Vector-boson production in p-Pb collisions with ALICE at the LHC

Kgotlaesele Johnson Senosi

for the ALICE collaboration

February 8, 2016

Il Workshop on High Energy Particle Physics, iThemba LABS, Gauteng









Outline





Introduction



- Electroweak gauge bosons W and Z do not interact strongly
- Thus they constitute clean probes of the initial state of nucleus-nucleus and proton-nucleus collisions and a test of the scaling of hard processes with the number of binary collision $\langle N_{\rm coll} \rangle$
- Their production provides an excellent tool to study:

Cold Nuclear Matter (CNM) effects



nuclear modifications, generally known as shadowing and anti-shadowing

 \Rightarrow refer to the modification of parton distribution functions in nuclei

- other effects are: isospin, absorption, EMC effect, Fermi motion and neutron skin effects (arXiv:1412.2930,Eur. Phys. J. C (2015) 75:426)
- Their leptonic final states allow their detection, despite low production cross section at LHC energies, especially at forward rapidity

Introduction



W-boson signal: $W^\pm o \mu^\pm$

- Measured via its semi-leptonic decay channel
- The signal is a Jacobean peak in an inclusive single muon differential $p_{\rm T}$ spectrum at $p_{\rm T}=M_{\rm W}/2$
- Single muon decay from heavy-flavour (B- and D-meson decays) and Z-boson decays are the dominant background contributions



Z-boson signal: $\mathrm{Z}^0 ightarrow \mu^+ + \mu^-$

- Is observed in the invariant mass distribution of unlike-sign muon pairs as a peak around the Z-boson mass
- Compared with POWHEG Next-to-Leading Order (NLO) event generator JHEP 0807(2008)060



Vector-boson production

ALICE Setup





Kgotlaesele Johnson Senosi

Vector-boson production

Data Samples



- p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV ($E_{\rm p}=4$ TeV & $E_{\rm Pb}=1.58$ TeV)
- Two beam configurations with a rapidity shift ($\Delta y = 0.465$) in the proton direction p-going (forward rapidity, y_{cms}) Pb-going (backward rapidity, $-y_{cms}$)



 $2.03 < y_{\rm cms} < 3.53$



```
-4.46 < y_{\rm cms} < -2.96
```

 \Rightarrow y_{cms} covered by the muon spectrometer

Triggers:

- high- $p_{\rm T}$ single muon triggered events (for W-boson only)
 - $-\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$ Minimum-Bias (MB,coincidence of VOA and VOC) & a single muon with $p_T\gtrsim 1~\text{GeV/c}$
- low- p_T di-muon triggered events (for Z-boson only)
 - $_{-\!\circ}~$ Coincidence of MB and two low- $p_T~(p_T>0.5~{\rm GeV/c})$ muons

Event and track selection



- Single and di-muon events selection applied for W and Z respectively
- Muon candidates are reconstructed in the kinematic acceptance of the spectrometer
 - Pseudorapidity acceptance: $-4.0 < \eta_{lab} < -2.5$
 - Angle at the end of the absorber: 170°< $\theta_{\rm abs}$ <178°



Event and track selection

- Single and di- muon events selections applied for W and Z respectively
- Muon candidates are reconstructed in the kinematic acceptance of the spectrometer
 - -- Pseudorapidity acceptance: $-4.0 < \eta_{lab} < -2.5$
 - -- at the end of the absorber: 170° < $\theta_{\rm abs}$ <178°
- Muon track selection in addition to geometrical acceptance cuts:
 - -- offline matching of the tracking and trigger tracks to reduce background from punch-through hadrons

--> correlation of momentum (p) and Distance of Closest Approach (DCA) to the

interaction point to reduce fake and beam gas tracks









Monte Carlo simulations



W and Z/γ^* Monte Carlo (MC) templates

- Based on POWHEG (NLO) generator with CTEQ6m/CT10
- Interfaced with PYTHIA6.4:
 - \Rightarrow apply showers to POWHEG hard events
 - \Rightarrow parametrize nPDFs using EPS09

