show the same test as the previous graph and displays the temperatures in the cooling plants and in the blow system





• Activation of the blow system was successful

- o In case of blow activation beam pipe bake-out would be interlocked.
- $\circ$  The 400 liter of CO<sub>2</sub> battery is good for 3hours additionally cooling, more than sufficient for a beam pipe cooldown

Temperatures during inactivity are deleted

CERN



# The IBL cooling heat loads

- The cooling system has to coop with several heat loads:
	- o Detector electronics power
	- o Ambient heat leak in the detector
	- o Ambient heat leak of the system
- The total heat load can be measured under certain circumstances
	- o The return in the plant can still be liquid despite of having 2 phase in the detector
- o The dT of the liquid feed and return is a function of heat load Transfer line, Ca 100m
	- The IBL heat leak can be calculated, by subtracting the heat leak observed during Junction Box tests
		- The ambient heat leak in the detector, the system and the detector power is at the same order around  $-15^{\circ}$ C



#### IBL steady state temperature analyses results **Detector Technologies** and CoBra comparison

- The next slides give an overview of the measured (and corrected) IBL temperatures with respect to the CoBra simulation results.
- Each plot contains the data for a powered an not powered IBL detector per temperature set point.
	- o Blue data is related to the unpowered situation, red data with respect to the powered situation
- The outlet flex line temperature profile in the graph is mirrored to match in the input to the output
	- o Heat exchange behavior becomes visible
- Measured Inputs to the CoBra model:
	- o Plant mass flow

FP-DT

- o Cooling loop outlet pressure
- o Cooling loop inlet temperature
- o Average cable board temperature as ambient temperature indications.
- o A 35 W/m<sup>2</sup>K heat transfer to the pipes and the structure. This value is matched to the measured ambient heat leak explained in the previous slide.
- o Ambient temperature taken from the cable temperature under no-load condition.



**The thermal node network calculates the heat influx in the cooling pipe based on:**

•Applied power Q3 on node 3 •Environmental heating from fixed temperature T4 on node 4 •Heat exchange with another pipe section via R5 between nodes 2 and 2 of the connected sections

15



### Set point = -30°C

#### Manifold and junction box





### Set point  $= -25^{\circ}C$



IBL temperatures for set point -25ºC; Measured data and CoBra simulation results



#### **Detector Technologies**

### Set point = -20°C



IBL temperatures for set point -20ºC; Measured data and CoBra simulation results



#### **Detector Technologies**

### Set point  $= -15^{\circ}C$



IBL temperatures for set point -15ºC; Measured data and CoBra simulation results



## Set point  $= -5^{\circ}C$



IBL temperatures for set point -5ºC; Measured data and CoBra simulation results

**CERN** 

### Detector temperature offsets wrt set point





# Super heating problem

Stave Power • Boiling is not always fully developed o This gives irregular temperature behaviour in the Boiling starts (ca 5'C drop)order of a degree This behaviour is not appreciated by the Sensor alignment people  $\odot$ temperatures • A study is ongoing to understand the issue. • Mainly a problem after a detector power Cooling pipe temperatures cycle, boiling is developed better in time  $\sqrt{2}$  $04/10$  $04/10$ <br> $13.10$  $04/10$ <br> $13.20$  $04/10$ <br>13:30  $04/10$ <br>13:40  $04/10$ <br>13:50  $04/10$ <br>14:00  $04/10$ <br> $14:10$  $04/10$ <br> $14.20$ Start of boiling in mod5,6,7 & 8 after powering Stave powering, **Stave 14 magic….** on same state as 2 days earlier g÷ Why did boiling now propagate Whole stave liquid Why did the through the stave became mod5,6,7 & 8 whole stave liquid at 1 nov stay in boiling suddenly at 3 15:21? after power off nov 12:37? <u>The Doctor</u> . 220 22 23 23 33 3  $\frac{28}{10}$  $01/11$ <br>23:00  $03/11$  $02/11$ 29/10<br>11:00 29/10<br>23:00 30/10 30/10  $31/10$ 31/10  $01/11$ 02/11 03/11 04/11  $11:00$ 11:00 23:00  $11:00$  $11:00$  $11:00$ 11:00 **SERE Z Z Z Z** 

### Why cooling stability is so important **Detector Technologies** in the IBL



**FP-DT** 

 $ERR$ 

https://indico.cern.ch/event/363327/contributions/860749/attachments/722745/9 91997/2015-06-

17\_Understanding\_the\_deformation\_issue\_of\_the\_ATLAS\_IBL\_detector.pptx

- The IBL has a thermal deformation issue.
- The deformation amplitude depends upon the evaporation set point.
- The dependency is linear and the value is  $d=10.6 \pm 0.7$  mm/<sup>o</sup>C
- The cooling is very stable in time  $\left( < 0.05 \right)$ ºC RMS)