POWHEG:JHEP 0807(2008)060, CTEQ: JHEP 0207(2002)012, PYTHIA6.4: JHEP 05(2006)026, EPS09: JHEP 0904(2009)065, CT10:arXiv:1101.0561

Generation done for pp and pn collisions separately and combined with:

$$\frac{1}{N_{\rm pPb}}\frac{dN_{\rm pPb}}{d\rho_{\rm T}} = \frac{Z}{A}\frac{1}{N_{\rm pp}}\frac{dN_{\rm pp}}{d\rho_{\rm T}} + \frac{A-Z}{A}\frac{1}{N_{\rm pn}}\frac{dN_{\rm pn}}{d\rho_{\rm T}}$$

A = 208 and Z = 82

Heavy-flavour Monte Carlo (MC) templates

- Based on Fixed Order Next-to-leading-Log (FONLL) with CTEQ6.6
- Phenomenological function previously used by ATLAS

FONLL: JHEP 1210(2012)137, ATLAS: ATLAS-COM-CONF-2011-088

Signal extraction: W boson



Signal extraction based on a combined fit $f(p_T)$:

$$f(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathrm{T}}) = \mathbb{N}_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \leftarrow \mathrm{HF}} \cdot f_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \leftarrow \mathrm{HF}} + \mathbb{N}_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \leftarrow \mathrm{W}} \cdot f_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \leftarrow \mathrm{W}} + \mathbb{N}_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \leftarrow Z/\gamma^*} f_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \leftarrow Z/\gamma^*}$$

 $\mathtt{f}_{\mu \leftarrow HF}$ – heavy-flavour and $\mathtt{f}_{\mu \leftarrow W}$, $\mathtt{f}_{\mu \leftarrow Z/\gamma^*}$ – W and Z templates

 $N_{\mu \leftarrow HF}, N_{\mu \leftarrow W}$ free normalization parameters and $N_{\mu \leftarrow Z/\gamma^*}$ fixed to $\frac{\sigma_{\mu \leftarrow Z/\gamma^*}}{\sigma_{\mu \leftarrow W}}$ from MC

- $N_{\mu \leftarrow W}$ is extracted between 10 and 80 GeV/c
- $N_{\mu \leftarrow W}$ is an average over p_{T} range variation, detector configuration parametrization and $\sigma_{\mu \leftarrow Z/\gamma^{*}}/\sigma_{\mu \leftarrow W}$
- $N_{\mu \leftarrow W}$ is then corrected for acceptance×efficiency (A× ε_{pPb} and A× ε_{Pbp})

Average acceptance×efficiency (A× ε)

A× $\varepsilon_{\rm pPb}$ = 0.88 \pm 0.05% and A× $\varepsilon_{\rm Pbp}$ = 0.77 \pm 0.06%



Signal extraction: Z boson



- The signal of the Z-boson is an invariant mass peak of opposite sign muons around its mass
 - Muons with p_{T}^{μ} >20 GeV/c are selected
 - $N_{\mu\mu\leftarrow Z}$ is extracted between 60 and 120 GeV/ c^2

Average acceptance \times efficiency (A $\times \varepsilon$)

 $A \times \varepsilon_{pPb} = 83.54 \pm 0.72 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.44 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{sys}) \text{ \% and } A \times \varepsilon_{Pbp} = 63.67 \pm 1.40 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.27$

- Z candidates are number of entries (consistent with the integral of a Gaussian fit)
- Validation with the MC simulations



Systematic uncertainties



W boson

- Alignment ⇒ variation of positions of detector elements (efficiency)
 - Same alignment files used for reconstruction and obtaining $\mathsf{A}{\times}\varepsilon$
- Systematic uncertainties on tracking, triggering, tracking-trigger matching efficiency (ε)
- Detector resolution influence on the signal extraction determined considering the track residuals effect (cluster resolution) on the templates

	Signal extraction	$A{\times}\varepsilon$	Tracking	Triggering	Matching ε	Normalization	$\sigma_{\rm MB}$
p–Pb	6~10%	1%	2%	1%	0.5%	1%	3.2%
Pb-p	6~10%	1%	3%	1%	0.5%	1%	3%

Z boson

- Same alignment files used for reconstruction and obtaining Aimes arepsilon
- Detector resolution influence determined considering the track residuals effect (cluster resolution) on the input shape (Gaussian and Breit-Wigner to the track residuals)

		A×ε	Tracking ε	Triggering ε	Matching ε	Cluster resolution	$\sigma_{\rm MB}$
	p-Pb Pb-p	1% 2%	4% 6%	2% 2%	1% 1%	1.3% 0.2%	3.2% 3%
gotlaesele Jo	aesele Johnson Senosi				9 /		

Results: W-boson cross sections



Theory: Hannu Paukkunen and Carlos A Salgado, JHEP 1103 (2011) 071



- Cross sections of muons from W^\pm measured in the forward and backward rapidity
- They are compared with pertubative Quantum Chromodynamics (pQCD) predictions at Next-to-Leading Order (NLO) with CT10 PDFs
- Measured and theoretical cross section of W^- are in agreement in both rapidity regions, whereas W^+ cross sections agrees within uncertainties

Results: W-boson cross sections



Theory: Hannu Paukkunen and Carlos A Salgado, JHEP 1103 (2011) 071



- Cross sections of muons from W^\pm measured in the forward and backward rapidity
- They are compared with pertubative Quantum Chromodynamics (pQCD) predictions at NLO and CT10 PDFs with EPS09 nPDFs parametrization
- Theoretical cross sections with shadowing are in agreement with the measured cross sections within uncertainties

Results: Z-boson cross sections





- The measured Z $\rightarrow \mu^+ + \mu^-$ cross section at forward and backward rapidity compared with NLO and Next-to-NLO pQCD MC calculations (POWHEG and FEWZ, respectively) with different PDFs and/or nuclear PDFs (EPS09)
- The measured and theoretical cross sections are in agreement
- Uncertainties are too high to constrain nPDFs, which is also the case for the W boson

Results: Z-boson cross sections





- The ratio of the measured cross section by ALICE and LHCb to the FEWZ theoretical predictions are shown
- The ratios are compatible with unity at forward rapidity for both ALICE and LHCb
- The ALICE point at backward rapidity is also compatible with unity

Test of binary scaling



- Production of W bosons is a hard process, thus is expected to scale with the number of colliding nucleons
- $\langle N_{\rm coll} \rangle$ is expected to be correlated with event activity (centrality of the collision)
- Different estimators with different approaches were used to classify event activity:
 - Glauber Model + Negative Binomial Distribution fit to the signal in the VZEROs and the clusters in the first layer of the SPD



 Hybrid method: scaling N_{coll} in minimum-bias collisions by the ratio between the average multiplicity density measured at mid-rapidity in a given ZDC energy event class and the one measured in minimum bias collisions



• $\langle N_{\rm coll} \rangle$ systematic uncertainty is multiplicity bin dependent, varies between 8 - 24%

Yield over $\langle N_{\rm coll} \rangle$



• Yield is normalized to $\langle N_{\rm coll}
angle$ to test binary scaling



- The yield per binary collisions is independent of event activity within uncertainties
- Event activity estimators compatible within uncertainties

Summary



(W- and Z-boson cross sections)

- MICE has measured massive vector bosons at forward and backward rapidity in p-Pb collisions
- The measured cross sections are consistent with pQCD theoretical predictions with and without shadowing
- Current uncertainties do not allow disentanglement of the shadowing effects, small effect of nPDFs in the models

W-boson yield normalized to $\langle N_{\rm coll}
angle$

- The (N_{coll})-normalized yield is compatible with the notion of hard-processes being independent of event activity, within uncertainties
- This measurement is consistent among different estimators within uncertainties