### *Hypothesis:*  Cross flow problem?

(2nd stave outlet absorbs heat of neighbor stave inlet)

**FP-DT** 

**Detector Technologies** 

**CERN** 



# **CERN**

**FP-DT** 

#### Full scale IBL branch pair set-up in SR1 Detector Technologies

- Build up a real size cooling mock-up of the ATLAS IBL stave pair to measure boiling front movement phenomena
	- o Including real size IBL cooling hardware at real orientations and heights
	- o Spare IBL flex lines
	- o IBL test dummy stave
- Reproduce current situation as seen in ATLAS to understand current behaviour
- Test solutions to improve current situation in **IBL** 
	- o Optimize flow
	- Optimize manifold





### SR1 Setup overview





## **Conclusions**

- The IBL cooling was successfully installed and commissioned in 2014.
- The beam-pipe bake out was successful and the IBL temperature stayed below -8'C at all time
- It has been running constantly without unplanned interruptions during all ATLAS data taking in 2015.
- The system temperature is stable in time (<1C°) but has a bi-stable start-up feature (Liquid super heating)
- The liquid super heating phenomena is studied in a 1:1 Scale IBL cooling line pair, both to study solutions for IBL and to understand the phenomena for future systems
- There is still some work to be done to make it reliably operate at cold temperatures.



# Back-up slides



### 1 st October: Thermal shock incident

- During the final blow test through the detector, suddenly after the test was over and system was emptying a slug of liquid entered the warming up detector.
	- o A thermal shock happened from 0 to -35'C within a minute.
- There was a fear that the IBL was damaged, but tests showed that IBL is in a good shape
- It was discovered that expanding liquid from the plant causes a constant liquid push after a stop.
	- o This was seen in small during any stop, but not understood where it came from
- With the IBL at the lowest point the liquid ends up where you don't like to have it.
	- Despite having the manifolds high up
- An important lesson was learned not to have the detector at the lowest point
	- o Introduction of siphons might be a better choice
- In IBL it will be solve with an additional safety by-pass short-cutting in and outlet during stop
	- o No pressure build up over the detector causing an uncontrolled flow





# Control system





PVSS UNICOS Scada for plant control



PVSS DCS for control room via DIP protocol (Only important information for user)







### Plant B and accu rack





#### Front side with control cabinet and air condenser Back side with piping







#### **Detector Technologies**

 $CO<sub>2</sub>$  unit

Front side with foam box Back side components







### Accumulator unit



#### Back side with accumulator and piping Front side maintenance control box





### Vacuum transfer line status





### Junction installation







## Importing data into Matlab



Matlab post processing from Matlab database



## Thermal chain from detector to cooling system

- The pressure drop causes a temperature drop which depends on the received heat load.
	- $\circ$  Therefore the cooling pipe CO<sub>2</sub> temperature is **not constant** and has a heat load depended offset wrt the cooling set point



For the 14 staves the return manifold is the common temperature boundary

### EP-DT Detect**@alibration of cooling pipe NTC's in sector 5**

*(connect DCS and cooling system sensors together to a cold reference)*



**CERN** 

Connect 1 PT100 to the junction box and archive the data using the cooling system



Connect 2 NTC 's to the patch panel near manifold box

Cool the sensors all together in glycol bath







# Calibration setup

Tube to give a dry passage for wires.





The glycol was cooled to -40ºC and warmed up slowly over time to calibrate over the full range

Copper block with a PT100 and 2 NTC sensors dipped in cold glycol stored in a Dewar.



### The IBL  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  cooling system Simplified P&ID with temperature sensors





- The only way to check the NTC's is with respect to the CoBra model
	- o The CoBra model predicts the temperature profile of cooling lines
	- o Pressure drop and heat transfer are analyzed
- As the gradients are heat load depended, a good estimate of the ambient heating is required. **Example 2018 heating** is required.



#### **Detector Technologies**

**FP-DT** 

### CoBra model *CO<sup>2</sup> BRAnch model*



#### **The thermal node network calculates the heat influx in the cooling pipe based on:**

•Applied power Q3 on node 3

•Environmental heating from fixed temperature T4 on node 4 •Heat exchange with another pipe section via R5 between nodes 2 and 2 of the connected sections

- The CoBra model chops the cooling line in small sections and calculates the heat fluxes, pressure drops and heat transfers according the local properties.
- CoBra works in single and 2-phase
- A simple thermal node network is present per pipe section to include the thermal conductance of the structure. (TFoM)

The different pipe section modeled in the thermal node network

